Central Mechanisms of Tremor in Some Feline and Primate Models
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SUMMARY: For several years our interest has been in a postural Parkinson-like tremor at 4-6/sec. which can be produced in the monkey by lesions of the central nervous system. We have also studied the effects of harmaline, a drug which evokes or intensifies the Parkinson-like tremor in lesioned animals and which also induces a fine, generalized tremor at 7-12/sec. in normal animals. The results obtained so far indicate that these two types of tremor are generated by two independent central mechanisms which do not require the integrity of peripheral feedback loops. The experimental Parkinson-like tremor is generated by a thalamocortical mechanism while the olivo-cerebellar system is responsible for the faster “physiological” tremor. Similar tremor mechanisms may be involved in some movement disorders in man.

INTRODUCTION

The physiopathology underlying the movements of tremor in man is still poorly understood. There are obvious limitations on the studies of human tremors. Research on experimental models could contribute meaningful information on the central mechanisms of abnormal movements in man and possibly lead to the discovery of more effective and specific treatment for such disorders.

For several years our interest has been in a postural Parkinson-like tremor which can be produced in the monkey by lesions of the ventromedial tegmentum of the brain stem, (Poirier, 1960; Poirier, 1971), and also of the lateral cerebellar system (Goldberger and Growden, 1971; Larochelle, Bédard, Boucher and Poirier, 1970; Lamarre and Poirier, 1971).

The tremor movements made by these monkeys appear to be similar and closely related to those seen in patients with Parkinsonian tremor, (Cordeau, Gybels, Jasper and Poirier, 1960; Lamarre and Cordeau, 1967). They are reciprocally organized (Fig. 1) and occur at a frequency of around 5/sec. (range 3 to 7). However, only a small number of these operated monkeys show sustained spontaneous tremor. Poirier, Sourkes, Bouvier, Boucher and Carabin (1966) first showed that administration of harmaline (3-5 mg/kg, i.v.) could be used to produce the tremor in lesioned animals who do not show it spontaneously and that it may also exacerbate existing tremor. In normal monkeys, harmaline does not produce 5/sec Parkinson-like tremor. Instead, it induces a faster, finer generalized tremor at 7-12/sec (Poirier et al., 1966),
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Mechanisms of Tremor

Figure 1—EMG recordings from the upper limb in a monkey with Parkinson-like tremor following a contralateral midbrain tegmental lesion. Grouping of muscle potentials at 5/sec. occurs regularly and reciprocally in antagonistic muscles (1 = Biceps; 2 = triceps). The time scale is 200 msec.

Figure 2—Autocorrelograms of a neuron recorded in the VL of a paralyzed, unanesthetized cat. A: Regular 5/sec. bursting during reinforcement by motor cortex “auto-stimulation”. B: Spontaneous bursting at about 3.4/sec. Note that during motor cortex stimulation the duration of the bursts is shortened (recording samples in A and B insets). The ordinate scales are in arbitrary units.

which is also demonstrable in the cat following administration of the drug.

In recent years, we have accumulated data showing these two tremors depend on two different and independent neural mechanisms. A thalamo-cortical mechanism appears to be responsible for the Parkinson-like tremor at 4-6/sec while the inferior olive generates the 7-12/sec tremor.

Thalamo-cortical mechanism generating tremor at 4-6/sec.

Parkinsonian tremor can be diminished or abolished by many neuro-surgical interventions which ablate or destroy cortical or thalamic motor regions. Moreover, single units discharging at the tremor frequency have been recorded in the region of the nucleus ventralis lateralis (VL) in Parkinsonian patients during stereotaxic surgery, (Albe-Fessard, Arfel, Guiot, Derome, De la Herran, Korn, Hertzog, Vouc'h et Aleonard, 1963; Albe-Fessard, Guiot and Hardy, 1963; Albe-Fessard, Guiot, Lamarre and Arfel, 1966; Bates, 1969; Crowell, Perret, Siegfried and Villoz, 1968; Hardy and Bertrand, 1966; Jasper and Bertrand, 1966 a and b). The rhythmic discharges of these units could be recorded in either the presence or absence of tremor. Rhythmic slow wave activity at the tremor frequency was also recorded from the somatomotor cortex in Parkinsonian patients by Alberts (1969) and Alberts, Wright and Feinstein (1969). Old clinical observations by Pollock and Davis (1930) and Walsh (1924) suggested that Parkinsonian tremor does not depend upon sensory feedback from tremor movements. Similarly, Ohye, Bouchard, Larochelle, Bédard, Boucher, Raphy and Poirier (1970) have observed in the monkey that extensive dorsal rhizotomy (C2 - T4) does not abolish the experimental tremor in the deafnerented limb, providing further evidence for a central generating mechanism.

In monkeys with Parkinson-like tremor, neurons discharging at the frequency of tremor were first recorded in the sensori-motor cortex by Cordeau et al., (1960). Further studies from this laboratory have shown that, when the tremor is blocked by a curarizing agent, neurons in the motor cortex and in the ventrolateral region of the thalamus continue to discharge at the same frequency as the previously existing tremor, (Cordeau and Lamarre, 1966; Lamarre and Cordeau, 1967; Lamarre and Joffroy, 1970). Following dorsal rhizotomy, a number of cells discharging in relation to the tremor have been found in the cortical representation area of the deafferented trembling limb, (Joffroy and Lamarre, 1971). These units could not be influenced by any peripheral stimuli. Thalamic neurons bursting in relation to tremor in the contralateral deafferented upper limb have also been recorded (Dumont and Lamarre, unpublished observations). The assumption was then made that if a central mechanism was responsible for the tremor its rhythmic output would not be suppressed by a peripheral block of the movements or by removal of all afferent inputs. These results directly support the hypothesis that the experimental Parkinson-like tremor in the monkey and perhaps human Parkinsonian tremor is generated by a thalamo-cortical system.

Thalamic neurons can show rhythmic bursting at the frequency of about 5/sec. in normal unanesthetized monkeys (Joffroy and Lamarre, 1974; Lamarre and Joffroy, 1971). This is most prominent in the nuclei anterior to the ventro-basal complex and always concomitant with a state of relaxation. When the animal is aroused, the bursting disappears and is replaced by continuous firing at 10-30/sec. (Joffroy and Lamarre, 1974). Rhythmic activity of neurons in the VL complex of the thalamus has also been seen in unanesthetized cats by Lamarre, Filion and Cordeau (1971) and in chloralozed cats by Massion, Angaut et Albe-Fessard (1965). It can easily be provoked by low frequency (6-7/sec.) stimulation of non-specific medial thalamic nuclei, (Purpura, 1969, 1972 a and b).

The data now available do not allow definite conclusions about the mechanism responsible for the
rhythmic bursting of thalamic units. Moreover, it is not known if the motor cortex is merely driven by a thalamic "oscillator" or if both thalamus and cortex are actively involved. Experiments by Leblanc and Cordeau (1969) in unanesthetized cats have shown that the preferential oscillating frequency of the VL-cortex system is 5/sec. This is the frequency of VL stimulation that induces maximal discharge of large pyramidal tract cells in the motor cortex. In the unanesthetized cat (Lamarre and Lund, unpublished observations) we have also demonstrated that the thalamo-cortical-thalamic reverberating circuits described by Dempsey and Morison (1942) function at a preferential frequency of 5/sec. This is shown in Fig. 2B. The spontaneous rhythmic bursting of a VL neuron is characterized by the autocorrelogram in Fig. 2B. This has peaks occurring regularly at approximately 290 msec. intervals which would give a frequency of bursts of 3.4/sec., which is in agreement with previous findings reported by Lamarre et al., (1971). The autocorrelogram of Fig. 2A was compiled from recordings from the same neuron during stimulation of its axon terminals within the motor cortex. Antidromic activation was followed by transsynaptically induced bursts of action potentials. A single electrical shock (square wave pulse of 0.1 msec. duration) to the motor cortex was triggered (delay 5 msec.) by a spontaneous VL neuron spike. In these conditions of cortico-thalamic reinforcement, bursting of the neuron occurs spontaneously at very regular intervals of 190 msec. which corresponds to a frequency of 5.2/sec. In monkeys with experimental Parkinson-like tremor it was observed that some thalamic cells which were bursting regularly at the same frequency as the tremor went into bursting at a lower and often irregular manner when the tremor was arrested by cooling the motor cortex, (Jasper, Lamarre and Joffroy, 1972).

These observations raise the possibility that both thalamus and motor cortex are actively involved, possibly by their interconnections, in the genesis of Parkinsonian tremor. From the effects observed following electrical stimulation of the cortex adjacent to the Rolandic fissure in awake man, Alberts (1972) concluded that the somatomotor cortex itself contains the motor program responsible for Parkinsonian tremor. Our experimental results, however, would suggest the possibility that both thalamus and motor cortex are actively involved and that thalamocortical loops are an essential feature in the genesis of Parkinsonian tremor (Fig. 3).

Olivo-cerebellar mechanism generating tremor at 7-12/sec.

Olivary neurons show a normal tendency to fire synchronously at 7-12/sec. (Armstrong, Eccles, Harvey and Matthews, 1968; Bell and Kawasaki, 1972; Crill, 1970; Ferin, Gregorian and Strata, 1971; Sedgwick and Williams, 1967). Dendrodendritic electrotonic coupling (King, Martin and Bowman, 1975; Linas, Baker and Sotelo, 1974; Sotelo, Linas and Baker, 1974) is probably responsible for the synchronous firing of olivary cells, while the 10/sec. rhythm is imposed by recurrent inhibition lasting approximately 100 msec. (Armstrong et al., 1968; Crill, 1970). Harmaline, a carboline derivative, was shown to exaggerate this normal tendency for rhythmicity and synchronization of inferior olivary neurons (Lamarre, De Montigny, Dumont and Weiss, 1971). This action of harmaline generates muscle tremor at 7-12/sec. via the cerebello-bulbar system (De
Montigny and Lamarre, 1973; Llinas and Volkkind, 1973) which activates simultaneously alpha and gamma motoneurons (Lamarre and Weiss, 1973). Fig. 4 shows the frequency characteristics of this olivo-cerebellar mechanism. Quadriceps muscle tremor was recorded in a decerebrate cat following the I.V. administration of 5 mg/kg of harmaline. During tremor, the olivo-cerebellar system was electrically stimulated at various frequencies (parafastigial stimulation). In Fig. 4A, stimulation at 50/sec. inhibits the peripheral tremor, while stimulation at 10/sec. produces EMG bursts at the same frequency (Fig. 4B). There is a one to one relationship between stimulus and response in the frequency range 8.5 to 11/sec. (Fig. 4E). At lower stimulus frequencies, between 5 and 7.5/sec., there is a one to two relationship between stimulus and response as shown in record D (stimulation at 6.8/sec.) and graph E. Stimulation at around 8/sec. (Fig. 4C) produces a mixed pattern of EMG bursts which seems to contain both high and low frequency components. Such experiments emphasize that the optimal frequency of operation of the olivo-cerebellar system appears to be between 8 and 11 c/sec. Fig. 5 summarizes the tremorgenic pathways involved in the olivo-cerebellar tremor.

Independence between the thalamo-cortical and olivo-cerebellar tremor mechanisms

The results reported so far indicate that two models of tremor can be studied in animals: one at 3-6/sec., generated at the thalamocortical level and the other, at 7-12/sec., generated by the olivo-cerebellar system. These two ranges of tremor frequencies are also observed in clinical motor syndromes, (Lance, Schwab and Peterson, 1963; Molina-Negro and Hardy, 1971). Similarly, when harmaline is given to monkeys with Parkinson-like tremor, the rapid 7-12/sec. tremor is often seen in the same muscles displaying the 4-6/sec. tremor. Fig. 6 shows three EMG recordings from the biceps of the arm following administration of harmaline in a monkey with a tegmental lesion. Record A shows regular EMG bursts at 5/sec. In B and C tremor occurs at two frequencies, 12/sec. and 5/sec. respectively. Similar observations were made on monkeys with partial cerebellar lesions (Ohye et al., 1970).

As was demonstrated in the cat (De Montigny and Lamarre, 1973; Llinas and Volkkind, 1973), the olivo-cerebellar system is also responsible for the fast harmaline tremor in the monkey. Fig. 7A shows simultaneously recorded quadriceps EMG activity (upper trace) and cerebellar climbing fiber responses (lower trace) in a normal monkey injected with harmaline. The EMG burst activity occurs at 11/sec., and coincides with cerebellar activity at the same frequency. Records in Fig. 7B and C are from another monkey with Parkinson-like tremor which was also injected with harmaline. Bursts occur in the EMG at about 4/sec. (upper traces) but the frequency of the cerebellar rhythmic activity is around 8/sec. (lower traces). In this case, there is no apparent relationship between the two rhythmic phenomena. This is demonstrated more directly in Fig. 8. The top curves show two superimposed autocorrelograms: EMG activity (dotted line) and cerebellar activity (solid line). In contrast to the peaks shown in the autocorrelograms, the crosscorrelograms between these two series of events (lower graph) is flat, indicating that the two types of activity are completely independent. Moreover, ablation or cooling of the motor cortex, as well as lesioning of the thalamus, abolishes the slow Parkinson-like tremor without affecting the fast tremor in the corresponding limbs (Battista, Nakatani, Golstein and Anagnoste, 1970; Jasper et al., 1972; Dumont and Lamarre, 1973). Conversely, in

Figure 6—EMG recordings from the biceps of the arm following administration of harmaline to a monkey with a midbrain tegmental lesion. A: Recording taken during a period of time in which regular EMG bursts occur at 5/sec. B and C: During two other periods of recording, tremor occurs at two frequencies: 12/sec. and 5/sec.

Figure 7—A: EMG recordings from the quadriceps in a normal monkey injected with harmaline (upper trace) and cerebellar recording in the anterior vermis (lower trace). The episodes of cerebellar rhythmic activity at 11/sec. are synchronous with the muscle tremor. B and C: Similar recordings from a monkey with Parkinson-like tremor which had received harmaline. In this case the cerebellar activity has a frequency of about 8/sec. while the muscle trembles at about 4/sec. There is no obvious temporal correlation between the two rhythmic phenomena.
monkeys with total destruction of the cerebellum (including the deep nuclei) and subsequent degeneration of the inferior olivary neurons, harmaline always induces only the slow tremor (4-7/sec.) (Lamarre and Dumont, 1972). Finally, we have observed only the fast tremor following administration of harmaline in unanesthetized monkeys decerebrated at the intercollicular level. In this acute preparation, where the two “oscillators” are surgically isolated from one another, harmaline induces sustained rhythms at about 5/sec. in the thalamus and at about 7-9/sec. in the lower brain stem (Lamarre, Lund and Grou, unpublished observations, Fig. 9). These results clearly demonstrate that the two types of tremor are generated by two independent central mechanisms. In their studies of motor abnormalities in Parkinson’s disease, Lance et al., (1963) have clearly demonstrated the existence of two forms of tremor: the classical resting tremor at 4-6/sec. (range 3 to 7) and an “action tremor” at 8-10/sec. (range 7 to 12). They stated that “action tremor is considered to have a mechanism distinct from that of the classical resting tremor . . . .” One of the reasons given was that “resting tremor may be completely abolished by ventrolateral thalamotomy with the preservation of a gross action tremor”. This emphasizes the relevance of our experimental tremor models in relationship to the motor disturbances observed in some clinical syndromes.

CONCLUSION

The experimental results that have been summarized indicate that there exist two independent systems capable of generating peripheral tremor: a thalamo-cortical system and an olivo-cerebellar system. The efferent pathways of these two tremor generators could overlap or be entirely separate (cortico-spinal, cortico-reticulo, and cortico-vestibulo-spinal; cerebello-reticulo and cerebello-vestibulo-spinal).

The data now available do not allow definite conclusions about the mechanism responsible for the rhythmic activity of these tremor “generators”. The action of harmaline upon these rhythm generators is also not understood at the moment. It appears, however, that this drug may act by impairing some very basic cellular mechanisms. Sepulveda and Robinson (1974) reported that harmaline is a potent inhibitor of sodium-dependent transport. Harmaline has also been reported to block sodium transport in the squid nerve axons (Canessa, Jaimovich and de la Fuente, 1973). It is also a potent monoamine oxidase inhibitor (Udenfriend, Witkop, Redfield and Weissback, 1958). However, we have recently demonstrated that this pharmacological property is not responsible for its tremorgenic activity (De Montigny and Lamarre, 1974). Several hypotheses can be offered to explain the generation of central rhythmic activity and the enhancement of this rhythmicity by harmaline. These have been discussed in more detail elsewhere (Lamarre, 1975). In summary, we would like to postulate that harmaline only exaggerates the normal tendency for rhythmicity and synchronization permanently “wired” into the in-
friori olivary complex. The physiological tremor at 8-12/sec. seen in humans during performance of some motor tasks in closed loop condition (Merton, Morton and Rashbass, 1967; Sutton and Sykes, 1967), might well be due to normal functional synchronization in the olivo-cerebellar system. Within the thalamus, harmaline could also exaggerate abnormal synchronized bursting induced by lesions of afferent pathways.

It is our belief that further investigations of the mechanisms of tremor in animal models may contribute to a better understanding of the physiopathology of tremors in man.

DISCUSSION

Murphy questioned what effect a basal ganglia lesion might have on VL neurons that fired at 5/sec.; i.e. when VL would be driven from the inferior olivo-cerebellar inputs that presumably fire at 10/sec. Why would VL then not fire at 10/sec? Was there some internal thalamic mechanism to scale down frequencies? Lamarre reconfirmed that a 10/sec. rhythm was never seen in VL, but rather that it was always 5/sec. He suggested that the cortico-cerebellar efferent system of tremor was a descending, such as the olivo-cerebellum-fastigio-bulbo-spinal system, not an ascending system.

Stein (Edmonton) enquired about physiological significance of the "wired in" circuitry said to be responsible for these two tremor frequencies. Lamarre suggested that the 10/sec. rhythm in the inferior olive might be generated by some type of input to the cerebellum which acts as a chopper, perhaps influencing whether movements are made under closed, as opposed to open, loop conditions.

Lee (Calgary) asked Lamarre to comment on interactions that might occur between tremor at 5/sec., 10/sec., and voluntary activity, maintenance of posture, etc. Lamarre stated that mild "Parkinsonian tremor" in the monkey stops with voluntary movement, at which time motor cortical neurons change their firing patterns as well; probably because rhythmically firing thalamic neurons then lose their bursting, or rhythmicity, with movement. In view of the lack of effect of dorsal rhizotomy on the two tremor frequencies, Tooton (Calgary) asked whether peripheral inputs can re-set or alter the tremor. Lamarre indicated that various tremors, including the Parkinsonian tremor and the experimental olivary tremor, could be altered by peripheral inputs, but only within certain limits.

Cooke (U.W.O.) commented on work carried out with Thomas in Brooks' laboratory on arm oscillations and voluntary motor tasks. Normally occurring peak frequency ranges were 3-5 Hz and 5-7 Hz, but dentate cooling shifted power to the lower frequency bands of 3-5 Hz. Arm oscillations were not reset by voluntary arm movements but instead were merely amplitude-modulated.

In response to a question by Zeldowicz (U.B.C., Vancouver), regarding tremor in sleep, Lamarre responded that tremor disappeared in sleep, like many other clinical extrapyramidal tremors, perhaps due to inhibitory mechanisms associated with onset of sleep. Barbeau (Montreal) asked how to reconcile the observations on tremor with the demonstration of Brumlik and Boshes of a mechanical ballistocardiographic component in tremor. Lamarre ruled this out since muscle action potentials were grouped at approximately 10/sec.
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