The word epidemiology derives from the Greek words *epi* (upon), *demos* (people), and *logos* (the study of), and is often defined (MacMahon & Pugh, 1970) as the study of how rates of disease vary in differing populations. This strategy has been used to great effect in child psychiatry where epidemiological findings have pointed the way to fundamentally important knowledge. In this editorial I will make some general points and then focus on how epidemiological research has helped clarify problems in our diagnostic classification systems and has led the way to a clearer understanding of causal mechanisms in adolescent suicide.

One of the most common uses of epidemiology is to study, for administrative purposes, the distribution of disease in different geographical areas. Describing the size and distribution of health problems can inform government on the need for services, where they should be located, and of what they should consist (Costello et al., 1993). However, epidemiology can tell us far more than this, and, providing that associations observed in epidemiological research obey certain rules (e.g., dose-response relationships, appropriate time sequencing, etc.), can be used to infer cause and to point the way to understanding quite precise causal mechanisms.

An example of how epidemiology has provided a road map in child psychiatry lies in Rutter's classic multi-informant, multi-method epidemiological study on the Isle of Wight (Rutter, 1989; Rutter et al., 1970). In that study it was apparent that the structure and behavior of families of children with conduct disorder was different from those without. Conduct-disordered children were more likely to have broken homes, their care was more likely to have been interrupted and their parents were more likely to have unhappy marriages or relationships. Epidemiological findings like this gave impetus to a great volume of research – focussed epidemiological and follow-up investigations, direct observational studies, animal experimentation, etc. – into the ways in which family disruption might lead to antisocial behavior. Taken together, they have led to the currently favored conclusion that the causes of antisocial behavior lie in situations that work against the development of a close relationship between parents and child (Sampson & Laub, 1996), which is in turn leading to very specific prevention strategies (Wasserman & Miller, 1998).
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tion such that the population under study is carefully defined with respect to other populations. This allows epidemiologists to compare one study with another and it can allow investigators to determine whether discrepancies between studies are due to some fundamental difference or to sample variation (Rutter et al., 1975). This attention to sampling and to sample description has, over the years, informed us of the biases that are present in many populations that are convenient for research but that may be quite inappropriate for describing the characteristics of a disorder. The instinct of many clinician-researchers is to study a group of patients drawn from their own clinic or hospital. However, they should be cautious about this, because community based studies show that there are many families and children with even quite severe psychiatric symptoms or disorders who do not get referred for care (Mitchell & Shepherd, 1966) and that those who do, differ in fundamental ways from those who do not (Cohen & Cohen, 1984). For example, symptomatic patients are more likely to have parents with a psychiatric illness and more often have multiple diagnoses than similar children who do not attend a clinic.

The criterion-based DSM systems (American Psychiatric Association, 1980, 1987; 1994) originated with the opinions of experts who met together and agreed on the defining descriptive characteristics of various diagnoses. However, the source of their wisdom — for many of the psychiatric disorders of children — was most often rooted in clinical experience rather than empirical observation. They were, therefore, being informed by the biased samples that are seen and studied by clinicians. This bias may give rise to misleading descriptions of clinical entities. This was illustrated in the MECA epidemiological study (Lahey et al., 1996) which provided systematic information on the prevalence of DSM-III-R symptoms.

In the MECA study, the presence of all of the symptoms that were required for DSM-III-R diagnoses was ascertained in 1200 non-referred children along with a measure of social and academic impairment and subjective distress (Shaffer et al., 1996b). Surprisingly, it was found that a high proportion of children met full symptomatic criteria for a diagnosis but were apparently doing well in their relationships with their families, were well-adjusted at school, had friends, and were experiencing no subjective distress. These findings that could only have emerged in epidemiological research, prompted the American Psychiatric Association (1994) to decide that, even if an individual shows all of the symptoms required by DSM for a diagnosis, they should not be regarded as suffering from a psychiatric illness, unless they also experience some form of interpersonal, occupational, or academic impairment or subjective distress. Psychiatry, therefore, had been directed into a situation that is quite unlike that found in any of the medical specialities in which disorders can be present — and often are — without causing distress or impairment. On some level, this is an untenable situation but one must assume that it will ultimately stimulate helpful research into the sources of impairment in symptomatic individuals and whether or not the unimpaired differ with respect to experiences and heritability from the symptomatic impaired.

Another way in which epidemiological research is likely to influence classification has been the finding from several epidemiological studies (Anderson et al., 1987, McGee et al., 1990) that a large majority of children with one DSM-IV anxiety disorder, also have another. That is, that there are very high levels of comorbidity between different DSM diagnoses in children and adolescents. Comorbidity is most pronounced between different anxiety disorders, between mood and anxiety disorders, between mood and conduct disorders, and between Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD). Possible explanations for these high rates of comorbidity (Angold & Costello, 1993) are that similar etiological factors, either biological or environmental — give rise to different diagnostic conditions, or, that one condition gives rise to another, or, most troublingly, that perhaps we have drawn the boundaries of our diagnoses artificially and incorrectly and that, in reality, psychiatric conditions are more complex and polymorphic than is suggested by DSM (Kendler et al., 1998).

A third and related problem that has emerged in the DSM nosology is the issue of diagnostic boundaries. High rates of comorbidity between oppositional defiant disorder and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder raise the question of whether the boundaries between these disorders are real. Our classification systems, upon which so much depends, badly need a carefully designed epidemiological study — free of the bias that pertains to clinical populations — that can determine whether the risk factors (e.g. family history, family structure, early experiences and, ultimately, prognosis) are different be-
The increase in suicide over the past thirty years could be explained by a number of factors that: a) were likely to vary over time, and b) operate more powerfully in boys than in girls. In a controlled psychological autopsy study of all adolescent suicides that occurred in the greater New York area (Shaffer et al., 1996a; Gould et al., 1996; Shaffer & Hicks, 1993), we found such a variable. Substance and alcohol abuse rates have been rising in boys and girls alike during this period (Var- nik, 1997). This question has not been fully addressed, but it can be tied, quite tantalizingly to observations made on the relationship between abnormalities in the serotonergic system and suicide (Mann, 1998). Base levels of serotonergic function tend to be lower in males than females, and they are adversely affected, i.e. further lowered, by exposure to alcohol. Epidemiological findings have opened an important research trail whose final destination is not yet known.

Another example of how epidemiological observations can lead to a better understanding of the psychopathology of suicide derives from the common observation that suicide rates vary considerably between countries, even between those that have similar political systems and socioeconomic conditions. This variation also occurs within countries. In the United States, African-Americans have significantly lower rates of suicide than Whites or Hispanics (Shaffer & Hicks, 1993). This is of great interest because it makes it unlikely that suicide is a simple consequence of environmental stress for on almost all, social, educational, and family parameters, African-Americans are less advantaged than their White American compatriots. Could it be that African-Americans were actually being protected from suicide by some factor? Gibbs (1988) had suggested that social support systems are more robust in African-Americans, and Martin (1984) has proposed that African-American fundamentalist religious beliefs offer protection from suicide. Once again, an epidemiological finding stimulated further investigation. The New York Suicide Study was designed to obtain a significant number of African-American teens and controls to study this question. Gould et al. (1996), analyzing data from the study, found that while the socioeconomic status (SES) of White and Hispanic suicides was similar to the distribution of SES in the general population, this was not the case for African-Americans. The African-American adolescents who committed suicide were better educated and wealthier than African-Americans in the control community. This finding is compatible with the fact that there may be some cultural-psychological factors that protect against suicide for African-Americans, but that, as economic and educational advancement gathers pace, these differences will gradually diminish. In fact, this prediction has been fulfilled for, starting in the late 1980’s, the African-American teen suicide rate started to increase precipitously (Shaffer et al., 1994), establishing a link with the White rate. As Black and White cultures have become less distinctive, so have their suicide rates. As education and other cultural factors become more similar between countries, we may therefore expect that there will be a gradual reduction in the differences in the suicide rate between different countries. If we could better understand the mechanisms that underlie these cultural differences, we might be able to apply them for suicide prevention purposes.

Epidemiological research may also be used to confirm or refute detailed observations. In 1974, I reported (Shaffer, 1974) on several suicides that appeared to have taken place immediately after a teenager had completed reading a novel in which the suicide of a young person has figured prominently or, after a news story had been released about a prominent person who had committed suicide. Findings of this kind were found in large epidemiological studies (Phillips, 1974) that showed that news reports of suicide led to a temporary increase in the suicide rate in different countries.
that community. The increase was proportionate to the amount of news coverage and was greatest when the suicide was reported in large headlines and was of a well-known person. It was least when the suicide victim was an unknown figure, or when it was reported on inside pages. Other epidemiological research (Gould & Shaffer, 1988; Schmldtke & Häfner, 1986) has shown how the fictional representation of young people’s suicide on television can lead to an increase in suicide morbidity, predominantly among young people. Other epidemiological studies on the imitation phenomenon have shown that about 10% of youth suicides cluster within certain periods and locations (Gould el al., 1990) forming mini epidemics. These findings led, in due course, to the preparation of guidelines for newspaper editors and reporters that have the potential of reducing the suicide rate (Centers for Disease Control, 1988).

A final example drawn from epidemiological studies of suicide has been the recent, encouraging observation that suicide rates in adolescents have started to decline in many different countries (Isaacson & Bergman, 1998). There are several possible explanations for this. It could simply be a manifestation of a natural variation in suicide rates with regression to the mean, or it could be the result of the increasing use of low side-effect profile antidepressants (such as the SSRIs) in youth (Olsson et al., 1998). Alternatively, it may be that a decline in drug and alcohol use and abuse rates is reducing the likelihood of alcohol-precipitated suicide. In this field, as in others, epidemiological studies point the way for useful research.
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