## EQUATIONALLY DEFINED RADICAL CLASSES

N.R. MCCONNELL AND TIMOTHY STOKES

We consider universal classes of multioperator groups, and give a sufficient condition for a subclass defined by algebraic elementwise rules to be a radical class.

Consider a universal class  $\mathcal{U}$  of multioperator groups. We obtain a sufficient condition for algebraic element-wise definitions of classes of algebras in  $\mathcal{U}$  to give rise to radical classes. Some work of this sort has been done by Gardner [1] and Wiegandt [5]. As our work is largely motivated by rings, we refer to normal subobjects as ideals throughout. We direct the reader to [2] for terminology and background.

DEFINITION 1: Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a universal class in a variety  $\mathcal{V}$  of multioperator groups, and let F be a set of elements in the free algebra with countable generators  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}F$  be the class in  $\mathcal{U}$  defined as follows: R is in  $\mathcal{R}F$  providing that for every  $r \in R$  there exist  $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in F$  and  $r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_n$  in R, such that  $f(r, r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_n) = 0$ . For any algebra R, define  $\mathcal{R}F'(R) = \{r \in R \mid \text{ there exists } f \in$ F, and  $r_2, \ldots, r_n \in R$  with  $f(r, r_2, \ldots, r_n) = 0$ .

It is obvious from these definitions that R is in  $\mathcal{R}F$  if and only if  $\mathcal{R}F'(R) = R$ .

LEMMA 2. For all F,  $\mathcal{R}F$  is homomorphically closed.

PROOF: Suppose  $R \in \mathcal{R}F$ ,  $I \triangleleft R$ . If  $r + I \in R/I$ , then by assumption there exist  $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in F$  and  $r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_n \in R$ , such that  $f(r, r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_n) = 0$ , whence  $f(r + I, r_2 + I, r_3 + I, \ldots, r_n + I) = 0 + I$ , and so R/I is in  $\mathcal{R}F$ .

It is shown in [5] that the class of rings whose elements satisfy some property P is a radical class if P satisfies the following conditions (where I is an ideal of some ring A):

- (a) If a is a P-element of A, then the coset a + I is a P-element of A/I;
- (d) If a is a P-element in I, then a is a P-element in A too;
- and
- (e) If the coset a + I is a *P*-element of A/I and *I* consists of *P*-elements, then a is a *P*-element of A.

Received 5th March, 1992.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/93 \$A2.00+0.00.

We can use this to get a criterion for  $\mathcal{R}F$  to be a radical class.

DEFINITION 3: F is  $\mathcal{U}$ -associating (or just associating when no confusion arises) if and only if for all  $R \in \mathcal{U}$ , whenever there are  $g, h \in F$ ,  $r, a_i \in R$  and  $b_j \in I$  where Iis some ideal of R with  $\mathcal{R}F'(I) = I$  for which  $g(h(r, a_i), b_j) = 0$ , there are also  $f \in F$ and  $c_k \in R$  such that  $f(r, c_k) = 0$ . Note that  $a_i$  here refers to n-1 elements of Rwhere n is the number of generators involved in h, et cetera.

**THEOREM 4.** If F is U-associating then  $\mathcal{R}F$  is a radical class.

PROOF: Suppose F is associating, and let r be a P-element of a ring R if  $f(r, a_i) = 0$  for some  $f \in F$ ,  $a_i \in R$ . (a) is satisfied by Lemma 2, and (d) is trivial. Suppose that r + I is a P-element of R/I, where I consists of P-elements. Then for some  $f \in F$  and  $a_i \in R$ ,  $f(r + I, a_i + I) = 0$ , that is  $f(r, a_i) \in I$ . Since I consists of P-elements,  $\mathcal{R}F'(I) = I$ , so there exist  $g \in F$  and  $b_j \in I$  with  $g(f(r, a_i), b_j) = 0$ ; hence  $h(r, c_k) = 0$  for some  $h \in F$  as F is associating. Thus r is a P-element of R, (e) holds, and  $\mathcal{R}F$  is a radical class.

COROLLARY 5. Suppose F is such that  $\mathcal{R}F'(R)$  is an ideal of R for all  $R \in \mathcal{U}$ , and further that  $\mathcal{R}F'(\mathcal{R}F'(R)) = \mathcal{R}F'(R)$  for all  $R \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}F$  is a radical class if and only if F is  $\mathcal{U}$ -associating.

PROOF: We show that if  $\mathcal{R}F$  is a radical class, then F is associating, the result then following by Theorem 4. Let  $R \in \mathcal{U}$ . We observe that  $\mathcal{R}F'(R)$  is the radical of Rwith respect to  $\mathcal{R}F$ , since  $\mathcal{R}F'(R)$  is an ideal of R which obviously contains all other  $\mathcal{R}F$ -ideals of R; moreover,  $\mathcal{R}F'(R)$  is itself an  $\mathcal{R}F$ -ideal of R since  $\mathcal{R}F'(\mathcal{R}F'(R)) =$  $\mathcal{R}F'(R)$  by assumption.

Suppose there are  $g, h \in F$  and  $r, a_i \in R$ ,  $b_j \in I$  where I is an ideal of R with  $\mathcal{R}F'(I) = I$ , for which  $g(h(r, a_i), b_j) = 0$ . Then certainly  $h(r, a_i)$  is in  $\mathcal{R}F'(R)$ , so that  $h(r + \mathcal{R}F'(R), a_i + \mathcal{R}F'(R)) = 0 + \mathcal{R}F'(R)$ . Thus  $r + \mathcal{R}F'(R) \in \mathcal{R}F'(R/\mathcal{R}F'(R)) = 0$  whence  $r \in \mathcal{R}F(R)$  so that there are  $f \in F, c_k \in R$  such that  $f(r, c_k) = 0$  and the result follows.

In this case, A is  $\mathcal{R}F$ -semisimple if and only if  $\mathcal{R}F'(A) = \mathcal{R}F(A) = 0$  if and only if whenever  $f(r, a_i) = 0, r = 0$ .

COROLLARY 6. Suppose that for all  $R \in U$ ,  $\mathcal{R}F'(R)$  is an ideal of R, and that whenever  $f(r, r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_n) = 0$  for some  $r, r_2, \ldots, r_n \in R, f \in F$ , then all of  $r, r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_n$  are in  $\mathcal{R}F'(R)$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}F$  is a radical class in U if and only if F is associating.

PROOF: Let  $R \in \mathcal{U}$ . Evidently, for all  $r \in \mathcal{R}F'(R)$ , there exist  $r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_n \in \mathcal{R}F'(R)$  for which  $f(r, r_2, \ldots, r_n) = 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{R}F'(\mathcal{R}F'(R)) = \mathcal{R}F'(R)$ , and the result follows.

Note that if every  $f \in F$  involves only one generator, the second condition of Corollary 6 is satisfied immediately.

EXAMPLES. In all the examples below, U is the class of all associative rings (unless otherwise stated).

(i) Let  $F = \{f\}$  where f(x, y) = x + y + xy; then  $\mathcal{R}F$  is the class of quasiregular rings. Since f(f(x, y), z) = f(x, y + z + yz) for all x, y, z in any ring R, F is associating and by Theorem 4  $\mathcal{R}F$  is a radical class (the Jacobson radical class). In fact, F is strongly associating in the sense that for every  $f, g \in F$  we have  $f(g(x, y_i), z_j)$  actually equal to  $h(x, p_k(x, y_i, z_j))$  for some  $h \in F$  and polynomials  $p_k$  in the free algebra. This is also true of all subsequent examples.

(ii) Let  $F = \{x, x^2, ...\}$ ; then  $\mathcal{R}F$  is the class of nil rings, which is a radical class (the nil radical) because  $(x^n)^m = x^{nm}$ , and so F is associating. Similarly, letting  $F = \{mx^n \mid m, n \text{ positive integers }\}$  gives the Veldsman radical. Note that if  $\mathcal{U}$  is the class of commutative rings, then  $\mathcal{R}F'(R)$  is an ideal of R for every  $R \in \mathcal{U}$ , and the remarks after Corollaries 5 and 6 apply. These two are also examples of sets of polynomials closed under substitution, which makes them 1-radicals, where an n-radical is a radical class for which a ring R is radical if and only if every n-generated subring of R is radical [1, Proposition 4.2].

(iii) In a similar way, all the examples 1-8 from [5, Section 3] arise from strongly associating F. It appears possible that all radical classes of the form

 $\{R \mid \text{ every element of } R \text{ is a } P \text{-element } \}$ 

arise in this way.

(iv) Let  $F_p = \{x - py\}$ , where p is prime; then  $\mathcal{R}F_p$  is the class of p-divisible rings, which is a radical class because (x - py) - pz = x - p(y + z), and so  $F_p$  is associating. Note that the divisible radical is the intersection of all the  $\mathcal{R}F_p$ 's.

(v) Let p and q be integer polynomials and let  $F = \{x - p(x)yq(x)\}$ ; then  $\mathcal{R}F$  is the class of (p,q)-regular rings. By an argument similar to that in [3, Lemma 1], F can be shown to be associating.

(vi) Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the class of commutative rings, and let  $F = \{x^{2m} + y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2 \mid m, n \text{ positive integers }\}$ ; then it is fairly easy to see that for any  $f_1, f_2 \in F$  there will be  $f_3 \in F$  with  $f_1(f_2(x, y_i), v_j) = f_3(x, z_k)$ , so F is associating. Note that in this case the conditions of Corollaries 5 and 6 hold. This radical class arises from the real Nullstellensatz of Stengle [4] in the same way that the nil radical arises from the Hilbert Nullstellensatz.

(vii) Let  $F = \{x^2 - x\}$ ; then  $\mathcal{R}F$  is the class of Boolean rings.  $\mathcal{R}F$  is a radical class, but F is not associating. It follows from Corollary 6 that  $\mathcal{R}F'(R)$  is not always an ideal of R; that is, the idempotent elements of a ring do not always form an ideal.

## References

- B.J. Gardner, 'Radical properties defined locally by polynomial identities I', J. Austral. Math. Soc. 27 (1979), 257-273.
- [2] B.J. Gardner, *Radical theory*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics 198 (Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, Essex, UK, 1989).
- [3] Gary L. Musser, 'Linear Semiprime (p;q) Radicals', Pacific J. Math. 37 (1971), 749-757.
- G. Stengle, 'A Nullstellensatz and a Positivstellensatz in semialgebraic geometry', Math. Ann. 207 (1974), 87-97.
- [5] R. Wiegandt, 'Radicals of rings defined by means of elements', Sitz. Ost. Akad. Wiss.II 184 (1975), 117-125.

Department of Mathematics and Computing University of Central Queensland Rockhampton MC Qld 4701 Australia Department of Mathematics University of Tasmania Hobart, Tas 7000 Australia