ON THE CHARACTERISATION OF SPORADIC SIMPLE GROUPS BY CODEGREES

MALLORY DOLORFINO[®], LUKE MARTIN[®], ZACHARY SLONIM[®], YUXUAN SUN[®] and YONG YANG[®]

(Received 5 January 2023; accepted 30 January 2023; first published online 27 March 2023)

Abstract

Let *G* be a finite group and $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ the set of all irreducible complex characters of *G*. Define the codegree of $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ as $\operatorname{cod}(\chi) := |G : \operatorname{ker}(\chi)|/\chi(1)$ and denote by $\operatorname{cod}(G) := {\operatorname{cod}(\chi) \mid \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)}$ the codegree set of *G*. Let *H* be one of the 26 sporadic simple groups. We show that *H* is determined up to isomorphism by $\operatorname{cod}(H)$.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 20D08; secondary 20C15.

Keywords and phrases: sporadic groups, codegrees.

1. Introduction

Let *G* be a finite group and Irr(*G*) the set of all irreducible complex characters of *G*. For any $\chi \in Irr(G)$, define the codegree of χ by $cod(\chi) := |G : ker(\chi)|/\chi(1)$. Then define the codegree set of *G* as $cod(G) := \{cod(\chi) | \chi \in Irr(G)\}$. The concept of codegrees was originally considered in [7], where the codegree was defined as $cod(\chi) := |G|/\chi(1)$, and it was later modified to its current definition in [19] so that $cod(\chi)$ is the same for *G* and *G*/*N* when $N \le ker(\chi)$.

The codegree set of a group is closely related to the character degree set of a group, defined as $cd(G) := \{\chi(1) \mid \chi \in Irr(G)\}$. The relationship between the character degree set and the group structure is an active area of research and many properties of group structure are largely determined by the character degree set. In 1990, Huppert made the following conjecture.

HUPPERT'S CONJECTURE. Let *H* be a finite nonabelian simple group and *G* a finite group such that cd(H) = cd(G). Then, $G \cong H \times A$, where *A* is an abelian group.

Huppert's conjecture has been verified for many cases, including alternating groups, sporadic groups and simple groups of Lie type with low rank, but it has yet to be



This research was conducted under NSF-REU grants DMS-1757233, DMS-2150205 and NSA grants H98230-21-1-0333, H98230-22-1-0022 by Dolorfino, Martin, Slonim and Sun during the Summer of 2022 under the supervision of Yang. Yang was also partially supported by grants from the Simons Foundation (No. 499532, No. 918096, YY).

[©] The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

verified for simple groups of Lie type with high rank. Recently, a similar conjecture related to codegrees was posed in [16] as Question 20.79.

CODEGREE VERSION OF HUPPERT'S CONJECTURE. Let *H* be a finite nonabelian simple group and *G* a finite group such that cod(H) = cod(G). Then, $G \cong H$.

This conjecture has been verified for PSL(2, q), PSL(3, 4), Alt₇, J₁, ${}^{2}B_{2}(2^{2f+1})$, where $f \ge 1$, M₁₁, M₁₂, M₂₂, M₂₃ and PSL(3, 3) (see [1, 4, 10]). The conjecture has also been verified for PSL(3, q) and PSU(3, q) in [17] and ${}^{2}G_{2}(q)$ in [11]. Most of these results concern simple groups with fewer than 21 character degrees [3]. We now provide a general proof verifying this conjecture for all the sporadic simple groups. The methods used may be generalised to simple groups of Lie type, giving promising results for characterising all simple groups by their codegree sets.

THEOREM 1.1. Let H be a sporadic simple group and G a finite group. If cod(G) = cod(H), then $G \cong H$.

Throughout the paper, we follow the notation used in Isaacs' book [13] and the ATLAS of Finite Groups [8].

2. Preliminary results

We first reproduce several lemmas which will be used in later proofs.

LEMMA 2.1 [18, Lemma 4.2]. Let S be a finite nonabelian simple group. Then there exists $\chi \in Irr(S), \chi \neq 1_S$, that extends to Aut(S).

LEMMA 2.2 [14, Theorem 4.3.34]. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G such that $N = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_t$, where $S_i \cong S$ is a nonabelian simple group for each i = 1, ..., t. If $\chi \in Irr(S)$ extends to Aut(S), then $\chi \times \cdots \times \chi \in Irr(N)$ extends to G.

LEMMA 2.3 [10, Remark 2.6]. Let G be a finite group and H a finite nonabelian simple group with cod(G) = cod(H). Then, G is a perfect group.

LEMMA 2.4 [12, Theorem C]. Let G be a finite group and S a finite nonabelian simple group such that $cod(S) \subseteq cod(G)$. Then, |S| divides |G|.

LEMMA 2.5. Let G be a finite group with $N \leq G$. Then, $cod(G/N) \subseteq cod(G)$.

PROOF. We can define $\operatorname{Irr}(G/N) = \{\hat{\chi}(gN) = \chi(g) \mid \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G) \text{ and } N \subseteq \ker(\chi)\}$ by [13, Lemma 2.22]. Take any $\hat{\chi} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G/N)$. By definition, $\hat{\chi}(1) = \chi(1)$, so the denominators of $\operatorname{cod}(\hat{\chi})$ and $\operatorname{cod}(\chi)$ are equal. In addition, $\ker(\hat{\chi}) \cong \ker(\chi)/N$, so that $|\ker(\chi)| = |N| \cdot |\ker(\hat{\chi})|$. Thus, $|G/N : \ker(\hat{\chi})| = |G|/|N|/|\ker(\chi)|/|N| = |G|/|\ker(\chi)|$, so that $\operatorname{cod}(\hat{\chi}) = \operatorname{cod}(\chi)$ and $\operatorname{cod}(G/N) \subseteq \operatorname{cod}(G)$.

LEMMA 2.6. Let G be a finite group with normal subgroups N and M such that $N \leq M$. Then, $cod(G/M) \subseteq cod(G/N)$.

PROOF. By the third isomorphism theorem, $G/M \cong (G/N)/(M/N)$ is a quotient of G/N, and by Lemma 2.5, $\operatorname{cod}(G/M) \subseteq \operatorname{cod}(G/N)$.

59

LEMMA 2.7. Let G and H be finite groups such that $cod(G) \subseteq cod(H)$. Then there are at least |cod(G)| elements in cod(H) which divide |G|.

PROOF. For each $x \in cod(G)$, it is clear that x divides |G|. The lemma follows.

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. Let *H* be a sporadic simple group and *G* a finite group with cod(G) = cod(H). If *N* is a maximal normal subgroup of *G*, then $G/N \cong H$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3, *G* is perfect. Thus, G/N is a nonabelian simple group. By Lemma 2.6, $cod(G/N) \subseteq cod(G) = cod(H)$. We will prove that this cannot occur unless $G/N \cong H$. We can easily check that $cod(K) \not\subseteq cod(H)$ for any two non-isomorphic sporadic groups *H* and *K*. Thus, G/N must belong to one of the 17 infinite families of nonabelian simple groups.

Now, we work with each sporadic group in turn, computationally proving there are no possible exceptions. To do this, we use the code file available at https://github.com/zachslonim/Characterizing-Sporadic-Groups-by-Codegrees. All the code referenced in this paper is written in Julia [5] and each file contains comments providing further detail. In addition, we invite readers to contact the authors with any questions. We walk through what the code does in detail for one example here, namely, the Suzuki group, Suz. This group has order 448345497600. Using the order formulae for the 17 infinite families of nonabelian simple groups [6], we first deduce which simple groups have order dividing 448345497600. In this case, we get the following list:

$$\begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10}, A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{13}, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(11), PSL_2(13), PSL_2(25), \\ PSL_2(27), PSL_2(64), PSL_3(3), PSL_3(4), PSL_3(9), PSL_4(2), PSL_4(3), O_5(2)', \\ O_5(3), O_7(2), O_8^+(2), G_2(2)', G_2(3), G_2(4), PSU_3(3), ^2B_2(8), ^2F_4(2)'. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.4, if a simple group *K* has $cod(K) \subseteq cod(Suz)$, then it must belong to the above list. By Lemma 2.7, there must be at least |cod(K)| elements in cod(Suz)which divide |K|. Then, from [2], any nonabelian simple group has |cod(K)| > 3. Hence, if $cod(K) \subseteq cod(Suz)$, then there are at least four elements of cod(Suz) that divide the order of *K*. So, for each of the groups in the list above, we count the number of codegrees of Suz that divide the order of the group. We find that there are less than 4 such codegrees in every case except for when $K = O_8^+(2)$ which has order divisible by 5 of the codegrees of Suz. However, [3] shows that $|cod(O_8^+(2))| > 20$, so we would require that $|O_8^+(2)|$ is divisible by at least 20 of the codegrees of Suz, which is a contradiction. Thus, if $cod(K) \subseteq$ Suz for some simple group *K*, the only possibility is $K \cong$ Suz.

We repeat this process for all of the other sporadic simple groups. For each sporadic group H, we first check which nonabelian simple groups K satisfy |K| divides |H|. These lists are given in Table 1. Second, we check which of these possibilities have order divisible by more than three codegrees of H. We find two more groups H

Sporadic Group <i>H</i>	Possible K				
M ₁₁	A ₅ , A ₆ , PSL ₂ (11), O ₅ (2)'				
M ₁₂	$A_5, A_6, PSL_2(11), O_5(2)'$				
M ₂₂	$A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), PSL_2(11), PSL_3(4), O_5(2)'$				
M ₂₃	A ₅ , A ₆ , A ₇ , A ₈ , PSL ₂ (7), PSL ₂ (8), PSL ₂ (11), PSL ₂ (23), PSL ₃ (4), O ₅ (2)'				
M ₂₄	A ₅ , A ₆ , A ₇ , A ₈ , PSL ₂ (7), PSL ₂ (8), PSL ₂ (11), PSL ₂ (23), PSL ₃ (4), O ₅ (2)', G ₂ (2)', PSU ₃ (3)				
J_1	$A_5, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(11)$				
J_2	A ₅ , A ₆ , A ₇ , A ₈ , PSL ₂ (7), PSL ₂ (8), PSL ₃ (4), O ₅ (2)', G ₂ (2)', PSU ₃ (3)				
J_3	A ₅ , A ₆ , PSL ₂ (16), PSL ₂ (17), PSL ₂ (19), O ₅ (2)', O ₅ (3)				
J_4	$ \begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), PSL_2(11), PSL_2(23), PSL_2(29), PSL_2(31), \\ PSL_2(32), PSL_3(4), PSL_5(2), O_5(2)', G_2(2)', PSU_3(3) \end{array} $				
Co ₃	$\begin{array}{l} A_5,A_6,A_7,A_8,A_9,A_{10},A_{11},A_{12},PSL_2(7),PSL_2(8),PSL_2(11),PSL_2(23),\\ PSL_3(4),O_5(2)',O_5(3),O_7(2),G_2(2)',PSU_3(3) \end{array}$				
Co ₂	$ \begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10}, A_{11}, A_{12}, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), PSL_2(11), PSL_2(23), \\ PSL_3(4), O_5(2)', O_5(3), O_7(2), O_8^+(2), G_2(2)', PSU_3(3) \end{array} $				
Co ₁	$ \begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10}, A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{14}, A_{15}, A_{16}, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), \\ PSL_2(11), PSL_2(13), PSL_2(23), PSL_2(25), PSL_2(27), PSL_2(49), PSL_2(64), \\ PSL_3(3), PSL_3(4), PSL_3(9), PSL_4(3), O_5(2)', O_5(3), O_5(5), O_5(8), O_7(2), \\ O_7(3), PSp_6(3), O_8^+(2), G_2(2)', G_2(3), G_2(4), PSU_3(3), PSU_3(5), {}^{3}D_4(2), \\ {}^{2}B_2(8), {}^{2}F_4(2)' \end{array} $				
Fi ₂₂	$ \begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10}, A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{13}, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), PSL_2(11), PSL_2(13) \\ PSL_2(25), PSL_2(27), PSL_2(64), PSL_3(3), PSL_3(4), PSL_3(9), PSL_4(3), O_5(2)' \\ O_5(3), O_7(2), O_7(3), PSp_6(3), O_8^+(2), G_2(2)', G_2(3), G_2(4), PSU_3(3), {}^2B_2(8), {}^2F_4(2)' \\ \end{array} $				
Fi ₂₃	$ \begin{array}{l} A_{5}, A_{6}, A_{7}, A_{8}, A_{9}, A_{10}, A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{13}, PSL_{2}(7), PSL_{2}(8), PSL_{2}(11), PSL_{2}(13), \\ PSL_{2}(16), PSL_{2}(17), PSL_{2}(23), PSL_{2}(25), PSL_{2}(27), PSL_{2}(64), PSL_{3}(3), \\ PSL_{3}(4), PSL_{3}(9), PSL_{3}(16), PSL_{4}(3), PSL_{4}(4), O_{5}(2)', O_{5}(3), O_{5}(4), O_{7}(2), \\ O_{7}(3), O_{9}(2), PSp_{6}(3), O_{8}^{+}(2), O_{8}^{+}(3), G_{2}(2)', G_{2}(3), G_{2}(4), PSU_{3}(3), PSU_{3}(6), \\ PSU_{5}(2), PSU_{6}(2), {}^{2}B_{2}(8), {}^{2}F_{4}(2)' \end{array} $				
Fi ₂₄ ′	$ \begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10}, A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{14}, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), PSL_2(11), \\ PSL_2(13), PSL_2(16), PSL_2(17), PSL_2(23), PSL_2(25), PSL_2(27), PSL_2(29), \\ PSL_2(49), PSL_2(64), PSL_3(3), PSL_3(4), PSL_3(9), PSL_3(16), PSL_4(3), \\ PSL_4(4), O_5(2)', O_5(3), O_5(4), O_5(8), O_7(2), O_7(3), O_9(2), PSp_6(3), O_8^+(2), \\ O_8^+(3), G_2(2)', G_2(3), G_2(4), PSU_3(3), PSU_3(4), PSU_5(2), PSU_6(2), {}^3D_4(2), \\ {}^{2}B_2(8), {}^{2}F_4(2)' \end{array} $				
HS	A ₅ , A ₆ , A ₇ , A ₈ , PSL ₂ (7), PSL ₂ (8), PSL ₂ (11), PSL ₃ (4), O ₅ (2)'				
McL	A ₅ , A ₆ , A ₇ , A ₈ , A ₉ , A ₁₀ , A ₁₁ , PSL ₂ (7), PSL ₂ (8), PSL ₂ (11), PSL ₃ (4), O ₅ (2)', O ₅ (3), G ₂ (2)', PSU ₃ (3)				
He	A ₅ , A ₆ , A ₇ , A ₈ , PSL ₂ (7), PSL ₂ (8), PSL ₂ (16), PSL ₂ (17), PSL ₂ (49), PSL ₃ (4), O ₅ (2)', O ₅ (4), G ₂ (2)', PSU ₃ (3)				

TABLE 1. Possible simple groups K whose orders divide the order of the sporadic group G.

61

|--|

Sporadic Group <i>H</i>	Possible K				
Ru	$ \begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), PSL_2(13), PSL_2(25), PSL_2(27), PSL_2(29), \\ PSL_2(64), PSL_3(3), PSL_3(4), O_5(2)', G_2(2)', G_2(4), PSU_3(3), PSU_3(5), \\ {}^2B_2(8), {}^2F_4(2)' \end{array} $				
Suz	$ \begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10}, A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{13}, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), PSL_2(11), \\ PSL_2(13), PSL_2(25), PSL_2(27), PSL_2(64), PSL_3(3), PSL_3(4), PSL_3(9), \\ PSL_4(3), O_5(2)', O_5(3), O_7(2), O_8^+(2), G_2(2)', G_2(3), G_2(4), PSU_3(3), \\ {}^2B_2(8), {}^2F_4(2)' \end{array} $				
O'N	A ₅ , A ₆ , A ₇ , A ₈ , A ₉ , PSL ₂ (7), PSL ₂ (8), PSL ₂ (11), PSL ₂ (19), PSL ₂ (31), PSL ₂ (32), PSL ₃ (4), PSL ₃ (7), O ₅ (2)', O ₅ (3), O ₇ (2), G ₂ (2)', PSU ₃ (3)				
HN	A ₅ , A ₆ , A ₇ , A ₈ , A ₉ , A ₁₀ , A ₁₁ , A ₁₂ , PSL ₂ (7), PSL ₂ (8), PSL ₂ (11), PSL ₂ (19), PSL ₃ (4), O ₅ (2)', O ₅ (3), O ₇ (2), O ₈ ⁺ (2), G ₂ (2)', PSU ₃ (3)				
LY	A ₅ , A ₆ , A ₇ , A ₈ , A ₉ , A ₁₀ , A ₁₁ , PSL ₂ (7), PSL ₂ (8), PSL ₂ (11), PSL ₂ (31), PSL ₂ (32), PSL ₂ (125), PSL ₃ (4), PSL ₃ (5), O ₅ (2)', O ₅ (3), G ₂ (2)', G ₂ (5), PSU ₃ (3)				
Th	$ \begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10}, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), PSL_2(13), PSL_2(19), PSL_2(25), \\ PSL_2(27), PSL_2(31), PSL_2(49), PSL_2(64), PSL_2(125), PSL_3(3), PSL_3(4), \\ PSL_3(5), PSL_3(9), PSL_4(3), PSL_5(2), PSL_6(2), O_5(2)', O_5(3), O_5(8), O_7(2), \\ O_7(3), PSp_6(3), O_8^+(2), G_2(2)', G_2(3), G_2(4), PSU_3(3), PSU_3(5), PSU_3(8), \\ {}^{3}D_4(2), {}^{2}B_2(8), {}^{2}F_4(2)' \end{array} $				
В	$ \begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10}, A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{14}, A_{15}, A_{16}, A_{17}, A_{18}, A_{19}, A_{20}, \\ PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), PSL_2(11), PSL_2(13), PSL_2(16), PSL_2(17), PSL_2(19), \\ PSL_2(23), PSL_2(25), PSL_2(27), PSL_2(31), PSL_2(32), PSL_2(47), PSL_2(49), \\ PSL_2(64), PSL_2(125), PSL_3(3), PSL_3(4), PSL_3(5), PSL_3(9), PSL_3(16), \\ PSL_3(25), PSL_4(3), PSL_4(4), PSL_4(5), PSL_5(2), PSL_5(4), PSL_6(2), PSL_6(4), \\ O_5(2)', O_5(3), O_5(4), O_5(5), O_5(8), O_7(2), O_7(3), O_7(4), O_9(2), O_{11}(2), \\ O_{13}(2), PSp_6(3), O_8^+(2), O_8^+(3), O_{10}^+(2), O_{12}^+(2), F_4(2), G_2(2)', G_2(3), G_2(4), \\ G_2(5), PSU_3(3), PSU_3(4), PSU_3(5), PSU_3(8), PSU_5(2), PSU_6(2), {}^{2}E_6(2), \\ {}^{3}D_4(2), {}^{2}B_2(8), {}^{2}F_4(2)' \end{array} $				
M	$\begin{array}{l} A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10}, A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{13}, A_{14}, A_{15}, A_{16}, A_{17}, A_{18}, A_{19}, A_{20}, A_{21}, \\ A_{22}, A_{23}, A_{24}, A_{25}, A_{26}, A_{27}, A_{28}, A_{29}, A_{30}, A_{31}, A_{32}, PSL_2(7), PSL_2(8), \\ PSL_2(11), PSL_2(13), PSL_2(16), PSL_2(17), PSL_2(19), PSL_2(23), PSL_2(25), \\ PSL_2(27), PSL_2(29), PSL_2(31), PSL_2(32), PSL_2(41), PSL_2(47), PSL_2(49), \\ PSL_2(59), PSL_2(64), PSL_2(71), PSL_2(81), PSL_2(125), PSL_2(1024), PSL_3(3), \\ PSL_3(4), PSL_3(5), PSL_3(7), PSL_3(9), PSL_3(16), PSL_3(25), PSL_4(3), PSL_4(4), \\ PSL_4(5), PSL_4(7), PSL_4(9), PSL_5(2), PSL_5(3), PSdL_5(4), PSL_6(2), PSL_6(3), \\ PSL_6(4), O_5(2)', O_5(3), O_5(4), O_5(5), O_5(7), O_5(8), O_5(9), O_7(2), O_7(3), \\ O_7(4), O_7(5), O_9(2), O_9(3), O_{11}(2), O_{13}(2), PSp_6(3), PSp_6(5), PSP_8(3), O_8^+(2), \\ O_8^+(3), O_{10}^+(2), O_{10}^+(3), O_{12}^+(2), F_4(2), G_2(2)', G_2(3), G_2(4), G_2(5), PSU_3(3), \\ PSU_3(4), PSU_3(5), PSU_3(8), PSU_4(3), PSU_5(2), PSU_6(2), O_{10}^-(2), O_{12}^-(2), \\ {}^{2}E_6(2), {}^{3}D_4(2), {}^{2}B_2(8), {}^{2}B_2(32), {}^{2}F_4(2)' \end{array}$				

such that the number of codegrees of *H* dividing |K| is more than three. These are $H \cong Fi_{23}$ with $K \cong O_8^+(3)$ and $H \cong Ru$ with $K \cong G_2(4)$. In both cases, there are four such codegrees. Again, however, [3] shows that $|cod(K)| \ge 18$ in both cases, which is a contradiction. Hence, for any sporadic group *H*, if $cod(K) \subseteq H$ for some simple group *K*, then $K \cong H$.

As G/N is simple and $cod(G/N) \subseteq H$, we see that $G/N \cong H$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let G be a minimal counterexample and N a maximal normal subgroup of G. By Lemma 2.3, G is perfect, and by Theorem 3.1, $G/N \cong H$. In particular, $N \neq 1$ as $G \ncong H$.

Step 1: N is a minimal normal subgroup of G.

Suppose *L* is a nontrivial normal subgroup of *G* with L < N. By Lemma 2.6, $cod(G/N) \subseteq cod(G/L) \subseteq cod(G)$. However, cod(G/N) = cod(H) = cod(G) so equality must occur in each inclusion. Thus, cod(G/L) = cod(H) which implies that $G/L \cong H$, since *G* is a minimal counterexample. This is a contradiction since we also have $G/N \cong H$, but L < N.

Step 2: N is the only nontrivial, proper normal subgroup of G.

Assume *U* is another proper nontrivial normal subgroup of *G*. If *N* is included in *U*, then U = N or U = G since G/N is simple, which is a contradiction. Then, $N \cap U = 1$ and $G = N \times U$. Since *U* is also a maximal normal subgroup of *G*, we have $N \cong U \cong$ *H*. Choose $\psi_1 \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$ and $\psi_2 \in \operatorname{Irr}(U)$ such that $\operatorname{cod}(\psi_1) = \operatorname{cod}(\psi_2) = \max(\operatorname{cod}(H))$. Set $\chi = \psi_1 \cdot \psi_2 \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. Then, $\operatorname{cod}(\chi) = (\max(\operatorname{cod}(H)))^2 \notin \operatorname{cod}(G)$, which is a contradiction.

Step 3: For each nontrivial $\chi \in Irr(G|N) := Irr(G) - Irr(G/N)$, the character χ is faithful.

By [13, Lemma 2.22], $\operatorname{Irr}(G/N) = \{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G) \mid N \leq \ker(\chi)\}$. By the definition of $\operatorname{Irr}(G|N)$, it follows that if $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G|N)$, then $N \nleq \ker(\chi)$. Since N is the unique non-trivial, proper, normal subgroup of G, $\ker(\chi) = G$ or $\ker(\chi) = 1$. Therefore, $\ker(\chi) = 1$ for all nontrivial $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G|N)$.

Step 4: N is an elementary abelian group.

Suppose that *N* is not abelian. Since *N* is a minimal normal subgroup, by [9, Theorem 4.3A(iii)], $N = S^n$, where *S* is a nonabelian simple group and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, there is a nontrivial character $\chi \in Irr(N)$ which extends to some $\psi \in Irr(G)$. Now, $ker(\psi) = 1$ by Step 3, so $cod(\psi) = |G|/\psi(1) = |G/N| \cdot |N|/\chi(1)$. This contradicts the fact that |G/N| is divisible by $cod(\psi)$, as $\chi(1) < |N|$, so *N* must be abelian. Now to show that *N* is elementary abelian, let a prime *p* divide |N|. Then, *N* has a *p*-Sylow subgroup *K*, and *K* is the unique *p*-Sylow subgroup of *N* since *N* is abelian, so *K* is characteristic in *N*. Thus, *K* is a normal subgroup of *G*, so K = N as *N* is minimal, so $|N| = p^n$. Now, take the subgroup $N^p = \{n^p \mid n \in N\}$ of *N* which is proper by Cauchy's theorem. Since N^p is characteristic in *N*, it must be normal in *G*, so N^p is trivial by the uniqueness of *N*. Therefore, every element of *N* has order *p*, so *N* is elementary abelian.

Step 5: $C_G(N) = N$.

First, note that since N is normal, $\mathbb{C}_G(N) \leq G$. Additionally, since N is abelian by Step 4, $N \leq \mathbb{C}_G(N)$, so by the maximality of N, we must have $\mathbb{C}_G(N) = N$ or $\mathbb{C}_G(N) = G$. If $\mathbb{C}_G(N) = N$, we are done.

If not, then $C_G(N) = G$. Therefore, *N* must be in the centre of *G*. Since *N* is the unique minimal normal subgroup of *G* by Step 2, |N| must be prime. For, if not, there always exists a proper nontrivial subgroup *K* of *N*, and *K* is normal since it is contained in Z(G), contradicting the minimality of *N*. Moreover, since *G* is perfect, Z(G) = N, and *N* is isomorphic to a subgroup of the Schur multiplier of G/N [13, Corollary 11.20].

If *H* is isomorphic to any of M_{11} , M_{23} , M_{24} , J_1 , J_4 , Co_2 , Co_3 , Fi_{22} , Fi_{23} , He, HN, Ly, Th or M, then by [8], the Schur multiplier of *H* is trivial, so N = 1, which is a contradiction.

If *H* is isomorphic to Co₁, then $G \cong 2.\text{Co}_1$ by [8]. However, 2.Co₁ has a character degree of 24, which gives a codegree of $2^{19} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 23 \in \text{cod}(G)$, which is a contradiction, since $2^{19} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 23 \notin \text{cod}(H)$. If *H* is isomorphic to Fi₂₂, then $G \cong 2.\text{Fi}_{22}$ or $G \cong 3.\text{Fi}_{22}$ by [8]. If $G \cong 2.\text{Fi}_{22}$, then $2^{13} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \in \text{cod}(G)$, which is a contradiction. If $G \cong 3.\text{Fi}_{22}$, then $2^{17} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 6 \cdot 11 \in \text{cod}(G)$, which is a contradiction.

Similarly, for any sporadic simple group H with nontrivial Schur multiplier, we use [8] to reach a contradiction as above, by finding an element of cod(G) that is not in cod(H). Thus, $C_G(N) = N$.

Step 6: Let λ be a nontrivial character in $\operatorname{Irr}(N)$ and $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Irr}(I_G(\lambda)|\lambda)$, the set of irreducible constituents of $\lambda^{I_G(\lambda)}$, where $I_G(\lambda)$ is the inertia group of λ in G. Then, $|I_G(\lambda)|/\vartheta(1) \in \operatorname{cod}(G)$. Also, $\vartheta(1)$ divides $|I_G(\lambda)/N|$ and |N| divides |G/N|. Lastly, $I_G(\lambda) < G$, that is, λ is not G-invariant.

Let λ be a nontrivial character in Irr(N) and $\vartheta \in \text{Irr}(I_G(\lambda)|\lambda)$. Let χ be an irreducible constituent of ϑ^G . By [13, Corollary 5.4], $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, and by [13, Definition 5.1], $\chi(1) = (|G|/|I_G(\lambda)|) \cdot \vartheta(1)$. Moreover, $\ker(\chi) = 1$ by Step 2, and thus $\operatorname{cod}(\chi) = |G|/\chi(1) = |I_G(\lambda)|/\vartheta(1)$, so $|I_G(\lambda)|/\vartheta(1) \in \operatorname{cod}(G)$. Now, since N is abelian, $\lambda(1) = 1$, so $\vartheta(1) = \vartheta(1)/\lambda(1)$ which divides $|I_G(\lambda)|/|N|$, so |N| divides $|I_G(\lambda)|/\vartheta(1)$. Moreover, $\operatorname{cod}(G) = \operatorname{cod}(G/N)$ and all elements in $\operatorname{cod}(G/N)$ divide |G/N|, so |N| divides |G/N|.

Next, we show $I_G(\lambda)$ is a proper subgroup of *G*. To reach a contradiction, assume $I_G(\lambda) = G$. Then, $\ker(\lambda) \leq G$. From Step 2, $\ker(\lambda) = 1$, and from Step 4, *N* is a cyclic group of prime order. Thus, by the normaliser-centraliser theorem, $G/N = \mathbf{N}_G(N)/\mathbf{C}_G(N) \leq \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ and so G/N is abelian, which is a contradiction.

Step 7: Final contradiction.

From Step 4, *N* is an elementary abelian group of order p^n for some prime *p* and integer $n \ge 1$. By the normaliser-centraliser theorem, $H \cong G/N = \mathbf{N}_G(N)/\mathbf{C}_G(N) \le \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ and n > 1. Note that in general, $\operatorname{Aut}(N) = \operatorname{GL}(n, p)$. By Step 6, |N| divides |G/N|, so we only need to consider primes *p* such that p^2 divides |H|.

Group	р	п	Minimum Degree
He	2	9–10	51
Suz	2	12-13	110
Fi ₂₂	2,3	14-17, 8-9	78,77
Fi ₂₃	2	18	782
Co ₂	2	12-18	22
Co ₁	2	16–21	24
В	2	23–41	4370

TABLE 2. Sporadic groups and p, n pairs such that p^n divides |H| and |H| divides |GL(n, p)|.

We proceed computationally for each sporadic group separately. To do this, we use the code file https://github.com/zachslonim/Characterizing-Sporadic-Groups-by-Codegrees. Again, we explain here the computational steps for the Suzuki group, Suz. This group has order 448345497600 = $2^{13} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 4^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$, so the possibilities for *p* and *n* > 1 such that *pⁿ* divides |Suz| are

$$2^2, 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6, 2^7, 2^8, 2^9, 2^{10}, 2^{12}, 2^{12}, 2^{13}, 3^2, 3^3, 3^4, 3^5, 3^6, 3^7, 4^2$$
.

For each of these possible p^n , we compute the order of GL(n, p) using the well-known order formula:

$$|\mathrm{GL}(n,p)| = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (p^n - p^i).$$

Then, we check which values of p and n satisfy the fact that |Suz| divides |GL(n, p)|. In this case, we get only two exceptions, namely 2^{12} and 2^{13} .

For each sporadic group H, we follow a similar procedure to check computationally which possibilities of (p, n) satisfy p^n divides |H| and |H| divides |GL(n, p)|. We summarise these in Table 2. If a sporadic group is missing, this means there are no possible exceptions. In other words, Table 2 gives all groups H and pairs (p, n) such that p^n divides |H| and |H| divides |GL(n, p)|.

Finally, in each of these seven cases, we refer to [15], which gives the minimum degree of a faithful representation of the group *H* over a finite field of characteristic *p*. We reproduce the relevant results in Table 2. We note that $H \leq GL(n, p)$ implies that we can embed *H* into GL(n, p), giving a degree *n* faithful representation of *H* over a field of characteristic *p*. However, in each row of the table, any possible values of *p*, *n* contradict the minimum degree of such a faithful representation. Thus, we reach a contradiction for any sporadic simple group *H*. Therefore, N = 1 and $G \cong H$.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of NSF and NSA, and also thank Texas State University for providing a great working environment and support. The authors are grateful to the referee for the valuable suggestions which greatly improved the manuscript. Lemma 2.4 was provided to Yang by Hung in a private communication in early 2022. After this paper was accepted, the authors learned of the preprint [12] containing Lemma 2.4 and where Theorem 3.1 can be obtained as a special case by using [12, Theorem D].

References

- N. Ahanjideh, 'Nondivisibility among irreducible character co-degrees', Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 105(1) (2022), 68–74.
- [2] F. Alizadeh, H. Behravesh, M. Ghaffarzadeh, M. Ghasemi and S. Hekmatara, 'Groups with few codegrees of irreducible characters', *Comm. Algebra* 47(3) (2019), 1147–1152.
- [3] K. Aziziheris, F. Shafiei and F. Shirjian, 'Simple groups with few irreducible character degrees', J. Algebra Appl. 20(8) (2021), Article no. 2150139.
- [4] A. Bahri, Z. Akhlaghi and B. Khosravi, 'An analogue of Huppert's conjecture for character codegrees', Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 104(2) (2021), 278–286.
- [5] J. Bezanson, S. Karpinski, V. B. Shah and A. Edelman, 'Julia: a fast dynamic language for technical computing', Preprint, 2012, arXiv:1209.5145.
- [6] R. W. Carter, Simple Groups of Lie Type (Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 1989).
- [7] D. Chillag and M. Herzog, 'On character degrees quotients', Arch. Math. 55(2) (1990), 25–29.
- [8] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985), with computational assistance from J. G. Thackray.
- [9] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 163 (Springer, New York, 1996).
- [10] M. Gintz, M. Kortje, M. Laurence, Y. Liu, Z. Wang and Y. Yang, 'On the characterization of some nonabelian simple groups with few codegrees', *Comm. Algebra* 50(9) (2022), 3932–3939.
- [11] H. Guan, X. Zhang and Y. Yang, 'Recognizing Ree groups ${}^{2}G_{2}(q)$ using the codegree set', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, to appear. Published online (28 September 2022).
- [12] N. N. Hung and A. Moretó, 'The codegree isomorphism problem for finite simple groups', Preprint, 2023, arXiv:2301.00446.
- [13] I. M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- [14] G. James and A. Kerber, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 16 (Addison-Wesley, Boston, MA, 1981).
- [15] C. Jansen, 'The minimal degrees of faithful representations of the sporadic simple groups and their covering groups', *LMS J. Comput. Math.* 8 (2005), 122–144.
- [16] E. I. Khukrho and V. D. Mazurov, Unsolved Problems in Group Theory, The Kourovka Notebook, 20 (Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 2022).
- [17] Y. Liu and Y. Yang, 'Huppert's analogue conjecture for PSL(3, q) and PSU(3, q)', *Results Math.* 78 (2023), Article no. 7.
- [18] A. Moretó, 'Complex group algebra of finite groups: Brauer's problem 1', Adv. Math. 208 (2007), 236–248.
- [19] G. Qian, Y. Wang and H. Wei, 'Co-degrees of irreducible characters in finite groups', J. Algebra 312(2) (2007), 946–955.

MALLORY DOLORFINO, Department of Mathematics, Kalamazoo College, Kalamazoo, Michigan, USA e-mail: mallory.dolorfino19@kzoo.edu

LUKE MARTIN, Department of Mathematics, Gonzaga University, Spokane, Washington, USA e-mail: lwmartin2019@gmail.com ZACHARY SLONIM, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA e-mail: zachslonim@berkeley.edu

66

YUXUAN SUN, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania, USA e-mail: ysun1@haverford.edu

YONG YANG, Department of Mathematics, Texas State University, 601 University Drive, San Marcos, Texas 78666, USA e-mail: yang@txstate.edu

[10]