PHYSICAL GEOLOGY OF THE PUNJAB.

SIR,-Will you allow me to make a few corrections in your abstract of my short paper to the Geological Society (GEOL. MAG. Dec. II. Vol. V., No. IV., April, 1878, p. 181.)

Line 1 for "accession" read "accessible." , 12 for "on limestones" read "are limestones." , 14 for "Lei Bau" read "Sirban." , 26 between when and present insert "both are."

28 for "further east a" read "further east, in the Salt Range a."

A. B. WYNNE.

SUBGLACIAL ORIGIN OF TILL.

SIR,-Mr. Geikie writes you that "I quietly ignore all the positive evidence "which has been adduced in proof of the subglacier origin and accumulation of the chalky Till of Suffolk."

I know of no such positive evidence.

Per contra. Why does Mr. Geikie so quietly ignore the positive evidence of marine conditions afforded by the thread of sand, packed with the remains of marine mollusca with valves adherent, which was discovered by Prof. T. M. Hughes and Mr. L. Lyell in the midst of the chalky basement clay of Holderness, which is similar in character and structure to the chalky clay of Suffolk?

S. V. Wood, Jun.

ERRATUM.

SIR,-Not having had the opportunity of examining the drawings of Plate VIII., in the June Number, before the stone was etched, allow me to call attention to some points in which they are defec-In Fig. 1 the oblique foraminal notches are not defined, tive. these are an important character, and are clearly shown upon the fossil; again, Fig. 2 does not give the entire outline of the mandibular ramus, which is well represented upon one of the blocks, inasmuch as the artist has omitted in his drawing the imperfect angular and articular bones preserved upon it, and it is consequently half an inch too short. The enamel of the teeth is finely plicated on the cutting edges, but the teeth are not crenulated, as the drawing of the plications on the enlarged Figure 2b might suggest. Finally, in "Explanation of Plate," Fig. 2b, for inner read antero-posterior. WM. DAVIES.

ERRATUM.—We are are requested to make the following correction of the notice of Prof. M'Coy's "Victorian Organic Remains" (Decade V.) given in the June Number, see p. 284, lines 5 and 6 from top:-

Substitute for "whether the supposed Mesozoic genus Belemnites may," etc., "whether the Mesozoic genus Belemniles supposed to occur in the Australian Tertiaries may," etc.