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#### Abstract

We show how a short and elementary proof can be provided for a recently-published inequality ([6], [4]) which has found a number of applications.


## 1. Introduction

Probability-measure inequalities for sum sets have found a number of applications, see, for example, Brown and Williamson [3] for a coin-tossing application and Newhouse [7] and Palis and Takens [8] in connection with dynamical systems. They are often also intimately associated with combinatorial counting problems, as is the case in the present context.

In 1974, G. Brown and W. Moran [1] showed that a key probability inequality for uncountable sum sets could be deduced if a related counting inequality held for certain discrete sum sets, and that this in turn would follow from the truth of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha} y^{\alpha}+\max \left[x^{\alpha}(1-y)^{\alpha}, y^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\alpha}\right]+(1-x)^{\alpha}(1-y)^{\alpha} \geq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$ and $\alpha=\log _{4} 3$. Brown and Moran were unable to establish (1) at the time. Quite a rich literature, an historical perspective on some of which is recounted by Brown in [2], has developed around both (1) and the original problem.
Relation (1) possesses an $m$-variable generalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{\alpha}+\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \max _{\pi} \prod_{i=1}^{j} x_{\pi(i)}^{\alpha} \prod_{i=j+1}^{m}\left(1-x_{\pi(i)}\right)^{\alpha}+\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-x_{i}\right)^{\alpha} \geq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi$ denotes a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and

$$
\alpha=\alpha(m)=\frac{\log (m+1)}{m \log 2}
$$

[^0]If the $x_{i}$ are ordered by

$$
1 \geq x_{1} \geq \ldots \geq x_{m} \geq 0
$$

this may be expressed more simply as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \geq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \equiv x_{1}^{\alpha} \ldots x_{m}^{\alpha}+x_{1} \ldots x_{m-1}^{\alpha}\left(1-x_{m}\right)^{\alpha}+\ldots+\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{\alpha} \ldots\left(1-x_{m}\right)^{\alpha} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was established by Landau, Logan and Shepp [6] and independently by Brown, Keane, Moran and Pearce [4].

This apparently new and superficially inoccuous inequality turned out to be quite tricky to prove. As noted in [6]: "since the inequality seems to be a matter of real variables, it is perhaps surprising that our proof is based on conformal mapping and Hadamard's three-circle theorem." The proof of [4] is more elementary. In fact, in [6] the following extension of (3) is proved.

Suppose $\alpha>0$ and $1 \geq x_{1} \geq \ldots \geq x_{m} \geq 0$ and let $f$ be defined by (4). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \geq \min \left[1,(m+1) 2^{-m \alpha}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we shall note that we can use the simple method of [4] to obtain the general inequality (5). We show also how the argument of [4] may be shortened considerably.

## 2. Results

The following lemma was proved in [4].

Lemma 1. Denote by $w(m) \geq 0$ the infimum of $f$, so that

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \geq w(m)
$$

If the values $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are such that $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=w(m)$, then $x_{1}=\ldots=x_{m}=x$, say.

Now we have the following lemma, which extends Lemma 3 of [4].
Lemma 2. (a) For $\alpha=\alpha(m)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sinh (m+1) \alpha t}{(\sinh \alpha t) \cosh ^{m \alpha} t} \geq m+1 \quad(t \geq 0) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) for all $\beta$ satisfying $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha(m)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+b^{\beta}+b^{2 \beta}+\ldots+b^{m \beta} \geq(1+b)^{m \beta} \quad(b \geq 0) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) for all $\beta \geq \alpha(m)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+b^{\beta}+b^{2 \beta}+\ldots+b^{m \beta} \geq(m+1) 2^{-m \beta}(1+b)^{m \beta} \quad(b \geq 0) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) are established in [4], while for $\beta \geq \alpha(m)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+b^{\beta}+b^{2 \beta}+\ldots+b^{m \beta} & =1+\left(b^{\beta / \alpha(m)}\right)^{\alpha(m)}+\ldots+\left(b^{\beta / \alpha(m)}\right)^{m \alpha(m)} \\
& \geq\left[1+b^{\beta / \alpha(m)}\right]^{m \alpha(m)} \quad \text { by (7) } \\
& \geq 2^{m(\alpha(m)-\beta)}(1+b)^{m \beta} \quad \text { by Jensen's inequality } \\
& =(m+1) 2^{-m \beta}(1+b)^{m \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (7) and (8) we can formulate the following result. If $\alpha>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+b^{\alpha}+b^{2 \alpha}+\ldots+b^{m \alpha} \geq \min \left[1,(m+1) 2^{-m \alpha}\right](1+b)^{m \alpha} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the substitutions $x=1 /(1+b), 1-x=b /(1+b)$, (5) now follows from Lemma 1 and (9).

REMARK. As observed in [4], (6) and (7) are equivalent. However (6) arises as a special case of a theorem of Pittenger [9] (see Bullen, Mitrinović and Vasić [5, Theorem 5, page 349] for a more accessible account). For $r>0$, Pittenger's theorem gives in particular that (modulo an obvious misprint in [5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\cosh r_{1} t\right)^{1 / r_{1}} \leq\left[\frac{\sinh (r+1) t}{(r+1) \sinh t}\right]^{1 / r} \leq\left(\cosh r_{2} t\right)^{1 / r_{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=\min \left[\frac{r+2}{3}, \frac{r \log 2}{\log (r+1)}\right] \\
& r_{2}=\max \left[\frac{r+2}{3}, \frac{r \log 2}{\log (r+1)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Replace $t$ by $\alpha t$. Since

$$
r_{1}=r \log 2 / \log (r+1)
$$

for $r \geq 1$, we have $r / r_{1}=r \alpha(r)$ and $r_{1} \alpha(r)=1$, and (6) follows at once from the left-hand relation of (10). This enables the end result of Lemmas 2 and 3 of [4] to be deduced directly, thereby shortening considerably the argument of [4] to provide a conveniently short proof of (2) and (5).
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