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In the original version of this article there was an error in Supplemental Materials Tables S1-S6 and in the seventh and eighth paragraph of the Results. The label for change in F-value was incorrect. This has now been corrected for S1-S6. Row 5 column 7 of Table S1 incorrectly read .486. This has been corrected to .468 . Row 2 column 6 of Table S4 incorrectly read -0.091 . This has been corrected to 0.091 . The following changes have been made to the attached versions of S3, S5 and S6. The order of variable labels in column 1 of Tables S3 and S6 was incorrect. The correct order is as follows: Residency, English Edu., Comm. Assoc., AoA., Preference., \% English, Non-English use. Step 2 Row 7 data have been removed to reflect updated variable label order. Rows 1-11 of Table S5, "Step 1 - Step 3" results were incorrectly duplicated from Table S2 rows 1-11, "Step 1 - Step 3". The beta and standardised beta co-efficients and p-values for Table S5 have been corrected.

The seventh and eight paragraphs of the "Results" section, "Explanatory variables for CALD performance on PnPA and CNA" reflected the error in Tables S3, S5, and S6.

The final sentence for paragraph seven should read as follows:
Years of residency in Australia was an individual significant predictor (Steps 2 and 3).
The final sentence for paragraph eight should read as follows:
Years of residency in Australia and years of education in English (Step 2), and years of education in English and frequency of using languages other than English (Step 3) were significant individual predictors.

The authors apologise for this error.
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