

## CHAINS OF FUNCTIONS IN $C(K)$ -SPACES

TOMASZ KANIA  and RICHARD J. SMITH

(Received 28 July 2014; accepted 28 May 2015; first published online 2 September 2015)

Communicated by J. M. Borwein

### Abstract

The Bishop property  $(\mathfrak{B})$ , introduced recently by K. P. Hart, T. Kochanek and the first-named author, was motivated by Pełczyński's classical work on weakly compact operators on  $C(K)$ -spaces. This property asserts that certain chains of functions in said spaces, with respect to a particular partial ordering, must be countable. There are two versions of  $(\mathfrak{B})$ : one applies to linear operators on  $C(K)$ -spaces and the other to the compact Hausdorff spaces themselves. We answer two questions that arose after  $(\mathfrak{B})$  was first introduced. We show that if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a class of compact spaces that is preserved when taking closed subspaces and Hausdorff quotients, and which contains no nonmetrizable linearly ordered space, then every member of  $\mathcal{D}$  has  $(\mathfrak{B})$ . Examples of such classes include all  $K$  for which  $C(K)$  is Lindelöf in the topology of pointwise convergence (for instance, all Corson compact spaces) and the class of Gruenhage compact spaces. We also show that the set of operators on a  $C(K)$ -space satisfying  $(\mathfrak{B})$  does not form a right ideal in  $\mathcal{B}(C(K))$ . Some results regarding local connectedness are also presented.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46E15; secondary 54F05, 37F20, 46B26.

*Keywords and phrases*: compact space, linear ordering, uncountable chain.

### 1. Introduction

The aim of this note is to continue the line of research undertaken in the recent work [6] of Kochanek, Hart and the first-named author concerning the so-called 'Bishop' property of Hausdorff spaces, denoted  $(\mathfrak{B})$ , which arose from operator-theoretic considerations.

Let  $K$  be a compact Hausdorff space and denote by  $C(K)$  the Banach space of all scalar-valued continuous functions on  $K$  furnished with the supremum norm. Pełczyński characterized weakly compact operators  $T : C(K) \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is an arbitrary Banach space, as precisely those which do not preserve copies of  $c_0$  inside  $C(K)$ . In fact,  $T$  is not weakly compact if it does not preserve copies of  $c_0$  spanned by sequences of disjointly supported norm-one functions. Given a sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of such functions in  $C(K)$ , set  $g_n = f_1 + \cdots + f_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )—then the functions  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  behave like elements of the summing basis of  $c_0$ . Therefore, we infer that the operator

$T$  is weakly compact if and only if  $\inf_{n>m} \|Tg_n - Tg_m\| = 0$ . Let us put this observation into a more general framework.

Given two distinct functions  $f, g \in C(K)$ , we write

$$f < g \quad \text{whenever } f \upharpoonright_{\text{supp } f} = g \upharpoonright_{\text{supp } f}.$$

Here,  $\text{supp } f$  denotes the closure of  $\{t \in K : f(t) \neq 0\}$ . The relation  $<$  is a partial ordering on  $C(K)$ , which has already been studied in the context of positive elements in arbitrary  $C^*$ -algebras (see [9, Theorem 3.11], where  $<$  is called the *geometric pre-ordering*). We shall however confine ourselves to the classical, commutative setting.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $T : C(K) \rightarrow X$  be a bounded linear operator. Then  $T$  is said to have  $(\clubsuit)$  if

$$\inf\{\|Tf - Tg\| : f, g \in F, f < g\} = 0$$

whenever  $F$  is a norm-bounded uncountable  $<$ -chain in  $C(K)$  ( $F$  is a  $<$ -chain if for all distinct  $f, g \in F$  either  $f < g$  or  $g < f$ ). Using this ostensibly *ad hoc* definition, we can rephrase the above-mentioned theorem of Pełczyński: the operator  $T : C(K) \rightarrow X$  is weakly compact if and only if  $\inf\{\|Tf - Tg\| : f, g \in F, f < g\} = 0$  for every norm-bounded countable  $<$ -chain  $F$  in  $C(K)$ . It was proved in [6] that if  $K$  is an extremally disconnected compact Hausdorff space, then  $T$  is weakly compact if and only if it has  $(\clubsuit)$ . Because the identity operator on a  $C(K)$ -space,  $I_{C(K)}$ , is never weakly compact (unless  $K$  is finite), we can ask what topological properties of  $K$  allow  $I_{C(K)}$  to have  $(\clubsuit)$  (in this case we say that  $K$  itself has  $(\clubsuit)$ ). The class of compact spaces having  $(\clubsuit)$  in this respect can be thought of as far distant as possible from the class of extremally disconnected compact spaces and, on the other hand, it is a common roof for the classes of compact metric spaces (as we shall now explain) and locally connected compact spaces.

Before we explain why compact metric spaces have  $(\clubsuit)$ , let us reformulate this property in the following helpful way. Given  $\delta > 0$  and  $f, g \in C(K)$ , we write  $f <_\delta g$  if  $f < g$  and  $\|f - g\| \geq \delta$ . A subset  $F \subseteq C(K)$  is called a  $\delta$ - $<$ -chain if, for any two different  $f, g \in F$ , either  $f <_\delta g$  or  $g <_\delta f$ . Thus,  $K$  has  $(\clubsuit)$  if and only if, for each  $\delta > 0$ , every bounded  $\delta$ - $<$ -chain in  $C(K)$  is at most countable. By rescaling, it follows that  $K$  has  $(\clubsuit)$  if and only if every bounded 1- $<$ -chain in  $C(K)$  is at most countable.

Apparently every compact metric space  $K$  enjoys this property, as in this case  $C(K)$  is separable in the norm topology and hence contains no uncountable discrete subset (evidently, any 1- $<$ -chain is discrete in the norm topology). On the other hand, the ordinal interval  $[0, \omega_1]$ , the lexicographically ordered split interval  $[0, 1] \times \{0, 1\}$  and the Čech–Stone compactification of the natural numbers  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  are examples of compact spaces that do not have  $(\clubsuit)$ . To see that the first two spaces do not have  $(\clubsuit)$ , consider the uncountable 1- $<$ -chains of indicator functions  $\{\mathbb{1}_{[0, \alpha]} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  and  $\{\mathbb{1}_{(0,0),(x,0)} : x \in [0, 1]\}$  in the corresponding spaces of continuous functions, respectively. In the case of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ , consider an enumeration of the rational numbers  $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ , the sets  $E_x = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : q_n < x\}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and finally the functions  $\mathbb{1}_{E_x} \in \ell_\infty$  and

their canonical extensions to  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ . (Proposition 3.1 generalizes this to the Čech–Stone compactifications of Tychonoff spaces from a wider class.)

Given these examples, a compact space  $K$  may be viewed as being in some way well behaved if it has  $(\clubsuit)$ . Thus, we find the task of identifying classes of compact spaces having  $(\clubsuit)$  to be natural and important, in terms of both the topology of compact spaces  $K$  and the ideal structure of the Banach algebra  $\mathcal{B}(C(K))$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the class of compact spaces  $K$  for which  $C_p(K)$  is Lindelöf, where  $C_p(K)$  denotes  $C(K)$  in the topology of pointwise convergence. As far as the authors are aware,  $\mathcal{L}$  has not been fully delineated. However, it is known that  $\mathcal{L}$  contains the important subclass  $\mathcal{C}$  of Corson compact spaces [1, 5].

**DEFINITION 1.1.** A compact space  $K$  is called *Corson* if, for some set  $\Gamma$ , it is homeomorphic to a subspace of  $\Sigma(\Gamma)$  in the pointwise topology, where

$$\Sigma(\Gamma) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^\Gamma : f(\gamma) \neq 0 \text{ for at most countably many } \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

All metrizable, Eberlein, Talagrand and Gul’ko compact spaces are in  $\mathcal{C}$ . In this note we show that all spaces in  $\mathcal{L}$  have  $(\clubsuit)$ . Thus, we answer positively [6, Question 3.9], which asks whether Eberlein compact spaces (spaces homeomorphic to weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces) have  $(\clubsuit)$ .

There is another large, though lesser-known, class of compact spaces of relevance to this note. It was first introduced in [4], and the second-named author found it to be of importance when studying strictly convex norms on Banach spaces. The definition below is equivalent to that given in [4]—see [13, Proposition 2].

**DEFINITION 1.2.** We say that a compact space  $K$  is *Gruenhage* if we can find a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of families of open subsets of  $K$ , together with a sequence of open subsets  $(R_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $K$ , such that:

- (1)  $U \cap V = R_n$  whenever  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}_n$  are distinct; and
- (2) if  $s, t \in K$ , then  $\{s, t\} \cap U$  is a singleton for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $U \in \mathcal{U}_m$ .

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{G}$  the class of Gruenhage compact spaces. All metrizable, Eberlein, Gul’ko and descriptive compact spaces are in  $\mathcal{G}$ . In particular, all scattered compact spaces having countable Cantor–Bendixson height or, more generally, all compact  $\sigma$ -discrete spaces (unions of countably many relatively discrete subsets) are descriptive and thus members of  $\mathcal{G}$ . We prove that all spaces in  $\mathcal{G}$  have  $(\clubsuit)$ .

That all elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  have  $(\clubsuit)$  follows from the next result.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a class of compact Hausdorff spaces that is preserved when taking closed subspaces and Hausdorff quotients, and which contains no nonmetrizable linearly ordered space. Then every member of  $\mathcal{D}$  has  $(\clubsuit)$ .*

It follows from the Tietze–Urysohn extension theorem and the Hahn–Banach theorem, respectively, that  $\mathcal{L}$  is preserved when taking closed subspaces and Hausdorff quotients. It was proved in [10] that  $\mathcal{L}$  contains no nonmetrizable linearly ordered elements. Regarding  $\mathcal{G}$ , it is immediate that this class is preserved under closed

subspaces. Preservation under continuous images is proved in [13, Theorem 23], and the fact that  $\mathcal{G}$  contains no nonmetrizable linearly ordered elements follows from [2, Proposition 6.5].

It is worth noting that  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are incomparable. The Mrówka space  $\Psi$ , defined using a maximal, almost disjoint family of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , is a compact scattered space of Cantor–Bendixson height 3 and so is Gruenhage. However,  $C_p(\Psi)$  is not Lindelöf [3, Proposition 1]. On the other hand, there is a Corson compact space that does not contain any dense metrizable subset [15, page 258], and every Gruenhage compact space possesses such a subset [4, Theorem 1].

Section 2 is devoted to proving Theorem 1.3. Section 3 explores  $(\mathbb{S})$  in the context of connected and locally connected spaces. In Section 4, we answer in the negative [6, Question 4.3].

## 2. The proof of Theorem 1.3

Before proceeding with the proof, we introduce some notation and auxiliary results. Given a linearly ordered set  $F$  and  $f, g \in F$ , we define the intervals  $(f, g)$ ,  $(f, g]$ ,  $[f, g]$  and  $[f, g)$  in the obvious way. We let  $(\leftarrow, f)$  and  $(f, \rightarrow)$  denote the sets of strict predecessors and strict successors of  $f$ , respectively, and define  $(\leftarrow, f]$  and  $[f, \rightarrow)$  accordingly.

A subset  $I \subseteq F$  is called an *initial segment* if  $f < g$  and  $g \in I$  implies  $f \in I$ . The set  $\mathcal{I}$  of initial segments of  $F$  is naturally linearly ordered with respect to inclusion, and is compact with respect to the induced order topology.

Let  $K$  be a compact Hausdorff space and let us fix a nonempty 1- $\leftarrow$ -chain  $F \subseteq C(K)$ . Set  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \geq 1\}$ . Given  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , we define

$$W_I := \bigcap_{f \in I} f^{-1}(0) \cap \bigcap_{g \in F \setminus I} g^{-1}(D),$$

where  $f^{-1}(0)$  is shorthand for  $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ . The next proposition lists some straightforward yet important facts about the  $W_I$  to be used in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *If we fix a 1- $\leftarrow$ -chain  $F \subseteq C(K)$ , then the following statements hold. Throughout,  $I$  and  $J$  are assumed to be elements of  $\mathcal{I}$ :*

- (i) *if  $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq F$ , then  $W_I$  is nonempty;*
- (ii) *if  $I \subsetneq J \subseteq F$ , then  $W_I \cap W_J$  is empty;*
- (iii) *if  $P \in \mathcal{I}$ , then  $\bigcup_{I \subseteq P} W_I$  and  $\bigcup_{P \subseteq J} W_J$  are compact.*

**PROOF.**

(i) If  $f <_1 g$ , then  $f^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(D)$  is nonempty. Bearing this in mind, if  $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq F$ , then  $W_I$  is nonempty, being as it is the intersection of a family of nonempty compact sets having the finite intersection property.

(ii) Given  $g \in J \setminus I$ , we have  $W_I \subseteq g^{-1}(D)$  and  $W_J \subseteq g^{-1}(0)$ .

(iii) Let  $P \in \mathcal{I}$ . We show that  $\bigcup_{I \subseteq P} W_I$  is compact. First, we assume that  $P \neq F$ . We claim that

$$\bigcup_{I \subseteq P} W_I = \bigcap_{g \in F \setminus P} g^{-1}(D),$$

which is of course compact. By definition, if  $I \subseteq P$  and  $g \in F \setminus P$ , then  $W_I$  is a subset of  $g^{-1}(D)$ . To see the other inclusion, fix

$$t \in \bigcap_{g \in F \setminus P} g^{-1}(D)$$

and set

$$I = \{g \in P : |g(t)| < 1\}.$$

Using the definition of  $<$ , it follows that  $I$  is an initial segment. We claim that  $t \in W_I$ . Trivially,  $|g(t)| \geq 1$  whenever  $g \in F \setminus I$ . Moreover, if  $f \in I$ , then  $f(t) = 0$ . Indeed, assume that  $f(t) \neq 0$ . Pick  $g \in F \setminus I$  (which we can do as  $I \subseteq P \neq F$ ). Then  $f < g$  and so  $|f(t)| = |g(t)| \geq 1$ ; hence,  $f \notin I$ . Thus,  $t \in W_I$ , as claimed.

In the case where  $P = F$ , we proceed differently. Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $\bigcup_{I \subseteq P} W_I$ . Then, because  $W_F$  is compact, there is a finite family  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  satisfying  $W_F \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{G}$ . According to the definition of  $W_F$ , there exists  $f \in F$  such that

$$f^{-1}(0) \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{G}.$$

Setting  $Q = (\leftarrow, f)$ , we get  $Q \neq F$  and so  $\bigcup_{I \subseteq Q} W_I$  is compact, from above. Thus,

$$\bigcup_{I \subseteq Q} W_I \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{F}$$

for some finite family  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . We conclude that

$$\bigcup_{I \subseteq F} W_I \subseteq \bigcup (\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G}),$$

since  $I \not\subseteq Q$  implies that  $f \in I$ , meaning that  $W_I \subseteq f^{-1}(0) \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{G}$ .

Now we show that  $\bigcup_{P \subseteq J} W_J$  is compact. Consider a new 1- $<$ -chain  $G = F \setminus P$ , its set of initial segments  $\mathcal{J}$ , together with the sets

$$W'_I = \bigcap_{f \in I} f^{-1}(0) \cap \bigcap_{g \in G \setminus I} g^{-1}(D),$$

where  $I \in \mathcal{J}$ . Observe that the map  $J \mapsto J \setminus P$  is a bijection between the set of  $J \in \mathcal{J}$  containing  $P$  and  $\mathcal{J}$ , and  $W_J = \bigcap_{f \in P} f^{-1}(0) \cap W'_{J \setminus P}$ . Therefore,

$$\bigcup_{P \subseteq J} W_J = \bigcap_{f \in P} f^{-1}(0) \cap \left( \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{J}} W'_I \right),$$

so it is compact, from above. □

We remark in passing that if  $W_\emptyset$  is empty, then  $F$  has a least element and, if  $W_F$  is empty, then  $F$  has a greatest element. Indeed, if  $W_F$  is empty, then  $f^{-1}(0)$  must be empty for some  $f \in F$ . However, the definition of  $<$  forces any such  $f$  to be the greatest element of  $F$ . Likewise, if  $W_\emptyset$  is empty, then  $g(K) \subseteq D$  for some  $g \in F$ , and any such  $g$  is necessarily the least element of a 1- $<$ -chain.

We also need the following result, which belongs to the folklore of linearly ordered topological spaces.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a second-countable linearly ordered topological space, and let  $A$  be the set of  $x \in X$  such that  $(x, \rightarrow)$  has a least element. Then  $A$  must be countable.*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $A$  is uncountable. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a countable base for  $X$ . Since each interval  $(\leftarrow, x]$ ,  $x \in A$ , is open, there are an uncountable set  $B \subseteq A$  and  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , such that  $x \in V \subseteq (\leftarrow, x]$  for all  $x \in B$ , but applying this to any distinct  $x, y \in B$  yields a contradiction.  $\square$

Now we are able to prove Theorem 1.3.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3.** Let  $K \in \mathcal{D}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  is a class satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem. Let  $F$  be a 1- $<$ -chain in  $C(K)$ , and set  $W = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} W_I$ . From Proposition 2.1(iii), we know that  $W$  is compact, so in particular  $W \in \mathcal{D}$ . Define the map  $\pi : W \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  by  $\pi(t) = I$  whenever  $t \in W_I$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{I} \setminus \pi(W) \subseteq \{\emptyset, F\}$ , by Proposition 2.1(i). We know that  $\pi$  is continuous because, given an open interval  $(P, Q) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ ,

$$\pi^{-1}((P, Q)) = W \setminus \left( \left( \bigcup_{I \subseteq P} W_I \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{Q \subseteq J} W_J \right) \right)$$

is open in  $W$ , again by Proposition 2.1(iii). Therefore,  $\pi(W) \in \mathcal{D}$  as well. Now  $\pi(W)$  is a closed interval in the compact linearly ordered space  $\mathcal{I}$ , so  $\pi(W)$  must be metrizable, by hypothesis. It follows that  $\mathcal{I}$  is also metrizable. Finally, given  $f \in F$ , the initial segment  $(\leftarrow, f) \in \mathcal{I}$  has immediate successor  $(\leftarrow, f] \in \mathcal{I}$ , so  $F$  must be countable, by Proposition 2.2.  $\square$

We identify a further class of compact spaces having  $(\mathfrak{G})$ . As with Gruenhage spaces, the next property was studied in the context of strictly convex norms on Banach spaces [11].

**DEFINITION 2.3.** We say that a compact space  $K$  has  $(*)$  if we can find a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of families of open subsets of  $K$ , with the property that given any  $x, y \in K$ , there exists  $n$  such that:

- (1)  $\{x, y\} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n$  is nonempty; and
- (2)  $\{x, y\} \cap U$  is at most a singleton for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ .

Every Gruenhage compact space has  $(*)$  [11, Proposition 4.1], but there are examples of compact scattered non-Gruenhage spaces having  $(*)$ , in both ZFC and elsewhere (see [14] and [11, Example 2], respectively). Every scattered compact space having  $(*)$  has  $(\mathfrak{G})$ , because again the class of such things satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.3, by [11, Proposition 4.5] and [2, Proposition 6.5].

### 3. Connectedness, local connectedness and their effects on $(\mathfrak{L})$

Drawing pictures of sequences of bumps will suggest to the reader that some form of connectedness will have consequences for  $(\mathfrak{L})$ . The next proposition and example, which generalize the fact that  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  does not have  $(\mathfrak{L})$ , shows that standard connectedness does not force spaces to have  $(\mathfrak{L})$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff (that is, completely regular) space that admits a countable and locally finite family  $\mathcal{U}$  of pairwise disjoint nonempty open sets. Then neither  $\beta X$  nor  $\beta X \setminus X$  has  $(\mathfrak{L})$ .*

**PROOF.** Fix an enumeration  $U_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let  $x_n \in U_n$  and take continuous functions  $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_n(x_n) = 1$  and  $f_n$  vanishes on  $X \setminus U_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let  $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be an enumeration of the rationals and, given  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $E_x = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : q_n < x\}$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  is locally finite,

$$g_x = \sum_{n \in E_x} f_n \quad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

is a well-defined, continuous and bounded function. Let  $\overline{g_x}$  denote the continuous extension of  $g_x$  to  $\beta X$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $x < y$ . Then the set  $E_y \setminus E_x$  is infinite. If  $p \in \beta X$  is any limit point of  $\{x_n : n \in E_y \setminus E_x\}$ , then necessarily  $p \notin X$ , because  $\mathcal{U}$  is locally finite. It is evident that  $\overline{g_x}(p) = 0$  and  $\overline{g_y}(p) = 1$ . Therefore, the  $\overline{g_x}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and also their restrictions to  $\beta X \setminus X$  form uncountable 1- $\leftarrow$ -chains in  $C(\beta X)$  and  $C(\beta X \setminus X)$ , respectively.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *The spaces  $\beta\mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  do not have  $(\mathfrak{L})$ , according to Proposition 3.1.*

On the other hand, we can formulate a sufficient condition if we consider a certain type of local connectedness. Before proving our main result of this section, Theorem 3.5, we make some preparatory observations. The next definition captures the precise notion of local connectedness that we require.

**DEFINITION 3.3.** Given a closed subset  $M$  of a compact space  $K$ , and  $t \in M$ , we say that  $t$  has a local base of connected sets relative to  $M$  if, given any set  $U \ni t$  open in  $M$ , there exists a connected set  $V$ , also open in  $M$ , and satisfying  $t \in V \subseteq U$ .

Clearly,  $K$  is locally connected if every point of  $K$  has a local base of connected sets relative to  $K$ . Given  $E \subseteq K$ , let  $\partial E$  denote the (possibly empty) boundary of  $E$ . We recall that, if a subset  $V \subseteq K$  is connected and  $U \subseteq K$  is an open set such that  $V \cap U$  and  $V \setminus U$  are nonempty, then  $V \cap \partial U$  is nonempty.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Suppose that  $M \subseteq K$  is closed and we have elements  $f_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $f$  in  $C(K)$  satisfying  $f_1 < f_2 < f_3 < \dots < f$  and  $t_n \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $f_n(t_n) = 0$  and  $|f_{n+1}(t_n)| \geq 1$  for all  $n$ . Then, if  $u$  is any accumulation point of the sequence  $(t_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ , then  $u$  does not have a local base of connected sets relative to  $M$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $U_n = \{s \in K : |f_{n+1}(s)| > \frac{1}{2}\}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Evidently,  $|f_{n+1}(s)| = \frac{1}{2}$  if  $s \in \partial U_n$ . Suppose that  $u \in M$  is an accumulation point of the sequence  $(t_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ . Then  $u \notin U_n$  for any  $n$ , because  $m > n$  implies that  $f_n < f_m$ , giving  $f_{n+1}(t_m) = 0$  and  $t_m \notin U_n$ . We claim that  $|f(u)| \geq 1$ . Indeed, otherwise, we can find an open set  $U \ni u$  such that  $|f(s)| < 1$  whenever  $s \in U$ . But this implies that  $t_n \in U$  for some  $n$  and, since  $f_{n+1} < f$ , we have  $1 \leq |f_{n+1}(t_n)| = |f(t_n)| < 1$ . Now let  $U = \{s \in K : |f(s)| > \frac{1}{2}\}$ . If  $u$  does have a local base of connected sets relative to  $M$ , then we could find a connected set  $V$ , open in  $M$ , such that  $u \in V \subseteq U \cap M$ . However, given  $m$  satisfying  $t_m \in V$ , we have  $t_m \in V \cap U_m$  and  $u \in V \setminus U_m$ . By connectedness, there exists some  $s \in V \cap \partial U_m$ , giving  $\frac{1}{2} = |f_{m+1}(s)| = |f(s)| > \frac{1}{2}$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Now suppose that we have a bounded  $<$ -chain  $F \subseteq C(K)$ . Given a closed set  $M \subseteq K$ , we define an equivalence relation  $\sim_M$  on the set  $F$  by declaring that  $f \sim_M g$  if and only if  $\|(f - g)|_M\| < 1$ . Evidently,  $\sim_M$  is reflexive and symmetric. To obtain transitivity, notice that if  $t \in K$  and  $f < g < h$ , then either  $g(t) = f(t)$  or  $g(t) = h(t)$  (if  $g(t) \neq f(t)$ , then  $g(t) \neq 0$ , giving  $g(t) = h(t)$ ). Moreover, the equivalence classes of  $\sim_M$  are intervals in  $(F, <)$ .

The main result of this section now follows. Recall that a linear ordering is *scattered* if it contains no order-isomorphic copies of the rationals.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be closed a closed subset of a compact Hausdorff space  $K$  and suppose that we can write the remainder  $K \setminus M$  as a union  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty H_n$ , where each  $H_n$  is open in  $\overline{H_n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and every point of  $H_n$  has a base of neighbourhoods that are connected sets relative to  $\overline{H_n}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *Every equivalence class, with respect to  $\sim_M$ , of any given 1- $<$ -chain is countable and scattered with respect to the induced ordering.*
- (2) *If  $M$  has  $(\mathfrak{L})$ , then so does  $K$ .*
- (3) *In particular, if  $M$  is empty, then  $K$  has  $(\mathfrak{L})$ .*

Of course, if  $K$  is locally connected, then Theorem 3.5 shows that  $K$  has  $(\mathfrak{L})$ . However, if  $A$  is a locally connected and compact space, and  $K$  is  $\sigma$ -discrete, that is,  $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty D_n$ , where each  $D_n$  is discrete in the relative topology, then the locally connected set  $A \times \{t\}$  is open in  $\overline{A \times D_n}^{A \times K}$  for all  $t \in D_n$ . Thus, Theorem 3.5 tells us that  $A \times K$  has  $(\mathfrak{L})$ . This example illustrates the fact that Theorem 3.5 can be applied to spaces that are rather far from being locally connected. Let us record the following corollary of Theorem 3.5.

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and denote by  $B_{X^*}$  the unit ball of  $X^*$  endowed with the weak\*-topology. Then  $B_{X^*}$  has  $(\mathfrak{L})$ .*

To prove Theorem 3.5, we require some machinery that is based on Haydon’s analysis of locally uniformly rotund norms on  $C(K)$ , where  $K$  is a so-called *Namioka–Phelps compact space* [7]. Lemma 3.7 below is essentially due to him. Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space, such that  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty H_n$ , where each  $H_n$  is open in its closure. Let

$$\Sigma = \{\sigma = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) : n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$



We introduce a total ordering  $\sqsubset$  on  $\Sigma$  by declaring that  $\sigma \sqsubset \sigma'$  if and only if  $\sigma$  is a proper extension of  $\sigma'$ , or if there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the  $i$ th entries  $n_i$  and  $n'_i$  of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$ , respectively, defined for  $i \leq k$ , agree whenever  $i < k$ , and  $n_k < n'_k$ . This is the Kleene–Brouwer ordering on  $\Sigma$ , and is different from the lexicographic ordering.

Given  $\sigma = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \in \Sigma$ , let

$$H_\sigma = (\overline{H_{n_1}} \setminus H_{n_1}) \cap \dots \cap (\overline{H_{n_{k-1}}} \setminus H_{n_{k-1}}) \cap H_{n_k}$$

and

$$\widehat{H}_\sigma = (\overline{H_{n_1}} \setminus H_{n_1}) \cap \dots \cap (\overline{H_{n_{k-1}}} \setminus H_{n_{k-1}}) \cap \overline{H_{n_k}}.$$

Evidently,  $H_\sigma \subseteq \widehat{H}_\sigma$  and  $\widehat{H}_\sigma$  is closed.

**LEMMA 3.7** (Cf. [7, Lemma 3.3]). *Let  $W \subseteq X$  be a nonempty and compact set. Then there exists a minimal element  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $W \cap \widehat{H}_\sigma$  is nonempty. Moreover, for this  $\sigma$ , we have  $W \cap H_\sigma = W \cap \widehat{H}_\sigma$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  be minimal, such that  $W \cap \overline{H_{n_1}} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $W \cap H_{n_1} = W \cap \overline{H_{n_1}}$ , stop by setting  $\sigma = (n_1)$ . Else,  $W \cap (\overline{H_{n_1}} \setminus H_{n_1}) \neq \emptyset$ , so let  $n_2$  be minimal, such that  $n_2 > n_1$  and  $W \cap (\overline{H_{n_1}} \setminus H_{n_1}) \cap \overline{H_{n_2}} \neq \emptyset$ . If

$$W \cap (\overline{H_{n_1}} \setminus H_{n_1}) \cap H_{n_2} = W \cap (\overline{H_{n_1}} \setminus H_{n_1}) \cap \overline{H_{n_2}},$$

let us stop by setting  $\sigma = (n_1, n_2)$ . Otherwise, let  $n_3$  be minimal, such that  $n_3 > n_2$  and

$$W \cap (\overline{H_{n_1}} \setminus H_{n_1}) \cap (\overline{H_{n_2}} \setminus H_{n_2}) \cap \overline{H_{n_3}} \neq \emptyset.$$

Continuing in this way, we have to stop after finitely many steps. Otherwise, we would get a strictly increasing sequence  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$  such that

$$W_k := W \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^k (\overline{H_{n_i}} \setminus H_{n_i}) \neq \emptyset$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $V := \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty W_k$ . Then  $V \neq \emptyset$  by compactness. Let  $j$  be minimal, subject to  $V \cap H_j \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $k$  be such that  $n_k \leq j < n_{k+1}$  (it is clear that  $n_1 \leq j$ ). Then

$$\emptyset \neq V \cap H_j \subseteq W \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^k (\overline{H_{n_i}} \setminus H_{n_i}) \cap H_j.$$

Evidently, this means that  $n_k < j$ , but this fact contradicts the minimal choice of  $n_{k+1}$ .

So, suppose that we have  $\sigma$  determined as above. Now let  $\sigma' \sqsubset \sigma$ . If  $\sigma'$  properly extends  $\sigma$ , then  $W \cap H_{\sigma'} = \emptyset$  by construction. If  $\sigma'$  is not a proper extension, take  $k$  such that  $n'_i = n_i$  for  $i < k$  and  $n'_k < n_k$  (where  $n'_i$  denotes the  $i$ th entry of  $\sigma'$ ). Since  $n_k$  was chosen minimally so that  $n_k > n_{k-1}$  and

$$W \cap \bigcap_{i < k} (\overline{H_{n_i}} \setminus H_{n_i}) \cap \overline{H_{n_k}} \neq \emptyset,$$

we must have

$$W \cap \bigcap_{i < k} (\overline{H_{n_i} \setminus H_{n_i}}) \cap \overline{H_{n'_k}} = \emptyset.$$

As  $\widehat{H_{\sigma'}} \subseteq \bigcap_{i < k} (\overline{H_{n_i} \setminus H_{n_i}}) \cap \overline{H_{n'_k}}$ , we conclude that  $W \cap \widehat{H_{\sigma'}} = \emptyset$ . The second assertion of the lemma is evident.  $\square$

**PROOF (The proof of Theorem 3.5).** Let us prove assertion (1). Suppose that we have a 1- $\leftarrow$ -chain of  $C(K)$ , and let  $F$  be an equivalence class of this chain with respect to  $\sim_M$ . We want to show that  $F$  is countable and scattered. This is done in two steps.

In step one, we argue by contradiction to eliminate the possibility that  $F$  contains an order-isomorphic copy of  $\omega_1$  or  $\omega_1^*$ . (Here,  $\omega_1^*$  stands for  $\omega_1$  with the reversed order.) If  $F$  contains a copy  $G$  of  $\omega_1^*$ , let  $g$  be the greatest element of  $G$ . Then it is a straightforward exercise to check that the set  $\{g - f : f \in G\}$  is a 1- $\leftarrow$ -chain, which is, moreover, order isomorphic to  $\omega_1$ . Furthermore, it is easy to see that the elements of this new chain are  $\sim_M$ -equivalent. Thus, if  $F$  contains an isomorphic copy of  $\omega_1$  or  $\omega_1^*$ , we can extract  $\sim_M$ -equivalent elements  $f_\alpha \in F$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , such that  $f_\alpha <_1 f_\beta$  whenever  $\alpha < \beta$ .

Recall the sets  $W_I$  introduced in Section 2. Here, we define the nonempty compact set

$$W_\alpha := \bigcap_{\xi < \alpha} f_\xi^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap f_\alpha^{-1}(D),$$

where  $D$  is as in Section 2. Observe that as the  $f_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , are  $\sim_M$ -equivalent, we have  $W_\alpha \subseteq K \setminus M$ . By applying Lemma 3.7 to  $X := K \setminus M$  and the  $W := W_\alpha$ , for each  $\alpha$  we obtain  $\sigma_\alpha \in \Sigma$  satisfying

$$W_\alpha \cap H_{\sigma_\alpha} = W_\alpha \cap \widehat{H_{\sigma_\alpha}} \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $S_\sigma = \{\alpha < \omega_1 : \sigma_\alpha = \sigma\}$ . Then  $\omega_1 = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} S_\sigma$ , which implies that  $S := S_\sigma$  is stationary for some  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  (that is,  $S$  meets every closed and unbounded subset of  $\omega_1$ ; the implication follows from [8, Theorem 8.3]), which we fix for the remainder of step one. As  $S$  is stationary, we can find a strictly increasing sequence  $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $S$  which converges to some  $\beta \in S$ . Indeed, if  $L$  denotes the set of accumulation points (in  $\omega_1$ ) of elements of  $S$ , then  $L$  is closed and unbounded; thus, there exists  $\beta \in S \cap L$ , from which the existence of  $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  follows.

Write  $\sigma = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ ,  $m = n_k$  and set  $A = \bigcap_{i < k} (\overline{H_{n_i} \setminus H_{n_i}})$ , so that

$$W_\alpha \cap A \cap H_m = W_\alpha \cap A \cap \overline{H_m} \neq \emptyset$$

for every  $\alpha \in S$ . For each  $n$ , select  $t_n \in W_{\beta_{n+1}} \cap A \cap H_m$ . We have  $|f_{\beta_{n+1}}(t_n)| \geq 1$  and  $f_{\beta_n}(t_n) = 0$ . Let  $u \in A \cap \overline{H_m}$  be an accumulation point of the  $t_n$ . Because  $f_{\beta_{n+1}} < f_\beta$ , we have  $|f_\beta(t_n)| \geq 1$  for all  $n$  and so  $|f_\beta(u)| \geq 1$ . On the other hand, if  $\xi < \beta$ , then there exists  $N$  for which  $\xi < \beta_n$  whenever  $n \geq N$ , meaning that  $f_\xi(t_n) = 0$  for such  $n$  and thus  $f_\xi(u) = 0$ . Therefore,  $u \in W_\beta$  and thus  $u \in W_\beta \cap A \cap \overline{H_m} = W_\beta \cap A \cap H_m$ . However,

according to Lemma 3.4,  $u \in \overline{H_m}$  does not have a local base of connected sets relative to  $\overline{H_m}$ , meaning that  $u \notin H_m$ . This contradiction completes step one.

We proceed with step two. We know that  $F$  cannot contain an isomorphic copy of  $\omega_1$  or  $\omega_1^*$ . According to results that go back to Hausdorff, if we define a new equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $F$  by  $f \sim g$  whenever the interval  $(f, g)$  is scattered, then every equivalence class of  $\sim$  is a scattered interval. Moreover, the quotient  $F/\sim$ , when endowed with the induced order, is densely ordered. Again, according to Hausdorff, any uncountable scattered order contains a copy of  $\omega_1$  or  $\omega_1^*$  (see [12, Theorem 5.28]). Since we have excluded this possibility, we conclude that all equivalence classes of  $\sim$  are countable.

The purpose of step two is to show that the quotient  $F/\sim$  is in fact a singleton. From this, we conclude that  $F$  is countable and scattered. We assume that  $F/\sim$  is not a singleton and reach a contradiction. Let  $G \subseteq F$  have the property that  $G$  contains precisely one element of each equivalence class of  $\sim$ . Then  $G$  is densely ordered when, given the induced order: if  $f, h \in G$  and  $f < h$ , then  $f < g < h$  for some  $g \in G$ .

Consider the set  $\mathcal{D}$  of all initial segments of  $G$  that do not have greatest elements. We can see that  $\mathcal{D}$  is compact with respect to the induced order. By definition,  $\mathcal{D}$  is also densely ordered. The fact that  $G$  is densely ordered and not a singleton implies that  $\mathcal{D}$  is not the singleton  $\{\emptyset\}$  and moreover that no nonempty open subset of  $\mathcal{D}$  can be a singleton. Notice furthermore that  $\mathcal{D}$  is first countable because  $G$  contains no copies of  $\omega_1$  or  $\omega_1^*$ . In particular, if  $J \in \mathcal{D}$  is nonempty, then there is a strictly increasing sequence  $(J_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , having union  $J$ .

Mimicking a little the procedure in step one above, for every  $I \in \mathcal{D}$ , define

$$W_I = \bigcap_{f \in I} f^{-1}(0) \cap \bigcap_{g \in G \setminus I} g^{-1}(D),$$

and take  $\sigma_I \in \Sigma$  such that

$$W_I \cap H_{\sigma_I} = W_I \cap \widehat{H}_{\sigma_I} \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $\mathcal{T}_\sigma = \{I \in \mathcal{D} : \sigma_I = \sigma\}$ . As  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathcal{T}_\sigma$ , the Baire category theorem implies that, for some  $\sigma$ , the closure  $\overline{\mathcal{T}_\sigma}$  contains a nonempty open set  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  cannot be a singleton, it follows that  $(P, Q) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{T}_\sigma}$  for some  $P, Q \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Fix  $J \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma \cap (P, Q)$ , take a strictly increasing sequence  $(I_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $(P, J)$  having union  $J$  and select  $J_n \in \mathcal{T}_\sigma \cap (I_n, I_{n+1})$  for each  $n$ . As above, let  $\sigma = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ ,  $m = n_k$  and  $A = \bigcap_{i < k} (\overline{H_{n_i}} \setminus H_{n_i})$ . Take  $t_n \in W_{J_n} \cap A \cap \overline{H_m}$  for all  $n$ ,  $f_1 \in J_1$  and  $f_n \in J_n \setminus J_{n-1}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $f_n(t_n) = 0$  and  $|f_{n+1}(t_n)| \geq 1$  for all  $n$ . Fix a limit  $u \in A \cap \overline{H_m}$  of the  $t_n$  and pick any  $f \in G \setminus J$ . As above, according to Lemma 3.4,  $u \in \overline{H_m}$  does not have a local base of connected sets relative to  $\overline{H_m}$  and thus  $u \notin H_m$ .

However, we claim that  $u \in W_J$ , which is a contradiction because it implies that

$$u \in W_J \cap A \cap \overline{H_m} = W_J \cap A \cap H_m.$$

Indeed, given any  $f \in G \setminus J$ , as  $f_{n+1} < f$ , we have  $|f(t_n)| \geq 1$  for all  $n$ , whence  $|f(u)| \geq 1$ . On the other hand, if  $f \in J$ , then there exists  $N$  such that  $f \in J_n$  for  $n \geq N$ . Thus,

$f(t_n) = 0$  for all such  $n$  and so  $f(u) = 0$ . Therefore,  $u \in W_J$  as claimed and we have our desired contradiction. This completes the proof of assertion (1).

Assertions (2) and (3) follow easily. Suppose that  $M$  has  $(\clubsuit)$ . Let  $F \subseteq C(K)$  be a bounded 1- $\leftarrow$ -chain. The fact that  $M$  has  $(\clubsuit)$  implies that there are only countably many distinct  $\sim_M$ -equivalence classes. By assertion (1), every such equivalence class of  $F$  is countable, so it follows that  $F$  itself must be countable. Finally, for assertion (3), if  $M$  is empty, then  $\sim_M$  has just one equivalence class, so  $F$  is countable and scattered. □

We conclude this section by remarking that the converse of Theorem 3.5(2) is false. The long line  $L$  is locally connected, so  $L$  has  $(\clubsuit)$ . Meanwhile,  $[0, \omega_1] \subseteq L$ ,  $[0, \omega_1]$  does not have  $(\clubsuit)$  and every point of the dense remainder  $L \setminus [0, \omega_1]$  has a local base of connected sets relative to  $L$ .

### 4. Further observations

The class of spaces having  $(\clubsuit)$  lacks good permanence properties and, in particular, a closed subset of space that has  $(\clubsuit)$  need not have  $(\clubsuit)$ . Indeed, the above example of the long line illustrates this. For another example, take any compact Hausdorff space  $M$  not having  $(\clubsuit)$ , apply Corollary 3.6 and observe the natural embedding of  $M$  into  $B_{C(M)^*}$  via the Dirac delta functionals.

Nonetheless, it is easy to see that if  $K$  is a compact space that has  $(\clubsuit)$ ,  $M$  is compact and  $\pi : K \rightarrow M$  is a continuous surjection, then  $M$  has  $(\clubsuit)$  too. This follows from the fact that  $f \mapsto f \circ \pi$  is an isometry of  $C(M)$  into  $C(K)$  that respects the lattice structure.

Moreover, we have the following result.

**EXAMPLE 4.1.** The property  $(\clubsuit)$  is not preserved under Banach-space isomorphisms of  $C(K)$ -spaces.

**PROOF.** Let  $B = B_{C(\beta\mathbb{N})^*}$  be the dual unit ball of  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ , which is isometrically isomorphic to  $\ell_\infty$ . It has  $(\clubsuit)$  by Corollary 3.6. By the Banach–Mazur theorem,  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  embeds into  $C(B)$  isometrically. On the other hand,  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  is injective and isomorphic to its Cartesian square. We are now in a position to apply the Pełczyński decomposition method in order to conclude that there exists an isomorphism

$$C(B) \cong C(B) \oplus_\infty C(\beta\mathbb{N}).$$

On the other hand, the Banach spaces  $C(B) \oplus_\infty C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  and  $C(B \sqcup \beta\mathbb{N})$  are isometrically isomorphic (here  $\sqcup$  denotes disjoint union). Because  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  fails  $(\clubsuit)$ ,  $B \sqcup \beta\mathbb{N}$  fails it too. □

Finally, we return to the structure of the left ideal of operators on  $C(K)$  having  $(\clubsuit)$ . In [6, Question 4.3], the authors ask whether this ideal is always two-sided, regardless of whether  $K$  has  $(\clubsuit)$  or not. We can use the spaces of Example 4.1 to answer this question.

**EXAMPLE 4.2.** The set of operators on  $C(B \sqcup \beta\mathbb{N})$  satisfying  $(\clubsuit)$  is not a right ideal.

**PROOF.** Let  $S : C(B) \rightarrow C(B \sqcup \beta\mathbb{N})$  be a Banach-space isomorphism. As the Banach spaces  $C(B \sqcup \beta\mathbb{N})$  and  $C(B) \oplus_{\infty} C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  are isometrically isomorphic, we may extend  $S$  to an operator  $T : C(B \sqcup \beta\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow C(B \sqcup \beta\mathbb{N})$  by setting  $T$  equal to 0 on  $C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ . Note that  $T$  has  $(\mathfrak{L})$  because  $S$ , as an operator from  $C(B)$ , has  $(\mathfrak{L})$ . It remains to notice that  $TS^{-1} = I_{C(B \sqcup \beta\mathbb{N})}$  fails  $(\mathfrak{L})$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

This paper grew out of discussions held at the Workshop on set theoretic methods in compact spaces and Banach spaces, Warsaw and at the inaugural meeting of the QOP network, Lancaster University, April 2013. In the Workshop, K. P. Hart announced two results related to this work: the Čech–Stone compactification of  $[0, \infty)$  does not have  $(\mathfrak{L})$  (cf. Example 3.2) and a compact, locally connected space has  $(\mathfrak{L})$  (cf. Theorem 3.5). Finally, we thank the referee for his or her extremely thorough report, and for the many suggestions which helped to improve the presentation and clarity of the paper.

### References

- [1] K. Alster and R. Pol, ‘On function spaces of compact subspaces of  $\Sigma$ -products of the real-line’, *Fund. Math.* **107** (1980), 135–143.
- [2] H. Bennett and D. Lutzer, ‘The Gruenhage property, property  $*$ , fragmentability, and  $\sigma$ -isolated networks in generalized ordered spaces’, *Fund. Math.* **223** (2013), 273–294.
- [3] A. Dow and P. Simon, ‘Spaces of continuous functions over a  $\Psi$ -space’, *Topology Appl.* **153** (2006), 2260–2271.
- [4] G. Gruenhage, ‘A note on Gul’ko compact spaces’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **100** (1987), 371–376.
- [5] S. P. Gul’ko, ‘On the properties of some function spaces’, *Sov. Math. Dokl.* **19** (1978), 1420–1424.
- [6] K. P. Hart, T. Kania and T. Kochanek, ‘A chain condition for operators from  $C(K)$ -spaces’, *Q. J. Math.* **65** (2014), 703–715.
- [7] R. Haydon, ‘Locally uniformly convex norms in Banach spaces and their duals’, *J. Funct. Anal.* **254** (2008), 2023–2039.
- [8] T. Jech, *Set Theory, The Third Millennium Edition, Revised and Expanded*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics (Springer, Berlin, 2006).
- [9] C.-W. Leung, C.-K. Ng and N.-C. Wong, ‘Geometric pre-ordering on  $C^*$ -algebras’, *J. Operator Theory* **63** (2010), 115–128.
- [10] L. B. Nakhmanson, ‘On the tightness of  $L_p(X)$  of a linearly ordered compact space  $X$ ’, in: *Investigations in the Theory of Approximations, Vol. 123* (Ural’skogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta, Sverdlovsk, 1988), 71–74 (in Russian).
- [11] J. Orihuela, R. J. Smith and S. Troyanski, ‘Strictly convex norms and topology’, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **104** (2012), 197–222.
- [12] J. G. Rosenstein, *Linear Orderings*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 98 (Academic Press, New York, 1981).
- [13] R. J. Smith, ‘Gruenhage compacta and strictly convex dual norms’, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **350** (2009), 745–757.
- [14] R. J. Smith, ‘Strictly convex norms,  $G_\delta$ -diagonals and non-Gruenhage spaces’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (2012), 3117–3125.
- [15] S. Todorćević, ‘Stationary sets, trees and continuums’, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)* **29** (1981), 109–122.

TOMASZ KANIA, Department of Mathematics and Statistics,  
Fylde College, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YF, UK  
and  
Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences,  
Śniadeckich 8, 00-056 Warszawa, Poland  
e-mail: [tomasz.marcin.kania@gmail.com](mailto:tomasz.marcin.kania@gmail.com)

RICHARD J. SMITH, School of Mathematical Sciences,  
University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland  
e-mail: [richard.smith@maths.ucd.ie](mailto:richard.smith@maths.ucd.ie)