

ON TWO NEW CLASSES OF LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

KAZUAKI KITAHARA

The purpose of this paper is to introduce two new classes of locally convex spaces which contain the classes of semi-Montel and Montel spaces. Further we give some examples and study some properties of these classes. As to permanence properties, these classes have similar properties to semi-Montel and Montel spaces except strict inductive limits and these classes are not always preserved under their completions. We shall call these two classes as β -semi-Montel and β -Montel spaces. A β -semi-Montel space is obtained by replacing the word "bounded" by "strongly bounded" in the definition of a semi-Montel space. If a β -semi-Montel space is infra-barrelled, we call the space β -Montel.

In a locally convex space $E(\tau)$, if each bounded subset is relatively compact, $E(\tau)$ is semi-Montel. If $E(\tau)$ is infra-barrelled and semi-Montel, it is Montel. In this paper we weaken the conditions of being (semi-)Montel and introduce two new classes of locally convex spaces.

One contains the class of all Montel spaces and another contains the class of all semi-Montel spaces. We shall call these two classes β -Montel and β -semi-Montel spaces. Now we explain what we investigate in each section. In Section 1, we give some notations and definitions of

Received 20 July 1983.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/83
\$A2.00 + 0.00.

β -(semi-)Montel space. In Section 2 we give some examples of β -(semi-)Montel spaces which are not (semi-)Montel. In Section 3 we consider some properties of β -(semi-)Montel spaces. Finally we investigate the separability of a metrizable β -Montel space in Section 4.

1. Notations and definitions

Mostly we shall use the notations of [3] and [6]. Let $E(\tau)$ be a Hausdorff topological vector space. Throughout this paper we assume that $E(\tau)$ is a locally convex space over the real or complex field K . For the sake of simplicity, it is denoted by $\text{lcs } E(\tau)$. E' denotes the topological dual space of $E(\tau)$. The dual of $E(\tau)$ always means the topological dual space. When two vector spaces E and F over K form a dual pair, $\sigma(E, F)$, $\tau(E, F)$, $\beta(E, F)$ and $\beta^*(E, F)$ are the topology of uniform convergence on the set of all finite subsets, all absolutely convex $\sigma(F, E)$ -compact subsets, all $\sigma(F, E)$ -bounded subsets and all $\beta(F, E)$ -bounded subsets of F on E respectively. Let $E(\tau)$ be a locally convex space and E' be its dual. $\tau_c(E', E)$ means the topology of uniform convergence on the set of all τ -precompact subsets of E on E' . $E(\tau)$ is said to be a countably barrelled space if each $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded subset of E' which is the countable union of equicontinuous subsets of E' is itself equicontinuous [4]. $E(\tau)$ is said to be a W -space if each $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded subset of E' is $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded [5]. We say that an $\text{lcs } E(\tau)$ possesses a fundamental sequence of bounded subsets if there exists a sequence $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots \subset B_n \subset \dots$ of bounded subsets in $E(\tau)$ such that every bounded subset B is contained in some B_k .

DEFINITION. Let $E(\tau)$ be a locally convex space and E' be its dual.

(1) $E(\tau)$ is said to be a β -semi-Montel space if each $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded subset is relatively τ -compact.

(2) $E(\tau)$ is said to be a β -Montel space if it is a β -semi-Montel space and infra-barrelled.

REMARK 1. Clearly every Montel space is β -Montel. Every semi-Montel space is β -semi-Montel and every β -Montel space is β -semi-Montel.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $E(\tau)$ be a β -semi-Montel space and E' be its

dual. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $E(\tau)$ is a semi-Montel space;
- (2) $E(\tau)$ is a W -space;
- (3) $E(\tau)$ is sequentially complete.

Proof. Clearly every semi-Montel space is sequentially complete. If $E(\tau)$ is sequentially complete, τ -boundedness is identical with $\beta(E, E')$ -boundedness. Hence $E(\tau)$ is a W -space. Finally if $E(\tau)$ is a W -space, it is semi-Montel from the assumption and definition.

2. Examples of β -(semi-)Montel spaces

EXAMPLE 1. Let $E(\tau)$ be an infra-barrelled locally convex space and not barrelled and E' be its dual. Then $E'(\sigma(E', E))$ is β -semi-Montel but not semi-Montel. If B is any $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded subset in $E'(\sigma(E', E))$, it is relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact since it is a τ -equi-continuous subset. If $E'(\sigma(E', E))$ is semi-Montel, $E(\tau)$ is a barrelled space. Therefore $E'(\sigma(E', E))$ is not a semi-Montel space.

EXAMPLE 2. Let T be a completely regular Hausdorff space. $C_s(T)$ denotes all continuous real valued functions on T with the topology of simple convergence. Then the dual of $C_s(T)$ consists of all bounded Radon measures on T with finite support. We denote this dual by $M_f(T)$.

$C_s(T)$ is always infra-barrelled from Corollary 4 of [2]. If T is $[0, 1]$ with the usual topology, $C_s([0, 1])$ is not barrelled from Corollary 13 of [2]. Hence in the dual pair $(C_s([0, 1]), M_f([0, 1]))$, $M_f([0, 1])(\sigma(M_f([0, 1]), C_s([0, 1])))$ is β -semi-Montel but not semi-Montel.

EXAMPLE 3. We give another example from sequences spaces.

From Example F of [7],

$$\psi = \{x \in K^N : x \text{ has finitely many non-zero coordinates}\},$$

however K is a real or complex field. For each element x of ψ , a norm is given by $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{i \in N} |x_i|$. $(\psi, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a normed space and not

barrelled since $A = \{x : x \in \psi, |x_n| \leq 1/n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a barrel in $(\psi, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ but not a 0-neighbourhood in $(\psi, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. As $(\psi, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a dense subspace of $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, the dual of $(\psi, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is \mathcal{L}^1 . In the dual pair (ψ, \mathcal{L}^1) , we make some remarks about $\mathcal{L}^1(\sigma(\mathcal{L}^1, \psi))$.

(1) $\mathcal{L}^1(\sigma(\mathcal{L}^1, \psi))$ is β -semi-Montel but not semi-Montel.

(2) $\mathcal{L}^1(\sigma(\mathcal{L}^1, \psi))$ is metrizable since it has a countable base of 0-neighbourhoods.

From (1) and (2), $\mathcal{L}^1(\sigma(\mathcal{L}^1, \psi))$ is a β -Montel space but not a Montel space.

Next we give a proposition generalizing Example 3. Before this, we use the following notations.

Let X be a set such that $|X| \geq \aleph_0$ and K be a real or complex field. For an arbitrary positive number p with $1 \leq p < \infty$, we put

$$\mathcal{L}^p(X) = \left\{ (z_x)_{x \in X} : (z_x)_{x \in X} \in K^X, \left(\sum_{x \in X} |z_x|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}^\infty(X) = \left\{ (z_x)_{x \in X} : (z_x)_{x \in X} \in K^X, \sup_{x \in X} |z_x| < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\psi(X) = \left\{ (z_x)_{x \in X} : (z_x)_{x \in X} \in K^X, \left. \begin{array}{l} (z_x)_{x \in X} \text{ has finitely many non-zero coordinates} \end{array} \right\}.$$

For an arbitrary positive number p with $1 \leq p < \infty$, we usually give a norm on $\mathcal{L}^p(X)$ and $\psi(X)$ such that

$$\|(z_x)_{x \in X}\|_p = \left(\sum_{x \in X} |z_x|^p \right)^{1/p} \text{ for } (z_x)_{x \in X} \in \mathcal{L}^p(X),$$

$$\|(z_x)_{x \in X}\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |z_x| \text{ for } (z_x)_{x \in X} \in \psi(X).$$

Then $(\mathcal{L}^p(X), \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a Banach space with the dual $\mathcal{L}^q(X)$, where

$1/p + 1/q = 1$ (if $p = 1$, $q = \infty$). Then we have the following.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let p be a positive number with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $\mathcal{L}^p(X) (\sigma(\mathcal{L}^p(X), \psi(X)))$ is a β -Montel space but not a Montel space.*

Proof. As any $\beta(\psi(X), \mathcal{L}^p(X))$ -bounded subset is finite dimensional, $\mathcal{L}^p(X) (\sigma(\mathcal{L}^p(X), \psi(X)))$ is infra-barrelled for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Next we shall show that $\mathcal{L}^p(X) (\sigma(\mathcal{L}^p(X), \psi(X)))$ is β -semi-Montel but not semi-Montel.

For each p with $1 < p \leq \infty$, there is a positive q such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$ (if $p = \infty$, $q = 1$). $(\psi(X), \|\cdot\|_q)$ is a dense subspace of $(\mathcal{L}^q(X), \|\cdot\|_q)$ with the dual $\mathcal{L}^p(X)$. In case of $p = 1$, $(\psi(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a normed space with the dual $\mathcal{L}^1(X)$. Hence $\mathcal{L}^p(X) (\sigma(\mathcal{L}^p(X), \psi(X)))$ is β -semi-Montel. On the other hand let Y be a countable subset of X such that $Y = \{x_i : x_i \in X, i \in N\}$ and we consider the sequence $\{Z^n\}$ such that $Z^n_x = i$ for $x = x_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $Z^n_x = 0$ for $x \neq x_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ for each $n \in N$. Then in $K^X (\sigma(K^X, \psi(X)))$, $\{Z^n\}$ converges to $Z = (Z_x)_{x \in X}$ where $Z_x = i$ for $x = x_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and $Z_x = 0$ otherwise. However Z does not belong to $\mathcal{L}^p(X)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Consequently $\mathcal{L}^p(X) (\sigma(\mathcal{L}^p(X), \psi(X)))$ is not semi-Montel. This completes the proof.

3. Some properties of β -(semi-)Montel spaces

First of all we give a few permanence properties of β -(semi-)Montel spaces.

PROPOSITION 3. *The product space $E(\tau) = \prod_{\alpha \in I} E_\alpha(\tau_\alpha)$ of β -(semi-)Montel spaces $E_\alpha(\tau_\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in I$) is β -(semi-)Montel.*

Proof. If $E_\alpha(\tau_\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in I$) is infra-barrelled, $\prod_{\alpha \in I} E_\alpha(\tau_\alpha)$ is infra-barrelled. Let E'_α ($\alpha \in I$) be the dual of $E_\alpha(\tau_\alpha)$ and

$E'_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} E'_\alpha$ be the dual of $E(\tau)$. As $E(\beta(E, E')) = \prod_{\alpha \in I} E_\alpha(\beta(E_\alpha, E'_\alpha))$ in the dual pair $(\prod_{\alpha \in I} E_\alpha, \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} E'_\alpha)$, for any $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded subset B , there exists a B_α ($\alpha \in I$) which is $\beta(E_\alpha, E'_\alpha)$ -bounded and B is contained in $\prod_{\alpha \in I} B_\alpha$. As each B_α is relatively τ_α -compact, $\prod_{\alpha \in I} B_\alpha$ is relatively compact in $E(\tau)$, so is B .

PROPOSITION 4. *The locally convex direct sum $E(\tau) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} E_\alpha(\tau_\alpha)$ of β -(semi-)Montel spaces $E_\alpha(\tau_\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in I$) is β -(semi-)Montel.*

Proof. Let E'_α ($\alpha \in I$) be the dual of $E_\alpha(\tau_\alpha)$ and $E' = \prod_{\alpha \in I} E'_\alpha$ be the dual of $E(\tau)$. As $E(\beta(E, E')) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} E_\alpha(\beta(E_\alpha, E'_\alpha))$ in the dual pair $(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} E_\alpha, \prod_{\alpha \in I} E'_\alpha)$, every $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded subset B is contained in $B_{\alpha_1} + \dots + B_{\alpha_n}$ (each B_{α_i} is $\beta(E_{\alpha_i}, E'_{\alpha_i})$ -bounded in $E_{\alpha_i}(\tau_{\alpha_i})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). As $B_{\alpha_1} + \dots + B_{\alpha_n}$ is relatively compact in $E(\tau)$, so is B . Clearly $E(\tau)$ is infra-barrelled if $E_\alpha(\tau_\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in I$) is infra-barrelled.

PROPOSITION 5. *A closed subspace $H(\bar{\tau})$ of a β -semi-Montel space $E(\tau)$ is β -semi-Montel.*

Proof. Let H' be the dual of $H(\bar{\tau})$ and E' be the dual of $E(\tau)$.

If B is an arbitrary $\beta(H, H')$ -bounded subset, it is $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded in $E(\tau)$ since $\beta(H, H')$ is finer than the topology $\beta(E, E')$ on H .

Hence B is $\bar{\tau}$ -relatively compact.

COROLLARY. *A topological projective limit $E(\tau) = \lim_{\leftarrow} A_{\alpha\beta}(E_\beta(\tau_\beta))$ is β -semi-Montel if $E_\alpha(\tau_\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in I$) is β -semi-Montel.*

Next we give the other properties related to β -(semi-)Montel spaces.

PROPOSITION 6. *Let $E(\tau)$ be a countably barrelled, separable and metrizable locally convex space and E' be its dual. If N is a*

countable dense subset in $E(\tau)$ and F is a subspace of E which is spanned by N , then $E'(\sigma(E', F))$ is a β -Montel space.

Proof. $E'(\sigma(E', F))$ is metrizable since it has a countable base of 0-neighbourhoods. $E(\tau)$ is barrelled from the assumption. If B is any $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded subset, it is relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact. To show $\sigma(E', E) \leq \beta(E', F)$, it suffices to show that for each element x of E , there is a $\sigma(F, E')$ -bounded subset C such that x is an element of τ -closure of C . For an arbitrary element x of E , there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that each x_n is an element of F and $\{x_n\}$ converges to x from its separability and metrizability. If C is the sequence $\{x_n\}$, it is $\sigma(F, E')$ -bounded and x is an element of τ -closure of C . Now if B is an arbitrary $\beta(E', F)$ -bounded subset, it is $\sigma(E', E)$ -bounded.

Thus B is relatively $\sigma(E', F)$ -compact. So $E'(\sigma(E', F))$ is β -Montel.

Let $E(\tau)$ be a Montel space and E' be its dual. Then the strong dual $E'(\beta(E', E))$ is also a Montel space. In case of a β -Montel space, a similar proposition holds.

PROPOSITION 7. *Let $E(\tau)$ be a β -Montel space and E' be its dual. Then $E'(\beta^*(E', E))$ is β -Montel.*

Proof. If B is any absolutely convex and $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded subset, it is relatively $\sigma(E, E')$ -compact from the assumption. Then $E'(\tau(E', E))$ is infra-barrelled since $\beta^*(E', E) = \tau(E', E)$. Next we show that any $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded subset in $E'(\tau(E', E))$ is relatively $\tau(E', E)$ -compact.

If B is any $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded subset in $E(\tau)$, it is τ -precompact.

From this $\tau(E', E) = \beta^*(E', E) \leq \tau_c(E', E)$. If C is an arbitrary $\beta(E', E)$ -bounded subset in $E'(\tau(E', E))$, it is a τ -equicontinuous subset.

Consequently C is relatively $\sigma(E', E)$ -compact and relatively $\tau_c(E', E)$ -compact from the property of the topology $\tau_c(E', E)$. Hence it is relatively $\tau(E', E)$ -compact. Thus $E'(\beta^*(E', E))$ is β -Montel.

Using Proposition 7, we can give a β -Montel space whose completion is not β -semi-Montel.

EXAMPLE 4. From Example 3, $\mathcal{L}^1(\sigma(\mathcal{L}^1, \psi))$ is β -Montel.

$\psi(\beta^*(\psi, \mathcal{L}^1))$ is β -Montel from Proposition 7. On the other hand, $(\psi, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a normed space with the dual \mathcal{L}^1 . Thus $(\psi, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is β -Montel and the completion of $(\psi, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is $(e_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$. As $(e_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is an infinite dimensional Banach space, it is not β -semi-Montel.

From Example 4 we obtain the following.

THEOREM 1. Under the conditions of Proposition 6, the subspace $F(\bar{\tau})$ of $E(\tau)$ is a β -Montel space.

Proof. From Proposition 6, $E'(\sigma(E', F))$ is a β -Montel space and $F(\beta^*(F, E'))$ is a β -Montel space from Proposition 7. $F(\bar{\tau})$ is a dense subspace of $E(\tau)$, so the dual of $F(\bar{\tau})$ is E' .

As $F(\bar{\tau})$ is metrizable, $F(\bar{\tau}) = F(\beta^*(F, E'))$.

REMARK 2. Let $E(\tau)$ be the strict inductive limit of β -semi-Montel spaces $E_n(\tau_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and E' be the dual of $E(\tau)$ and B be an arbitrary $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded subset in $E(\tau)$. Then it is not known whether we can find a space $E_n(\tau_n)$ where B is $\beta(E_n, E'_n)$ -bounded. (E'_n is the dual of $E_n(\tau_n)$.) About the other construction appeared in [7], as in the case of a (semi-)Montel space, a β -(semi-)Montel space is not always preserved under them.

4. Separability of a metrizable β -Montel space

In general, every Fréchet Montel space is separable. In this section we consider whether every metrizable β -Montel space is separable. In fact the space is not always separable. Here we give an example of the above fact.

EXAMPLE 5. Let X be a set such that $|X| > \aleph_0$. From Proposition 2, $\mathcal{L}^1(X)(\sigma(\mathcal{L}^1(X), \psi(X)))$ is β -Montel; and from Proposition 7, $\psi(X)(\beta^*(\psi(X), \mathcal{L}^1(X)))$ is also β -Montel. However $(\psi(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a normed space whose dual is $\mathcal{L}^1(X)$. Hence $(\psi(X), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a metrizable β -Montel space and clearly not separable.

However we obtain a theorem that a metrizable β -Montel space is separable under the following condition.

THEOREM 2. *Let $E(\tau)$ be a metrizable β -Montel space and E' be its dual. If $E'(\sigma(E', E))$ has a fundamental sequence of bounded subsets, $E(\tau)$ is separable.*

Proof. $E(\beta(E, E'))$ is metrizable from the assumption. Let $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ and $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ be the F -norms on $E(\tau)$ and $E(\beta(E, E'))$. Then if $E(\tau)$ is not separable, there exist a positive number δ and an uncountable subset A of E such that $\|z-z'\|_\tau > \delta$, for $z, z' \in A, z \neq z'$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we put $K_n = \{x : \|x\|_\beta < 1/n, x \in E\}$ and for an arbitrary $x \in E$, we denote $\inf\{t : t > 0, x/t \in K_n\}$ by $[x]_n$. Then there is a positive number M_1 such that $A_1 = A \cap \{x : [x]_1 < M_1, x \in E\}$ is an uncountable set.

Similarly for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$, there is a positive number M_n such that $A_n = A_{n-1} \cap \{x : [x]_n < M_n, x \in E\}$ is an uncountable set. We obtain a sequence of uncountable subsets of E . For each A_j we take an element $z_j \in A_j$. $\{z_j\}$ is $\beta(E, E')$ -bounded since for each K_n , $\{z_j\} \subset \max\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n-1}, M_n\} \cdot K_n$ ($z_i \in m_i \cdot K_n, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$). Hence $\{z_j\}$ is relatively τ -compact. On the other hand, $\|z_i - z_j\|_\tau > \delta$ for $i \neq j$. This leads to a contradiction.

REMARK 3. As an example of Theorem 2, $L^p(\sigma(L^p, \psi))$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) is given. Conversely let $E(\tau)$ be a separable, metrizable and β -Montel space and E' be its dual. Then $E'(\sigma(E', E))$ does not always have a fundamental sequence of bounded subsets. For example, we put $E(\tau) = (\psi, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ then the weak dual $L^1(\sigma(L^1, \psi))$ does not have a fundamental sequence of bounded subsets.

References

- [1] N. Adasch, B. Ernst and D. Keim, *Topological vector spaces* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1978).
- [2] D. Gulick, "Duality theory for the topology of simple convergence", *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 52 (1973), 453-472.
- [3] J. Hórvath, *Topological vector spaces and distributions*, Vol. 1 (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1966).
- [4] T. Husain, "Two new classes of locally convex spaces", *Math. Ann.* 166 (1966), 289-299.
- [5] P.K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, *Sequences spaces and series* (Lecture Notes 65. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1981).
- [6] G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces 1* (translated by D.J. Garling. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 159. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1969).
- [7] K. McKennon and J.M. Robertson, *Locally convex spaces* (Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1976).

Department of Mathematics,
Kobe University,
Nada-ku,
Kobe 657,
Japan.