Interference of weeds in vegetable crop cultivation, in the changing climate of Southern Europe with emphasis on drought and elevated temperatures: a review
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Abstract

It is challenging to predict the changes in weed flora that may occur because of changes in global climate. Limited data are available on the effect of climate change and drought conditions on weed flora and their competitiveness in Southern Europe. Future predictions by scientists indicate reduced and untimely rainfall, along with increased temperatures in this region. Weeds possess a variety of developmental and physiological mechanisms, including senescing, increased leaf cuticular wax deposition, well-developed palisade parenchyma in the leaves, high root/shoot ratio, stomatal closure, peroxidase accumulation and symbiosis with endophytes that enable them to adapt to drought and high temperatures. Because of the high adaptability of weeds to adverse environmental conditions, it can be assumed that under future warmer and drier environmental conditions, their growth will be favoured, while the competitiveness of vegetable crops against weeds will be decreased. It is important to highlight that the predicted decrease in overall rainfall levels throughout the year may lead to increased problems of herbicide residues (carryover effects) to following crops. The current paper provides an up-to-date overview of the adaptation mechanisms of weed species commonly found in Southern Europe, in order to expand the available knowledge regarding their response to drought and elevated temperatures. Emphasis is placed on revealing the effects of drought and increased temperatures on vegetable–weed competition and, most importantly, its effect on vegetable crop yield.

Introduction

A stable climate is vitally important for life on Earth. However, the Earth’s climate is now facing rapid changes with profound effects on the environment and its inhabitants. Specifically, melting of the polar ice caps and glaciers is responsible for rising sea levels (Raper and Braithwaite, 2006; Paul, 2011), while heatwaves and droughts are becoming more severe and frequent in more and more regions of the globe (Olesen et al., 2011; Trenberth, 2018). All these changes have major consequences for human activities and the environment (Renner et al., 2012; Trenberth, 2018). Furthermore, the increased risk to agricultural production seems to be one of the most important consequences of climate change (Cline, 2007; Evangelista et al., 2013), while the distribution of several wild plant species is expected to change (McDonald et al., 2009; Castellanos-Frias et al., 2016; Reif et al., 2017). On the contrary, it is expected to have a positive effect on agricultural production in high-latitude regions such as Russia and Canada, while its effects on other areas of the world are debatable (Lewis and Watham, 2012). The overall global effects of climate change on agriculture will be negative, in terms of food security and stability (Nelson et al., 2009; Wheeler and Von Braun, 2013), while the effects are expected to be worse in countries already facing food shortages (Wheeler and Von Braun, 2013). Recent data have revealed that the number of undernourished people increased to 815 million in 2016, representing about 11% of the global population (FAO et al., 2017); according to Dawson et al. (2016), 31% of the global population is expected to face the risk of undernourishment by 2050.

In Southern Europe, climate change is predicted to result in temperature elevation and an increase in the frequency of drought (Lehner et al., 2006; Lovell et al., 2012). In the same region, climate change will also cause declines in crop yields (Debaeke et al., 2017; Gammans et al., 2017); thus, restructuring of crops, as well as changes in cultivation practices,
have been suggested as alternative strategies to solve this problem (Olesen et al., 2011; Nastis et al., 2012). Here, it is important to point out that vegetables, pulses and cereals are more vulnerable to climate change (e.g. increased temperatures, drought incidence) than other arable crops (Aickgoz, 2011; Bahl, 2015; Gammans et al., 2017). A recent study by Gammans et al. (2017) predicted yield losses of up to 21.0% for winter wheat, 17.3% for winter barley and 33.6% for spring barley due to yearly deviations from climate averages for temperature and precipitation.

Climate change is already occurring in Europe and it is anticipated that significant changes in weed flora will take place throughout Europe in the near future (Peters et al., 2014; Castellanos-Frias et al., 2016). Enhanced growth of C4 weeds (the species that use the C4 carbon fixation pathway [Hyvönen, 2011; Rodenburg et al., 2011]), spreading of perennial weeds such as Solanum elaeagnifolium Cav. (silverleaf nightshade) and Sorghum halapense (L.) Pers. (Johnsongrass) (Mekki, 2007; Leguizamón and Acciaresi, 2014) and invasion of new species (Clements and DiTommaso, 2012) are some of the effects of climate change (e.g. increased temperatures, drought) on weed flora in Europe and other parts of the world. Understanding crop–weed interference in response to climate change is also a major challenge, as weeds remain the most important limiting factor in economically viable crop production (Ziska and Dukes, 2011; Karkanis et al., 2012). According to Lovelli et al. (2012), weeds’ competitiveness is already increasing as a result of elevated temperatures in the Mediterranean region. From this perspective, two critical questions need to be addressed (Neve et al., 2009):

- Are weeds capable of adapting to a changing environment?
- What effect does climate change have on vegetable–weed competition?

Taking the above questions into consideration, the current review aims to provide an up-to-date overview of the adaptation mechanisms in weed species commonly found in Southern Europe in order to expand the available knowledge regarding the adaptability of these weeds to drought and elevated temperatures. Emphasis is also placed on revealing the effects of drought and increased temperatures on vegetable–weed competition, and most importantly, its effect on crop yield, as well as on weed distribution and population composition in this region.

### Weeds, drought and high temperatures

Plant response to drought is a complex biological process involving different mechanisms of defence (Karkanis and Petropoulos, 2017; Plesa et al., 2018). Therefore, several weed species possess a wide variety of developmental and physiological mechanisms that enable them to adapt to increased temperatures and the limited availability of water (Fig. 1). In this section, a description is presented of the most important adaptation mechanisms, while emphasis has been placed on weed species commonly found in regions where vegetable crops are cultivated in Southern Europe.

#### Weed plasticity and drought

Several weed species decrease their water requirements by reducing the total plant leaf area. According to Schmidt et al. (2011), Abutilon theophrasti Medicus (velvetleaf) responds to water stress by senescing its oldest leaves, allowing the young leaves to support plant development and seed production. Similarly, Ward et al. (1999) observed that A. theophrasti reacts to drought by senescing and reducing the leaf area, allowing the remaining leaves to maintain high leaf water potential.

Plants growing at high temperatures adapt to heat stress by reducing the absorption of solar radiation either by growing hairs, which form a thick layer on leaves’ surfaces, or by rolling their leaves (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2013). In addition, Karkanis et al. (2011) reported that A. theophrasti plants have the ability to adjust their temperature by orienting their leaf blades parallel to incident sunlight (Fig. 2).

Furthermore, several weeds respond to drought by shortening their life-cycles. Indeed, A. theophrasti plants suffering from drought were observed to flower earlier compared with well-watered plants (Karkanis et al., 2011). Such an early onset of reproduction in plants in response to water stress has been reported by Volis et al. (2004) and Volis (2009) for Hordeum spontaneum Koch (wild barley) and Avena sterilis (wild oat) as well.

High root/shoot ratios also contribute to drought tolerance in plants (Heschel et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2006). Heschel et al. (2004) evaluated three populations of Polygonum persicaria L. (spotted ladysthumb) for their adaptation mechanisms to drought: the results of that study revealed that all populations increased their water use efficiency (WUE) and root biomass allocation under drought conditions. Similarly, drought resistance in S. elaeagnifolium is associated with its deep root system, which consists of creeping horizontal and deep vertical roots (Mekki, 2007). Travlos (2013) similarly observed that S. elaeagnifolium plants exhibited a high root/shoot ratio under water stress. Furthermore, Zhu et al. (2013) reported considerable morphological variations between plants collected from several regions with different rainfall levels. According to Zhu et al. (2013), S. elaeagnifolium plants originating from areas with high rainfall levels had greater heights and larger leaves compared with those from regions with low rainfall levels, indicating changes in plant morphology as an adaptation mechanism to drought stress. Similar to S. elaeagnifolium, Convolvulus arvensis L. (field bindweed), which is considered to be a drought-tolerant species, develops a deep root system in order to overcome limited water availability (Sosnoskie and Hanson, 2016). The increase of root/shoot ratio in rice plants under drought-stress conditions has been associated with higher accumulation of dry matter and soluble sugars in roots as a response to higher activities of sucrose-phosphate synthase in shoots and invertase in roots, thus a higher transportation rate of sucrose from shoots to roots (Xu et al., 2015). This function is a plant defence mechanism to sustain root growth by decreasing allocation of metabolites to shoots (Gargallo-Garriga et al., 2014). Moreover, these changes in root architecture and growth allow plants to increase water uptake from deeper soil layers and overcome the negative effects of drought stress, although this response is highly dependent on genotype, as well as severity and duration of exposure to stress conditions (Lemoine et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2015; Sosnoskie and Hanson, 2016).

Drought resistance of weeds is also associated with a variety of anatomical traits that enable them to minimize water loss. For instance, Hatterman-Valenti et al. (2011) observed that A. theophrasti plants grown under drought conditions had greater leaf epidermal cuticular wax deposition as compared with leaves of well-watered plants. Echallium elaterium (L.) A. Rich (squirting cucumber) is also considered to be drought-resistant because of its leaf structure. According to Christodoulakis et al. (2011), E. elaterium plants
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present a well-developed palisade parenchyma in comparison with spongy parenchyma, which is relatively compact, while numerous multicellular trichomes exist on both leaf surfaces. High palisade to spongy parenchyma ratio is considered to be a xeromorphic trait (Christodoulakis et al., 2011). Christodoulakis et al. (2009) reported that the leaves of S. elaeagnifolium have four layers of palisade cells, while spongy parenchyma is absent from the mesophyll of its leaves. Similar to E. elaterium plants, numerous multicellular trichomes are also present on both leaf surfaces (Christodoulakis et al., 2009). The hairs on leaf surfaces are advantageous under drought conditions as they reduce the absorption of solar radiation, in addition to helping prevent water loss through the stomata (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2013).

**Physiological and biochemical adaptations to drought**

Weeds possess a variety of physiological mechanisms that enable them to adapt to water stress. For instance, under drought conditions, *A. theophrasti* plants retain water through stomatal closure (Schmidt et al., 2011). For the same species, Karkanis et al. (2011)
reported that water stress resulted in lower stomatal conductance. *Amaranthus retroflexus* L. (redroot bigweed) is similarly considered to be a drought-tolerant weed, commonly found in Southern Europe. According to Lovelli et al. (2010a), the invasiveness of *A. retroflexus* increases under drought conditions because of its capacity to maintain a high WUE compared with other less-resistant crop and weed species.

Under drought conditions, an increased allocation of solutes in the roots of *Rumex obtusifolius* L. Rumob. (broadleaf dock) compared with its aerial parts has been reported by Gilgen and Feller (2013). The allocation of solutes to the roots helps *R. obtusifolius* plants to recover quickly from drought stress; this rapid recovery is a key factor for overall plant performance and competitiveness against other species, especially under stress (Gilgen and Feller, 2013, 2014).

Drought stress also affects plant photosynthesis, either through stomatal closure that impairs carbon dioxide (CO₂) diffusion or by inducing oxidative stress (Chaves et al., 2009). Under these conditions, over-production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) causes oxidative damage in the cell (Shigeoka et al., 2002), while several enzymes that play important roles in the metabolism of ROS, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase, monodehydroascorbate reductase, dehydroascorbate reductase, glutathione reductase (GR), glutathione S-transferase, glutathione peroxidase (GPX) and peroxidases (POD), show lower activity (Shigeoka et al., 2002; Hasanuzzaman et al., 2012). In this regard, Pandey et al. (2010) reported that *A. sterilis* plants exhibit drought-stress tolerance by displaying a high POD activity.

*Gynodondactylon*(L.)Pers. (Bermuda grass) is a perennial weed listed as one of the ten most notorious weeds in the world, although it also used as a turf grass for landscaping purposes (Holm et al., 1997; Shi et al., 2012). According to Shi et al. (2012), *G. dactylon* plants display a range of mechanisms to withstand drought stress, including the control of water loss from leaves, accumulation of osmolytes (proline and soluble sugars) and the regulation of ROS via antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT, POD, GR and GPX). Production of molecular chaperones (i.e. heat shock protein 70; HSP70) by *G. dactylon* plants in response to drought has been reported by Shi et al. (2014) and Ye et al. (2015). Moreover, it is important to highlight a particular mechanism of drought resistance here, which is observed in *Portulacaoleracea* L. plants (common purslane). This species uses the C4 carbon fixation pathway, but under conditions of water stress shifts its photosynthetic process to crassulacean acid metabolism, which allows the plants to close their stomata during the day and open them at night in order to retain water (Lara et al., 2003, 2004).

**Drought and endophytes**

Several winter grass weeds, such as *Briza*, *Bromus* and *Poa*, are infected by fungal symbionts, *Epichloë/Neotypodium* endophytes, which grow asymptotically in the intercellular spaces of the tissues of the aerial parts of these weeds (Iannone et al., 2011). *Loliumrigidum* and *L. multiflorum* Lam. (Italian ryegrass) are two important and commonly found weeds in areas cultivated with cereal crops that infected by the endophytic fungus, *Neotypodiumoccultans* (Yamashita et al., 2010; Kirkby et al., 2011), while *L. perenne* L. (perennial ryegrass) is also infected by the fungal endophyte species, *N. lolii* (Kane, 2011). Recently, Leuchtmann et al. (2014) recommended the reassignment of *Neotypodium* species to the *Epichloë* genus with the exception of two species, *Acremoniumchilense* and *N. starrii*.

The symbiosis of grasses with fungal endophytes helps the former alleviate the effects of drought stress (Kane, 2011), while also improving their establishment, competitiveness and invasiveness (Yamashita et al., 2010; Casas et al., 2016). The infection of cool grasses with endophytes induces a range of adaptation mechanisms to drought stress, such as control of transpiration, improvement of water uptake due to a greater and deeper root system, and osmotic adjustment via the synthesis of various solutes (Malinowski and Belesky, 2000). Loline alkaloids (i.e. *N*-formylloline *N*-actetylollin) have been identified in various endophyte-infected grasses (Schardl et al., 2007; Adhikari et al., 2016) and are known to play a significant role in drought tolerance of plants (Malinowski and Belesky, 2000; Schardl et al., 2004).

**Seed dormancy and soil moisture**

The persistence of weed seeds in soil is a key factor that affects the density and competitiveness of weeds within a field (Efthimiadou et al., 2009). The environmental conditions during seed development and maturation of maternal plants have been reported as factors that affect seed dormancy (Swain et al., 2006; Hoyle et al., 2008). According to Swain et al. (2006), the seeds of *Allopecurusmyosuroides* Huds. (blackgrass) plants grown under warm and dry conditions were less dormant than those of plants grown under cool and wet conditions. Steadman et al. (2004) also reported that seeds of *L. rigidum* Gaud. (annual ryegrass) developed at warm temperatures were also less dormant than those produced at low temperatures. Similar results were obtained by Figueroa et al. (2010), who found that the seeds of *Senecio vulgaris* L. (common groundsel) plants grown under cold conditions were more dormant as compared with those grown under warm conditions and which showed no dormancy.

Soil moisture in the maternal environment during seed development also affects the dormancy level of seeds. Wright et al. (1999) observed that seeds from *Sinapisarvensis* L. (wild mustard) plants subjected to water at 70% field capacity (FC) were more dormant than those from the plants subjected to water at 10% FC. Similar to these results, Luzuriaga et al. (2006) found that the provision of water in the maternal environment significantly reduced seed germination rate in *S. arvensis*, probably due to a higher dormancy level of the seeds.

In summary, the environmental conditions, particularly soil moisture and air temperature, during seed development in maternal plants of several weed species significantly affect the seed dormancy level; warm dry conditions have a positive effect on the germination rate of weed seeds, mostly due to lack of dormancy.

**Allelopathy and drought**

The allelopathic ability of weeds enhances their competitiveness against crops, while allelochemical production is influenced by both genetic and environmental factors (Qasem and Foy, 2001). Usually, water stress enhances the production of allelochemicals in several weed species, such as *A. theophrasti* Medic. (velvetleaf), *Daturastramonium*L. (jimsonweed) and *Xanthium italicum* Mor. (cocklebur; Borbély and Dávid, 2006; Dávid and Borbély, 2009).

*Cyperusrotundus* L. (purple nutseed) is one of the most noxious weed species worldwide, which exhibits considerable allelopathic activity against vegetable crops due to its ability to
release allelochemicals (i.e. alkaloids and phenolic acids) from its root system, while tolerating adverse environmental conditions (Dhima et al., 2016; Peertzada, 2017). Other important weed species that present significant allelopathic activity against various crops are A. retroflexus, Chenopodium album L. (fat-hen), Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop. (creeping thistle), C. dactylon, Papaver rhoeas L. (corn poppy), Solanum nigrum L. (black nightshade) and Xanthium strumarium L. (cocklebur) (Qasem and Hill, 1989; Tanveer et al., 2008; Rezaie and Yarnia, 2009; Ravlić, 2016).

**Vegetables’ production in an environment with changing climate**

Any changes in environmental conditions are expected to significantly affect crop physiology and growth, yield, product quality and pesticide behaviour. However, the overall effects of climate change on agriculture depend on the extent of temperature increase, total rainfall and distribution in a region, the severity and frequency of storms and droughts, and the changes in plant × pathogens, plant × pests and plant × weeds interactions (IPCC, 2007; Hill, 1989; Tanveer et al., 2008; Rezaie and Yarnia, 2009; Ravlić, 2016).

Overall, changes in temperatures and precipitation, as well as the limited availability of irrigation water and increased hailstorms and thunderstorms, are likely to affect vegetable yield significantly (Prasad and Chakravorty, 2015). In the current century, an increase of 1.6 ± 0.27 °C in mean temperature is predicted in the Mediterranean region (Saadi et al., 2015). Moreover, the distribution of precipitation will vary significantly throughout the year, as well as between several countries of Southern Europe; however, in most of these countries, a decline in precipitation is also expected (Olesen et al., 2011; Saadi et al., 2015). These changes in environmental conditions may affect vegetable production significantly, particularly production of spring crops, because of high temperatures and drought stress. For example, Ventrelà et al. (2012) reported that in the future climatic scenarios, an increase of air temperatures in the range of 2–5 °C may occur, which will decrease tomato yields in Italy. In addition, in areas that are suitable for open-field cultivation of tomato, yield is predicted to decline because of increased temperatures and drought stress (Silva et al., 2017). Moreover, high temperatures have been found to increase the length of the life-cycle of broccoli due to decreased developmental and growth rates, which result in delayed flowering (Lindemann-Zutz et al., 2016). Root development may also be affected by elevated soil temperatures due to alterations in several root functions (nutrient uptake, respiration, etc.) and architectural parameters (length and lateral root number, root branching) (Gray and Brady, 2016).

Rising temperatures also affect vegetable quality negatively by affecting photosynthetic processes, such as the time taken for photassimilation and the biosynthesis of sugars, organic acids and phenolic compounds (Mattos et al., 2014; Bisbis et al., 2018). Furthermore, according to Potts et al. (2010) and Nielsen et al. (2017), a declining trend has already been observed in domesticated and wild pollinator species in Europe and other parts of the world; climatic changes have been reported as potential drivers of the reduction in pollinator species. Such changes can, therefore, indirectly affect vegetable production by affecting their respective pollinators (Prasad and Chakravorty, 2015).

It should also be emphasized that decreasing soil moisture levels due to climatic change may lead to an increased persistence of herbicides, and thus, may lead to herbicide carryover to following crops, causing severe problems. It is well known that residues of soil-applied herbicides (i.e. sulphonylureas, triketones and imidazoliones) can cause severe damage to various vegetables, whereas according to Rahman et al. (2011), herbicide persistence is influenced by soil type and other environmental conditions (moisture, temperature). Ball et al. (2003) observed that reduced soil moisture leads to a decline in imazamox degradation, while Wang et al. (2007) reported that an increase in soil moisture enhances microbial activity, as well as metsulphuron-methyl degradation. In other research, increased soil temperatures are reported to enhance herbicide dissipation via hydrolysis (Grey and McCullough, 2012). In this regard, Bailey (2004) asserted that the duration of isoproturon efficacy against weeds declined by 25% in the UK over the period from 1980 to 2001 due to an increase in soil temperature. Consequently, the degradation of herbicides in soil is a complex process; the prediction of herbicide persistence in soil under the expected climate change scenarios of the future will provide useful information to help avoid crop damage by herbicide residues.

**Effects of climate change on weed distribution and vegetable–weed competition in Southern Europe**

Climate change is already occurring in Europe. Based on climate data from 1900 to 2005, rainfall has been increasing significantly in Northern Europe, while a decline has been witnessed in the Mediterranean region. By the end of the current century, a global temperature increase between 1.1 and 6.4 °C is predicted to occur under different climate scenarios (IPCC, 2007). Weiß et al. (2007) reported that in the imminent future, incidences of drought are expected to increase by ten times in Southern Europe. If these projections are realized, it is anticipated that significant changes in weed flora composition and distribution will take place throughout Europe (Fig. 3) and other parts of the globe (McDonald et al., 2009; Castellanos-Frias et al., 2016). Predicting weed invasion in a specific region undergoing climate change is a major challenge (Clements and DiTommaso, 2012). The spread of some weed species over new areas has already been recorded. Recently, Clements and DiTommaso (2012) reported that several weed species have already expanded from the United States into Canada, while in Europe, climatic change during recent decades have already altered the weed flora in arable ecosystems (Peters et al., 2014).

Irrespective of weed species, the projections about their geographical distribution under future climate conditions provide useful information for their early detection and management. For instance, Castellanos-Frias et al. (2016) reported that the geographical distribution of L. rigidum in Europe is expected to change, expanding to regions that are currently too cold for its survival but may not be in the near future. According to Castellanos-Frias et al. (2016), suitable regions in Europe for this weed species are predicted to increase by 108.7 or 167.3% depending on different climate change scenarios. Climate change can also influence the geographical distribution of crops and their losses caused by A. myosurus. The effect of this weed on crop production has been projected to decline in regions where climate scenarios expected in the future predict more frequent periods of drought, whereas the diffusion of this weed is expected to increase from areas with higher temperatures to areas with lower temperatures (Stratonovitch et al., 2012). Castellanos-Frias et al. (2014) reported that future environmental conditions may favour the
spread of *A. sterilis* to Central and Northern Europe, while certain areas of the Mediterranean region may become unsuitable for its survival. Therefore, in Southern Europe, the winter weed species that are favoured by high soil moisture may spread to more suitable areas in the north, while its effect on winter pulses, cereals and vegetables that are commonly cultivated in the region is expected to decline.

Furthermore, climate change may favour the spread of drought-resistant perennial or spring weed species mentioned in previous sections of the current review; therefore, the negative effect of these weeds on crop production is projected to increase in southern Europe. Indeed, the present distribution of *S. elaeagnifolium* in several countries of southern Europe reveals the risk of further spread of the species to be noteworthy (Mekki, 2007). Moreover, rising temperatures are expected to enhance the early growth of *D. stramonium* as, according to Jursík et al. (2004), its minimum germination temperature is approximately 20 °C, while its optimum germination occurs at high temperatures of about 30 °C. These temperature requirements of *D. stramonium* seed germination prevent its earlier emergence at the ongoing temperatures in the regions (Cavero et al., 1999).

The projection of yield losses for vegetable crops due to weed competition under future climate conditions is also a challenge. It is important to point out once again that vegetable crops are more vulnerable to drought and increased temperatures due to their high water requirements and high water content. Moreover, crop–weed competition is a complex process that is influenced by several factors, including soil properties, environmental conditions, cultural practices and crop–weed competitiveness (Bilalis et al., 2009; Efthimiadou et al., 2009; Valerio et al., 2013). Drought-tolerant weed species may have a major effect on vegetable crops, making early management of these species necessary in the future (Table 1). Rodenburg et al. (2011) reported that under conditions of drought and high temperatures, weed species with the C4 carbon fixation pathway will adapt better, thereby having a competitive advantage over C3 crop plants that use the C3 carbon fixation pathway in the photosynthesis process. Similarly, Hyvönen (2011) speculated that rising temperatures will enhance the growth of C4 weeds, such as *A. retroflexus*, while Zand et al. (2006) observed that drought stress had a more negative effect on the competitiveness of *C. album* (C3 weed) compared with *A. retroflexus* (C4 weed). Regarding the effect of these conditions on crop–weed competition, Valerio et al. (2013) concluded that yield losses during processing of tomatoes from weeds were greater under drought conditions. These results are related to the greater ability of weeds to adapt to drought stress than the vegetable crops (Korres et al., 2016). Similarly, Lovelli et al. (2010b) reported *A. retroflexus* to be more drought-resistant than pepper by exhibiting significant competition with this crop for water. Therefore, under drought conditions, weed control in pepper crop is more critical in order to achieve high yields (Lovelli et al., 2013). Several other vegetables similarly exhibit lower competitiveness against weeds than field crops, such as maize and sunflower that have more vigorous early growth, higher leaf area and a deeper root system. It is important to highlight that the available herbicides for use on vegetable crops are limited in comparison with those for field crops, where pendimethalin is the major herbicide registered for control of grass and broad-leaved weeds in several vegetable crops, such as tomato, pepper, eggplant, cabbage, cauliflower, broccoli, leek, onion and parsley. Thus, timely weed control is pivotal for obtaining high yields, as well as for reducing the overall cost of weed management in vegetable crops. Moreover, the need for development of new herbicides that are suitable for vegetable crops is extremely important to achieve adequate weed control and to eradicate troublesome and noxious weeds, such as *D. stramonium*, *P. oleracea*, *S. nigrum*, *X. strumarium*, *C. dactylon*, *C. rotundus*, *S. halepense* and *S. elaeagnifolium*, which are projected...
to be more competitive under future climate conditions. Furthermore, according to Duke (2012), for almost two decades, no herbicide with a new mode of action has been introduced because of the increased cost of herbicide discovery and development. For the above-mentioned reasons, there is a growing need for new herbicides, especially for use in vegetable crops that are expected to be more vulnerable to weeds in the near future.

In southern Europe, climate change is predicted to result in warmer winters. Rising temperatures may have a positive effect on weed growth, giving them an advantage in comparison with winter crops (Tungate et al., 2007). In this regard, Wolfe et al. (2018) reported that warmer winters can lead to increased weed pressure. Indeed, a long-term study conducted in Denmark during the period of 1987–1989 to 2001–2004 revealed that changes in environmental conditions (e.g. increased temperatures and rainfall levels) favour crop production, while the frequency of occurrence of several weed species increases (Andreasen and Stryhn, 2012). Moreover, the base temperatures for shoot growth in A. myosuroides and G. aparine plants are 0.8 and −1.4 °C, respectively (Storkey and Cussans, 2000). Considering that the critical temperatures for growth of several winter species are significantly low, it can be concluded that warmer winter temperatures will enhance their competitive ability against winter vegetable crops, particularly during the early growth stages. This is emphasized in the work of Meador et al. (2012), who found that the rise in spring temperatures enhanced early growth of Bromus tectorum L. (downy brome), while the frequency of its occurrence increased by 36% over a 5-year-long experiment. Ultimately, knowledge of the growth patterns of weeds during their early growth stages will prove to be a valuable decision support tool for weed management (Royo-Esnal et al., 2012).

### Crop adaptation to climate change – future prospects

Under the projected future climate conditions, a multi-step approach must be implemented in order to minimize the negative effects of weeds on crop production. Firstly, it is important to develop maps predicting the geographical distribution of weeds under the expected climate change scenarios for specific areas (Chauhan et al., 2017). Secondly, extended trials should be conducted in order to evaluate the effect of extreme or increased temperatures and water stress on crop–weed competition, while combined effects of elevated CO2, increased temperatures and drought on weed and crop growth should also be examined. Moreover, studying the phenomenon of climate change can help understand the complex effects of climate change on weed–crop interference; in this context, it is also important to develop weed management strategies that are adapted to the expected future environmental conditions (Hayman and Sadras, 2006).

The adaptation of cultural practices (i.e. planting and sowing dates) to changing environmental conditions can help minimize the effect of climate change on crop production (Debaeke et al., 2017; Wiréhn, 2018). Under future climate scenarios, the discovery and development of new herbicides could make a significant contribution to the reduction of negative effects of weeds on crop production. It has furthermore been suggested that breeding of cultivars with improved stress tolerance (Chen et al., 2012) and enhanced competitiveness could help mitigate the negative effects
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### Table 1. Adaptation mechanisms to drought and high temperatures in different weed species commonly found in Southern Europe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation mechanisms</th>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senesce of oldest leaves and change in leaf orientation</td>
<td>Velvetleaf</td>
<td>Abutilon theophrasti</td>
<td>Schmidt et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep root system</td>
<td>Silverleaf nightshade</td>
<td>Solanum elaeagnifolium</td>
<td>Mekki (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Field bindweed</td>
<td>Convolvulus arvensis</td>
<td>Sosnoskie and Hanson (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased leaf epicuticular wax</td>
<td>Velvetleaf</td>
<td>A. theophrasti</td>
<td>Hatterman-Valenti et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-developed palisade parenchyma</td>
<td>Squirtling cucumber</td>
<td>Ecballium elaterium</td>
<td>Christodoulakis et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortened life cycle</td>
<td>Wild oat</td>
<td>Avena sterilis</td>
<td>Volis (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wild barley</td>
<td>Hordeum spontaneum</td>
<td>Volis et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Velvetleaf</td>
<td>A. theophrasti</td>
<td>Karkanis et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure of stomata and lower stomatal conductance</td>
<td>Velvetleaf</td>
<td>A. theophrasti</td>
<td>Schmidt et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Common purslane</td>
<td>Portulaca oleracea</td>
<td>Karkanis and Petropoulos (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocation of solutes to roots</td>
<td>Broadleaf dock</td>
<td>Rumex obtusifolius</td>
<td>Gilgen and Feller (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmolytes accumulation</td>
<td>Bermuda grass</td>
<td>Cynodon doxylon</td>
<td>Shi et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesis of heat-shock proteins (HSPs)</td>
<td>Bermuda grass</td>
<td>C. doxylon</td>
<td>Shi et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wild oat</td>
<td>A. sterilis</td>
<td>Pandey et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
of climate change on vegetable production (Korres et al., 2016). In this context, screening for drought tolerance and yield stability under stress conditions is pivotal for crop production, as well as a key step for the development of drought-tolerant cultivars (Cicevan et al., 2016; Ganança et al., 2018). Finally, raising awareness among farmers regarding the effect of climate change on crop production is extremely important (Thi Lan Huong et al., 2017), as some farmers consider climate change to be significant for their farming practices, while others do not believe in its occurrence (Takahashi et al., 2016).

Conclusions

Several commonly found weeds in Southern Europe exhibit great potential to adapt to drought conditions and increased temperatures. Under future climate scenarios, the prediction of weed invasion in a specific region and the spread of weed species over new areas is a major challenge. In southern Europe, weed species that are favoured by high soil moisture may be spread over new areas to the north and their negative effects on vegetable production in these areas are thus expected to increase. Moreover, C4 weed species will be better adapted to future climate conditions; therefore, they will be more competitive in comparison with C3 weeds and crops. In addition, increasing temperatures can enhance the early growth of several weed species, giving them an advantage over vegetable crops. Accordingly, adaptation of cultural systems to these environmental conditions will be crucial in terms of minimizing the negative effects of climate change on crop production.
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