NORMAL CURVATURE OF MINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS IN A SPHERE

by SHARIEF DESHMUKH

(Received 23 June, 1995)

1. Introduction. Simons [5] has proved a pinching theorem for compact minimal submanifolds in a unit sphere, which led to an intrinsic rigidity result. Sakaki [4] improved this result of Simons for arbitrary codimension and has proved that if the scalar curvature S of the minimal submanifold M^n of S^{n+p} satisfies

$$\frac{n(n-1)(2n^2+n-8)}{2(n^2+n-3)} \le S$$

then either M^n is totally geodesic or S = 2/3 in which case n = 2 and M^2 is the Veronese surface in a totally geodesic 4-sphere. This result of Sakaki was further improved by Shen [6] but only for dimension n = 3, where it is shown that if S > 4, then M^3 is totally geodesic (cf. Theorem 3, p. 791).

Let M^n be a compact minimal submanifold of the unit sphere S^{n+p} with normal bundle v. We denote by R^{\perp} the curvature tensor field corresponding to the normal connection ∇^{\perp} in the normal bundle v of M^n , and define $K^{\perp}: M \to R$ by

$$K^{\perp} = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \left[R^{\perp}(e_i, e_j, N_{\alpha}, N_{\beta}) \right]^2,$$

where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on M^n and $\{N_1, \ldots, N_p\}$ is a local field of orthonormal normals. We call the function K^{\perp} the normal curvature of the minimal submanifold M^n . In this paper, we prove the following result.

THEOREM. Let M^n be a compact minimal submanifold of S^{n+p} . If the normal curvature K^{\perp} , the scalar curvature S and the square of the length of the second fundamental form σ of M^n satisfy

$$K^{\perp} \leq \sigma, \qquad S > (n-1)^2,$$

then M^n is totally geodesic.

This theorem can be considered as a partial generalization of the result of Shen [6, Theorem 3]. However, it will be an interesting question whether the condition $K^{\perp} \leq \sigma$ is redundant and Shen's result can be extended beyond dimension 3.

2. Preliminaries. Let M be a minimal submanifold of the unit sphere S^{n+p} , with normal bundle v. Then the second fundamental form h of M^n satisfies

$$(\nabla h)(X, Y, Z) = (\nabla h)(Y, Z, X) = (\nabla h)(Z, X, W), \qquad X, Y, Z \in \mathscr{X}(M), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathscr{U}(M)$ is the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on M and $(\nabla h)(X, Y, Z)$ is defined by

$$(\nabla h)(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_X^{\perp} h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z),$$

where ∇^{\perp} is the connection defined in ν and ∇ is the induced Riemannian connection

Glasgow Math. J. 39 (1997) 29-33.

SHARIEF DESHMUKH

with respect to the induced Riemannian metric g on M^n . The second covariant derivative $(\nabla^2 h)(X, Y, Z, W)$ of the second fundamental form is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 h)(X, Y, Z, W) &= \nabla_X^{\perp}(\nabla h)(Y, Z, W) - (\nabla h)(\nabla_X Y, Z, W) \\ &- (\nabla h)(Y, \nabla_X Z, W) - (\nabla h)(Y, Z, \nabla_X W), \qquad X, Y, Z, W \in \mathscr{X}(M). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following form of the Ricci identity

$$(\nabla^{2}h)(X, Y, Z, W) - (\nabla^{2}h)(Y, X, Z, W) = R^{\perp}(X, Y)h(Z, W) - h(R(X, Y)Z, W) - h(Z, R(X, Y)W), \qquad X, Y, Z, W \in \mathcal{X}(M), \quad (2.2)$$

where R^{\perp} and R are the curvature tensors of the connections ∇^{\perp} and ∇ respectively. Since M^n is a minimal submanifold for a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of M^n we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla h)(X, e_i, e_i) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla^2 h)(X, Y, e_i, e_i) = 0.$$
(2.3)

Using the Ricci tensor Ric, we define the symmetric operator R^* by

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y) = g(R^*(X), Y), X, Y \in \mathscr{X}(M).$$

Then the Gauss equation gives

$$A_{h(Y,Z)}X = R(X,Y)Z + A_{h(X,Z)}Y - g(Y,Z)X + g(X,Z)Y,$$
(2.4)

$$R^{*}(X) = (n-1)X - \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{h(e_{i},X)e_{i}}, \qquad X, Y, Z \in \mathscr{X}(M),$$
(2.5)

where A_N , $N \in v$, is the Weingarten map with respect to the normal N, satisfying $g(A_NX, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N)$. We define

$$\sigma = \sum_{i,j} \|h(e_i, e_j)\|^2,$$

$$\|A_h\|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k} \|A_{h(e_i, e_j)} e_k\|^2,$$

$$\|\nabla h\|^2 = \sum_{i,j,k} \|(\nabla h)(e_i, e_j, e_k)\|^2.$$

(2.6)

Now we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA. Let M^n be a minimal submanifold of S^{n+p} , then for a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$, we have

$$\sum_{i,j,k} R(e_k, e_i; e_j, A_{h(e_i,e_j)}e_k) = -\sigma + ||A_h||^2 + \frac{1}{2}K^{\perp} - \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} g(A_{\alpha}e_i, A_{\beta}e_j)^2,$$

where $A_{\alpha} \equiv A_{N_{\alpha}}$ and $\{N_1, \ldots, N_p\}$ is a local field of orthonormal normals.

Proof. Using the Ricci equation

$$R^{\perp}(X, Y; N_1, N_2) = g([A_{N_1}, A_{N_2}](X), Y), \qquad X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M), N_1, N_2 \in v,$$

we get

$$K^{\perp} = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} [R^{\perp}(e_i, e_j; N_{\alpha}, N_{\beta})]^2 = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} [g(A_{\alpha}A_{\beta}e_i, e_j) - g(A_{\beta}A_{\alpha}e_i, e_j)]^2$$
$$= 2\sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} g(A_{\alpha}e_i, A_{\beta}e_j)^2 - 2\sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} g(A_{\alpha}A_{\beta}e_i, e_j)g(A_{\beta}A_{\alpha}e_i, e_j), \qquad (2.7)$$

since $\sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} g(A_{\alpha}e_j, A_{\beta}e_i)^2 = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} g(A_{\beta}e_j, A_{\alpha}e_i)^2$ which follows from the symmetry of A_{α} and A_{β} . Next using the Gauss equation, we have

$$R(e_k, e_i; e_j, A_{h(e_i, e_j)}e_k) = \delta_{ij}g(h(e_k, e_k), h(e_i, e_j)) - \delta_{kj}g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_k)) + g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_k, A_{h(e_i, e_j)}e_k)) - g(h(e_k, e_j), h(e_i, A_{h(e_i, e_j)})e_k))$$
(2.8)

since $A_{h(e_i,e_j)}e_k = \sum_{\alpha} g(A_{\alpha}e_i,e_j)A_{\alpha}e_k$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i,j,k} g(h(e_i, e_j), h(e_k, A_{h(e_i, e_j)} e_k)) = \sum_{i,j,k} g(A_{h(e_i, e_j)} e_k, A_{h(e_i, e_j)} e_k) = ||A_h||^2$$
(2.9)

and

$$\sum_{i,j,k} g(h(e_k, e_j), h(e_i, A_{h(e_i, e_j)} e_k)) = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} g(A_\alpha A_\beta e_i, e_j) g(A_\beta A_\alpha e_i, e_j).$$
(2.10)

Then using (2.7), (2.9) and (2.10) in (2.8) and using minimality of M^n we find

$$\sum_{j,k} R(e_k, e_i; e_j, A_{h(e_i, e_j)} e_k) = -\sigma + \|A_h\|^2 - \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} g(A_\alpha e_i, A_\beta e_j)^2 + \frac{1}{2} K^2$$

which proves the lemma.

3. Proof of the theorem. Let M^n be a compact minimal submanifold of S^{n+p} satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem. Define $F: M \to R$ by $F = \frac{1}{2}\sigma$. Then it is straightforward to compute the Laplacian ΔF of the function F as

$$\Delta F = \sum_{i,j,k} g((\nabla^2 h)(e_k, e_k, e_i, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)) + \sum_{i,j,k} \|(\nabla h)(e_i, e_j, e_k)\|^2$$

Using the Ricci identity (2.2) and equations (2.1) in above equation we arrive at

$$\Delta F = \sum_{i,j,k} \left[R^{\perp}(e_k, e_i; h(e_k, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)) - R(e_k, e_i; e_k, A_{h(e_j, e_i)}e_j) - R(e_k, e_i; e_j, A_{h(e_i, e_j)}e_k) \right] + \|\nabla h\|^2.$$

We employ (2.4) in the Ricci equation, to compute

$$R^{\perp}(e_{k}, e_{i}; h(e_{k}, e_{j}), h(e_{i}, e_{j})) = g(A_{h(e_{i}, e_{j})}e_{k}, A_{h(e_{i}, e_{j})}e_{k} + R(e_{i}, e_{k})e_{j} - \delta_{kj}e_{i} + \delta_{ij}e_{k}) - g(A_{h(e_{k}, e_{j})}e_{k}, A_{h(e_{i}, e_{j})}e_{i})$$

or

$$\sum_{i,j,k} R^{\perp}(e_k, e_i; h(e_k, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)) = ||A_h||^2 - \sigma + \sum_{i,j,k} R(e_i, e_k; e_j, A_{h(e_i, e_j)}e_k) - g(A_{h(e_k, e_j)}e_k, A_{h(e_i, e_j)}e_i).$$
(3.2)

Since (2.5) gives $R^*(e_j) = (n-1)e_j - \sum_k A_{h(e_k,e_j)}e_k$, we have

$$\sum_{i,j,k} g(A_{h(e_k,e_j)}e_k, A_{h(e_i,e_j)}e_i) = \sum_{i,j} g((n-1)e_j - R^*(e_j), A_{h(e_i,e_j)}e_i)$$
$$= (n-1)\sigma - \sum_{i,j} \operatorname{Ric}(e_j, A_{h(e_i,e_j)}e_i)$$

SHARIEF DESHMUKH

$$= (n-1)\sigma - \sum_{i,j,k} R(e_k, e_j, A_{h(e_i,e_j)}e_i, e_k)$$

= $(n-1)\sigma + \sum_{i,j,k} R(e_k, e_i, e_k, A_{h(e_i,e_j)}e_j).$ (3.3)

Thus using (3.3) in (3.2), we have

$$\sum_{i,j,k} R^{\perp}(e_k, e_i; h(e_k, e_j), h(e_i, e_j)) = -n\sigma + ||A_h||^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} [R(e_i, e_k; e_j, A_{h(e_i, e_j)}e_k) - R(e_k, e_i, e_k, A_{h(e_i, e_j)}e_j)].$$
(3.4)

Using (3.4) in (3.1), we obtain

$$\Delta F = -n\sigma + ||A_h||^2 - 2\sum_{i,j,k} [R(e_k, e_i; e_j, A_{h(e_i, e_j)}e_k) - R(e_k, e_i, e_k; A_{h(e_i, e_j)}e_j] + ||\nabla h||^2.$$
(3.5)

Also, we have

$$\sum_{i,j,k} R(e_k, e_i; e_k, A_{h(e_i,e_j)}e_j) = -\sum_{i,j} \operatorname{Ric}(e_i, A_{h(e_i,e_j)}e_j)$$

$$= -\sum_{i,j} g(R^*e_i, A_{h(e_i,e_j)}e_j)$$

$$= -\sum_{i,j,\alpha} g(R^*e_i, A_{\alpha}e_j)g(A_{\alpha}e_i, e_j)$$

$$= -\sum_{i,j,\alpha} g(R^*A_{\alpha}e_j, e_i)g(A_{\alpha}e_j, e_i)$$

$$= -\sum_{j,\alpha} g(R^*A_{\alpha}e_j, A_{\alpha}e_j)$$

$$= -\sum_{j,\alpha} \operatorname{Ric}(A_{\alpha}e_j, A_{\alpha}e_j)$$

$$= -\sum_{j,\alpha} (n-1)g(A_{\alpha}e_j, A_{\alpha}e_j) + \sum_{i,j,\alpha} \|h(e_i, A_{\alpha}e_j)\|^2$$

$$= -(n-1)\sigma + \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} g(A_{\beta}e_i, A_{\alpha}e_j)^2. \quad (3.6)$$

Using (3.6) and the lemma in Section 2 in (3.5), we obtain

$$\Delta F = (n-1)\sigma - \|A_h\|^2 + (\sigma - K^{\perp}) + \|\nabla h\|^2.$$
(3.7)

Now using the facts that

$$\|A_{h}\|^{2} = \sum_{i,j,k} \|A_{h(e_{i},e_{j})}e_{k}\|^{2} = \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} g(A_{\alpha}e_{i},e_{j})^{2} \|A_{\alpha}e_{k}\|^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{i,j,\alpha} g(A_{\alpha}e_{i},e_{j})^{2} \|A_{\alpha}\|^{2} = \sum_{\alpha} \|A_{\alpha}\|^{2} \|A_{\alpha}\|^{2} = \sum_{\alpha} \|A_{\alpha}\|^{4}$$

and $\sigma = \sum_{\alpha} ||A_{\alpha}||^2$, in (3.7) and integrating it over M^n we obtain

$$\int_{M} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} \left[(n-1) - \|A_{\alpha}\|^{2} \right] \|A_{\alpha}\|^{2} + (\sigma - K^{\perp}) + \|\nabla h\|^{2} \right\} dv = 0.$$
(3.8)

32

MINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS IN A SPHERE

From the hypothesis of the theorem $S > (n-1)^2$, it follows that

$$n(n-1) - \sum_{\alpha} ||A_{\alpha}||^2 > (n-1)^2,$$

that is, $\sum_{\alpha} ||A_{\alpha}||^2 < (n-1)$, consequently $||A_{\alpha}||^2 < (n-1)$, and that $K^{\perp} \le \sigma$. Thus in order for (3.8) to hold we must have $||A_{\alpha}|| = 0$, that is M^n is totally geodesic.

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Barbosa and M. do Carmo, Stability of minimal surfaces and eigenvalues of the Laplacian, *Math. Z.* 173 (1980), 13-28.

2. S.-Y. Cheng, Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications, *Math. Z.* 143 (1975), 289-297.

3. S. S. Chern, M. do Carmo and S. Kobayashi, Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, *Functional analysis and related fields* (Springer, 1970), 59-75.

4. M. Sakaki, Remarks on the rigidity and stability of minimal submanifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (1989), 793-795.

5. J. Simons, Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2) 88 (1968), 62-105.

6. Y. B. Shen, Curvature pinching for the three-dimensional minimal submanifolds in a sphere, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 115 (1992), 791-795.

Department of Mathematics College of Science King Saud University P.O. Box 2455 Riyadh-11451 Saudi Arabia