“super-exploitation” of labour, do little justice to the subtle historiography that has developed in recent decades in the field of plantation labour, and it even robs “coolies” of their human agency. Indeed, Tully unfairly disparages the pioneering Ph.D. thesis of Webby Kalikiti, my former doctoral student, “Plantation Labour: Rubber Planters and the Colonial State in French Indochina, 1890–1939”, submitted in 2000. Kalikiti’s scholarly archival and oral research patiently and carefully showed up many of the weaknesses of the official Vietnamese line, although, sadly, his thesis remains unpublished. Calling this path-breaking research an “apologia” for planters, which it certainly is not, will do nothing to hasten its much overdue publication.

Most disappointing is the fact that smallholders hardly figure at all in Tully’s narrative, even though they produced nearly half the world’s natural rubber by the outbreak of World War II. As early as the late 1940s, Peter Bauer’s seminal *The Rubber Industry: A Study in Competition and Monopoly* (London, 1948), demonstrated that smallholders were far more efficient producers of rubber than planters, and that the latter only survived because they were propped up by foolish and racist officials. There have been fine studies of the social world of the smallholder, for example, Bambang Purwanto’s 1992 Ph.D. thesis, “From Dusun to Market: Native Rubber Cultivation in Southern Sumatra, 1890–1940”, which is not cited here. Sadly, the reader learns nothing from Tully about the crucial processes whereby smallholders amassed land and labour, and how they allied with Chinese and other traders to run rings round the plantocracy. Instead, readers are treated to hoary old stereotypes about smallholders as part-time producers.

In short, this book is something of a missed opportunity. It would be wonderful to have a global social history of rubber in the modern era, but what we get here is no more than disparate building blocks towards such a project. Nevertheless, some of these building blocks are truly useful. Time and again, I found myself reaching for my pen to take notes, and, almost invariably, this was when Tully had quarried some valuable nugget of information from neglected archival sources.

William G. Clarence-Smith
who went to Turkey, are attracting the interest of historians, while a fascinating exhibition in
the Akademie der Künste in Berlin in 2000 drew the attention of the public to an almost
forgotten chapter of German history. The exemplary catalogue, *Haymatloz. Exil in der
Türkei 1933–1945*, edited by Sabine Hillebrecht and published by the Verein Aktives
Museum, prompted many other studies in both Turkish and English about the role of
German exiles in the making of modern Turkey.

The second and largest exodus of political fugitives in Spanish history, the so-called
*Retirada*, was of Spanish republicans who fled after the victory of Franco’s army in 1939.
Most of the political left, trade-unionists, workers, and ordinary people fled to France and
settled for the greater part in the south and in and around Toulouse. That exodus had
already begun in 1937 and by 1939 more than 500,000 fugitives had crossed the Pyrenees.
Most of them were housed by the French government in camps, until they slowly found
their way out into French society at large.

The influx of Spanish fugitives was, of course, a great financial burden on the French
local authorities, although aid was offered by the French Communist Party, trade unions,
and by both the Catholic and Protestant churches. Slowly the Spanish exiles rebuilt some
of their old organizations and hoped for better times after the end of World War II, when
they would return to their liberated country, free from the fascist dictatorship of Franco.
They could not imagine then that they would have to wait until the death of Franco, in
1975, before a new and democratic Spain could slowly come into being, and by that time
their situation in France had completely changed. Many of the older emigrants had died
and the younger generation were for the greater part integrated into French society.
Consequently, not many of the *retirados* returned to Spain.

The logical result of the late return of democracy in Spain and the long international
isolation in which the country lived after World War II was that historiography on the
Spanish republican exile started late. In Spain there was a great reluctance to look back
into the troubled past, as old wounds were still painful. For young people, it was far more
attractive to look towards a future which they expected to be much brighter than to look
back to the old days which had decidedly not been good. Only now that the troubled
twentieth century has passed is a new generation of Spanish intellectuals coming to grips
with Spain’s recent history.

In his stimulating overview of Spanish history and culture, *The Disinherited: Exile and
the Making of Spanish Culture, 1492–1975* (New York, 2007), Henry Kamen describes
how Spain, once the superpower of Europe, declined into provincial stupor during the
eighteenth century, when the Catholic Church and the Inquisition were able to suppress
any foreign influence and close the country’s frontiers to the European Enlightenment.
During the nineteenth century, Spain’s colonial empire in South America crumbled and
perished finally in 1898 during the war with the United States of America. In December
1898 the Treaty of Paris stipulated that Spain should lose Cuba, Puerto Rico, Guam, the
Philippines, and the Mariana Islands. The *Desastro*, as the war was called by the Spa-
niards, brought home the fact that Spain was now a complete failure and hopelessly
behind the times in all respects. A group of young writers and intellectuals, later called
“the generation of 1898” demanded a complete modernization of Spanish cultural and
scientific life.

Spain’s neutrality during World War I gave the rising generation the chance to search
for and create new forms for old Spanish cultural concepts. New centres of scientific
research were created at the universities, where hitherto the sciences had not been held in
high esteem. The regeneration and modernization of Spanish cultural and scientific life
lasted only a short time and perished in the disaster of the Spanish Civil War (1936–1939), when after the victory of Franco’s armies Spain lost the most active and educated part of its population. The country lived in isolation and slipped into backwardness until 1975, when the death of Franco finally opened Spain’s windows to the world.

By 1939, the majority of Spanish scientists, who worked in the various universities throughout the country, had fled, most of them to Mexico, with France as their second-favourite destination. Others went to find new homes in Venezuela, Argentina, Cuba, the United States, and a few even to the Soviet Union. All were united in the Unión de Profesores Universitarios Españoles en el Extranjero (UPUEE), which was founded in Paris. In 1940 they created together the journal Ciencia: Revista hispanoamericana de ciencias puras y aplicadas, in which, until 1975, they published the results of their research. The Spanish scientists in exile found their way to South American universities, the universities of Paris and Toulouse, and worked in the international health organizations of Geneva and Washington. Their precarious situation spurred them on to work harder than ever, and their scientific output is as stunningly impressive as any by their German counterparts.

This book is the result of the international congress “El exilio científico republicano. Un balance histórico 70 años después”, which took place in 2009 at the University of Valencia-CSIC. It contains biographies and bibliographies of many exiled scientists, preceded by short evaluations of their importance in their fields. Because of the unexpectedly long exile of the Spanish republicans, only a few of them found their way back to their homeland after 1975. The congress, the book, but also the CD-Rom of every issue of the journal Ciencia which accompanies the book, has given both the scientists and their work a new lease of life.

Rena Fuks-Mansfeld


Food supply was a critical element of the administration of colonial cities in the Americas. It depended on a complex trading system that involved several agents and was constantly regulated by municipal institutions. Richard Graham examines this issue in the city of Salvador, Bahia, between 1780 and 1860. Graham is a well-known Brazilianist who has published, among others, a classic study of Imperial Brazil, entitled Patronage and Politics in Nineteenth-Century Brazil (Stanford, CA, 1990). Now, with this book, he has turned his focus on to the urban food provisioning system in eighteenth and nineteenth-century Brazil.

Although the subject of the book is the food trade in Salvador, it is not an economic history but rather a typical social history. The trade networks are like a window that allows Graham to analyze the personal interactions and the various social groups and individuals occupied in such activity: “Commerce in foodstuffs offers a lens through which we can examine more closely the workings of a ranked society, the connections and conflicts across strata, the search for identity, the contestation of place, and the vitality of commercial enterprise” (p. 30).

The author’s decision to observe closely the social groups in an urban environment of a slave society have led him to recognize the flexibility of the hierarchies and the frequent...