Effect of fat type in baked bread on amylose–lipid complex formation and glycaemic response
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Abstract

The formation of amylose–lipid complexes (ALC) had been associated with reduced starch digestibility. A few studies have directly characterised the extent of ALC formation with glycaemic response. The objectives of this study were to investigate the effect of using fats with varying degree of saturation and chain length on ALC formation as well as glycaemic and insulinaemic responses after consumption of bread. Healthy men consumed five test breads in a random order: control bread without any added fats (CTR) and breads baked with butter (BTR), coconut oil (COC), grapeseed oil (GRP) or olive oil (OLV). There was a significant difference in glycaemic response between the different test breads (P<0.002), primarily due to COC having a lower response than CTR (P<0.016), but no significant differences between fat types were observed. Insulinaemic response was not altered by the addition of fats/oils. Although BTR was more insulinotropic than GRP (P<0.05), postprandial β-cell function did not differ significantly. The complexing index (CI), a measure of ALC formation, was significantly higher for COC and OLV compared with BTR and GRP (P<0.05). CI was significantly negatively correlated with incremental AUC (IAUC) of change in blood glucose concentrations over time (IAUCglucose) (r=0.365, P=0.001). Linear regression analysis showed that CI explained 13.3% of the variance and was a significant predictor of IAUCglucose (β=−1.265, P=0.001), but IAUCinsulin did not predict IAUCglucose. Our study indicated that a simple way to modulate glycaemic response in bread could lie in the choice of fats/oils, with coconut oil showing the greatest attenuation of glycaemic response.
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Elevated postprandial glucose and insulin levels are important determinants of metabolic risks that have been implicated in the aetiology of chronic metabolic diseases such as type 2 diabetes(1–3). In addition to pharmacological interventions, recent years have witnessed an emergence of dietary interventions and specific foods to reduce large glycaemic excursions. Starch digestibility in carbohydrate-containing foods is known to be affected by the nature of the starch as well as the food processing method. This effect is due to the degree of starch gelatinisation, particle size, food form and cellular structure(4). These factors in turn influence glucose absorption and glycaemic response (GR)(5).

Bread is one of the most commonly consumed carbohydrate food in the world, and strategies used to attenuate GR include restricting starch digestibility by increasing resistant starch content, increasing viscosity of food matrix to reduce gastric emptying rate and by manipulating its physical structure to make it more compact(4,6,7). One way to increase the resistant starch content is to enhance the formation of amylose–lipid complexes (ALC) during processing(9). Complex formation between fats and amylose takes place readily during heat processing and, has been reported to decrease the susceptibility of enzymatic digestion of starch(9–11). Fats play an important role in bread making and impart desirable attributes

Abbreviations: ALC, amylose–lipid complexes; BTR, breads baked with butter; CI, complexing index; COC, breads baked with coconut oil; CTR, control bread without any added fats; GR, glycaemic response; GRP, breads baked with grapeseed oil; IAUCglucose, incremental AUC of change in blood glucose concentrations over time; IAUCinsulin, incremental AUC of change in plasma insulin concentrations over time; IGI, insulinogenic index; IGR, insulin:glucose ratio; IR, insulinaemic response; OLV, breads baked with olive oil.
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such as improved gas retention, moist mouthfeel and flavour to the final product\(^\text{(12)}\). It is generally accepted that fats lower GR\(^\text{(13–15)}\), but the effect of fat types on carbohydrate metabolism remains to be fully investigated. A number of studies have investigated the effect of co-ingestion of different fats with carbohydrate sources such as bread\(^\text{(13,16)}\) and potato\(^\text{(17–20)}\) on GR and/or insulinaemic responses (IR). Most studies did not observe significant differences in IR and/or GR between fats with different degree of saturation\(^\text{(13,16,17,19)}\), although Rasmussen et al.\(^\text{(20)}\) reported a significant reduction of GR and augmentation of IR with butter. However, these studies neither characterised the formation of ALC nor examined the link between ALC formation and GR. Most of the studies that investigated the effect of ALC formation on starch digestibility had been carried out on simple starch gels and were restricted to \textit{in vitro} analysis\(^\text{(10,21–23)}\). Therefore, this may not reflect the true nature of the complex physiological processes that take place during human digestion.

Carbohydrates and fats of different degree of saturation and chain length form ALC to varying extents\(^\text{(24)}\). Given that there is a knowledge gap in understanding how the extent of ALC formation influenced GR, it was of interest to use different types of fats/oils (with varying degree of saturation and chain length) for baking bread and measure the GR and IR after consumption. The aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of fat types on ALC formation during baking of bread and the corresponding GR and IR after consumption of bread.

**Methods**

**Study design and experimental protocol**

The present study was an acute, randomised, controlled, single-blinded trial that consisted of five types of bread, each tested on one occasion in a randomised order on separate days, with at least 3 washout days between test visits.

On the day before a test session, participants were requested to abstain from alcohol, restrict their intake of caffeine-containing drinks and avoid participation in intense physical activities. A standardised dinner was provided the evening before to reduce potential variations in GR that may arise because of the second meal effect\(^\text{(25)}\). Participants were instructed to fast for 10–12 h before reporting to the centre, which ensured the morning between 08.00 and 09.00 hours. Upon arrival, participants rested for at least 15 min before starting the test session. An indwelling cannula was inserted into the antecubital vein of the forearm, and a fasting venous blood sample was collected at −5 min. In total, two fasted blood samples were obtained by the finger-prick method using a sterile and single-use lanceting device (Accu-Chek Safe; Roche Diagnostics) at −5 and 0 min before consumption of a meal consisting of fresh bread (a slice of 80 g of bread, 125 g potable water, 10 g baker’s yeast (SAF), 40 g sugar (Fairprice) and 6 g salt (Fairprice)). These ingredients were mixed at speed 1 for 8 min (Kitchenaid) to form base dough, of which 320 g was weighed and then fat/oil was added. The fats/oils added were 96 g butter that contained predominantly SFA (Anchor), 87 g coconut oil that was rich in medium-chain TAG (Titi Ecofarm), 80 g grapeseed oil containing predominantly PUFA (Borges) and 76 g olive oil containing predominantly MUFA (Naturel). The amount of fats/oils added was calculated based on the percentage fat as stated on the nutritional panel on the packaging, and was added at 20%, w/w of dough. Oil was not added into the control bread. The dough mixture was kneaded for a further 12 min, and was then allowed to rest at room temperature for 10 min. Following this, the dough was moulded into serving portions and proofed in the oven (EOB9800; Electrolux) at 40 ± 1°C for 30 min in a fan-assisted mode. Baking was carried out in the same oven at 200°C for 18 min, and bread was allowed to stand for 10 min before being served warm.

Moisture content of the bread was determined by drying bread in an oven at 105°C overnight for 16 h and by expressing the difference in weight as a percentage of initial weight. Protein content was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Tecator™ Digestor and Kjeltac™ 8200 Auto Distillation Unit;
FOSS), using a standard nitrogen-to-protein conversion factor of 5.7. Freeze-dried samples were used for all analyses. Crude fat content was determined gravimetrically by the solvent extraction method (with petroleum ether) using the Soxtec System (Soxtec™ 2055 Manual System; FOSS). The total available carbohydrate content of each type of bread was determined using the Megazyme assay kit (Megazyme).

**Measures**

Amylose–lipid complex formation. The extent of ALC formation was measured using the complexing index (CI) to relate ALC formation with starch digestibility. A solution (2%, w/w potassium iodide and 1-3% w/w iodine in deionised water) was prepared for analysis. A 5-g sample of bread crumb, cut into approximately 1 cm x 1 cm x 1 cm cubes, was mixed with 25 ml of deionised water in a 50-ml falcon tube. The contents were vortexed for 5 min, and then centrifuged for 15 min at 1500g. The supernatant was filtered through a Whatman No. 3 filter to remove the top layer of fat. The filtrate (0.5 ml) was added to distilled water (7.5 ml) and iodine solution (1 ml). The solution was inverted several times, and absorbance was measured at 690 nm (UV–260; Shimadzu). All tests were carried out in triplicate (two replicates per set). Pure wheat starch dissolved in deionised water (6%) was used as a control to ensure reproducibility for all sets. ALC formation was compared using the following equation: 

\[ CI = \frac{\text{absorbance}_{\text{control bread}} - \text{absorbance}_{\text{test bread}}}{\text{absorbance}_{\text{control bread}} \times 100} \]

CTR had a reference CI value of 0, as all amylose molecules present were assumed to be freely available for binding with iodine.

Anthropometric measurements. Anthropometric measurements were obtained in a fasting state using standardised methods on the morning of a screening session. Height was recorded to the nearest centimetre using a stadiometer (Seca 763; Medical Scales and Measuring Systems) with the subject standing erect and without shoes. Body weight was recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg using the same stadiometer, with the subject wearing light clothing and no shoes. Height and weight were measured twice and an average reading was used. BMI was calculated using the standard formula: weight (kg)/height (m²), using average height and weight values. Blood pressure readings were obtained using a digital blood pressure monitor (Omron HEM-907). Waist circumference was measured using a standard measuring tape at the midpoint between the costal margins of the ribs and the upper margin of the iliac crest to the nearest centimetre. Hip circumference was measured at the widest level of the greater trochanters on both sides to the nearest centimetre. Percentage of body fat was measured using a bioimpedance instrument (Tanita BC 418) to the nearest 0.1%. Physical activity level was quantified using the questionnaire of Baecke et al.

Glycaemic response. The protocol used to measure blood glucose response was adapted from the method described by Brouns et al. and was in line with the procedures recommended by the Food and Agricultural Organization & the World Health Organization. Blood samples were obtained by the finger-prick method using the Unistik® 3 single-use lancet device (Owen Mumford). Participants were encouraged to warm their hand to increase blood flow before a finger-prick test. To minimise plasma dilution, fingertips were gently massaged starting from the base of the hand moving towards the tips. The first two drops of expressed blood were discarded, and the third drop was used for testing. Capillary blood was used for glucose measurements for increased sensitivity to fluctuations in blood glucose concentrations. Blood glucose levels were measured using a HemoCue Glucose 201+ analyzer (HemoCue® Ltd), which was calibrated daily using standard control solutions provided by the manufacturer.

As baseline blood glucose concentrations were not different between test visits, blood glucose concentrations after bread consumption were expressed as ‘change in blood glucose concentrations’ from baseline. These values were obtained by calculating the difference between blood glucose concentrations at each time point and mean baseline values (calculated from the average of two fasting blood glucose values measured at ~5 min and 0 min), and represented the relative increment in blood glucose concentrations at each time point. Peak change in blood glucose (Δblood glucose max) concentrations was defined as the greatest increment above baseline. The incremental AUC (IAUC) of change in blood glucose concentrations over time (IAUC blood glucose) was calculated using the trapezoidal rule, and any area under the baseline was ignored.

Insulinaemic response. Venous blood samples for insulin analysis were transferred to collection tubes containing di-potassium EDTA and centrifuged at 1500g at 4°C for 10 min (Rotina 420R, Hettich). Plasma samples were stored in Eppendorf tubes at ~80°C until analysis. For the analysis, samples were defrosted, and plasma insulin concentrations were determined by electrochemiluminescence immunoassay using an automated analyser (Cobas E411; Roche Diagnostics). Similar to GR, plasma insulin concentrations after bread consumption were converted into ‘change in plasma insulin’ from baseline. Peak change in plasma insulin concentrations (Δinsulin max) and IAUC of change in plasma insulin concentrations over time (IAUC insulin) were calculated in a similar manner as for blood glucose concentrations. Postprandial β-cell function was estimated by two methods as described by Tura et al. and Bermudez et al.: (1) the insulinogenic index (IGI), which is a surrogate measure of first-phase insulin secretion, and was computed as IGI(t) = (I(t) – I(0))/(G(t) – G(0)), where Gx and Ix are blood glucose and plasma insulin concentrations at x minutes, respectively; (2) insulin to glucose net incremental area under the time-concentration curve, and was computed as (IAUC insulin/IAUC glucose × 100).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 23 (SPSS Inc.). Data are presented as mean values with their standard errors. The CI values between types of test bread were compared using one-way ANOVA along with Tukey’s test for
pair-wise comparisons. Postprandial glucose and insulin data were analysed using the general linear model for repeated-measures ANOVA with post hoc Bonferroni’s corrections. A repeated-measures ANOVA was also performed to determine whether there were significant differences between types of test bread on GR and IR parameters (Δglucose max, Δ insulin max, IAUC glucose, IAUC insulin, IGI30 and insulin:glucose ratio (IGR)). Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed to determine associations between CI and IAUC insulin and IAUC glucose, and a linear regression model was used to predict IAUC glucose with IAUC insulin and CI. Statistical significance was set at $P \leq 0.05$.

Results

In total, fifteen participants completed the study and the baseline characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1. The CI and macronutrient compositions of the types of test bread are shown in Fig. 1 and Table 2, respectively. COC and OLV had significantly lower amylose–lipid complex forming ability as compared with BTR and GRP ($P < 0.05$).

There were significant time ($P < 0.001$), type of bread ($P < 0.001$) and bread $\times$ time interaction ($P = 0.002$) effects on GR (Fig. 2(a)). The significant interaction effects in GR were primarily due to COC, which had a lower GR as compared with CTR ($P = 0.016$). A comparison of GR parameters is shown in Table 3. Significant reductions in Δglucose max were seen in bread with added fats/oils as compared with CTR ($P < 0.05$), but the effect of different types of fat/oil was not observed. There was an overall significant effect of different breads on IAUC glucose ($P = 0.027$), but post hoc comparisons did not show significant differences between fat types, where the difference between COC and CTR approached statistical significance ($P = 0.059$).

Table 1. Anthropometric characteristics of healthy, male subjects (Mean values with their standard errors; $n = 15$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>25.3 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.73 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>63.5 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>21.2 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>15.8 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>75.4 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>117 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>72 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting capillary blood glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.60 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Serving size, energy content and macronutrient composition of each type of test bread (per serving basis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CTR</th>
<th>BTR</th>
<th>COC</th>
<th>GRP</th>
<th>OLV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serving size (g)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal)</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (% of energy)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (% of energy)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total available carbohydrate (% of energy)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total available carbohydrate (g)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTR, control bread without added fats; BTR, bread baked with butter; COC, bread baked with coconut oil; GRP, bread baked with grapeseed oil; OLV, bread baked with olive oil.

Fig. 2. (a) Postprandial response curves for change in blood glucose and (b) plasma insulin levels after consumption of 50 g available carbohydrate portion of test bread. Values are means (n 15), with standard errors represented by vertical bars. For glucose response, there were significant time ($P < 0.001$), bread ($P < 0.001$) and bread $\times$ time interaction effects ($P = 0.002$) when analysed by two-way, repeated-measures ANOVA. For insulin response, two-way, repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant time effect ($P < 0.001$) and bread $\times$ time interaction effect at near significant levels ($P = 0.074$), but no effect of bread was seen ($P = 0.195$). Control bread without oil; --•--; bread with butter; - - - - - - - , bread with coconut oil; -- , bread with grapeseed oil; -- , bread with olive oil.
Glycaemic response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CTR</th>
<th>BTR</th>
<th>COC</th>
<th>GRP</th>
<th>OLV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting concentration (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak change in concentration (mmol/l)</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAUC&lt;sub&gt;glucose&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Insulinic response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CTR</th>
<th>BTR</th>
<th>COC</th>
<th>GRP</th>
<th>OLV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting concentration (μU/U)</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak change in concentration (μU/U)</td>
<td>65.69</td>
<td>72.93</td>
<td>55.86</td>
<td>51.79</td>
<td>66.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAUC&lt;sub&gt;insulin&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>4623</td>
<td>5437</td>
<td>4509</td>
<td>3827</td>
<td>5138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Fasting and postprandial parameters for glycaemic and insulinic responses after consumption of test bread (Mean values with their standard errors for fifteen healthy young men)

Discussion

Postprandial blood glucose level is a function of both the rate of absorption of glucose into the blood circulation and the rate of removal of glucose due to uptake from circulation by tissue. Fat is known to reduce GR via reduced absorption into circulation by delaying gastric emptying or reducing carbohydrate digestibility through the formation of ALC with starch that are resistant to enzymatic digestion. This study measured the extent of ALC formation in baked bread using CI, an index derived from the reduction in the iodine-binding capacity of amylose. A higher CI value indicated greater formation of ALC. Formation of ALC at temperatures which reported lauric acid as having the highest complex formation ability. Other than the gelatinisation condition, ALC formation is also influenced by lipid chain length, solubility of lipid in water and degree of saturation. The ranking of CI of fats used in this study (OLV > COC > GRP > BTR) differed from earlier studies, which reported lauric acid as having the highest complex forming ability. This could be due to differences in the nature of starch used, type and concentration of lipids and the conditions for formation of ALC affecting heat stability of complexes. Kawai et al. found that oleic acid and lauric acid formed complexes with starch that significantly reduced in vitro starch digestibility, and this corroborated with our results in baked bread, in which OLV (containing oleic acid) and COC (containing MCT such as lauric and myristic acid) had significantly higher CI as compared with BTR and GRP. In addition, CI was negatively and significantly correlated with IAUC<sub>glucose</sub>, a measure of GR. Linear regression analysis further confirmed that CI was a significant predictor of GR, although it only accounted for 13.3% of the variance (P=0.001) and was a significant predictor of IAUC<sub>glucose</sub> (β=-1.265, P=0.001), but IAUC<sub>insulin</sub> did not predict IAUC<sub>glucose</sub>.
Effect of fat type in baked bread

Conclusions

Carbohydrate-rich foods are rarely consumed on their own. It is therefore important to understand how the inclusion of the other two macronutrients (proteins and fats) influences glycaemia. In this study, we have focused our attention on incorporating four different types of fats/oils (butter, coconut oil, grapeseed oil and olive oil) varying in chain length and degree of saturation into baked bread. The incorporation of fats during bread baking reduces GR, with the greatest attenuation seen in COC. Lower GR observed in this study was predicted by carbohydrate digestibility via ALC formation, but not by IR. The observation that coconut oil has the greatest impact on GR points to the view that lauric acid and myristic acid in coconut oil may have a contributory influence on reducing GR. These results open up a new avenue of research in identifying other MCT that could be used to lower GR of baked products and other food systems. The use of simple dietary interventions (addition of functional lipids during cooking of carbohydrate-rich staple foods) may be an effective and practical strategy for improving glycaemic control, and may help in the prevention and management of chronic diseases such as type 2 diabetes and CVD.
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