INDEX

abortion. *See* bioethics
academic appraisals, 79–83
academic freedom
  a brief intellectual history, 16–19
  conditions of, 92–94
  constructions and contestations, 24, 159–163, 177–178
  historical perspective, 3
  key legal and policy statements, 20–23
  meaning of, 1, 3–4
  qualitative and quantitative measures, 8
  relationship with conceptions of knowledge, 163–166
  as under threat, 1–2
  transnational theory of, 13–14, 159
  universalised position, 39
academics' responsibility, 24–26, 106, 161
activism, and knowledge production, 46–48
affect, 34–38
American Association of University Professors (AAUP), 17–18, 20–23, 160
American studies programmes, 53–55, 60–62
anonymity, 7–8
appraisals, 79–83
arts subjects, 68–72
audit culture, 79–83

behavioural science, 57–58
bias, use of systemic reviews, 45–46
  See also Western bias
bioethics, 118–120, 132–133, 171–173
Bourdieu, P. **135**, 154
Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions (BDS) movement
  conditions of academic freedom, 92–94
  global awareness, 2–3
  national citizenship, 31–32
  power, knowledge and morality, 26–29
branch campuses, 92
British Canon, 145–148
  See also Western bias
Butler, Judith, 31–32, 91–94, 160–161

the 'Canon', 62, 145–148, 165–166
  See also Western bias
capital, 41–42, 43, 154
Centre for American Studies and Research (CASAR), 53–55, 60–62, 78–79
Centre for Research on Race in Education (CREE), 84
the civic university, 29, 64–65, 66, 88, 162
civility, 34–38, 148–150, 163
colonialism, 18–19
'colonising the mind', 48–49
confidentiality, 7–8
constructivist grounded theory, 6–7
controversial science, 118–120, 132–133, 171–173
the corporate university, 69–70
COVID-19 pandemic, 2
critical university studies (CUS), 65, 166–169

'death of knowledge', 165–166
death threats, 37–38
decolonisation discourses, 72–74
decolonisation of knowledge, 48–51, 164
delegitimation of knowledge, 156–157, 176
democracy and academic freedom, 56
democratisation of knowledge, 13, 140–142
development
  real-world applicability of research, 44–45
  social change, 50–51
  temporal and geographical positionality, 51–56
'dignity safe', 29–32
  complementarity of freedom and diversity, 16, 160–161
  psychologisation of society, 32–33
disability rights, 118–120
disciplinarity, 26–29
'disciplinary decadence', 58–59
  See also interdisciplinary approach
disciplinary quality, 145–148
diversity
  mangerialisation of, 83
  'oversensitivity', 16
  university initiatives, 144–145, 174
  See also freedom vs. diversity/inclusion
dominance, knowledge production, 49
Douglas, Mary, 48–49

education, value of, 42–46
emotion
  and civility, 34–38
  public performance of academic debate, 36–37
  as a social and political practice, 82–83

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 18.97.9.168, on 09 Nov 2026 at 17:30:51, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108780629.012

employability, in the knowledge economy, 42–46, 68–72, 175
employment
  job security of academics, 81, 162
  liberal arts and the 'knowledge economy', 68–72
  precarity as an academic, 38
  restriction of academic freedom, 81, 175
  skills, employment, and research assessment, 151–152
enrollment rates, university, 10, 74–79, 167–168
entrepreneurship, 42–46, 151–152
epistemic violence, 137
ethics committees, at universities, 95–98, 169–170
Eurocentrism, 129–130
euthanasia, 118–120, 132–133
  See also bioethics
exceptionalism, 87–89
expertise, 24–26, 140–142, 161
extramural speech, 17–18, 25, 106
extremism, 125–129, 172–173

forbidden knowledge, 12–13, 112–113
  agentic boundary work, 129–133
  conceptualising rationales of, 113–129
  controversial science, 118–120, 132–133, 171–173
  gatekeeper mechanisms, 171–177
  publishing and dissemination, 129–133, 173
  taboo topics, 116–118
Foucault, M., 135
free speech, 161
  contested understandings, 24–26
  definition and distinction from academic freedom, 3–4
free speech champions, 2–3
'freedom to'/'freedom from', 31
freedom vs. diversity/inclusion, 1, 8–9
  complementarity of, 16, 160–161
  contextualisation and preconditions, 29–32
  irreconcilability debate, 16
funding
  legitimate knowledge, 153–155
  research, 44–45, 64–85
  'strong research', 135–145

gender
  forbidden knowledge, 121–125, 172
  intimidation and threats against female academic, 37–38
  race and, 30–31
  real-world applicability of research, 44–45
  sexual harassment, 102–106
  vulnerable academics, 81
gender dysphoria, 120–121, 146
geographical positionality, 51–56, 60–62
global neoliberalism, 11–12
Global North
  development research, 44–45
  gender and sexuality, 129–130
  international division of knowledge labour, 156–157, 176–177
  missions of universities, 31–32
  understandings of academic freedom, 31–32, 177
  See also Western bias
Global South
  development research, 44–45
  drive for internationalisation, 11, 108
  funding and international partnerships, 153–155
  gender and sexuality, 130
  impact agendas, 175–176
  international division of knowledge labour, 156–157, 176–177
  marketisation of higher education, 11
  missions of universities, 31–32
global university rankings, 155–158, 176–177
governance of universities
  contextualisation of constraints, 91
  exceptionalism and context, 87–89
  funding, 64–85
  management and audit culture, 79–83
  procedural irregularities, 98–100, 170
  role of universities, 168–169
  unions, 85–87
grievance studies, 34–36, 68

habitus, 154
Hanafi, S., 153–154, 157
harassment, 102–106, 170
hate speech, 25, 133–134, 150
hegemony of knowledge, 49, 178
hierarchy of rights, 30–31
higher education. *See* universities
historical perspective on academic freedom, 3, 16–19
human rights, 20, 159–160
humanities subjects, 68–72
Humboldtian model of higher education, 17–18, 29–32, 41–42

identity politics, 24
ignorance studies, 113–115
inclusive knowledge, 29–32
indigenous knowledge, 142–143
individualisation of society, 32–34, 162
infanticide 118–120
  See also bioethics
infantilisation of students, 32–33, 162
insecurity, job, as an academic, 37–38, 81, 162
interdisciplinary approach, 5, 56–62
international division of knowledge labour, 156–157, 176–177

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 18.97.9.168, on 09 Nov 2026 at 17:30:51, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108780629.012

internationalisation of universities, 4–5, 10, 74–79, 108, 153–155
interpretivist paradigm, 7
intersectionality, 170–178
intimidation, 37–38
Israel/Palestine debate, 52–55, 120–121, 172

job security, as an academic, 37–38, 81, 162
Journal of Controversial Ideas, 129–133
justice, epistemic violence, 137
justified true belief, 136–137

knowledge
  constructions of, 136–137, 163
  contested understandings, 26–29, 40–48, 161–162
  inclusive, 29–32
  relationship with conceptions of academic freedom, 163–166
knowledge economy, 42–46, 68–72, 175
knowledge production, 9–10
  'death of knowledge', 165–166
  decolonisation, 48–51, 164
  democratisation, 13, 140–142
  Humboldtian model, 41–42
  implications for academic freedom, 62–63
  inter/disciplinarity, 56–62
  internal and external restrictions, 91–92
  positionality, 46–48, 164–165
  quality, 174–175
  role of universities, 4–5, 10, 64–66
  temporal and geographical positionality, 51–56
  'truth' and 'public good', 66–68
  See also forbidden knowledge; legitimate knowledge

lay expertise, 142
Lebanon
  compliance with US regulations, 108–110
  methodological approach to research in, 5–8
  Palestine/Israel debate, 52–55
  universities in, 10–11, 19–20
legal context of academic freedom
  mobilities, 110
  national, 106–108
  policy statements, 20–23
  transnational, 108–110
legal status, working in UAE, 37–38
legitimacy, 136–137, 161–162
legitimate knowledge, 13, 135
  the 'Canon', 62, 145–148, 165–166
  disciplinary quality, 145–148
  discourses of civility, 148–150
  funding and international partnerships, 153–155
  global legitimating systems, 155–158
  meaning of, 138
  positionality, 138–143, 174
  skills, employment, and research assessment, 151–152
  social context, 173–174
  university diversity initiatives, 144–145
LGBT
  forbidden knowledge, 121–125, 172
  publishing difficulties, 130–132
liberal arts, 68–72
libertarian perspective, 1, 8–9

madrasas, 16–17
management of universities, 79–83
marketisation of higher education, 11, 100–102, 163–164, 169–171, 176–177
masculinity, and sexism, 54
McCarthyism, 17–18
medicalisation of society, 32–33
mission of the university, 66–72, 166–167
mobilities
  legal regulation, 110
  state and international levels, 170–178
  transnational education, 78
moral virtue, 26–29, 161–162, 163
Murray, Charles, 126–127
Muslim Brotherhood, 128

Nandy, A. 49, 51
nation-state formation, 72–74
national citizenship, 31–32
national educational systems, history of, 18–19
national identity, 128–129
national legislation, freedom of speech, 20–23, 106–108
neoliberalism, 11–12
  diversity initiatives, 144–158
  as a framing discourse, 94–95, 169–170
  marketisation of higher education, 11, 100–102, 163–164, 169–171, 176–177
  skills and employment, 42–46, 175

objective truth, 68
offshore campuses, 92
online teaching, 2
organisational culture, 79–83
'oversensitivity', 16, 32–33, 150
Oxbridge, 17–18, 29–32, 160

Palestine/Israel debate, 52–55, 120–121, 172
participation rates, university, 10, 74–79, 167–168
patriarchy
  decolonisation discourses, 72–74
  race and gender, 30–31
policy statements, academic freedom, 20–23
political economy of knowledge production, 175–176

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 18.97.9.168, on 09 Nov 2026 at 17:30:51, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108780629.012

positionality
  as academics, 38
  decolonisation of knowledge, 48–51
  forbidden knowledge, 120–121
  geographical, 51–56, 60–62
  knowledge production, 46–48, 164–165
  legitimate knowledge, 138–143, 174
  race, 139–140, 164–165
  temporal, 51–56
power, 26–29, 34–38, 161–162
precarity, 38
privilege, and responsibility, 26, 28
pro life/pro choice, 118–120
  See also bioethics
psychologisation of society, 32–34, 162
public good
  contested understandings, 25–26
  role of universities, 66–68
public knowledge, 46–48
public performance of academic debate, 36–37
publishing forbidden knowledge, 129–133, 173

qualitative measures, academic freedom, 8
qualitative research, 147
quality, knowledge production, 44–45, 174–175
quantitative measures, academic freedom, 8
quantitative research, 147

race
  decolonisation discourses, 72–74
  ethnic minority academics, 140
  forbidden knowledge, 125–129, 172–173
  funding of research, 84
  gender and, 30–31
  positionality, 139–140, 164–165
  real-world applicability of research, 44–45
  transracialism, 117
  white researchers, 51, 139–140
rape threats, 37–38
rationality
  civility, 34–38
  knowledge production, 46–48
real-world applicability of research, 44–45
religion, 125–129, 172–173
remote learning, 2
research
  fear of the mis/application of research findings, 113–115
  funding, 44–45, 64–85
  methodological approach, 5–8
  role of universities, 168–169
  skills, employment, and research assessment, 151–152
  'strong research', 135–145
Research Evaluation Framework (REF), 152, 170–178
research funding councils, 92
responsibility, 24–26, 106, 161
retirement, taking early retirement, 82
right to life, 118–120
  See also bioethics

safe spaces, 32–33
Said, Edward, 140
Salaita, Stephen, 149
scholars. *See* academics' responsibility
sciences, technology, engineering, maths and medicine (STEMM), 144–145
Scientific Women's Academic Network (SWAN), 144–158
security, 125–129, 172–173
self-censorship
  ethnic minority academics, 140
  forbidden knowledge, 123–125
  hostile environments, 102–106, 170
  literature on academic freedom, 24
  students, 100–102, 170
  vulnerabilities, 170
seniority of academics, 81, 162
sexism, and masculinity, 54
sexual harassment, 102–106, 170
sexuality
  forbidden knowledge, 121–125, 172
  and transracialism, 117
Singer, Peter, 129–133
skills and employment, 42–46, 175
'snowflakes', 32–33, 150
social change, 50–51
social justice, 34–36
social media, 82
social science subjects, 68–72
state-imposed constraints on research, 92
'strong research', 135–145
students
  infantilisation of, 32–33, 162
  self-censorship, 100–102, 170
  as university customers, 100–102, 170
systemic reviews (SRs), 45–46

taboo topics, 116–118
  See also forbidden knowledge
temporal positionality, 51–56
tenure, job security of academics, 81, 162
therapeutic turn in higher education, 32–33
transnational production of knowledge
  legitimate knowledge, 155–158
  methodological approach, 5–8
  role of the university, 166–169
  shifting conceptions of academic freedom, 159–163
transnational theory of academic freedom, 13–14, 159
transracialism, 117
transsexual, 120–121

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 18.97.9.168, on 09 Nov 2026 at 17:30:51, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108780629.012

truth
  contested understandings, 25
  Humboldtian model, 41–42
  interdisciplinary approach, 56–62
  as justified true belief, 136–137
  legitimate knowledge, 138
  positionality, 161–162
  role of universities, 66–68

UAE
  forbidden knowledge, 12–13
  legal status of academics in, 37–38
  methodological approach to research in, 5–8
  mobility restrictions, 170–178
  transition to knowledge-based economy, 42–46, 64–66
  universities in, 10–11, 19–20
UK
  conception of academic freedom, 160
  methodological approach to research in, 5–8
  national legislation, 106–108
  Research Evaluation Framework (REF), 152, 170–178
  systemic reviews, 45–46
  temporal and geographical positionality, 51–56
  universities in, 17–18
uncertainty, knowledge production, 41–42
unions, at universities, 85–87
universities
  a brief intellectual history, 16–19
  the civic university, 29, 64–65, 66, 88, 162
  contextualisation and preconditions, 29–32
  diversity initiatives, 144–145, 174
  global university rankings, 155–158
  internationalisation of, 4–5, 10, 74–79, 153–155
  participation rates, 10, 74–79, 167–168
  public sphere for debate, 11
universities, role of
  contextualising academic freedom, 166–169
  (de-)constructing the nation, 72–74
  exceptionalism and context, 87–89
  governance and funding, 79–87
  knowledge production, 4–5, 10, 65
  liberal arts and the 'knowledge economy', 68–72
  mission of the university, 66–72, 166–167
  transnational production of knowledge, 166–169
  'truth' and 'public good', 66–68
University and College Union (UCU), 22–23
university enrolment rates, 167–168
university ethics committees, 95–98, 169–170
university procedures, 12
US
  American studies programmes, 60–62
  conception of academic freedom, 160
  global compliance with US regulations, 108–110
  literature on academic freedom, 24
  methodological approach to research in, 5–8
  temporal and geographical positionality, 56

value of education, 42–46
'virtues', discourse, 36, 163
vulnerable academics, 81, 162

Western bias
  the 'Canon', 62, 145–148, 165–166
  disciplinary quality, 145–148
  geographical positionality, 51–56
  international division of knowledge labour, 156–157
  knowledge production, 62
  publishing forbidden knowledge, 129–130
  See also Global North
'woke', 36, 150
women's history, 57

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 18.97.9.168, on 09 Nov 2026 at 17:30:51, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108780629.012

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. IP address: 18.97.9.168, on 09 Nov 2026 at 17:30:51, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108780629.012