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In (2), John von Neumann introduced the concept of a continuous ring $\Re$ as a generalization to the infinite limiting case of the total matric algebras over a division ring. Von Neumann sketched a theory of arithmetic for such continuous rings $\Re$ and asserted:
$(\star)$ every continuous ring $\Re$ contains purely transcendental elements $c$.
This means: for every polynomial $p(t)=t^{m}+z_{1} t^{m-1}+\ldots+z_{m}(m \geqslant 1)$ which has all coefficients $z_{i}$ in the centre of $\Re$, the element $p(c)$ has a reciprocal in $\Re$, that is, $(p(c))^{-1}$ exists such that $p(c) \cdot(p(c))^{-1}=(p(c))^{-1} \cdot p(c)=1$.

A manuscript found in von Neumann's files after his death (see (1)) gives detailed proofs for all statements in (2) with one exception: no indication of proof is given for $(\star)$. In the present note we give a proof of ( $\star$ ).

Continuous rings were characterized by von Neumann in (2) as those irreducible associative regular rings which possess a unity element and are complete, continuous rank rings.

We recall some definitions of von Neumann (see 3, Part II, chapters xvir, XVIII): an associative ring $\Re$ is called regular if for each $a$ in $\Re$, the equation axa $=a$ has at least one solution $x$ in $\Re$. A regular ring $\Re$ is called a rank ring if a real-valued function $R(a)$ is defined for all $a$ in $\Re$ with the properties:

```
Always \(0 \leqslant R(a) \leqslant 1\).
\(R(a)=0\) if and only if \(a=0\).
\(R(1)=1\).
\(R(a b) \leqslant \mathrm{R}(a), R(a b) \leqslant R(b)\).
For \(e^{2}=e, f^{2}=f\), ef \(=f e=0\), always \(R(e+f)=R(e)+R(f)\).
```

Then necessarily $R(a)=1$ if and only if $a^{-1}$ exists, $R(a+b) \leqslant R(a)+R(b)$, and the function $d(a, b) \equiv R(a-b)$ determines a metric on $\Re ; \Re$ is called a complete rank ring if $\Re$ is a complete metric space under the metric $R(a-b)$.

If $\Re$ is a complete rank ring which is irreducible $\dagger$ then the values of the rank function are precisely, $0,1 / n, 2 / n, \ldots, n / n$, for some positive integer $n$ or precisely all real numbers $\geqslant 0, \leqslant 1$. In the latter case $\Re$ is called continuous.

[^0]As von Neumann showed (3, Part II, Theorem 18.1) if $\Re$ is a continuous ring then the principal right ideals $(a)_{r}$, and also the principal left ideals $(a)_{l}$, form continuous geometries $\bar{R}_{\Re}, \bar{L}_{\Re}$ with dimension functions $D, D^{\prime}$ respectively and:

$$
D\left((a)_{r}\right)=D^{\prime}\left((a)_{\imath}\right)=R(a) .
$$

We shall make use of the following facts:
(i) in a continuous geometry, for each element $\mathfrak{r} \neq 0$, there is a decomposition $\mathfrak{r}=\mathfrak{r}_{1} \cup \mathfrak{r}_{2}$ with $\mathfrak{r}_{1}, \mathfrak{r}_{2}$ perspective and $\mathfrak{r}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{r}_{2}=0$ (see 3, Part I, chapters vi, vii);
(ii) if the continuous geometry is $\bar{R}_{\Re}$ with $\Re$ a regular ring and $\mathfrak{r}=(e)_{r}$ with $e$ idempotent then for the $\mathfrak{r}_{1}, \mathfrak{r}_{2}$ in (i) it is possible to find idempotents $e_{1}, e_{2}$ so that $e=e_{1}+e_{2}, \mathfrak{r}_{1}=\left(e_{1}\right)_{r}, \mathfrak{r}_{2}=\left(e_{2}\right)_{r}$ and $e_{1}, e_{2}$ are orthogonal, that is, $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{2} e_{1}=0$ (see 3, Part II, chapter III);
(iii) in any regular ring $\Re$ if $\left(e_{1}\right)_{r}$ and $\left(e_{2}\right)_{r}$ are perspective and $e_{1}, e_{2}$ are orthogonal there exist elements $s_{12}$ and $s_{21}$ such that $s_{12} s_{21}=e_{1}, s_{21} s_{12}=e_{2}$, $s_{12}=e_{1} s_{12} s_{2}, s_{21}=e_{2} s_{21} e_{1}$ (see 3, Part II, chapter III);
(iv) in any continuous geometry with dimension function $D$, if $\mathfrak{r}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{r}_{m}$ are independent then $D\left(\cup_{i=1}^{m} \mathfrak{r}_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} D(\mathfrak{r})_{i}$.

We now prove two lemmas from which we shall deduce ( $\star$ ).
Lemma 1. Suppose $\Re$ is a continuous ring and $e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$ are orthogonal idempotents with $R\left(e_{i}\right)=1 / N$ for all $i$. Suppose that for some $c$ in $\Re$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(c e_{i}\right)_{r} & =\left(e_{i+1}\right)_{r} \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leqslant i<N, \\
\left(c e_{N}\right)_{r} & =\left(e_{1}\right)_{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for every polynomial $p(t)=t^{m}+z_{1} t^{m-1}+\ldots+z_{m}$ with coefficients $z_{i}$ in the centre of $\Re$ and degree $m \leqslant N$, it is so that $R((p(c))) \geqslant 1-m / N$.

Proof. Clearly $D\left(\left(e_{i}\right)_{r}\right)=1 / N$ for all $i$. We shall show below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r}, i=1, \ldots, N-m \text { are independent } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { if } i \leqslant N-m \text { then }\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r} \subset\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m}\right)_{r}  \tag{1}\\
& \text { if } i \leqslant N-m \text { then }\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r} \cap\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m-1}\right)_{r}=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r} \text { is perspective to }\left(e_{i+m}\right)_{r} \text { if } i \leqslant N-m \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (3) and (4) will imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(p(c)) & =D\left((p(c))_{r}\right) \geqslant D\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N-m}\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N-m} D\left(\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N-m} D\left(\left(e_{i+m}\right)_{r}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N-m} \frac{1}{N}=1-\frac{m}{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $R(p(c)) \geqslant 1-m / N$, as required.

To prove (1): note that if $i+m \leqslant N$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(c) e_{i} & =c^{m} e_{i}+z_{1} c^{m-1} e_{i}+\ldots+z_{m} e_{i} \\
& \in\left(e_{i+m}\right)_{r} \cup\left(e_{i+m-1}\right)_{r} \cup \ldots \cup\left(e_{i}\right)_{r} \\
& \leqslant\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m}\right)_{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r} \subset\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m}\right)_{r}$ as required.
To prove (2): note first that $(c)_{r} \supset\left(e_{i}\right)_{r}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$ so $(c)_{r}=\Re$. Thus $c^{-1}$ exists.

Now if $x \in\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r} \cap\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m-1}\right)_{r}$ then

$$
x=\left(c^{m} e_{i}+z_{1} c^{m-1} e_{i}+\ldots+z_{m} e_{i}\right) y=\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m-1}\right) x
$$

for some $y$ in $\Re$. Since $c^{j} e_{i} \in\left(e_{i+j}\right)_{r}$ for $i+j \leqslant N$ and $\left(e_{1}\right)_{r}, \ldots,\left(e_{N}\right)_{r}$ are independent, so $c^{m} e_{i} y=0$. Since $c^{-1}$ exists, so $e_{i} y=0$. Now it follows that $x={ }^{\prime} 0$. This proves:

$$
\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r} \cap\left(e_{1}+\ldots e_{i+m-1}\right)_{r}=0, \text { that is, (2). }
$$

To prove (3): This follows from (1) and (2) since

$$
\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r} \cap \cup_{j<i}\left(p(c) e_{j}\right)_{r} \subset\left(\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r} \cap \cup_{j<i+m}\left(e_{j}\right)_{r}=0\right.
$$

for $i=2, \ldots, N-m$.
To prove (4): note (with the help of (2)) that:

$$
\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r} \cap\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m-1}\right)_{r}=0=\left(e_{i+m}\right)_{r} \cap\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m-1}\right)_{r}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r} & \cup\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m-1}\right)_{r} \\
& =\left(c^{m} e_{i}\right)_{r} \cup\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m-1}\right)_{r} \\
& =\left(e_{i+m}\right)_{r} \cup\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m-1}\right)_{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\left(p(c) e_{i}\right)_{r}$ is perspective to $\left(e_{i+m}\right)_{r}$ with axis of perspectivity $\left(e_{1}+\ldots+e_{i+m-1}\right)_{r}$. This proves (4).

Corollary to Lemma 1. The element c is purely transcendental, if for every integer $N=1,2, \ldots$ there exists an integer $M \geqslant N$ and orthogonal indempotents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{M}$ with $R\left(e_{i}\right)=1 / M$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant M$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(c e_{i}\right)_{r}=\left(e_{i+1}\right)_{r} \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leqslant i<M \\
& \left(c e_{M}\right)_{r}=\left(e_{1}\right)_{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $p(t)$ be any polynomial $t^{m}+z_{1} t^{m-1}+\ldots+z_{m}$ with coefficients in the centre of $\Re$. Then Lemma 1 shows that for every $N \geqslant m$, we have $R(p(c)) \geqslant 1-m / M \geqslant 1-m / N$. Hence $R(p(c))=1$ and so $p(c)^{-1}$ exists. This means that $c$ is purely transcendental.

Lemma 2. Suppose $N$ is a positive integer and $e_{i}{ }^{(N)}, i=1, \ldots, 2^{N}$ are orthogonal idempotents such that $R\left(e_{i}{ }^{(N)}\right)=1 / 2^{N}$ for all $i$. Suppose also that $c^{(N)}$ is an element in $\Re$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(c^{(N)} e_{i}^{(N)}\right)_{r} & =\left(e_{i+1}^{(N)}\right)_{r} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i<2^{N} \\
c^{(N)} e_{2 N}^{(N)} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exist orthogonal idempotents $e_{i}^{(N+1)}, i=1, \ldots, 2^{N+1}$ and an element $c^{(N+1)}$ such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(e_{i}^{(N+1)}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{N+1}} \text { for all } i,  \tag{5}\\
& \left(c^{(N+1)} e_{i}^{(N+1)}\right)_{\tau}=\left(e_{i+1}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r} \text { for } 1 \leqslant i<e^{N+1},  \tag{6}\\
& c^{(N+1)} e_{2}^{(N+1)}=0,  \tag{7}\\
& e_{i}^{(N)}=e_{i}^{(N+1)}+e_{2}^{(N+1)},  \tag{8}\\
& c^{(N+1)} e_{i}^{(N)}=c^{(N)} e_{i}^{(N)} \text { for } 1 \leqslant i<2^{N},  \tag{9}\\
& R\left(c^{(N+1)}-c^{(N)}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{N+1}} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We can suppose $e_{1}{ }^{(N)}=e_{1}+e_{2}$ with $e_{1}, e_{2}$ orthogonal idempotents and

$$
R\left(e_{1}\right)=R\left(e_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} R\left(e_{1}^{(N)}\right)
$$

(see (i) and (ii), preceding Lemma 1). Define

$$
e_{1}^{(N+1)} \equiv e_{1} \quad e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)} \equiv e_{2} .
$$

Now for $1<j \leqslant 2^{N}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(e_{j}^{(N)}\right)_{r}=\left(\left(c^{(N)}\right)^{j} e_{1}^{(N)}\right)_{r}=\left(\left(c^{(N)}\right)^{j} e_{1}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r} \cup\left(\left(c^{(N)}\right)^{j} e_{2}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r}, \\
\left(\left(c^{(N)}\right)^{j} e_{1}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r} \cap\left(\left(c^{(N)}\right)^{j} e_{2}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence (see (ii) preceding Lemma 1) there exist orthogonal idempotents

$$
e_{j}^{(N+1)}, e_{2 N+j}^{(N+1)}
$$

such that their sum is $e_{j}^{(N)}$ (so (8) holds) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e_{j}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r}=\left(\left(c^{(N)}\right)^{j} e_{1}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r} \\
& \left(e_{2 N+j}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r}=\left(\left(c^{(N)}\right)^{j} e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(e_{j}^{(N)}\right) & =R\left(e_{j}^{(N+1)}\right)+R\left(e_{2}^{(N+1)}\right) \\
& \leqslant R\left(e_{1}^{(N+1)}\right)+R\left(e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)}\right)=R\left(e_{1}^{(N)}\right)=R\left(e_{j}^{(N)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that equality holds throughout, so $R\left(e_{i}{ }^{(N+1)}\right)=1 / 2^{N+1}$ for all $i$, so (5) holds.

Now

$$
\left(e_{2 N}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r}
$$

and

$$
\left(e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r}
$$

are perspective (since they have equal ranks); hence by (iii), preceding Lemma 1, there exist elements $s^{(N)}, s_{1}^{(N)}$ such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s^{(N)} & =e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)} s^{(N)} e_{2 N}^{(N+1)} ; \\
s_{1}^{(N)} & =e_{2 N}^{(N+1)} s_{1}^{(N)} e_{2}^{(N+1)+1} ; \\
s^{(N)} s_{1}^{(N)} & =e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)}, s_{1}^{(N)} s^{(N)}=e_{2 N}^{(N+1)} ; \\
\left(s^{(N)} e_{2 N}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r} & =\left(e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r}, s^{(N)} e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $c^{(N+1)} \equiv c^{(N)}+s^{(N)}$. Then if $1 \leqslant i<2^{N}$,

$$
c^{(N+1)} e_{i}^{(N+1)}=c^{(N)} e_{i}^{(N+1)}, c^{(N+1)} e_{2 N+i}^{(N+1)}=c^{(N)} e_{2 N+i}^{(N+1)} ;
$$

if $i=2^{N}$,

$$
c^{(N+1)} e_{2 N}^{(N+1)}=s^{(N)} e_{2 N}^{(N+1)} ; c^{(N+1)} e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)}=s^{(N)} e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)}=0 .
$$

It follows that (6), (7), (9) also hold. Since

$$
R\left(s^{(N)}\right)=R\left(e_{2 N}^{(N+1)}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}
$$

it follows that all of (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10) hold.
Conclusion from Lemmas 1 and 2. The hypotheses of Lemma 2 are satisfied, for $N=0$, if we choose $e_{1}{ }^{(0)}=1$ and $c^{(0)}=0$.

Now we can define $c^{(N)}$ and the $e_{i}{ }^{(N)}, i=1, \ldots, 2^{N}$ by induction on $N$ as in Lemma 2. Then

$$
R\left(c^{(M)}-c^{(N)}\right)=\sum_{i=N+1}^{M} \frac{1}{2^{i}}<\frac{1}{2^{N}}
$$

so $c^{(N)}$ is a rank-convergent sequence. Since $\Re$ is assumed to be complete in the rank metric there will exist an element $c$ in $\Re$ such that $R\left(c-c^{(N)}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Now for any $N \geqslant 1$,

$$
c e_{i}^{(N)}=\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} c^{(M)} e_{i}^{(N)}=e_{i+1}^{(N)} \quad \text { if } 1 \leqslant i<2^{N}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(c e_{2 N}^{(N)}\right)_{r} & =\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty}\left(c^{(M+1)} e_{2 N}^{(N)}\right)_{r}= \\
& =\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left(e_{2 N+1}^{(N+1)}\right)_{r} \cup\left(e_{2 N+1+1}^{(N+2)}\right)_{r} \cup \ldots \cup\left(e_{2 M-1+1}^{(M)}\right)_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The idempotents $e_{2^{i}+1}{ }^{(i+1)}, i=N, \ldots, M-1$ are orthogonal and $e_{2}{ }^{i}+1^{(i+1)}$ $=e_{1}{ }^{(N)} e_{2}{ }^{i}+{ }^{(i+1)}$ for $N \leqslant i<M$. Thus (see (iv) preceding Lemma 1),

$$
D\left(c e_{2 N}^{(N)}\right)_{r}=\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=N+1}^{M} \frac{1}{2^{i}}=\frac{1}{2^{N}}
$$

Since

$$
\left(c e_{2 N}^{(N)}\right)_{\tau} \subset\left(e_{1}^{(N)}\right)_{\tau}
$$

and both of these principal right ideals have the same dimension namely, $1 / 2^{N}$, they must be identical.

Thus this element $c$ satisfies the hypotheses of the Corollary to Lemma 1 and so $c$ must be purely transcendental.*
*Other types of transcendental elements have been constructed by I. Amemiya and the author.
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[^0]:    Received December 1, 1960.
    $\dagger$ An associative ring $\Re$ with unity element is called irreducible if whenever two subrings $\Re_{1}, \Re_{2}$ are such that: (i) each $x$ in $\Re$ is expressible in the form $x_{1}+x_{2}$ with $x_{1}$ in $\Re_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ in $\Re_{2}$ and (ii) $x_{1} x_{2}=x_{2} x_{1}=0$ for all $x_{1}$ in $\Re_{1}, x_{2}$ in $\Re_{2}$, then one of $\Re_{1}, \Re_{2}$ must consist of 0 only.

