An improved Reynolds technique for approximate solution of linear stochastic differential equations

J. STAHLBERG

Astrophysikalisches Institut Potsdam Rosa Luxemburg Str.17a, D-O-1590 Potsdam, Germany

Our starting point is a formal linear stochastic differential equation of first order (higher order equations can be transformed to systems of these)

$$\frac{dI(x,\omega)}{dx} = a(x,\omega)I(x,\omega) - W(x,\omega;I), \qquad (1)$$

where I, a, W are stochastic functions $I = \overline{I} + I'$, $a = \overline{a} + a', W = \overline{W} + W'$, with $\langle I \rangle = \overline{I}, \langle I' \rangle = 0$, and analogously for a and W. I, a, and W are allowed to depend on the element ω of a set Ω in which a probability measure is defined in the usual way (see e.g. Doob, 1953; de Witt-Morette, 1981). To get a solution of eq.(1) for the mean intensity \overline{I} we treat the problem according the Reynolds averaging technique in the usual manner : The stochastic equation is changed into an infinite hierarchical system of equations for the correlations. At first we take the mean of eq.(1)

$$\frac{d < I(x) >}{dx} = \bar{a}(x)\bar{I}(x) + < a'(x)I(x) > -\bar{W}(x).$$
(2)

Multiplying eq.(1) with a'(x') resp. with a'(x')a'(x'') and so on we get by taking the mean

$$\frac{a}{dx} < a'(x')I(x) > = < a'(x')(a(x)I(x) - W(x)) > \dots$$

$$< a'(x^{(n)})\dots a'(x')I(x) > = < a'(x^{(n)})\dots a'(x')(a(x)I(x) - W(x)) > \dots (3)$$

where $x^{(n)}$ is a n-dashed x (dependence on ω is omitted). The eqs.(2) and (3) form an infinite hierarchical system of differential equations. A (calculable) solution can be obtained by truncating the infinite system. A simple cut off, often used in physics, consists in neglecting higher order correlations (n > 2). Thus we get for n = 2:

$$\langle a'(x')a'(x)I(x) \rangle = \langle a'(x')a'(x) \rangle I(x)$$
 (4)

 $(\langle a'a'I' \rangle = 0)$. For small deviations from the solution of the completely random (uncorrelated) case, the cut off according to eq.(4) is a valid approximation. **However**, especially for larger fluctuations far away from the totally random case the cut off according to eq.(4) becomes incorrect: It completely neglect higher order correlations. To take into account these higher order correlations via 2- point correlations (as does e.g. a Markow process) resp. via n-point correlations, we approximate the left side of eq.(5) in such a way that the two known limiting cases of

251

 $\frac{d}{dx}$

F. Krause et al. (eds.), The Cosmic Dynamo, 251–252. © 1993 IAU. Printed in the Netherlands.

the stochastic process, the solution of the completely random case and the solution $\langle I_{\infty} \rangle$ of a totally correlated stochastic process, become exactly included:

$$\langle \mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{x}')\mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{x}')\mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{I}_{\infty}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle, \tag{5a}$$

where \mathbf{I}_{∞} can be obtained by including ω in the nonstochastic(!) solution I_o of eq.(1): $I_o(\mathbf{x}) \to I_o(\mathbf{x}, \omega)$ (solution of eq.(1) in the totally correlated case only!) $\equiv I_{\infty}$. In the n-th order we get the generalised form

$$\langle \mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{x}^{(\mathbf{n})})...\mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{x}^{(\mathbf{n})})...\mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{I}_{\infty}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle.$$
(5b)

The eqs.(5) are the simplest ansatz to fulfil the above made conditions. A more complex ansatz could give better results.

Cutting off the system of the eqs.(2) and (3) according to eq.(5b) we get

$$\bar{I}(x) = \Lambda < W(x') > -\Lambda^2 < a'(x')W(x'') > + \dots + -\Lambda^n < a'(x')\dots a'(x^{(n-1)})$$
$$\left(W(x^{(n)}) - a'(x^{(n)})I_{\infty}(x^{(n)})\right) > +\Delta_n$$
(6)

with

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} X(x) = exp(\int_0^x \bar{a}(x')dx') \int_x^\infty dx' exp(-\int_0^{x'} \bar{a}(x^*)dx^*) X(x')$$

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^2 X(x') = \mathbf{\Lambda} (exp(\int_0^{x'} \bar{a}(x^*)dx^*) \int_{x'}^\infty dx'' exp(-\int_0^{x''} a(x^{\$})dx^{\$}) X(x'')$$

$$\cdots,$$

and the error term $\Delta_n = \Lambda^n < a'(x')...a'(x^{(n)})(I - I_\infty) >$. If for a special stochastic process any $\Delta_n = 0$ we have found an exact solution. In

general there are no closed systems of equations and a Δ_n is assumed to be sufficiently small to cut off the infinite system. From eq.(6) some special cases follow: a) $\Delta_1 = 0$: On the one hand it is: $\bar{I} = \Lambda(\bar{W} - \langle a'I_{\infty} \rangle)$, a totally correlated stochastic process and on the other hand $\bar{I} = \Lambda \bar{W}$, a completely randomly stochastic process.

b)
$$\Delta_2 = 0: \bar{I}(x) = \mathbf{\Lambda} \bar{W}(x') - \mathbf{\Lambda}^2 < a'(x')(W(x'') - a'(x'')I_{\infty}(x'')) > .$$
 (7)

Eq.(7) is (by including of finite correlations) the simplest solution of eq.(1) in the frame of the proposed cut off. It is comparable with solutions in the frame of a Markov process (MP) but is not restricted to special velocity fields as does the MP. Eq.(6) is not only applicable to small perturbations caused by a random process. By taking into account even higher order momentum the accuracy increases like a power law : $D^n/n!$, where $D = (f(v_{mi}) - f(v_{ma}))/f(v_o)$. If D > 1 then the validity decreases up to a limited n and then increases! For $n \ge D$ this series expansion is absolutly convergent. Eq.(7) as well as eq.(6) become exact for completely random and totally correlated stochastic processes.

References

Doob, J.L.: 1953, Stochastic Processes, Wiley, New York , .

De Witt-Morette, C., Elworthy, K.D.: 1981, Stochastic Diffential Equations, Proceedings of the "5-Tage-Kurs", Bielefeld, University,.