

Finite Cohen–Macaulay Type and Smooth Non-Commutative Schemes

Peter Jørgensen

Abstract. A commutative local Cohen–Macaulay ring R of finite Cohen–Macaulay type is known to be an isolated singularity; that is, $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ is smooth. This paper proves a non-commutative analogue. Namely, if A is a (non-commutative) graded Artin–Schelter Cohen–Macaulay algebra which is fully bounded Noetherian and has finite Cohen–Macaulay type, then the non-commutative projective scheme determined by A is smooth.

Introduction

Auslander proved that a commutative local Cohen–Macaulay ring of finite Cohen–Macaulay type is an isolated singularity. The present paper shows a non-commutative version of this.

To be precise about Auslander’s result, consider a commutative local Noetherian ring R which is Cohen–Macaulay of depth d . A finitely generated R -module M is called maximal Cohen–Macaulay if $\text{depth } M = d$, and R is said to have finite Cohen–Macaulay type if there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules. Auslander now proved that if R has finite Cohen–Macaulay type then it is an isolated singularity, that is, the scheme $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ is smooth. In fact, Auslander had to assume that R was complete [2, Theorem, p. 234]; the general statement is due to Huneke and Leuschke [7, Corollary 2].

Now, it is well known that the theory of commutative local rings has a close analogue in the theory of non-commutative connected \mathbb{N} -graded algebras, so it is natural to ask for a non-commutative graded version of Auslander’s result. Such a version is shown for fully bounded Noetherian algebras (FBN algebras) in Theorem 2.5 below.

The definition of FBN algebras is given in [5, p. 132]; note that both commutative Noetherian algebras and Noetherian polynomial identity algebras (PI algebras), as defined in [5, p. xi], are examples of FBN algebras [5, p. 133].

The notion of finite Cohen–Macaulay type can be carried over directly to graded algebras, and the analogue of being an isolated singularity is easy to guess. The canonical procedure for removing the “irrelevant” maximal ideal $A_{\geq 1}$ from an \mathbb{N} -graded algebra A is to take the projective scheme $\text{proj } A$ of A , so the analogue of $\text{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ being smooth is that $\text{proj } A$ is smooth. In fact, since A is non-commutative, I must

Received by the editors May 18, 2005; revised August 26, 2005.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 14A22; secondary: 16E65, 16W50.

Keywords: Artin–Schelter Cohen–Macaulay algebra, Artin–Schelter Gorenstein algebra, Auslander’s theorem on finite Cohen–Macaulay type, Cohen–Macaulay ring, fully bounded Noetherian algebra, isolated singularity, maximal Cohen–Macaulay module, non-commutative projective scheme, punctured spectrum.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2008.

use non-commutative projective schemes of the form $\text{qgr } A$ as introduced in [1]. For $\text{qgr } A$ to be smooth means that each object has finite injective dimension.

The catalyst for this paper was Huneke and Leuschke’s [7], and I am inspired by their method of proof. Thus, my basic result, Lemma 2.3, states that if A has finite Cohen–Macaulay type and M and N are maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules, then $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, N)$ has finite length. However, the proof I give of this is new: while [7] uses to good effect that $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ is a module over the commutative ring R , there is no such aid to be had over the non-commutative ring A , and so a new proof had to be found.

In fact, Lemma 2.3 works without the assumption that A is FBN. However, the FBN assumption is necessary when using the lemma to draw conclusions about injective resolutions over A .

Standing Setup Throughout, k is a field and A is a connected \mathbb{N} -graded Noetherian k -algebra which is Artin–Schelter Cohen–Macaulay in the sense that it has a balanced dualizing complex D which is equal to the d -th suspension $\Sigma^d K$ of a graded A -bimodule K .

The number d plays the role of dimension of A . See [14, 15] for information about balanced dualizing complexes and [11] for Artin–Schelter Cohen–Macaulay algebras (abbreviated AS Cohen–Macaulay algebras).

Let me close the introduction with some notation which may be convenient for the reader, although none of this differs significantly from previous papers such as [8–10].

$\text{Gr } A$ denotes the category of graded A -left-modules and graded homomorphisms of degree zero, and $\text{gr } A$ denotes the full subcategory of finitely generated modules.

If M is in $\text{Gr } A$, then $i(M) = \inf\{j \mid M_j \neq 0\}$.

Hom_A denotes the functor

$$\text{Hom}_A(-, -) = \bigoplus_{\ell} \text{Hom}_{\text{Gr } A}(-, -(\ell)),$$

where (ℓ) denotes the ℓ -th degree shift of a graded module, that is, $(N(\ell))_j = N_{\ell+j}$. The total right derived functor of Hom_A is denoted RHom_A , and the i -th derived functor of Hom_A is denoted Ext_A^i , so $\text{Ext}_A^i \simeq H^i \text{RHom}_A$ and

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(-, -) = \bigoplus_{\ell} \text{Ext}_{\text{Gr } A}^i(-, -(\ell)).$$

By [1, Definition 3.7], the algebra A is said to satisfy condition χ if

$$(0.1) \quad \dim_k \text{Ext}_A^i(k, M) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_k \text{Ext}_{A^{\text{op}}}^i(k, N) < \infty$$

for each integer i , each module M in $\text{gr } A$, and each module N in $\text{gr } A^{\text{op}}$.

The depth of M in $\text{Gr } A$ is defined by $\text{depth } M = \inf\{i \mid \text{Ext}_A^i(k, M) = 0\}$, and M in $\text{gr } A$ is called a graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay module if $\text{depth } M = d$. Observe that A itself is a graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay module by Lemma 1.1 below.

Let Γ_m denote the local section functor $\Gamma_m(-) = \text{colim } \text{Hom}_A(A/A_{\geq j}, -)$. The total right derived functor of Γ_m is denoted $\text{R}\Gamma_m$. Let $(-)'$ denote the functor $\text{Hom}_k(-, k)$.

Finally, if T is in $\text{Gr } A$, then an element t in T is called *torsion* if $A_{\geq j}t = 0$ for some j . Graded torsion and graded torsionfree modules are defined in the obvious way. The full subcategory of $\text{Gr } A$ consisting of torsion modules is denoted by $\text{Tors } A$, and $\text{QGr } A$ is defined as the quotient $\text{Gr } A/\text{Tors } A$, while $\text{qgr } A$ is defined as the full subcategory corresponding to $\text{gr } A$. The quotient functor is denoted $\text{Gr } A \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{QGr } A$.

1 Basic Lemmas

Lemma 1.1 *The depth of A is $\text{depth } A = d$.*

Proof By [14, Theorem 6.3], the algebra A satisfies condition χ of equation (0.1), so by [8, Proposition 4.3], the depth of M in $\text{gr } A$ is

$$(1.1) \quad \text{depth } M = -\sup\{i \mid H^i(\text{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)') \neq 0\}.$$

In particular,

$$\text{depth } A = -\sup\{i \mid H^i(\text{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)') \neq 0\} = (*).$$

But [14, Theorem 6.3] also gives that $\text{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(A)'$ is isomorphic to the balanced dualizing complex $D = \Sigma^d K$, so

$$(*) = -\sup\{i \mid H^i(\Sigma^d K) \neq 0\} = d. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 1.2 *If $M \neq 0$ is in $\text{gr } A$, then $\text{depth } M \leq d$.*

Proof By [14, Theorems 6.3; 5.1], I have local duality,

$$\text{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)' \cong \text{RHom}_A(M, D).$$

Along with equation (1.1), this gives

$$\text{depth } M = -\sup\{i \mid H^i(\text{RHom}_A(M, D)) \neq 0\} = (*).$$

However, the complex $D = \Sigma^d K$ sits in cohomological degrees $\geq -d$. The same applies to some injective resolution of D , and thus also to $\text{RHom}_A(M, D)$. So if $\text{RHom}_A(M, D) \neq 0$, then $(*) \leq d$, proving the lemma.

To see $\text{RHom}_A(M, D) \neq 0$, note that this follows from $M \neq 0$ because the functor $\text{RHom}_A(-, D)$ is an equivalence of categories by [15, Proposition 3.5]. \blacksquare

Lemma 1.3 *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow N \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence in $\text{gr } A$. If M and N are graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules, then so is X .

Proof There is a long exact sequence which consists of pieces

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(k, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(k, X) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(k, M).$$

This shows $\text{depth } X \geq d$, and $\text{depth } X \leq d$ holds by Lemma 1.2. ■

Lemma 1.4 *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega M \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence in $\text{gr } A$ with P graded projective. Then

$$0 \leq \text{depth } M \leq d - 1 \implies \text{depth } \Omega M = \text{depth } M + 1,$$

and if $\Omega M \neq 0$, then

$$M = 0 \text{ or } \text{depth } M = d \implies \text{depth } \Omega M = d.$$

Proof There is a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i-1}(k, P) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i-1}(k, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(k, \Omega M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(k, P) \rightarrow \dots$$

This easily gives the first implication of the lemma, because in this situation it is clear that $\text{depth } P = \text{depth } A = d$.

As for the second implication, if $M = 0$, then $\Omega M \cong P$ and then $\Omega M \neq 0$ implies $\text{depth } \Omega M = d$. If $\text{depth } M = d$, then the long exact sequence implies $\text{depth } \Omega M \geq d$, and $\Omega M \neq 0$ implies $\text{depth } \Omega M \leq d$ by Lemma 1.2. ■

The following lemma is a special case of the graded analogue of [4, Lemma 2.3]. I will include a proof to convince the reader and myself, since solid references, even for basic properties of FBN rings with a grading, seem hard to find.

Lemma 1.5 *Let A be FBN and let M have minimal injective resolution E in $\text{Gr } A$. If E^i is not graded torsion in the sense of the introduction, then there exists a graded prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A so that $\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}, M) = \infty$.*

Proof By [1, Proposition 7.1(5)], I have $E^i = Q \oplus T$ in $\text{Gr } A$ where Q is a graded torsionfree injective module and T a graded torsion injective module.

For E^i not to be graded torsion means $Q \neq 0$. Since E is minimal, $\text{Ker } \partial_E^i$ is graded essential in E^i , and so $Q \cap \text{Ker } \partial_E^i$ is graded essential in Q . In particular, $Q \cap \text{Ker } \partial_E^i \neq 0$. Since A is FBN, it follows from [13, Lemma 2.1(i)] that there is a non-zero finitely generated graded submodule V of $Q \cap \text{Ker } \partial_E^i$ so that $\mathfrak{p} = \text{ann}_A V$ is a graded prime ideal and so that $_{A/\mathfrak{p}}V$ is non-singular in the ungraded sense.

Note that [13, Lemma 2.1(i)] does not use the word “non-singular”, but rather the word “torsion-free”. Both are used here in the ungraded sense and they mean the same, cf. [5, pp. 59, 103]. I prefer “non-singular” since “torsion-free” might cause confusion with the notion of being graded torsionfree used in the rest of the paper.

Let e be a non-zero graded element of V so $0 \neq e \in V \subseteq Q \cap \text{Ker } \partial_E^i \subseteq E^i$. It follows from $V \subseteq Q$ that V is graded torsionfree. Since V is annihilated by \mathfrak{p} but is non-zero and graded torsionfree, $A_{\geq 1}$ cannot be contained in \mathfrak{p} . So $(A/\mathfrak{p})_{\geq 1}$ is a non-zero ideal of A/\mathfrak{p} , and hence there is a regular graded element c of positive degree in A/\mathfrak{p} ; this follows from [13, Lemma 2.1(iii)].

Now note that X , the subcomplex of E consisting of elements annihilated by \mathfrak{p} , is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_A(A/\mathfrak{p}, E)$, and that hence,

$$H^i X \cong H^i \text{Hom}_A(A/\mathfrak{p}, E) \cong \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

Consider $c^m e$ for $m \geq 0$; these are elements of E^i . In fact, they are elements of V , and this means that they are annihilated by \mathfrak{p} , so are elements of X^i . It also means that they are in $\text{Ker } \partial_E^i$, hence in $\text{Ker } \partial_X^i$, and thus represent classes in $H^i X \cong \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}, M)$.

If these classes are non-zero, then they must be different, because the $c^m e$ have different graded degrees, and so $\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}, M) = \infty$, as desired. So to finish the proof, I must see for each m that $c^m e$ does not represent zero in $H^i X$. Suppose, to the contrary, that it does for some m . Then there is an x in X^{i-1} with $c^m e = \partial_X^{i-1}(x)$. Hence

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (A/\mathfrak{p})c^m e &= (A/\mathfrak{p})\partial_X^{i-1}(x) = \partial_X^{i-1}((A/\mathfrak{p})x) \cong \frac{(A/\mathfrak{p})x}{(A/\mathfrak{p})x \cap \text{Ker } \partial_X^{i-1}} \\ &= \frac{(A/\mathfrak{p})x}{(A/\mathfrak{p})x \cap \text{Ker } \partial_E^{i-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is because X is just a subcomplex of E . But $\text{Ker } \partial_E^{i-1}$ is graded essential in E^{i-1} so $(A/\mathfrak{p})x \cap \text{Ker } \partial_E^{i-1}$ is graded essential in $(A/\mathfrak{p})x$, and by [12, Lemma A.I.2.8] this implies that $(A/\mathfrak{p})x \cap \text{Ker } \partial_E^{i-1}$ is essential (in the ungraded sense) in $(A/\mathfrak{p})x$. Hence the last quotient module in equation (1.2) is singular over A/\mathfrak{p} by [5, Proposition 3.26], so the equation shows that $(A/\mathfrak{p})c^m e$ is singular over A/\mathfrak{p} .

However, this module is contained in the non-singular module ${}_{A/\mathfrak{p}}V$, and so must be zero, whence $c^m e = 0$. As c^m is regular in A/\mathfrak{p} , this implies by [5, Proposition 6.9] that e is in the singular submodule of ${}_{A/\mathfrak{p}}V$. But e is non-zero so this shows that ${}_{A/\mathfrak{p}}V$ cannot be non-singular, a contradiction. ■

2 Finite Cohen–Macaulay Type and Smoothness

Remark 2.1 The category $\text{gr } A$ is a k -linear category with finite dimensional Hom spaces. This implies that $\text{gr } A$ is a Krull–Schmidt category. That is, each object is a direct sum of finitely many uniquely determined indecomposable objects.

Note that if M decomposes as $M\langle 1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus M\langle s \rangle$ in $\text{gr } A$, then M is graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay if and only if each $M\langle m \rangle$ is graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay.

Definition 2.2 The algebra A has *finite Cohen–Macaulay type* if there exist finitely many indecomposable graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules $Z\langle 1 \rangle, \dots, Z\langle t \rangle$ so that, up to isomorphism, the indecomposable graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules in $\text{gr } A$ are precisely the degree shifts $Z\langle n \rangle(\ell)$ for $1 \leq n \leq t$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Each $Z\langle n \rangle$ can clearly be replaced with any degree shift, and so if convenient, I can suppose $i(Z\langle n \rangle) = 0$ for each n .

Lemma 2.3 *Let A have finite Cohen–Macaulay type and let M and N in $\text{gr } A$ be graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules. Then $\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^1(M, N) < \infty$.*

Proof Without loss of generality, I can suppose that M is indecomposable and that N sits in graded degrees ≥ 0 .

Using a free resolution of M in $\text{gr } A$ easily shows $\text{Ext}_{\text{Gr } A}^1(M, N(\ell)) = 0$ for $\ell \ll 0$. Using also that $\text{gr } A$ has finite dimensional Hom spaces shows

$$\dim_k \text{Ext}_{\text{Gr } A}^1(M, N(\ell)) < \infty$$

for each ℓ . Since $\text{Ext}_A^1(M, N) = \bigoplus_{\ell} \text{Ext}_{\text{Gr } A}^1(M, N(\ell))$, the lemma will follow if I can show $\text{Ext}_{\text{Gr } A}^1(M, N(\ell)) = 0$ for $\ell \gg 0$. That is, I must show that for $\ell \gg 0$, each short exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow N(\ell) \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{gr } A$ is split.

Observe that in such a sequence, X is graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay by Lemma 1.3. Hence

$$X \cong \bigoplus_m X\langle m \rangle$$

where the $X\langle m \rangle$ are indecomposable graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules. Since N sits in graded degrees ≥ 0 , it can be generated by graded elements of degrees 0 to g for some $g \geq 0$. Let

$$X' = \bigoplus_{i(X\langle m \rangle) \leq g - \ell} X\langle m \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad X'' = \bigoplus_{i(X\langle m \rangle) > g - \ell} X\langle m \rangle$$

so that $i(X'') > g - \ell$ and $X \cong X' \oplus X''$. Note that X and the $X\langle m \rangle$ depend on ℓ , so X' and X'' depend on ℓ in a more complicated way than is immediately obvious from the formulae.

The homomorphism $N(\ell) \rightarrow X$ in (2.1) consists of components

$$N(\ell) \rightarrow X' \quad \text{and} \quad N(\ell) \rightarrow X''.$$

Since N can be generated by graded elements of degrees 0 to g , it follows that $N(\ell)$ can be generated by elements of degrees $-\ell$ to $g - \ell$, so the homomorphism $N(\ell) \rightarrow X''$ is zero because $i(X'') > g - \ell$. So $N(\ell) \rightarrow X$ factors through the inclusion $X' \hookrightarrow X$, and this means that there is a commutative diagram with exact rows,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N(\ell) & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N(\ell) & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Applying the Snake Lemma embeds this into a diagram with exact rows and columns,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N(\ell) & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & M' \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N(\ell) & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & X'' & \equiv & X'' \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

The first vertical exact sequence is split by construction, and this implies that the second vertical exact sequence is split. But M is indecomposable, so either $M' = 0$, $X'' \cong M$ or $M' \cong M$, $X'' = 0$.

Let me now assume $\ell \gg 0$ and show that the former of these must hold, that is, $M' = 0$, $X'' \cong M$. Suppose to the contrary that $X'' = 0$. Then $X = X'$ so

$$(2.3) \quad X = \bigoplus_{i(X\langle m \rangle) \leq g-\ell} X\langle m \rangle.$$

Each $X\langle m \rangle$ is an indecomposable graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay module, so each $X\langle m \rangle$ is a degree shift of one of the $Z\langle n \rangle$ from Definition 2.2,

$$(2.4) \quad X\langle m \rangle \cong Z\langle n \rangle(p).$$

As remarked after that definition, I can suppose $i(Z\langle n \rangle) = 0$ for each n , and then $i(X\langle m \rangle) \leq g - \ell$ implies $p \geq \ell - g$. Since there are only finitely many $Z\langle n \rangle$'s, there is a q such that each $Z\langle n \rangle$ is generated by graded elements of degrees $\leq q$, and then $p \geq \ell - g$ means that each $Z\langle n \rangle(p)$ is generated by elements of degrees $\leq q + g - \ell$. By equation (2.4), the same holds for each $X\langle m \rangle$, and since $\ell \gg 0$ implies $q + g - \ell < i(M)$, each homomorphism $X\langle m \rangle \rightarrow M$ must be zero.

But there is a surjection $X \rightarrow M$ which by equation (2.3) must then also be zero, a contradiction. Hence $X'' \neq 0$, and $M' = 0$, $X'' \cong M$ holds as claimed.

The first horizontal exact sequence in diagram (2.2) then shows $X' \cong N(\ell)$, and so the original exact sequence (2.1) reads

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow N(\ell) \rightarrow N(\ell) \oplus M \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

I have not yet proved that the sequence is split, since I have not identified the homomorphisms. However, $N(\ell) \rightarrow N(\ell) \oplus M$ consists of components

$$N(\ell) \longrightarrow N(\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad N(\ell) \longrightarrow M,$$

and since $\ell \gg 0$ implies $g - \ell < i(M)$, the homomorphism $N(\ell) \rightarrow M$ is zero because N can be generated by graded elements of degrees 0 to g and $N(\ell)$ by elements of degrees $-\ell$ to $g - \ell$. Hence $N(\ell) \rightarrow N(\ell)$ must be injective, and since $N(\ell)$ is finitely generated, each of its graded components is finite dimensional over k by [1, Proposition 2.1], so it follows that $N(\ell) \rightarrow N(\ell)$ is also surjective. Hence $N(\ell) \rightarrow N(\ell)$ is bijective so there is a splitting of $N(\ell) \rightarrow N(\ell) \oplus M$, and this proves that (2.5) and hence (2.1) are split, as desired. ■

Proposition 2.4 *Let A be FBN with finite Cohen–Macaulay type and let M in $\text{gr } A$ have minimal injective resolution E in $\text{Gr } A$. Then E^d, E^{d+1}, \dots are graded torsion.*

Proof This is clear for $M = 0$. Let me next give a proof when M is graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay. Suppose that E^{d+i} is non-torsion for some $i \geq 0$. By Lemma 1.5, there exists a graded prime ideal \mathfrak{p} with $\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^{d+i}(A/\mathfrak{p}, M) = \infty$.

This implies that \mathfrak{p} is not the maximal ideal $A_{\geq 1}$, since, as noted earlier, A satisfies condition χ of equation (0.1) by [14, Theorem 6.3]. Hence $\text{depth } A/\mathfrak{p} \geq 1$, for if $\text{depth } A/\mathfrak{p} = 0$, then there would exist a non-zero homomorphism $k(\ell) \xrightarrow{\varphi} A/\mathfrak{p}$, and this would lead to a contradiction. Namely, $\varphi(1) = x \neq 0$ would imply that x was represented by $y \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, and $A_{\geq 1}x = A_{\geq 1}\varphi(1) = \varphi(A_{\geq 1}1) = \varphi(0) = 0$ would imply $A_{\geq 1}y \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and hence $A_{\geq 1} \cdot Ay \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. As \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal, this would mean either $A_{\geq 1} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, contradicting that \mathfrak{p} is not $A_{\geq 1}$, or $Ay \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, contradicting $y \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$.

Note that $\text{depth } A/\mathfrak{p} \geq 1$ implies $d \geq 1$ by Lemma 1.2. Now let

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^{d+i-1}(A/\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow P_{d+i-2} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{gr } A$ where the P_j are graded projective. This clearly gives $\text{Ext}_A^{d+i}(A/\mathfrak{p}, M) \cong \text{Ext}_A^1(\Omega^{d+i-1}(A/\mathfrak{p}), M)$, so

$$\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^1(\Omega^{d+i-1}(A/\mathfrak{p}), M) = \infty.$$

Hence $\Omega^{d+i-1}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ cannot be zero, and since $\text{depth } A/\mathfrak{p} \geq 1$, Lemma 1.4 implies $\text{depth } \Omega^{d+i-1}(A/\mathfrak{p}) = d$, that is, $\Omega^{d+i-1}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ is graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay. Lemma 2.3 thus says $\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^1(\Omega^{d+i-1}(A/\mathfrak{p}), M) < \infty$, contradicting the previous equation. So E^d, E^{d+1}, \dots are torsion.

Now let M be any finitely generated graded module. Let

$$(2.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega^d M \longrightarrow Q_{d-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\text{gr } A$ where the Q_j are graded projective. Lemma 1.4 implies that $\Omega^d M$ is either 0 or graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay, and the Q_j are also either 0 or graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay, so I have already proved that the proposition

applies to all these modules. So I can prove the proposition for M by working along the sequence (2.6) from the left-hand end, using the following fact. If

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow Q \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence in $\text{gr } A$ where the proposition applies to K and Q , then it also applies to L .

To prove this, let E_K and E_Q be the minimal injective resolutions of K and Q in $\text{Gr } A$. The homomorphism $K \rightarrow Q$ induces a chain map $E_K \rightarrow E_Q$; let E be the mapping cone. The long exact cohomology sequence shows $H(E) \cong L$ and by construction E has the form

$$E = \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow E_K^0 \rightarrow E_K^1 \oplus E_Q^0 \rightarrow E_K^2 \oplus E_Q^1 \rightarrow \cdots ;$$

here E_K^0 is in cohomological degree -1 and splits away, so all in all, there is an injective resolution of L of the form

$$\tilde{E} = \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow (E_K^1 \oplus E_Q^0)/E_K^0 \rightarrow E_K^2 \oplus E_Q^1 \rightarrow E_K^3 \oplus E_Q^2 \rightarrow \cdots .$$

If the proposition applies to K and Q , then the modules E_K^d, E_K^{d+1}, \dots and E_Q^d, E_Q^{d+1}, \dots are torsion. Then $\tilde{E}^d, \tilde{E}^{d+1}, \dots$ are also torsion, and since the minimal injective resolution E_L of L in $\text{Gr } A$ is a direct summand of any injective resolution of L in $\text{Gr } A$, and so a direct summand of \tilde{E} , it follows that E_L^d, E_L^{d+1}, \dots are torsion. ■

The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.5 *Recall the Standing Setup from the introduction. Let A be FBN with finite Cohen–Macaulay type. Then each \mathcal{M} in $\text{qgr } A$ has $\text{id } \mathcal{M} \leq d - 1$.*

Proof Consider the quotient functor $\text{Gr } A \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{QGr } A$. There exists an M in $\text{gr } A$ with $\mathcal{M} \cong \pi(M)$ (see [1, p. 234]), and if E is a minimal injective resolution of M in $\text{Gr } A$, then it follows easily from [1, Proposition 7.1] that $\mathcal{E} = \pi(E)$ is an injective resolution of \mathcal{M} . But E^d, E^{d+1}, \dots are torsion by Proposition 2.4, so $\mathcal{E}^d = \mathcal{E}^{d+1} = \cdots = 0$. ■

3 An Example

This section contains an example inspired by [3].

Suppose that the ground field k does not have characteristic 2, but contains a primitive n -th root of unity q , and let $B = k\langle x, y \rangle / (yx - qxy)$ where x and y have degree 1. Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ be the cyclic group of order 2, and let G act on B by $gb = (-1)^{\text{deg } b} b$.

It is clear that the fixed ring $A = B^G$ is given by

$$A_j = \begin{cases} B_j & \text{for } j \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{for } j \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

I will show that

- (i) A falls under the Standing Setup with $d = 2$.
- (ii) A has finite Cohen–Macaulay type.
- (iii) A is FBN.
- (iv) A has infinite global dimension.

These properties imply that Theorem 2.5 applies to A and says that each \mathcal{M} in $\text{qgr } A$ has $\text{id } \mathcal{M} \leq 1$, although A itself has infinite global dimension.

To prove (i) through (iv), let me first consider B more closely. It is a so-called twist of the commutative polynomial ring $k[x, y]$ by the algebraic twisting system given by

$$\tau_n(x) = x, \quad \tau_n(y) = q^{-n}y,$$

cf. [16, Example 3.6], and so the results of [16] imply that it is a connected \mathbb{N} -graded Noetherian k -algebra which is AS regular of global dimension 2.

By [10, §3], the fixed ring $A = B^G$ is therefore a connected \mathbb{N} -graded Noetherian k -algebra with a balanced dualizing complex, and [10, Lemma 3.1] shows that the balanced dualizing complex is concentrated in cohomological degree -2 , proving (i).

In fact, it is not hard to check that if I denote by hdet the homological determinant defined in [10, §2], then $\text{hdet}(g) = 1$ whence $A = B^G$ is AS Gorenstein by [10, Theorem 3.3]. This implies that A is a dualizing complex for itself, and hence, since $d = 2$, it follows from [15, Theorem 3.9; Corollary 4.10] that there is an automorphism σ so that the second suspension $\Sigma^2(A^\sigma)$ is a balanced dualizing complex. This will be handy below for the proof of (ii).

It is a consequence of [16, Proposition 5.6(a)] that B is a PI algebra, so the subalgebra A is also PI and hence FBN, proving (iii).

The Hilbert series of A is

$$H_A(t) = 1 + 3t^2 + 5t^4 + \dots = \frac{1 + t^2}{(1 - t^2)^2},$$

and as this is not 1 divided by a polynomial, A cannot have finite global dimension, proving (iv).

Finally, to prove (ii), let M in $\text{gr } A$ be an indecomposable graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay module. Since $\Sigma^2(A^\sigma)$ is a balanced dualizing complex, A^σ is a balanced dualizing module in the terminology of [11, §4]. By [11, Lemma 4.6], I therefore have

$$M \cong \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(\text{Hom}_A(M, A^\sigma), A^\sigma),$$

and the σ 's cancel so $M \cong \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(\text{Hom}_A(M, A), A)$.

Writing $N = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ thus gives $M \cong \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(N, A)$. The inclusion $A \hookrightarrow B$ is split when viewed as a homomorphism over A^{op} , cf. [10, §3], and so there is a split inclusion over A :

$$(3.1) \quad M \cong \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(N, A) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(N, B).$$

Let me show that $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(N, B)$ is in fact a graded projective B -left-module. Let

$$P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

be a projective presentation of N in $\text{gr } A^{\text{op}}$. This induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(N, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(P_0, B) \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(P_1, B) \rightarrow \text{Coker } \partial \rightarrow 0$$

in $\text{gr } B$, where the $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(P_i, B)$ are graded projective. Since $M \neq 0$, equation (3.1) implies $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(N, B) \neq 0$, and so Lemma 1.4 implies

$$\text{depth}_B \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(N, B) = 2.$$

But then the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula [9, Theorem 3.2] implies

$$\text{pd}_B \text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(N, B) = 0,$$

and so $\text{Hom}_{A^{\text{op}}}(N, B)$ is graded projective.

So the split inclusion (3.1) says that the graded A -left-module M is a direct summand of some graded projective B -left-module, viewed as an A -left-module. This again implies that M is a direct summand of some graded free B -left-module, $B(\ell_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus B(\ell_s)$, viewed as an A -left-module. Given that M is indecomposable, it is already a direct summand in one of the $B(\ell_j)$, and so, if I decompose B as an A -left-module, the resulting direct summands are, up to degree shift and isomorphism, the only possible indecomposable graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules in $\text{gr } A$.

As B is finitely generated over A by [10], there are only finitely many direct summands, so A has finite Cohen–Macaulay type proving (ii).

Acknowledgement I thank the referee for pointing out [6], and for suggesting a substantial simplification of the example in Section 3.

References

- [1] M. Artin and J. J. Zhang, *Noncommutative projective schemes*. Adv. Math. **109**(1994), no. 2, 228–287.
- [2] M. Auslander, *Isolated singularities and existence of almost split sequences*. In: Representation Theory. II. Lecture Notes in Math. 1178, Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 194–242.
- [3] ———, *Rational singularities and almost split sequences*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **293**(1986), no. 2, 511–531.
- [4] K. A. Brown, *Fully bounded Noetherian rings of finite injective dimension*. Quart. J. Math. Oxford **41**(1990), no. 161, 1–13.
- [5] K. Goodearl and R. Warfield, *An introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts 16, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [6] J. Herzog, *Ringe mit nur endlich vielen Isomorphieklassen von maximalen, unzerlegbaren Cohen–Macaulay-Moduln*, Math. Ann. **233**(1978), no. 1, 21–34.
- [7] C. Huneke and G. Leuschke, *Two theorems about maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules*. Math. Ann. **324**(2002), no. 2, 391–404.
- [8] P. Jørgensen, *Local cohomology for non-commutative graded algebras*. Comm. Algebra **25**(1997), no. 2, 575–591.
- [9] ———, *Non-commutative graded homological identities*, J. London Math. Soc. **57**(1998), no. 2, 336–350.
- [10] P. Jørgensen and J. J. Zhang, *Gourmet’s Guide to Gorensteinness*. Adv. Math. **151**(2000), no. 2, 313–345.
- [11] I. Mori, *Homological properties of balanced Cohen–Macaulay algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355**(2003), no. 3, 1025–1042.

- [12] C. Năstăsescu and F. van Oystaeyen, *Graded Ring Theory*. North-Holland Mathematical Library 28, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [13] J. T. Stafford and J. J. Zhang, *Homological properties of (graded) Noetherian PI rings*. J. Algebra **168**(1994), no. 3, 988–1026.
- [14] M. Van den Bergh, *Existence theorems for dualizing complexes over non-commutative graded and filtered rings*. J. Algebra **195**(1997), no. 2, 662–679.
- [15] A. Yekutieli, *Dualizing complexes over noncommutative graded algebras*. J. Algebra **153**(1992), no. 1, 41–84.
- [16] J. J. Zhang, *Twisted graded algebras and equivalences of graded categories*. Proc. London Math. Soc. **72**(1996), no. 2, 281–311.

School of Mathematics and Statistics
Newcastle University
Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU
U.K.
e-mail: peter.jorgensen@ncl.ac.uk