RADIOCARBON DATING OF ALKENONES FROM MARINE SEDIMENTS:
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ABSTRACT. The chemical and isotopic compositions of long-chain (C36–C39) unsaturated ketones (alkenones), a unique class of algal lipids, encode surface ocean properties useful for paleoceanographic reconstruction. Recently, we have sought to extend the utility of alkenones as oceanic tracers through measurement of their radiocarbon contents. Here, we describe a method for isolation of alkenones from sediments as a compound class based on a sequence of wet chemical techniques. The steps involved, which include silica gel column chromatography, urea adduction, and silver nitrate-silica gel column chromatography, exploit various structural attributes of the alkenones. Amounts of purified alkenones estimated by GC/FID measurements were highly correlated with CO2 yields after sample combustion, indicating purities of greater than 90% for samples containing ≥100 µg C. The degree of alkenone unsaturation (K37′) also varied minimally through the procedure. We also describe a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method to isolate individual alkenones for molecular-level structural and isotopic determination.

INTRODUCTION

The isotopic characteristics of specific organic compounds preserved in aquatic sediments can provide important insights into the sources and biogeochemical cycling of organic matter in past and present environments (e.g. Hayes et al. 1990; Sessions et al. 1999; Sauer et al. 2001). In addition to information derived from stable isotopic compositions, molecular-level variations in the natural abundance of radiocarbon provide new insights into biogeochemical and sedimentological processes (Eglinton et al. 1997; Pearson et al. 2000; Petsch et al. 2001; Ohkouchi et al. 2002). For example, Ohkouchi et al. (2002) attributed significant 14C age differences between planktonic foraminiferal carbonate and a suite of algal biomarker compounds in drift sediments from Bermuda Rise to lateral transport of the latter on fine-grained particles. The timing of sediment advection appears coupled with climate variations during the late Holocene.

Measurement of the stable isotopes of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen in nanogram quantities of individual compounds is possible via “on-line” or “continuous-flow” techniques to characterize components in gas chromatographic effluents (Hayes et al. 1990). In contrast, the exceedingly low natural abundance of 14C dictates that samples and analyses are conducted “off-line” and require microgram to milligram quantities of carbon. Accordingly, molecular-level 14C analyses presently involve isolation of target compounds prior to their combustion, reduction to graphite, and analysis by accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS).

A key requirement is that the analytes must be isolated in high purity and in sufficient quantity for generating robust, precise 14C data. In this regard, preparative capillary gas chromatography (PCGC) has been found to be an effective tool for isolation of individual compounds for natural abundance 14C analysis (Eglinton et al. 1996). However, while PCGC affords excellent chromatographic resolution of analytes, there are limitations to this approach. The method requires a dedicated instrument and is time consuming. Multiple (often 20–100) consecutive GC cycles are typically required to accumulate sufficient quantities of the target analytes (≥200 µg C) for AMS, even assuming protocols for small-sample 14C analysis are followed (Pearson et al. 1998). Low absolute
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abundances of target analytes often dictate that large quantities of samples may be required to obtain compound-specific $^{14}$C data. Lastly, PCGC recoveries dramatically decrease with increasing carbon number for higher carbon number target compounds (>C$_{30}$).

There is, therefore, a need for methods that would enable facile compound isolation and purification while retaining a sufficient level of molecular specificity. In this context, analysis of related suites of compounds (i.e. $^{14}$C measurements at the compound class level) offers several advantages. First, the decreased selectivity required for this type of isolation may obviate the need for sophisticated chromatographic separations (i.e. PCGC) without a significant sacrifice in molecular (and hence biological source) specificity. Second, because multiple compounds are pooled to obtain a single sample for $^{14}$C analysis, sample size requirements are correspondingly reduced.

One class of compounds that are well suited to streamlined methodologies for $^{14}$C analysis are the long-chain (C$_{36}$–C$_{39}$) unsaturated ketones—the “alkenones” (Figure 1). Alkenones are produced by a biologically restricted but virtually ubiquitous group of marine algae, particularly the coccolithophores *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* (Volkman et al. 1980; Marlowe et al. 1984). These compounds are generally well preserved in Quaternary marine sediments and serve as excellent molecular stratigraphic tools. They have seen extensive use in paleoceanographic studies due to their utility as proxies of sea surface temperature (SST; Brassell et al. 1986). The SST proxy $U^{K}_{37}$ is based on the relative abundances of di- and tri-unsaturated C$_{37}$ methyl ketones ($U^{K}_{37} =$ [C$_{37}$:2]/[C$_{37}$:2 + C$_{37}$:3]) and is strongly correlated with the temperature of the water in which the algae grow (Prahl and Wakeham 1987). Further interest in alkenone stratigraphy was generated when it was shown that their stable carbon isotopic composition ($\delta^{13}$C) might also be used to estimate paleo-$p$CO$_2$ (Jasper and Hayes 1990; Pagani et al. 1999). Today, alkenones are among the most widely examined organic compounds in marine sediments (Eglinton et al. 2001). The alkenones thus serve as molecular counterparts to microfossil-derived proxies, providing complementary lines of information. While the latter have been targeted for $^{14}$C dating in order to derive sediment chronologies and develop age models, alkenone-derived chronologies are now only beginning to be constructed (Ohkouchi et al. 2002; Mollenhauer et al. 2003). The latter is desirable for two reasons: first, it is important to be able to establish age relationships between coexisting foraminifera and molecular species in sediments for accurate interpretation of corresponding proxy records. Second, molecular $^{14}$C dating may provide an alternative to microfossil-based $^{14}$C chronologies where the latter are impractical (e.g. due to low foraminifera abundances).

This paper describes a method for isolation of alkenones as a compound class from marine sediments. The method exploits the unusual structural characteristics of these compounds in order to recover them in high purity. In addition to assessments of purity and yield, the integrity of the unsaturation ratios are also discussed. A separate paper (Mollenhauer et al., this issue) will discuss in detail the evaluation of isotopic compositions obtained using this method.
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EXPERIMENTAL

Samples

Marine sediments used in this study are listed in Table 1. They comprise surface or near-surface sediments recovered by box, gravity, or piston corer. They were collected from a wide range of geographical areas including Atlantic, Indian, and Pacific oceans, represent diverse oceanic environments from coastal to pelagic, and have sediment deposition under oxic to anoxic conditions. Abundances of total alkenones in these sediments vary between 0.09 and 1.2 µg per gram dry weight (gdw).

Table 1: Estimated total alkenone abundances purified from the sediment samples used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>δFID off-line</th>
<th>C amount (µg C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bermuda Rise</td>
<td>BC9 0–1 cm</td>
<td>33°42'N</td>
<td>57°37'W</td>
<td>4517</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>87.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BC9 1–2 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>75.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BC9 2–3 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BC9 4–6 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BC9 9–11 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BC9 21–23 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGC5 192–206 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td>57°35'W</td>
<td>4550</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGC5 282–292 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGC5 350–360 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>74.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPC5 345–355 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPC5 907–912 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPC5 1244–1260 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara Basin</td>
<td>Pulse-32 0–1 cm</td>
<td>34°14'N</td>
<td>120°04'W</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OXMZ 0–0.5 cm</td>
<td>34°14'N</td>
<td>120°04'W</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>0.477</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OXMZ 2–3 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OXMZ 6–6.5 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OXMZ 7–8 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OXMZ 25–26 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald Basin</td>
<td>MC29 19–20 cm</td>
<td>45°53'N</td>
<td>62°48'W</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabian Sea</td>
<td>6BC 0–2 cm</td>
<td>17°49'N</td>
<td>57°30'E</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>KH89-2 St. 17 surface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>BC6 0–5 cm</td>
<td>28°60'N</td>
<td>89°90'W</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE Pacific Station</td>
<td>M 0–2 cm</td>
<td>34°50'N</td>
<td>123°00'W</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Atlantic</td>
<td>Mud patch surface</td>
<td></td>
<td>70°45'W</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FID = flame ionization detector.

Analytical Procedure

Figure 2 illustrates a flow chart of the analytical procedure. Air-dried sediments (100–534 gdw) were Soxhlet-extracted with MeOH/CH2Cl2 (3:7, v/v) for 7 to 168 hr. After the resulting total lipid extracts were concentrated to ~5 mL by rotary evaporation (40°C), they were transferred to a 40-mL vial and saponified using 0.5M KOH/MeOH (10 mL, 100°C, 2 hr). The potassium hydroxide (certified ACS grade) was purchased from Fisher Scientific. The saponified products were concentrated to ~3 mL by a rotary evaporator after which 10 mL H2O was added. A neutral lipid fraction was obtained by liquid-liquid extraction (hexane, 3 × 10 mL) and was subsequently separated into 3 fractions by silica gel (200–400 mesh; BioRad) column chromatography (1% de-activated, 6 mm i.d.)
× 4 cm). Hydrocarbon (F1), ketone and aldehyde (F2), and alcohol and sterol (F3) fractions were eluted with 4 mL hexane, 4 mL hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1:2, v/v), and 4 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH (95:5, v/v), respectively.

Urea adduction was performed on the F2 fraction to remove branched, cyclic, and cis configuration unsaturated compounds (Marlowe et al. 1984). A urea (electrophoresis grade; Fisher Scientific) solution in MeOH (40 mg/mL, 1.5 mL) was added dropwise to the fraction dissolved in hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2:1, v/v, 4.5 mL) in a 40-mL test tube. The tube was cooled (7 °C, 15 min) and dried under N$_2$ before a second addition of hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution (2:1, v/v, 4.5 mL) and brief agitation using a vortex mixer. The above procedure was repeated 3 times. The sample was then dried under N$_2$, and the precipitated urea crystals were washed with hexane (2 × 10 mL) to remove non-adducted compounds. Adducted compounds (including the alkenones) were recovered after the urea crystals were dissolved in H$_2$O (10 mL) and extracted into hexane (2 × 10 mL).

Argentation SiO$_2$ column (Ag$^+$ SiO$_2$) chromatography was used to separate saturated from unsaturated compounds (Morris 1966). Silver nitrate (10 g; 99.995%; Aldrich Chemical Co.) was dissolved in MeOH (40 mg/mL, 1.5 mL) and added dropwise to the fraction dissolved in hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2:1, v/v, 4.5 mL) in a 40-mL test tube. The tube was cooled (7 °C, 15 min) and dried under N$_2$ before a second addition of hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution (2:1, v/v, 4.5 mL) and brief agitation using a vortex mixer. The above procedure was repeated 3 times. The sample was then dried under N$_2$, and the precipitated urea crystals were washed with hexane (2 × 10 mL) to remove non-adducted compounds. Adducted compounds (including the alkenones) were recovered after the urea crystals were dissolved in H$_2$O (10 mL) and extracted into hexane (2 × 10 mL).
in MeOH/H2O (9:1, v/v, 200 mL), and silica gel (100 g; 230–400 mesh; Whatman) was added. After solvent was removed, the AgNO3-impregnated SiO2 gel was further dried in an oven at 50 °C and then activated at 110 °C for 48 hr. The urea adduct was separated into 3 fractions by Ag+ SiO2 chromatography (6 mm i.d. × 4 cm). Saturated and mono-unsaturated compounds (G1), di-, tri-, and tetra-unsaturated compounds including the alkenones (G2), and more highly unsaturated compounds (G3) were eluted with CH2Cl2 (6 mL), CH2Cl2/MeOH (9:1, v/v, 4 mL), and MeOH (4 mL), respectively.

Immediately before the samples were transferred to quartz tubes (9 mm i.d.) for combustion, SiO2 or Al2O3 column chromatography was performed to remove any impurities entrained during sample workup.

All organic solvents used in this study were “GC resolved grade” and purchased from Fischer Scientific. All glassware was combusted at 450 °C for 8 hr and carefully rinsed several times with both MeOH and CH2Cl2 immediately before use.

**High-Performance Liquid Chromatography**

For certain samples, fractions obtained after urea adduction were processed by semi-preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) to isolate specific alkenones or groups of alkenones using a method modified after Xu et al. (2001). An Agilent 1100 series HPLC system was used to separate the alkenones. Samples were separated on a Waters Xterra MS C18 column (3.0 × 150 mm, 3.5 m) under isocratic conditions using acetonitrile/dichloromethane (82:18) as eluent (0.50 mL/min). The system was coupled with an evaporative light scattering detector (ELSD, Sedex 75). The temperature of drift tubing of the detector was 30 °C, and nitrogen pressure was 3 bar. Five percent of the column flow was diverted to the ELSD using a T-splitter. The remainder was available for collection as discrete fractions.

**Gas Chromatography**

Gas chromatographic analyses were performed on a Hewlett-Packard Model 5890 II gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with an HP 7673 injector, Gerstel CIS-3 inlet, DB-1 column (60 m × 0.32 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 µm, J&W Scientific), and flame ionization detector (FID). Helium was used as a carrier gas in constant-flow mode (2 mL/min). The initial GC oven temperature was 40 °C and after 1 min the temperature was raised to 120 °C at a rate of 30 °C/min, followed by a second increase to 320 °C at 6 °C/min. It was then held at 320 °C for 25 min. Quantification of alkenones was achieved by comparison of peak area with those of authentic standards (behenic acid myristyl ester [m.w. = 537] and behenic acid palmityl ester [m.w. = 565]; Aldrich Chemical Co.), which were independently injected before the samples. To confirm alkenone purities and to identify interfering components, GC/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) analyses were performed for representative samples on a HP6890 GC interfaced to a HP5973 mass selective detector. The DB-5 column (60 m × 0.32 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 µm, J&W Scientific) was temperature programmed using identical conditions to those for GC.

**Combustion of Alkenones**

Purified alkenones were transferred to precombusted quartz tubes using CH2Cl2. After solvents were carefully removed under a stream of N2, precombusted CuO (~100 mg) was added. The quartz tubes were then evacuated, sealed, and heated to 850 °C for 5 hr. The resulting CO2 was purified by cryogenic distillation, then quantified by manometric techniques (McNichol et al. 1994).
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RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The alkenones exhibit several distinct structural features (Figure 1) that we have exploited in devising this step-wise purification/isolation scheme. In particular, the unusual trans double bond configuration, which results in a linear structure, allows the compounds to be occluded within the urea lattice while other branched and cyclic compounds and unsaturated cis configuration lipids are excluded. The method itself is a modification of techniques used to isolate and characterize a novel C_{36} alkenone in Black Sea sediments (Xu et al. 2001), which in turn is based on that described by Rechka and Maxwell (1988).

Figure 3 shows representative gas chromatograms of fractions obtained during different steps of the purification of alkenones from an Arabian Sea sediment sample (KH89-2 St.17). The F2 fraction obtained after SiO\textsubscript{2} chromatography (Figure 3a) contains several large early eluting peaks in addition to the alkenones. These include isoprenoidal ketones, a series of saturated n-ketones and n-aldehydes, and other unidentified components. After urea clathration, the major peaks in the GC trace of the adduct, other than the alkenones, are a homologous series of straight-chain saturated ketones and aldehydes (Figure 3b). The latter likely have a terrestrial higher plant origin (Prahl and Pinto 1987) and as such would significantly interfere with attempts to determine the isotopic composition of the alkenones. Ag\textsuperscript{+} SiO\textsubscript{2} chromatography (G2 fraction; Figure 3c) greatly reduces the number and abundance of interfering components, leaving the alkenones as the only major peaks remaining in the chromatograms. The final SiO\textsubscript{2} chromatographic step is designed to remove any components entrained during the purification procedure (Figure 3d). Most samples contain a small amount of C\textsubscript{36} alkenone as well as the more commonly reported C\textsubscript{37}–C\textsubscript{39} alkenones (Xu et al. 2001). Samples from the Santa Barbara Basin, northwest Pacific, and northeast Atlantic also contain a compound that is tentatively identified as a C\textsubscript{35} alkenone based on the GC retention characteristics (Xu et al. 2001).

Purity

It is apparent from the above results that the procedure employed successfully removes most GC-amenable components, except for the target compounds. In most cases, the sum of the areas of impurity peaks in the final GC/FID chromatograms were <2% of those due to the total alkenones. To assess the potential impact on \textsuperscript{14}C data, we can assume as a worst case that the alkenones are modern age (i.e. \Delta \textsuperscript{14}C = 0‰) and interfering peaks are infinite in age (–1000‰). The \textsuperscript{14}C offset in alkenone ages corresponds to 160 yr (–40‰) or less, which is greater than the measurement error for \textsuperscript{14}C but adequate for many applications. Potential contributions from non-GC amenable components (e.g. higher boiling or more polar compounds, or particulate organic carbon that does not elute under the GC conditions employed) were assessed by comparison of both carbon abundances and stable carbon isotopic compositions (\delta^{13}C) of purified alkenone samples before and after sealed tube combustion (Eglinton et al. 1996). Carbon abundances determined from GC/FID peak area integration are contrasted with those from manometric determination of CO\textsubscript{2} yields after combustion in Figure 4. Overall, the carbon yields estimated from CO\textsubscript{2} gas evolved by combustion are in good agreement with those independently determined by summed, integrated, and calibrated GC/FID peak areas of the total alkenones (\textit{r}^2 = 0.989, \textit{n} = 18). Closer inspection reveals that CO\textsubscript{2} yields of some samples are up to 10% higher than those estimated by FID response (Figure 4). Some of this discrepancy could be caused by errors associated with analysis by GC/FID. For example, repeated (\textit{n} = 9) injections of the C\textsubscript{19} ketone standard resulted in a standard deviation (1 \sigma) of 9.4% for corresponding GC/FID

\[ \Delta \textsuperscript{14}C = (f_{\text{mm}}e^{-\lambda(y-1950)}-1)\times1000, \text{ where } \lambda = 1/8267 \text{ (yr}^{-1}); f_{\text{mm}} = \text{fraction modern } \textsuperscript{14}C, \text{ corrected for isotopic fractionation using } \delta^{13}C \text{, and } y \text{ is the year of sample collection.} \]
Figure 3  GC/FID traces along the purification steps of Arabian Sea sediments (KH89-2 St. 17): a) ketone fraction (F2) by silica gel column chromatography; b) urea adducted fraction; c) di-, tri-, and tetra-unsaturated compound fraction (G2) of silver nitrate-silica gel column chromatography; and d) ketone fraction (F2) of final silica gel column chromatography.
FID of peak area. However, a linear regression line is slightly above the 1:1 line (Figure 4), suggesting that the CO$_2$ yields are systematically higher than those expected from total alkenone abundances based on GC/FID measurements. Moreover, samples with higher-than-expected combustion yields were not necessarily those containing the lowest amount of alkenones. Thus, although part of the difference could be explained by a combustion blank that on average contains ~1 µg C (Pearson et al. 1998), the remainder may be explained by compounds that were not removed by our procedure. Although the abundance of impurities will partly depend on sample type, we conclude that the purity of alkenones is typically significantly greater than 90% and that purity is likely to be >95% if alkenone abundances are >100 µg C.

Recovery

We calculated the total yield of C$_{36}$–C$_{39}$ alkenones from corresponding GC/FID peak areas during each step of purification procedure. Based on these values, we estimated overall recovery through the entire procedure averages 71%, whereas average recovery for urea adduction, Ag$^+$ SiO$_2$ chromatography, and final SiO$_2$ chromatography steps are 97%, 82%, and 87%, respectively (Figure 5a). We should note that the variability in recoveries of alkenones (1σ) is relatively large: 17% for urea adduction, 11% for Ag$^+$ SiO$_2$ chromatography, and 17% for final SiO$_2$ chromatography. Thus, a major part of alkenone loss occurred during silver nitrate-silica gel column chromatography. Since in most cases we found no detectable alkenones in other fractions (G1 and G3 fractions) from this step, we suspect this loss of may be caused by oxidation of double bonds and conversion of alkenones to other products that do not elute from the Ag$^+$ SiO$_2$ column or are not amenable to GC.

Figure 4  Comparison of CO$_2$ yield (as µg C) after combustion of purified alkenones and carbon amount of total (C$_{36}$–C$_{39}$) alkenones estimated from GC/FID measurements. Dashed and solid lines represent a linear regression line for the plot and a 1:1 line, respectively.
Integrity of Values

We also monitored potential variations in the degree of unsaturation of the alkenones ($U_{37}^K$) (Brassell et al. 1986; Prahl and Wakeham 1987) of several samples during the course of the purification procedure (Figure 5b). Average changes in $U_{37}^K$ values for urea adduction, $Ag^+ SiO_2$ chromatography, and final $SiO_2$ chromatography steps are $-0.001$, $0.010$, and $0.012$ units, respectively. In the urea adduction step, no clear systematic shift of $U_{37}^K$ was observed, even though some compounds which coeluted with both $C_{37:2}$ and $C_{37:3}$ alkenone peaks were removed by this step. The slight increase of $U_{37}^K$ after $Ag^+ SiO_2$ chromatography may be caused by removal of small unidentified peaks coeluting with the $C_{37:3}$ alkenone (Xu et al. 2001). In the final $SiO_2$ chromatography step, we observed the largest increase in $U_{37}^K$ values. The reason for this increase is unclear. We did not find clear relationships between recovery and changes in $U_{37}^K$ values in these purification steps. Overall increase of $U_{37}^K$ (0.021 unit in average) in this alkenone purification procedure corresponds to a 0.62 °C change in the SST estimate (based on a calibration by Prahl et al. [1988]) and compares favorably with the overall precision of this method (±0.5 °C; Prahl and Wakeham 1987).

**Figure 5** Variation of a) recovery and b) $U_{37}^K$ values along the purification steps. Broken lines connect mean value of each step. $U_{37}^K = [C_{37:2}] / ([C_{37:2}] + [C_{37:3}])$, where $[C_{37:2}]$ and $[C_{37:3}]$ indicate concentrations of di- and tri-unsaturated C_{37} alkenones, respectively.

**Integrity of $U_{37}^K$ Values**

We also monitored potential variations in the degree of unsaturation of the alkenones ($U_{37}^K$) (Brassell et al. 1986; Prahl and Wakeham 1987) of several samples during the course of the purification procedure (Figure 5b). Average changes in $U_{37}^K$ values for urea adduction, $Ag^+ SiO_2$ chromatography, and final $SiO_2$ chromatography steps are $-0.001$, $0.010$, and $0.012$ units, respectively. In the urea adduction step, no clear systematic shift of $U_{37}^K$ was observed, even though some compounds which coeluted with both $C_{37:2}$ and $C_{37:3}$ alkenone peaks were removed by this step. The slight increase of $U_{37}^K$ after $Ag^+ SiO_2$ chromatography may be caused by removal of small unidentified peaks coeluting with the $C_{37:3}$ alkenone (Xu et al. 2001). In the final $SiO_2$ chromatography step, we observed the largest increase in $U_{37}^K$ values. The reason for this increase is unclear. We did not find clear relationships between recovery and changes in $U_{37}^K$ values in these purification steps. Overall increase of $U_{37}^K$ (0.021 unit in average) in this alkenone purification procedure corresponds to a 0.62 °C change in the SST estimate (based on a calibration by Prahl et al. [1988]) and compares favorably with the overall precision of this method (±0.5 °C; Prahl and Wakeham 1987).
Isolation of Individual Alkenones by HPLC

Figure 6 shows a chromatograph from the HPLC separation of a purified alkenone fraction from a laboratory culture of Isochrysis sp. The chromatographic conditions employed resulted in near complete separation of the alkenone fraction into its individual constituents. Up to 50 µg of each alkenone can be separated in a single injection. Ethyl and methyl ketones coelute under these chromatographic conditions. Analyses of Black Sea alkenone fractions using this method also showed that C36:2 and C39:3 coelute with C38:3 and C37:2 alkenones, respectively. However, the former are typically relatively minor components of sedimentary alkenone mixtures.

Multiple 1-minute-long fractions were collected according to detector response in order to isolate the following compounds: (1) C37:3Me; (2) C38:3Et + C38:3Me + C36:2Et; (3) C37:2Me + C39:3Et; (4) C38:2Et + C38:2Me; and (5) C39:Et; where Me and Et refer to methyl and ethyl ketones, respectively. Figure 7 shows GC/FID traces corresponding to the first 4 fractions. In each case, the HPLC fractions yield relative pure compounds with only minor interference from alkenones that closely elute on the HPLC trace. Estimated purities of alkenones isolated using this method are typically better than 98%.

CONCLUSIONS

The method described here is capable of isolating alkenones in high purity from complex mixtures of organic compounds present in ocean sediments recovered from various depositional environments. This method allows batch processing of samples, thereby substantially reducing the time required for purification of alkenones compared with methods based on PCGC. This new method could be useful for measuring not only $^{14}$C and stable carbon isotopic abundances in alkenones but also for purification of these compounds for determination of other isotopic compositions (e.g. D/H or $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O). HPLC separation of the purified alkenone fractions allows isolation of individual alkenones for further characterization. This procedure may be used, for example, to produce an alkenone standard that is presently commercially unavailable.
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