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#### Abstract

Let $\lambda$ be a simple eigenvalue of a bounded linear operator $T$ on a Banach space $X$, and let ( $T_{n}$ ) be a resolvent operator approximation of $T$. For large $n$, let $S_{n}$ denote the reduced resolvent associated with $T_{n}$ and $\lambda_{n}$, the simple eigenvalue of $T_{n}$ near $\lambda$. It is shown that $$
\sup _{k=1,2 \ldots \ldots} \frac{\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k}\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\right\|}{\left\|S_{n}\right\|^{k-1}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$ under the assumption that all the spectral points of $T$ which are nearest to $\lambda$ belong to the discrete spectrum of $T$. This is used to find error estimates for the Rayleigh-Schrödinger series for $\lambda$ and $\varphi$ with initial terms $\lambda_{n}$ and $\varphi_{n}$, where $\varphi$ (respectively, $\varphi_{n}$ ) is an eigenvector of $T$ (respectively, $T_{n}$ ) corresponding to $\lambda$ (respectively, $\lambda_{n}$ ), and also for the Kato-Rellich perturbation series for $P P_{n}$, where $P$ (respectively, $P_{n}$ ) is the spectral projection for $T$ (respectively, $T_{n}$ ) associated with $\lambda$ (respectively, $\lambda_{n}$ ).
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let $X$ be a complex Banach space, and let $T$ belong to the space $B L(X)$ of all bounded linear operators on $X$. Let $\lambda$ be an isolated simple eigenvalue of $T$. We assume that $T \neq \lambda I$. Let $\Gamma$ denote a circle with centre $\lambda$ and radius $a<\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\})$. Then $\Gamma \subset \rho(T)$ and $\Gamma$ isolates $\lambda$ from the rest of the spectrum of $T$.

[^0]For $z$ in $\rho(T)$, let $R(z)=(T-z I)^{-1}$ be the resolvent operator of $T$. Then the spectral projection $P$ associated with $T$ and $\lambda$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} R(z) d z . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $s$ such that $0<s<a / 2$ and define

$$
f(z)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if }|z-\lambda|<s \\ \frac{1}{z-\lambda}, & \text { if }|z-\lambda|>2 s\end{cases}
$$

Then $f$ is locally analytic on a neighbourhood of $\sigma(T)$ and at $\infty$, if we define $f(\infty)=0$.

The reduced resolvent $S$ associated with $T$ and $\lambda$ can then be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=f(T)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) R(z) d z=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{R(z)}{z-\lambda} d z . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(T-\lambda I)=(T-\lambda I) S=I-P, \quad S P=P S=0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectrum $\sigma(S)$ and the resolvent set $\rho(S)$ of $S$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma(S)=\left\{\frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda}: \tilde{\lambda} \in \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\}\right\} \cup\{0\},  \tag{4}\\
\rho(S)=\left\{\frac{1}{z-\lambda}: z \in \rho(T)\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

(See Taylor and Lay [9, Theorem 9.5].) It follows that the spectral radius $r_{\sigma}(S)$ of $S$ is given by

$$
r_{\sigma}(S)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\})} .
$$

Also, $\tilde{\lambda}$ is an isolated point of the spectrum of $T$ if and only if $1 /(\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda)$ is an isolated point of the spectrum of $S$, and in that case, the spectral projection associated with $T$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ coincides with the spectral projection associated with $f(T)=S$ and $f(\tilde{\lambda})=1 /(\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda)$. (See Taylor and Lay [9, Theorem 9.8].)

Let $\left(T_{n}\right)$ be a resolvent operator approximation of $T$ on $\rho(T)\left(T_{n} \xrightarrow{r o} T\right)$, that is,

$$
T_{n} x \rightarrow T x \text { for every } x \text { in } X, \text { and }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) R(z)\left(T_{n}-T\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0 \text { for every } z \text { in } \rho(T) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In Chatelin and Lemordant [3], and in Kulkarni and Limaye [5], the resolvent operator approximation was considered under the name 'strong convergence'. It can be proved that if the spectrum of $T$ is simply connected, then
$T_{n} \xrightarrow{r o} T$ if and only if $\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) T^{k}\left(T-T_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $k=1,2, \ldots$ This is certainly the case when $T$ is a compact operator.

If either $\left(T_{n}\right)$ converges to $T$ in the norm $\left(T_{n} \xrightarrow{\|} T\right)$, or if $\left(T_{n}\right)$ converges to $T$ in a collectively compact fashion $\left(T_{n} \xrightarrow{c c} T\right)$, that is, if $T_{n} x \rightarrow T x$ for every $x$ in $X$, and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\left(T_{n}-T\right) x:\|x\| \leq 1\right\}$ is a relatively compact subset of $X$, then $T_{n} \xrightarrow{r o} T$.

Since $\Gamma$ is a compact subset of $\rho(T)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \Gamma}\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) R(z)\left(T_{n}-T\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all $n$ large enough, $\Gamma \subset \rho\left(T_{n}\right)$ and it can be seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{z \in \Gamma}\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) R_{n}(z)\left(T_{n}-T\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{n}(z)=\left(T_{n}-z I\right)^{-1}$ for $z \in \rho\left(T_{n}\right)$.
For all $n$ large enough, the spectrum of $T_{n}$ inside $\Gamma$ consists of a simple eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}$. (See Chatelin and Lemordant [3, Lemma 4].) Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} R_{n}(z) d z \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{R_{n}(z)}{z-\lambda_{n}} d z \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the spectral projection and the reduced resolvent associated with $T_{n}$ and $\lambda_{n}$, respectively.

Since for every $x \in X, R_{n}(z) x \rightarrow R(z) x$, uniformly for $z$ in $\Gamma$, and since $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda$, it can be easily verified that

$$
P_{n} x \rightarrow P x \quad \text { and } \quad S_{n} x \rightarrow S x \text { for all } x \text { in } X
$$

As $\operatorname{dim} P_{n} X=\operatorname{dim} P X=1$, we have, in fact, $P_{n} \xrightarrow{c c} P$ (Chatelin [2, Proposition 3.13]), and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Anselone [1, Corollary 1.9]). By the uniform boundedness principle, we see that for all large $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{n}\right\|,\left\|S_{n}\right\|,\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\right\| \leq C<\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $n$ sufficiently large. Following Chatelin [2], we consider the RayleighSchrödinger series

$$
\lambda=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}^{(k)} \text { and } \varphi=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}^{(k)}
$$

where $\lambda_{n}^{(0)}=\lambda_{n}$, and $\varphi_{n}^{(0)}=\varphi_{n}$ is an eigenvector of $T_{n}$ corresponding to $\lambda_{n}$, and for $k \geq 1$,

$$
\lambda_{n}^{(k)}=\left\langle\left(T-T_{n}\right) \varphi_{n}^{(k-1)}, \varphi_{n}^{*}\right\rangle
$$

where $\varphi_{n}^{*}$ is the eigenvector of $T_{n}^{*}$ corresponding to $\bar{\lambda}_{n}$ satisfying $\left\langle\varphi_{n}, \varphi_{n}^{*}\right\rangle=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}^{(k)}=S_{n}\left(-\left(T-T_{n}\right) \varphi_{n}^{(k-1)}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{n}^{(i)} \varphi_{n}^{(k-i)}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $T_{n} \xrightarrow{c c} T$, Redont [8] gave error bounds for $\left|\lambda-\sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda_{n}^{(i)}\right|$ and $\left\|\varphi-\sum_{i=0}^{k} \varphi_{n}^{(i)}\right\|$ in terms of $\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\|$ and a quantity $\alpha_{n}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
B=\{x \in X:\|x\| \leq 1\}, \quad K_{n}=\bigcup_{k \geq 0}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{\left\|S_{n}\right\|}\right)^{k}\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n} B \\
\alpha_{n}=\operatorname{diameter}\left(\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n} K_{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

He claimed that $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. However, his proof does not seem to be justified, as shown by us in [4] by citing a counter example. Instead, we introduced in [4] a parameter $r \geq 1$ and proved that if $r>1$, then $\alpha_{n}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$
\alpha_{n}(r)=\operatorname{diameter}\left(\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n} K_{n}(r)\right)
$$

with

$$
K_{n}(r)=\bigcup_{k \geq 0}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{r\left\|S_{n}\right\|}\right)^{k}\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n} B
$$

Note that $\alpha_{n}(1)=\alpha_{n}$.
In his thesis [7], Nair introduced another quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\alpha}_{n}(r)=\sup _{k \geq 1} \frac{\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k}\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\right\|}{\left(r\left\|S_{n}\right\|\right)^{k-1}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \geq 1$, and gave error bounds for the convergence of the RayleighSchrödinger series in terms of $\tilde{\alpha}_{n}(r)$. He proved that if $r>1$, then $\tilde{\alpha}_{n}(r) \rightarrow 0$. Note that $\tilde{\alpha}_{n}(r) \leq \alpha_{n}(r)$. Thus, the original question regarding the case $r=1$ remained unanswered. (See also [6].)

In the present paper we prove under the assumption of resolvent operator approximation (which is weaker than collectively compact approximation), that

$$
\tilde{\alpha}_{n}(1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty ;
$$

the only restriction we impose is that all the spectral values of $T$ nearest to $\lambda$ are eigenvalues of $T$ of finite algebraic multiplicities. If $T$ is a compact
operator and $\lambda \neq 0$, then this merely says that 0 is not the nearest spectral value of $T$ from $\lambda$. Our proof is motivated by Redont's considerations.

Using the fact that $\tilde{\alpha}_{n}(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can also improve some results for the approximation of the spectral projection $P$ given in [5, Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3(b)]. These results use the Kato-Rellich perturbation series. We are able to give better error bounds for the approximations of $P P_{n}$.

The discrete spectrum $\sigma_{d}(T)$ of $T$ is defined as follows:
$\sigma_{d}(T)=\{\mu \in \sigma(T): \mu$ is an eigenvalue of finite algebraic multiplicity $\}$.
We first prove that if one of the spectral values of $T$ nearest to $\lambda$ is in the discrete spectrum of $T$, then $r_{\sigma}\left(S_{n}\right)$ tends to $r_{\sigma}(S)$. Recall that $\lambda$ is a simple eigenvalue of $T$, separated by a circle $\Gamma$ of radius $a$ from the rest of $\sigma(T)$. If $\left(T_{n}\right)$ is a resolvent operator approximation of $T$, then $T_{n}$ has a simple eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}$ inside $\Gamma$ and it is the only spectral value of $T_{n}$ inside $\Gamma$. We begin with the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 1.1. Let $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { ro }} T$. If $\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of spectral values of $T_{n}$, and if $\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ converges to $\mu$, then $\mu$ is a spectral value of $T$.

Proof. Let, if possible, $\mu \in \rho(T)$. Consider a simple closed curve $\Gamma$ in $\rho(T)$ enclosing $\mu$ and such that the interior of $\Gamma$ is contained in $\rho(T)$. Then

$$
P=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} R(z) d z=0 .
$$

Since $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { ro }} T, \Gamma \subset \rho\left(T_{n}\right)$ for all $n$ large enough. As $\mu_{n} \rightarrow \mu, \mu_{n}$ lies in the interior of $\Gamma$ for all large $n$ and hence

$$
P_{n}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} R_{n}(z) d z \neq 0 .
$$

This is a contradiction, since $\operatorname{dim} P_{n} X=\operatorname{dim} P X$ for all large $n$. (See Chatelin and Lemordant [3, Lemma 4].) Hence $\mu \in \sigma(T)$.

Proposition 1.2. Let $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { ro }} T$ and assume that there exists $\tilde{\lambda}$ in the discrete spectrum of $T$ such that $|\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda|=\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(\lambda_{n}, \sigma\left(T_{n}\right) \backslash\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\}) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\sigma}\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow r_{\sigma}(S) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_{n}^{\prime} \in \sigma\left(T_{n}\right)$ be such that $\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-\lambda_{n}\right|=\operatorname{dist}\left(\lambda_{n}, \sigma\left(T_{n}\right) \backslash\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}\right)$. Then $\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-\lambda_{n}\right| \geq \delta$ for some $\delta>0$ and for all large $n$. Since $\tilde{\lambda}$ belongs to the discrete
spectrum of $T$, there exists $\tilde{\lambda}_{n}$ in $\sigma\left(T_{n}\right)$ such that $\tilde{\lambda}_{n} \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}$. (See Chatelin and Lemordant [3, Lemma 4].) Now, $\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-\lambda_{n}\right| \leq\left|\tilde{\lambda}_{n}-\lambda_{n}\right| \rightarrow|\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda|$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-\lambda_{n}\right| \leq|\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda| . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (15), it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda| \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\lambda_{n}^{\prime}-\lambda_{n}\right| . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that this is not the case. Then there exist subsequences ( $\lambda_{n_{k}}^{\prime}$ ) and $\left(\lambda_{n_{k}}\right)$ such that $\left|\lambda_{n_{k}}^{\prime}-\lambda_{n_{k}}\right| \rightarrow \varepsilon<|\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda|$. By passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that $\lambda_{n_{k}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \lambda^{\prime}$ for some $\lambda^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $T_{n} \xrightarrow{r O} T$, it follows by Lemma 2.1 that $\lambda^{\prime} \in \sigma(T)$ and $\left|\lambda^{\prime}-\lambda\right|<|\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda|$, a contradiction to the fact that $|\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda|=\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\})$. Thus, (15) follows from (17) and (18). Finally, (16) follows by

$$
r_{\sigma}\left(S_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}\left(\lambda_{n}, \sigma\left(T_{n}\right) \backslash\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}\right)}
$$

and

$$
r_{\sigma}(S)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\})} .
$$

## 2. Main results

Consider the following inclusion, which we call by the name "Assumption (*)'.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\tilde{\lambda} \in \sigma(T):|\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda|=\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\})\} \subset \sigma_{d}(T) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the spectral points of $T$ nearest to $\lambda$ are finite in number and each such point belongs to the discrete part of the spectrum of $T$.

Note that this assumption is stronger than the one made in Proposition 1.2.

In case $T$ is compact and $\lambda \neq 0$, Assumption (*) is satisfied if

$$
|\lambda| \neq \operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\}),
$$

that is, if 0 is not one of the nearest spectral points from $\lambda$. We write

$$
\beta_{n, k}=\frac{\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k}\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\right\|}{\left\|S_{n}\right\|^{k-1}}, \quad k \geq 1 .
$$

Then for large $n$,

$$
\tilde{\alpha}_{n}(1)=\sup \left\{\beta_{n, k}: k=1,2, \ldots\right\} .
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { ro }} \boldsymbol{T}$ and let Assumption (*) be satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\alpha}_{n}(1) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We denote the eigenvalues of $T$ nearest to $\lambda$, that is, the elements of the set

$$
E=\{\tilde{\lambda} \in \sigma(T):|\tilde{\lambda}-\lambda|=\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\})\}
$$

by $\lambda^{j}=1 / \mu^{j}+\lambda, j=1, \ldots, q$. Then each $\mu^{j}$ is an eigenvalue of $S$. Note that

$$
\left\{\mu \in \sigma(S):|\mu|=r_{\sigma}(S)\right\}=\left\{\mu^{1}, \mu^{2}, \ldots, \mu^{q}\right\} .
$$

Let $m_{j}$ denote the algebraic multiplicity of $\lambda^{j}, j=1, \ldots, q$. For $j=1, \ldots, q$, let $\Gamma_{j}$ denote a curve in $\rho(T)$ isolating $\lambda^{j}$ from the rest of the spectrum, and let $P_{\lambda^{j}}$ be the associated spectral projection. Then $P_{\lambda^{j}}$ is also the spectral projection associated with $S$ and $\mu^{j}$. If we write

$$
\tilde{P}=P_{\lambda^{\prime}}+\cdots+P_{\lambda q},
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\sigma}(S(I-\tilde{P}))<r_{\sigma}(S) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { ro }} T, \Gamma_{j} \subset \rho\left(T_{n}\right)$ for all $n$ large enough and $j=1, \ldots, q$. Let $P_{n, j}$ denote the spectral projection associated with $T_{n}$ and $\sigma\left(T_{n}\right) \cap \operatorname{Int} \Gamma_{j}$, where Int $\Gamma_{j}$ denotes the interior of $\Gamma_{j}$. Then the spectral projection $\tilde{P}_{n}$ associated with $T_{n}$ and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{q}\left(\sigma\left(T_{n}\right) \cap \operatorname{Int} \Gamma_{j}\right)$ is given by $\tilde{P}_{n}=P_{n, 1}+\cdots+P_{n, q}$. By Assumption (*), $\operatorname{rank} \tilde{P}=m_{1}+\cdots+m_{q}<\infty$. Hence $\tilde{P}_{n} \xrightarrow{c c} \tilde{P}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) \tilde{P}_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See Anselone [1, Corollary 1.9].) Also, $\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}\right\|$ and $\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\right\|$ are uniformly bounded. Now, we write

$$
S_{n}=S_{n} \tilde{P}_{n}+S_{n}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)
$$

Since $S_{n}$ and $\tilde{P}_{n}$ commute,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k} \tilde{P}_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|S_{n}\right\|^{k}\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) \tilde{P}_{n}\right\| \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k}\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k} \tilde{P}_{n}\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n} \tilde{P}_{n}\right\| \\
& +\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (21) and (22), we see that in order to prove (19) it is enough to prove that

$$
\sup _{k \geq 1}\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\right\| /\left\|S_{n}\right\|^{k-1}
$$

tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We recall from (2) and (10) that

$$
S=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{R(z)}{z-\lambda} d z \quad \text { and } \quad S_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{R_{n}(z)}{z-\lambda_{n}} d z
$$

where $\Gamma$ represents a circle with centre $\lambda$ and radius $a$ with

$$
a<\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\})=\frac{1}{r_{\sigma}(S)} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(I-\tilde{P})=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{R(z)(I-\tilde{P})}{z-\lambda} d z \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{R_{n}(z)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)}{z-\lambda_{n}} d z . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $R(z)(I-\tilde{P})$ has a removable singularity at $\lambda^{j}, j=1, \ldots, q$. Hence, we can choose a circle $\Gamma^{\prime}$ with centre $\lambda$ and radius $a^{\prime}$ satisfying $a<$ $a^{\prime}<1 / r_{\sigma}(S(I-\tilde{P}))$. Then (23) remains valid with $\Gamma$ replaced by $\Gamma^{\prime}$.

Now we wish to show that even in (24) we can replace $\Gamma$ by $\Gamma^{\prime}$.
Consider

$$
P_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(T)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma^{\prime}} R(z) d z
$$

and for all $n$ large,

$$
P_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left(T_{n}\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma^{\prime}} R_{n}(z) d z .
$$
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Then $P_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(T)=P+P_{\lambda^{1}}+\cdots+P_{\lambda^{q}}$ and $P_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left(T_{n}\right)=P_{n}+P_{n, 1}+\cdots+P_{n, q}$. Now $\operatorname{rank} P_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(T)=1+m_{1}+\cdots+m_{q}=\operatorname{rank} P_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left(T_{n}\right)$.

Since

$$
\operatorname{rank} \tilde{P}_{n}=\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{n, 1}+\cdots+P_{n, q}\right)=m_{1}+\cdots+m_{q},
$$

the only singularity of $R_{n}(z)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)$ inside $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is at $\lambda_{n}$. Thus, we can replace $\Gamma$ by $\Gamma^{\prime}$ in (24) and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma^{\prime}} \frac{R_{n}(z)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)}{z-\lambda_{n}} d z \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Proposition 1.2 we have proved that $r_{\sigma}\left(S_{n}\right) \rightarrow r_{\sigma}(S)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we can choose $0<\eta^{\prime}<\eta<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\sigma}(S(I-\tilde{P}))<\eta^{\prime} r_{\sigma}(S) \leq \eta r_{\sigma}\left(S_{n}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let $a^{\prime}=1 /\left(\eta^{\prime} r_{\sigma}(S)\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\eta\left\|S_{n}\right\|} \leq \frac{1}{\eta r_{\sigma}\left(S_{n}\right)} \leq a^{\prime}<\frac{1}{r_{\sigma}(S(I-\tilde{P}))} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \lambda, \lambda_{n}$ is inside the circle with centre $\lambda$ and radius $(1-\eta) a^{\prime}$ for all large $n$. Then for $z$ in $\Gamma^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z-\lambda_{n}\right| \geq|z-\lambda|-\left|\lambda-\lambda_{n}\right| \geq a^{\prime}-(1-\eta) a^{\prime}=\eta a^{\prime} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for $k=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(T & \left.-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right) \| \\
& \leq\left\|\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{\Gamma^{\prime}} \int_{\Gamma^{\prime}} \frac{\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(z)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(w)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right) d z d w}{\left(z-\lambda_{n}\right)^{k}\left(w-\lambda_{n}\right)}\right\| \\
& \leq \max _{z, w \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{2} \| \frac{\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(z)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(w)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\right\|}{\left|z-\lambda_{n}\right|^{k}\left|w-\lambda_{n}\right|} \\
& \leq \max _{z, w \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(z)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(w)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\right\| /\left(\eta a^{\prime}\right)^{k-1} \eta^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by (27),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{k \geq 1}\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}^{k}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\right\| /\left\|S_{n}\right\|^{k-1} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\max _{z, w \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(z)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(w)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\right\|\right) / \eta^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $T_{n} \xrightarrow{r} T$ on $\rho(T)$ and $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is compact, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{z, w \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(z)\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(w)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \max _{w \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left\|R_{n}(w)\right\| \max _{z \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(z)\left(T-T_{n}\right)\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also by (21) we have

$$
\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) \tilde{P}_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $R_{n}(z)$ commutes with $\tilde{P}_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{z, w \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(z)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(w)\left(I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \max _{z, w \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(z)\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(w)\right\|\left\|I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right\| \\
&+\left(\max _{z \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left\|R_{n}(z)\right\|\right)\left(\max _{w \in \Gamma^{\prime}}\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(w)\right\|\right)\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) \tilde{P}_{n}\right\|\left\|I-\tilde{P}_{n}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of $\tilde{\alpha}_{n}(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{n} & =\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) \varphi_{n}\right\|, \\
\mu_{n} & =\max \left\{\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) S_{n}\right\|,\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) \varphi_{n}\right\|\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\|\right\}, \\
\varepsilon_{n} & =\max \left\{\alpha_{n},\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) \varphi_{n}\right\|\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\| \mu_{n}\right\}, \\
\tilde{\varepsilon}_{n} & =\max \left\{\tilde{\alpha}_{n},\left\|\left(T-T_{n}\right) \varphi_{n}\right\|\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\| \mu_{n}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
a_{0}=1, \quad a_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i-1} a_{k-i}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots .
$$

The following error bounds for the Rayleigh-Schrödinger iterates have been obtained by Redont. (See [8, Remark 3.3].) For $k=0,1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\left|\lambda_{n}^{(2 k+1)}\right| \leq a_{2 k} \eta_{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)^{2 k}, \quad\left|\lambda_{n}^{(2 k+2)}\right| \leq a_{2 k+1} \eta_{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\| \mu_{n}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)^{2 k}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\varphi_{n}^{(2 k+1)}\right\| \leq a_{2 k+1} \eta_{n}\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)^{2 k}, \quad\left\|\varphi_{n}^{(2 k+2)}\right\| \leq a_{2 k+2} \eta_{n}\left\|S_{n}\right\| \mu_{n}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)^{2 k} .
$$

The error bounds obtained in [6] and [7] are similar to the above bounds with $\varepsilon_{n}$ replaced by $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{n}$. We have proved that if $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { ro }} T$, then $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { ro }} T$ and let Assumption (*) be satisfied. Then for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\left|\lambda-\sum_{i=0}^{2 k} \lambda_{n}^{(i)}\right|=O\left(\eta_{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\| \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n}^{k}\right), \quad\left|\lambda-\sum_{i=0}^{2 k+1} \lambda_{n}^{(i)}\right|=O\left(\eta_{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\| \mu_{n} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n}^{k}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\|\varphi-\sum_{i=0}^{2 k} \varphi_{n}^{(i)}\right\|=O\left(\eta_{n}\left\|S_{n}\right\| \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n}^{k}\right), \quad\left\|\varphi-\sum_{i=0}^{2 k+1} \varphi_{n}^{(i)}\right\|=O\left(\eta_{n}\left\|S_{n}\right\| \mu_{n} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n}^{k}\right) .
$$

Now we consider the Kato-Rellich perturbation series for the spectral projection $P$. We choose $n$ large enough so that $\max _{z \in \Gamma} r_{\sigma}\left(\left(T-T_{n}\right) R_{n}(z)\right)<1$, where $\Gamma$ is a circle with centre $\lambda$ and radius $a<\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T) \backslash\{\lambda\})$. The Kato-Rellich perturbation series for $P$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P_{n}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(*)_{k+1}} S_{n}^{p_{1}}\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}^{p_{2}} \ldots\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}^{p_{k+1}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(*)_{k+1}$ denotes the conditions

$$
p_{1}+\cdots+p_{k+1}=k \quad \text { and } \quad p_{j} \geq 0, \quad j=1, \ldots, k+1 .
$$

We adopt the notation $S_{n}^{0}=-P_{n}$. The number $n_{k+1}$ of the ordered $(k+1)$ tuples $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k+1}\right)$ satisfying $(*)_{k+1}$ is the coefficient of $x^{k}$ in the binomial expansion of $(1-x)^{-(k+1)}$. Thus,

$$
n_{k+1}=\frac{(2 k)!}{k!k!}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_{k+1} x^{k} \text { for }|x|<\frac{1}{4} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
h_{1}(x)=\frac{h(x)+h(-x)}{2}, \quad h_{2}(x)=\frac{h(x)-h(-x)}{2} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P P_{n}=P_{n}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{n}^{(k)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $k \geq 1$

We set

$$
P_{n}^{0}=P_{n}^{(0)}=P_{n}, \quad P_{n}^{m}=\sum_{k=0}^{m} P_{n}^{(k)} .
$$

Recalling that

$$
\beta_{n, k}=\frac{\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}^{k}\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}\right\|}{\left\|S_{n}\right\|^{k-1}},
$$

we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{n} & =\max \left\{\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\|,\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}\right\|\right\}, \\
\delta_{n, k} & =\max \left\{\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}\right\|, \max _{1 \leq i \leq k} \beta_{n, i}\right\}, \\
\delta_{n} & =\max \left\{\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\| \gamma_{n}, \sup _{1 \leq i} \beta_{n, i}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (11) and (12) we know that $\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}\right\| \leq C<\infty$ for all large $n$. In Theorem 2.1, we have proved that $\tilde{\alpha}_{n}(1)=\sup _{k \geq 1} \beta_{n, k} \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ under Assumption (*), so that $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $T_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { ro }} T$, and Assumption (*) be satisfied. The series $P P_{n}-P_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{n}^{(k)}$ is dominated term by term by the following series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\| \varepsilon_{n}\left[h_{1}\left(\sqrt{\delta_{n}}\right)+\frac{\gamma_{n}}{\sqrt{\delta_{n}}} h_{2}\left(\sqrt{\delta_{n}}\right)\right] . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence for $k \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P P_{n}-P_{n}^{2 k}\right\|=O\left(\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\|\left(\delta_{n}\right)^{k}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P P_{n}-P_{n}^{2 k+1}\right\|=O\left(\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\| \gamma_{n}\left(\delta_{n}\right)^{k}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that for $p, q \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}^{p}\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}^{q}\right\| \leq\left\|S_{n}\right\|^{p+q-2} \delta_{n} . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p_{1}+\cdots+p_{k+1}=k, p_{j} \geq 0, j=1, \ldots, k$ and $p_{k+1}=0$. Then
$\left\|S_{n}^{p_{1}}\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}^{p_{2}} \cdots\left(T_{n}-T\right) S_{n}^{p_{k+1}}\right\|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \begin{cases}\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\|\left(\delta_{n}\right)^{(k-1) / 2}, & \text { if } k \text { is odd, } \\
\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\| \gamma_{n}\left(\delta_{n}\right)^{(k-2) / 2}, & \text { if } k \text { is even. }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left\|P_{n}^{(k)}\right\| \leq \begin{cases}n_{k+1}\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\|\left(\delta_{n}\right)^{(k-1) / 2}, & \text { if } k \text { is odd, } \\ n_{k+1}\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\| \gamma_{n}\left(\delta_{n}\right)^{(k-2) / 2}, & \text { if } k \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$

Thus, the result follows.
Remark 2.5. The above theorem should be compared with the following result [3, Theorem 4.2].

Let $T_{n}$ converge to $T$ in a collectively compact fashion. Let $p \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. Then there exists $n_{0}$ such that for every fixed $n \geq n_{0}$ and for $k=0, \ldots, p-1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|P P_{n}-P_{n}^{2 k}\right\| & =O\left(\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\| \nu_{n}^{k}\right),  \tag{3}\\
\left\|P P_{n}-P_{n}^{2 k+1}\right\| & =O\left(\left\|P_{n}\right\|\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\| \gamma_{n} \nu_{n}^{k}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu_{n}=\max \left\{\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\| \gamma_{n}, \delta_{n, k+1}\right\}$.

We see from the above result that $P_{n}^{j}$ approximates $P P_{n}$ in a semi-geometric fashion for $j=0, \ldots, 2 k-1$.

Since $\left\|S_{n}\right\|\left\|\left(T_{n}-T\right) P_{n}\right\| \gamma_{n} \leq \delta_{n}$ and $\delta_{n, k+1} \leq \delta_{n}$ for all $k$, the bounds given in (37) are sharper than those in (34) and (35), but they have the disadvantage that they depend upon $k$. Also, the proof of the above result given in [5] is much more complicated.
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