Awareness and documentation of the teratogenic effects of valproate among women of child-bearing potential
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Aims and method We aimed to evaluate clinical note documentation of valproate prescribing and establish the level of knowledge among women of child-bearing potential regarding valproate-associated adverse effects, including teratogenesis, in a regional Irish mental health service.

Results Of the 42 women prescribed sodium valproate, 21.4% (n = 9) had some documentation in relation to associated risks and 33.3% (n = 14) described an awareness of these risks from consultation with their treating mental health team. On clinical interview, 9.5% (n = 4) of individuals with clear documentation of the risks of teratogenesis described no such awareness. Augmentation with lithium was associated with greater awareness of the teratogenic risks of valproate (P = 0.011).

Clinical implications A clear description of the teratogenic risks of valproate and potential management strategies, including advice regarding contraception and supplementation with folic acid, should be clearly documented and provided repeatedly and in context to all women of child-bearing age who are prescribed valproate.
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Valproate is a broad-spectrum anticonvulsant with well-established efficacy for the management of partial and generalised seizures,1 acute (hypo)mania and prophylaxis of (hypo)manic episodes both as a monotherapy or in combination with other psychotropic agents.2 In addition, valproate can be utilised for the prophylaxis of depressive episodes in bipolar disorder,2 although this reported therapeutic effect is of lesser magnitude.3

Risk of teratogenesis

However, valproate use in women of child-bearing potential is associated with a wide range of neurodevelopmental deficits,4 with an approximate risk of teratogenesis of 7%5 and 70% of teratogenesis malformations consisting of neural tube defects (NTDs).6 which is a 20-fold increase compared with the general population.7 NTDs occur when the embryonic neural tube fails to completely close during development, and include spina bifida and anencephaly.8 In addition to NTDs, valproate use in pregnancy is also associated with an increased incidence of cleft lip and palate, cardiovascular abnormalities, genitourinary defects, endocrine disorders, cognitive impairment, developmental delay and autism spectrum disorders in the foetus.9 A ‘foetal valproate syndrome’ has also been described and includes a constellation of physical traits consistently observed in foetuses exposed in utero to valproate such as a flat nasal bridge, epicanthal folds, small upper lids and a downward-turned mouth.10,11 Potential contributory factors to the teratogenicity of valproate have been reported and include the number of co-administered medications, higher dosages of valproate, differences in maternal and/or foetal metabolism, gestational age of the foetus at exposure to valproate and hereditary susceptibility.12,13

The teratogenic risk associated with valproate has resulted in significant caution with its use, with the summary of product characteristics for sodium valproate and the related compound semi-sodium valproate and the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines of 2014 stating that valproate should not be initiated in women of child-bearing potential without specialist advice or unless other treatments are not tolerated or are ineffective (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/toolkit-on-the-risks-of-valproate-medicines-in-female-patients).
Awareness of teratogenic risk

Given the risks associated with valproate use during pregnancy, awareness of these risks and a consideration of what precautions are required in relation to the use of valproate in women of child-bearing potential is important. However, previous research has demonstrated low rates of documentation in clinical notes of advice from mental health staff regarding both the teratogenic risks of valproate and the importance of both contraception and folic acid use.14–17

Aims

Consequently, in this study we wanted to establish if women of child-bearing potential attending a mental health service in Ireland were prescribed valproate and ascertain the indications for this treatment. In addition, we wanted to assess the rate of documentation of advice relating to the teratogenic risks of valproate and importance of the use of contraception and folic acid. We wanted to establish the awareness of women of child-bearing potential who are prescribed valproate regarding the teratogenic risks of this agent, and the potential benefits of contraception and folic acid. We also wanted to enquire establish how those with such awareness attained this information.

Method

Participants

This study was undertaken in an Irish mental health service region with an approximate population of 250,000 people. All female adult patients attending this mental health service in January 2016 were screened (n = 1620) to ascertain if they were being treated with valproate. Community mental health team staff members, including consultant psychiatrists, non-consultant psychiatry hospital doctors, community mental health nurses, allied health professionals and administrators, were contacted to ascertain potential participants. Inclusion criteria included women aged 18–49 years who had been in receipt of care from the mental health service for >1 year and had been treated with valproate.

Exclusion criteria included individuals <18 years of age, the presence of an intellectual disability (IQ<70), a diagnosis of dementia and the presence of acute psychosis. None of the 42 individuals identified as receiving treatment with valproate fulfilled these exclusion criteria. Clinical diagnoses were attained utilising ICD-10 diagnostic criteria after consultation with the relevant treating consultant psychiatrist and a full clinical chart review.18

Ethical approval was attained from the Galway University Hospitals Research Ethics Committee (C.A. 841) and the study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki declaration on research ethics. Written informed consent was attained from each participant for clinical note review, clinician contact and semi-structured interview. On completion of the semi-structured interview, psychotherapeutic support was offered to each participant.

Chart review

A retrospective chart review was undertaken of the lifetime clinical notes of included individuals. Clinical notes were examined in depth (by D.M., A.M. and S.F.) and included all handwritten, typed and electronically generated entries pertaining to in-patient admissions to one of three acute psychiatric in-patient units; attendance at the different day hospitals, day centres and out-patient clinics in the region; correspondence to and from general practitioners and specialists (including neurologists) and all prescription records.

Demographic data collected included gender, age and socioeconomic, employment, marital and domiciliary status. Clinical data included diagnosis, prescription of valproate, time duration and dose of valproate prescribed and prescription of any concomitant psychotropic medication (mood stabilisers, anticonvulsants, antidepressants, antipsychotics, anxiolytics, hypnotics and anticholinergic agents). We also ascertained if children were conceived to patients when prescribed valproate, and if any foetal abnormalities were recorded. Data pertaining to the documentation of the risk of teratogenicity or congenital malformations secondary to valproate, and precautions including adequate contraception and use of folic acid were recorded.

Semi-structured interview

All 42 identified participants participated in a semi-structured interview. Information gathered included awareness of the teratogenic risk of valproate; how, when and by whom participants were first informed of this risk (if informed); advice regarding use of contraception; wishes regarding pregnancy before or during treatment with valproate; undertaking a pregnancy test before commencement of valproate and advice regarding, or prescription of folic acid. We also enquired if participants became pregnant or conceived when treated with valproate and ascertained what advice regarding treatment with valproate was attained from their treating clinician during their pregnancy (see Appendix 1, Semi-Structured Interview Topic Guidelines).

Data analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 22.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., New York, USA). We utilised the Student’s t-test for parametric data and the χ²-test for non-parametric categorical data, where appropriate. Linear regression was conducted to ascertain the effect of age, dose of valproate and duration of treatment in relation to clinical documentation or awareness of the teratogenic risks of valproate.

Results

Demographic and clinical data

Demographic and clinical data are described in Table 1. Of note, the most common diagnosis was bipolar disorder (33.3%, n = 14) and the most common comorbid psychotropic
medications prescribed were antipsychotic agents (71%). In addition, 16.7% of individuals ($n = 7$) were treated with valproate for prophylaxis of epilepsy due to treatment with high dosages of clozapine.

### Table 1
Demographic and clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$n$ (%) or mean (s.d.) [range]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In third-level education*</td>
<td>3 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>10 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>26 (69.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>27 (64.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In a relationship</td>
<td>4 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>10 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living arrangement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alone</td>
<td>2 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With parents or siblings</td>
<td>12 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With partner or spouse</td>
<td>14 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In supported accommodation</td>
<td>14 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic class$^b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I or II</td>
<td>10 (23.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>30 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>2 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental disorder (ICD-10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar disorder</td>
<td>14 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent depressive disorder</td>
<td>12 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia$^c$</td>
<td>7 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizoaffective disorder</td>
<td>6 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotionally unstable personality disorder</td>
<td>3 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other psychotropic agents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics</td>
<td>30 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
<td>20 (47.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>6 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-epileptics</td>
<td>5 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>8 (19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypnotics</td>
<td>5 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticholinergics</td>
<td>3 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at interview</td>
<td>41.5 (6.7) [24-49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium valproate dose, mg/day</td>
<td>923 (434) [300-2000]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of treatment, years</td>
<td>7.59 (3.92) [1-14]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In this study, undertaking a university course.

$^b$Socioeconomic class was scored based on NRS grading: I = upper-middle class/higher managerial, administrative or professional; II = intermediate managerial, administrative or professional; III = supervisory or junior managerial or skilled manual workers; IV = semi-skilled or unskilled manual workers, V = unemployed, on social welfare, minimum-wage workers.

$^c$Used as a prophylactic treatment for seizure control due to treatment of schizophrenia with clozapine.

**Clinical documentation**

Documentation of risks associated with valproate in pregnancy were present in 21.4% ($n = 9$) of the clinical files and included advice on contraception (16.7%, $n = 7$), the specific teratogenic risks of valproate (9.5%, $n = 4$) and use of folic acid (2.4%, $n = 1$). No individuals had a documented pregnancy test before initiation of valproate.

A range of sociodemographic factors, including maternal age at interview ($B = −0.101, P = 0.54$), or clinical variables, including dose of valproate ($B = 0.205, P = 0.21$) and treatment duration ($B = 0.127, P = 0.45$), were not associated with clinical documentation of the teratogenic risks of valproate. All nine individuals with a comorbid diagnosis of epilepsy had no clinical documentation of the teratogenic risks of valproate, although this was not statistically significant when compared with those treated with valproate for a mental health disorder ($P = 0.17$, Fisher’s exact test). Valproate augmentation with psychotropic medication was present in 95.2% ($n = 40$) of individuals. Three of the six individuals treated with lithium had written documentation of the risks of valproate.

**Structured interview**

On interview, 33.3% ($n = 14$) of participants demonstrated some awareness of the risk of valproate, with 19.0% ($n = 8$) aware of specific teratogenic risks, requirement for contraceptive use and need for a pregnancy test before valproate initiation, and 16.7% ($n = 8$) aware of the need for folic acid use when taking valproate. All but one of these participants stated that they had acquired this information from their treating consultant psychiatrist. Nine (64.3%) individuals who stated that they were informed of the risks of valproate as acquired from their treating clinician had no documented information detailing this advice/information in their clinical files. Overall, 18 (42.9%) individuals had either documented data in their clinical notes or stated that their mental health team had advised them on at least some of the risks of valproate for women of child-bearing potential. Four individuals (9.5%) had documented advice in their clinical notes but had no awareness of same (on clinical interview).

Regression analysis showed that younger age ($B = −0.315, P = 0.042$) was associated with a greater awareness of the teratogenic risks of valproate. Additionally, individuals augmented with lithium were more likely to be aware of the teratogenic risks of valproate ($83 \text{ v. } 25\%, P = 0.011$).

**Pregnancies on valproate**

Four participants had pregnancies (all uncomplicated) when treated with valproate. Three continued on the same treatment and dosage, with documentation that they should continue because of the risk of relapse of their seizure disorder. There was no documentation in these clinical files in relation to the risks of valproate in pregnancy or advice regarding contraception or folic acid use. The fourth participant had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder and had documentation to discontinue valproate (at 4 weeks’ gestation), but there was no documented advice to commence folic acid.

On structured interviews, in addition to the individual who had written documentation of the risks of valproate, all seven (100%) individuals had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder and had documentation of the need to discontinue valproate (at 4 weeks’ gestation), but there was no documented advice to commence folic acid. There was no documentation in these clinical notes, but all seven (100%) individuals had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder and had documentation of the need to discontinue valproate.

On structured interviews, in addition to the individual who had written documentation of the risks of valproate, all seven (100%) individuals had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder and had documentation of the need to discontinue valproate (at 4 weeks’ gestation), but there was no documented advice to commence folic acid. There was no documentation in these clinical notes, but all seven (100%) individuals had a diagnosis of bipolar disorder and had documentation of the need to discontinue valproate.
discontinued valproate treatment, two of the other three participants stated that their consultant psychiatrist advised them to commence folic acid, but there was no documentation in the clinical files in relation to this.

Discussion

This is the first study to date, to our knowledge, to review both clinical documentation and undertake semi-structured interviews with women of child-bearing potential who were prescribed valproate. Either clear documentation of the risks of valproate or an awareness of these risks after discussion with their treating clinical team was present for 43% of individuals.

Our findings of poor clinical documentation of specific risks of valproate are consistent with previous research, with rates of 16–29% noted for documentation of risks of teratogenesis or the provision of advice in relation to contraception for women of child-bearing potential who are prescribed valproate.14,16 Similarly, documented advice in clinical notes relating to the use of folic acid has previously also been noted to be particularly low (4%).16 Our findings in relation to patient awareness of teratogenic risks of valproate are also consistent with previous studies (17–28%);12,17 however, awareness of the need for contraceptive use was lower in our patient cohort compared with a number of previous studies (55–67%).15,17

It is probable that the majority of patients examined in this study were provided with verbal advice regarding the risks of valproate. Two-thirds of patients who said they had been advised of the risks of valproate had no clinical documentation relating to this advice. Some participants, despite clinical documentation stating they had been informed of the risks of valproate, stated at the time of interview that they had no awareness of such a discussion (which may potentially be related to recall bias). This highlights the need for information (including in a written format) relating to the teratogenic risks of valproate to be provided repeatedly and in context to patients to ensure awareness of these risks. This may be particularly important for this cohort of patients who are being treated for bipolar disorder or schizoaffective disorder, where impulsive behaviour including risky sexual behaviour may occur during a (hypo)manic episode.18 The lack of documentation in mental health clinical notes of advice to individuals treated for epilepsy with valproate may be secondary to the fact that mental health staff had not initiated this treatment, with evidence suggesting that clinicians are less likely to inform patients of medications adverse events if they have not initiated the particular medication themselves.17 However, three individuals diagnosed with epilepsy described being advised of the risks of valproate by their mental health team, and it is probable that some participants additionally attained advice from other clinicians (i.e. neurologists, general practitioner) relating to the teratogenic risks of valproate. The provision of written information, and advice on appropriate resources that gives accurate advice in relation to the risks and benefits of valproate and precautions required with its use is suggested. Information recently produced by the National Clinical Programme for Medicine Management is one potential option in this regard.19

This study highlights the low rates of documentation of contraceptive use or advice regarding folic acid. Consultations with mental health staff (preconception consultations) in relation to the risks and benefits of continuation or discontinuation of medications, including for women diagnosed with bipolar disorder, have previously been demonstrated to influence both choices regarding conception and treatment.20 This study suggests that such consultations, focusing on issues of conception and pharmacotherapeutic treatment, should be considered for all women of child-bearing potential (even if not in a significant relationship) who are prescribed valproate. NICE guidelines21 state that valproate should not be offered to women of child-bearing potential for either the management of acute mood episodes or the long-term management of bipolar disorder, and if already prescribed, should gradually be discontinued because of the teratogenic risks of valproate. Additionally, folic acid should always be prescribed to women of child-bearing potential who are prescribed valproate22 with levels to be undertaken both before commencement of valproate and on a 6-monthly basis.

Limitations

This study includes a number of limitations, including that females of child-bearing age who were under 18 years old were not included. Findings were attained from one region in Ireland and may not be representative of other regions or other jurisdictions; however, many of the findings are consistent with those noted in other jurisdictions and thus may indeed be generalisable. Clinical notes from other practitioners, including neurologists and general practitioners (excluding correspondence from them as detailed in the mental health clinical notes), were not examined or available. Finally, the semi-structured interviews conducted were retrospective in nature, and thus potentially liable to recall bias. Recall bias may partly explain the discrepancy we found, where documentation detailing the teratogenic risk of valproate was noted but not recollected by participants. It is probable that other non-mental health service clinicians may have disseminated information relating to the risks of valproate; however, this was not evident on clinical interview and this study principally investigated documentation in mental health clinical records.

Appendix 1

Semi-Structured Interview Topic Guidelines

1. Are you aware of any risks associated with the use of sodium valproate?

   Please list these risks

   Please identify how you became aware of these risks
2. Were you specifically aware of any problems this medication has in relation to pregnancy and foetal development?
   What have you been told?
   Who told you?
   When were you told?
3. Was your wish or likelihood of becoming pregnant ascertained before commencing therapy?
4. Was contraceptive advice offered?
5. Was use of contraception confirmed before commencing treatment?
6. Was a pregnancy test done before commencing sodium valproate?
7. Were you advised regarding the use of folic acid supplementation?
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