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However, an understanding of community members’ everyday resistance is critical for illuminating pathways toward more innovative systemic criminal justice transformation, for finding touchpoints that community members find the most dehumanizing or the least productive, and for building a legal structure that is more responsive to community concerns. A new agenda might stop seeing resistance as a problem that research can help police overcome and instead understand resistance as a response to an overzealous state. Instead of trying to “fix” resistance or simply to theorize it, scholars can try to really understand it in ways that might illuminate pathways forward for policymakers, decision makers, practitioners, and activists.

— MONICA C. BELL

What is the relationship between marginalization and voting behavior? Whereas traditional research on political participation has typically taken as given that voting is positively associated with socioeconomic status and privilege, there are also circumstances under which members of marginalized groups turn out at higher rates than their higher-status counterparts. We argue that these high rates of participation are the result, in part, of a phenomenon that we call political hypervigilance—a particular way in which threat acts as a motivator for members of marginalized groups.

— ALECIA J. MCGREGOR, LAURA M. BOGART, MOLLY HIGGINS-BIDDLE, DARA Z. STROLOVITCH AND BISOLA OJIKUTU

In short, Stand Your Ground was forged in response to a composite problem: the cost impositions of criminal prosecution and civil action, on one hand, and the risks they produce in the face of imminent peril, on the other. Criminal cases and civil disputes entail material loss and social alienation for the accused; private citizens face criminal violence. Fearing loss and alienation, private citizens hesitate to defend themselves when confronted by criminals, which increases their vulnerability to violent victimization.

— MARCUS LEE

There is no single dominant, legally entrenched rationale for race-conscious legislative districting in the way that there is for race-based affirmative action. But defenders of race-conscious districting see the aim of the practice as empowering minority voters. According to some conceptions of voting power, we can measure the extent to which a voter (or group of voters) is “empowered” by looking at one or more of the following factors: (1) the likelihood that their vote(s) will be decisive; (2) the likelihood that their vote(s) will be among those necessary for the winning candidate to win; (3) the likelihood that their candidate of choice is elected; or (4) the percentage of the winning vote total that their votes constitute. If voting power depends on any combination of these four factors, then minority voting power depends fundamentally on the percentage of voters in any given district who vote the same way as members of the relevant minority group, rather than the percentage of voters in the district who are members of that group, or whether minority candidates are elected to office.

— CHRISTOPHER LEWIS