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SUMMARY

I review some of the evidence that parental personality disorder represents a risk to child development, in terms of both transmission of genetic vulnerability and the environmental stress of living with a parent who has a personality disorder that negatively affects their parenting capacities. I argue that there are two compelling reasons to impose a duty on mental healthcare providers to offer services for adults with personality disorders that specifically focus on their parenting identity: first, because effective therapies for personality disorder are now available; and second, because there is a strong utilitarian and economic argument for improving parental mental health so as to reduce the economic and psychological burden of their offsprings’ future psychiatric morbidity.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

• Be better able to consider whether any parents assessed have a personality dysfunction
• Understand how parental personality dysfunction may influence child development
• Be better prepared to make referrals of parents to psychological therapy services

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None

Mental dysfunction in childhood and adolescence is thought to be the result of an interaction between genetic vulnerabilities and environmental stressors (National Scientific Council on the Developing Child 2010). Although ‘environments’ are often thought of in purely physical terms, the developmental environment for a child includes the mental states of both parents and their attitudes towards their children. In this article, I will focus on a major environmental stressor for a child, namely the impact of living with a parent who has a personality disorder.

The effect of mental disorders on parenting

Parenting is a complex social activity; and like other forms of occupation, it is likely to be at least affected, and at worst, impaired by mental ill health. A report on parenting and mental health comments that parenting ‘is the hardest job in the world’ because it requires an individual to balance appropriate limits and boundaries with maximal affection and attuned nurturing (Royal College of Psychiatrists 2011: p. 13). Parents do not have to be perfect carers, but they do need to provide generally ‘good-enough’ care (Box 1) to help their children (a) learn to self-soothe when they are angry or distressed and (b) form other healthy relationships throughout life.

Parenting carries a demanding job specification and requires that parents are in reasonable psychological health. Specifically, they need to be able to manage their own negative emotions and not respond to stress or distress in others (especially children) with rage, hostility, cruelty or panic. Parents need to have good reality-testing capabilities, be able to tolerate waiting and uncertainty and be able to work with other adults.

BOX 1 Psychological capacities needed for ‘good-enough’ parenting

• The capacity to manage one’s own distress and anxiety without becoming angry, hyperaroused or frightened
• The capacity to plan and think ahead
• The capacity to respond empathically and confidently to another person’s distress
• The capacity to work with other adults involved in the child’s welfare (family, professionals, parents of peers)
• The capacity to perceive vulnerability with compassion and concern
• The capacity to ask for and value help
• The capacity to tolerate negative affects (including anger, disappointment, fear, boredom, frustration, minor pain) without taking impulsive action or assuming the worst
• The capacity to tolerate waiting for own needs to be met
• A sense of humour and capacity for pleasure
who may be part of the child’s life. Most of all, they need to be both empathic and sympathetic towards ongoing vulnerability and dependence: the sort of dependence that, in children, inevitably leads to repeated requests for attention.

As in any other job, normal life events may temporarily disrupt parenting skills even in the most resilient of parents. Bereavement, relationship breakdown, job stress, physical illness or mild degrees of mental disorders may all lead to temporary impairments of parenting performance. More severe mental disorders may lead to more substantial impairments of parenting function (as discussed in Royal College of Psychiatrists 2011). The good news is that only a small minority of parents will have mental disorders that may adversely affect their parenting. The prevalence of mental disorder in parents is reported to be 9–10% for mothers and 5–6% for fathers; the most common diagnoses will be depression or anxiety and less than 0.5% will be psychotic disorders (Royal College of Psychiatrists 2011).

Parental personality disorder: current data

An adult’s personality is a key aspect of their parenting capacity because of the role of personality function in terms of regulating affect, arousal and social relationships, including relationships with dependent others (Belsky 1984; Livesley 2007). It is therefore reasonable to assume that disorders of personality function will have an impact on parenting behaviours and outcomes for children in a variety of ways.

Direct effects of parental personality disorder on children’s behaviour and mental health

Studies going back almost 50 years (Wolff 1968; Rutter 1984) found evidence that parental personality disorder is associated with hostility towards children, which in turn increases the risk of mental disorders in the child. Later studies of parents of children referred to child and adolescent mental health services (CAMHS) continue to find high levels of parental personality pathology. High levels of antisocial personality disorder in both mothers and fathers have been found in studies of parents of children diagnosed with conduct disorder or oppositional defiant disorder (Lahey 1988, 1989; Frick 1992; Nigg 1998). Weiss et al (1996) found that children of mothers with borderline personality disorder had more psychiatric diagnoses and poorer impulse control than children of mothers without such disorders; and similarly, children of mothers with both antisocial traits and depression had more problems than children of mothers who had either antisocial traits or depression (Kim-Cohen 2006). Berg-Nielsen & Wichström (2012) report that self-reported personality disorder traits in parents are associated with externalising behaviour in their children. Finally, follow-up studies of children in ‘high-risk families’ found that parental personality disorder predicted adolescent personality disorder, a relationship mediated by maladaptive parenting behaviours (Johnson 2001).

Direct effects of parental personality disorder: risk to child welfare through maltreatment

Although the majority of people with personality disorder pose no risk of harm to others, some types of personality disorder (especially antisocial and narcissistic) may be associated with risk of harm to vulnerable or dependent others. Retrospective cohort studies of maltreating parents often find high prevalence (60–70%) of personality disorder (Taylor 1991; Famularo 1992; Dinwiddie 1993; Stanley 1999). Although some of these studies may suffer from a degree of sampling bias and post hoc reasoning, their findings mirror evidence from the forensic literature that suggests that parental personality disorder is a risk factor for family violence and child maltreatment in certain circumstances: usually when parental cluster B disorders (Box 2) occur in combination with substance misuse and environmental stress. In particular, fathers with antisocial personality disorder may become involved with mothers who have borderline personality disorder; these couples are more likely to be involved in domestic violence, which increases the risk of all forms of child maltreatment within the home.

Indirect effects of parental personality disorder: maternal behaviours

Somatising, eating disorders and fabrication of illness

Mothers with personality disorder may display a variety of abnormal behaviours that affect their children’s development. For example, there is a
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**BOX 2 DSM-5 clusters of personality disorders**

| Cluster A: Odd or eccentric behaviours (schizoid, paranoid and schizotypal) |
| Cluster B: Flamboyant to dramatic behaviours (antisocial, borderline, narcissistic and histrionic) |
| Cluster C: Fearful and anxious behaviours (avoidant, dependent and obsessive–compulsive) |

(American Psychiatric Association 2013)
close association between personality disorder and somatising disorder (Stern 1993; Bornstein 2008); and there is evidence that somatising mothers struggle to respond to their children’s needs, and may increase the risk of somatising behaviours in their children (Craig 2002, 2004; Marshall 2007). A subgroup of mothers with personality disorder also have symptoms of eating disorders, which can give rise to dysfunctional control over their children’s food and influence the children’s eating patterns (Stein 1999).

There are particular concerns about the relationship between maternal personality disorder and highly abnormal illness behaviour that involves deceptions and claiming children are ill when they are not. One study of mothers who demonstrated such highly abnormal and risky illness behaviour involving their children found that over 50% of these women had either somatising disorder or personality disorder (especially borderline personality disorder) (Bools 1994).

Disorganised family planning

Finally, maternal personality disorder may have an indirect effect on child development through an effect on how families are planned and constituted. Women with borderline personality disorder typically make intense and unstable emotional relationships with other adults: relationships that are often associated with high levels of threat perception, negative emotionality and fear. Women with borderline personality disorder who are of child-rearing age (potentially span of more than 20 years) may become pregnant while emotionally involved with a man, only to break up with him soon after they have the child, often in the context of claims of domestic violence. It is therefore not uncommon for a mother with borderline personality disorder to have multiple children by different male partners, none of whom is present in her life. She is then a socially disadvantaged mother, who will be vulnerable to comorbid depression through having to care for multiple children under the age of 10 while she herself lacks secure attachments.

The extent of the problem is starkly demonstrated in an ongoing therapy programme for socially disadvantaged mothers with which I am involved (further details available on request). In the 2 years that the project has been running, 21 mothers (all with personality disorders), who between them have 96 children, have been offered treatment. All but one of these women have been involved in care proceedings at some point in their history, at an average cost of £25,000 per child taken into care (Department for Education and Skills 2006). Some women have had three or more children removed.

The impact of personality disorder as a growth ‘environment’

Orchids and dandelions

During childhood, children develop and ‘grow’ a mind: a set of psychological capacities that regulate their internal psychology and their social psychological skills. Parental behaviour and mental state is the ‘environment’ that immediately interacts with the child’s genetic vulnerability or resilience to influence the development of mind and mental capacity.

The ‘orchid–dandelion’ hypothesis of child development (Ellis 2008) suggests that there are some ‘environments’ that will damage even the most resilient child. Parental harshness, chronic hostility and a rejecting stance are behaviours or attitudes that appear to be particularly negative or hazardous ‘environments’ for the developing child. These are also states of mind or behaviours that may be more common in parents with personality disorders and that give rise to those types of ‘maladaptive parental behaviour’ associated with high rates of child and adolescent psychopathology (Johnson 2001).

Brain chemistry and attachment

Research in epigenetics has shown how parental rearing behaviours lead to different DNA methylation patterns in different parts of the offspring brain (van IJzendoorn 2011; Perroud 2014). Furthermore, a parent’s genetic profile affects their levels of neurotransmitters (especially cortisol, serotonin and oxytocin), influencing parenting behaviours (Vanyukov 1993; Bakermans-Kranenburg 2008; Galhally 2011).

Parental behaviour that promotes secure attachment between adult and child may promote resilience in children (Yates 2003). Parental behavioural sensitivity affects the development of attachment in offspring (van IJzendoorn 1995); ‘sensitivity’ includes the full range of parental responses to a child’s behavioural and verbal cues, and especially the way that a parent reacts to their own stress or the stress of others. Positive and attuned sensitivity to a child’s distress promotes secure attachment; but frightened or frightening parenting behaviours lead to disorganised attachment in children, which in turn is associated with a range of abnormal childhood behaviours and childhood psychopathology (van IJzendoorn 1999).

In the past 10 years, fine-grained studies of the impact of maternal borderline personality disorder...
on mother–baby relationships have shown how negative effects might be mediated by disruptions in mother–infant communication, even in the first weeks and months of life (Lyons-Ruth 2005; Hobson 2009). Parents with borderline personality disorder have poor affect and arousal regulation, which means that they are at risk of getting into hostile and helpless states of mind (Lyons-Ruth 2007) that have a negative impact on children’s attachment systems. These same hostile/helpless states of mind have also been found to be more common in mothers who maltreat their children (Frigerio 2013). If the parent feels helpless and hostile, they are more likely to treat their child as an adult or peer, which may lead to role-reversal or attack behaviours.

**G×E interaction and genetic vulnerability**

Foley et al (2004) suggest that parental personality disorder acts passively in gene–environment (G×E) interactions by making all forms of adverse childhood environments more likely. Parents with moderate degrees of personality disorder are at increased risk of premature death from suicide and violence; may be socially deprived and involved in crime; are more likely to be involved in relationships that break down; and are at increased risk of poor physical health. Any children of adults with even a moderate degree of personality disorder may therefore be exposed to environmental and social adversity that interacts with genetic vulnerability to produce disorder.

Parental personality disorder may also be inherited directly through transmission of vulnerability genes. Personality traits are known to be highly heritable, so children of parents with genes that influence personality will inherit those genes (or some version of them). These children then are exposed to a ‘double whammy’ of adversity because their parents’ personality traits adversely affect parenting behaviours and increase the risk of childhood disorder, as described above.

**Service provision**

Unfortunately, there is little practical information about how best to provide services for parental personality disorder. For example, an extensive review of parental mental health by Tunnard (2004) makes little reference to personality disorder in parents; nor does a recent Ofsted report (2013), which makes recommendations about how mental health services should work with local child safeguarding boards. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines for the treatment of personality disorder (NICE 2009a,b) do not include any reference to the need to provide specific interventions relating to parenting, even though personality disorder principally affects adults of childbearing age (as it usually remits in severity in older age).

Parental personality disorder is often first identified when families come to the attention of child protection services, and local authorities seek to take children at risk into care. In the UK there are a few specialist teams that offer extended and in-depth assessment of such families (e.g. at the Anna Freud Centre, Great Ormond Street Hospital and the Maudsley Hospital in London and the Family Assessment and Safeguarding Service, which covers Oxfordshire, Wiltshire, Buckinghamshire and Bath & North East Somerset). These services are not always able to offer therapy to parents involved, especially if the family is broken up in the context of proceedings.

There is an odd disparity of services compared with services offered to women with post-natal psychoses. Mothers with psychotic disorders are offered specific services with in-patient treatment and community follow-up, and rightly so because of concerns about risk to children. However, mothers with personality disorders are not likely to be offered a similarly specific service, even though some of the same risk issues may apply and personality disorder is ‘no longer a diagnosis of exclusion’ for services (National Institute for Mental Health in England 2003). The absence of services is concerning, given the evidence that the presence of personality disorder in a parent compromises parenting to the same extent as severe mental illness does, and perhaps even more (Berg-Nielsen 2002; Johnson 2006).

**Interventions and action plans**

**Treating the personality dysfunction**

There is ample evidence that personality disorders can remit with appropriate treatment (reviewed in Sarkar 2012), and NICE treatment guidelines are available for borderline personality disorder and antisocial personality disorder (NICE 2009a,b). In borderline personality disorder, mild to moderate degrees of disorder appear to respond well to a range of therapeutic interventions, whereas more severe disorder seems to respond better to more intensive forms of therapy such as mentalisation-based treatment (Stoffers 2012; Bateman 2013). Cluster A and cluster C personality disorders (Box 2) may be treatable with general interventions that address metacognitive function.

**Screening**

It may be helpful for both adult mental health services and CAMHS to screen parents for
the presence of personality disorder. Possible tools include the Standardised Assessment of Personality–Abbreviated Scale (SAPAS; Moran 2003) and the ICD-10 International Personality Disorder Examination (IPDE) Screening version (Loranger 1997). Tools like this can help clinicians identify behaviours and attitudes for intervention. CAMHS may consider using the IPDE (Loranger 1994) screening questionnaire, which is widely used in epidemiological research and also by some psychological therapy services. It is not a diagnostic tool, but high scores on any of the scales indicate that further assessment of personality dysfunction is warranted.

**Addressing relationships and parenting skills**

In addition to therapies for personality dysfunction, parents with personality disorders need interventions that can address their relationships with their children and their parenting skills, and thus reduce the severity of their children’s distress. Psychological therapies need to focus on improving the capacities described in Box 1, many of which would be subsumed within the concept of mentalising and self-reflective function. There is some promising evidence for the efficacy of interventions that promote parental mentalising (e.g. Nijssens 2013). Mindfulness practices may also be helpful to parents with personality disorders whose level of hostility towards themselves and their children suggests a lack of compassion for the self and others (Shonin 2013). Interventions that use video feedback have also demonstrated good results, as have those that promote children’s resilience and welfare (Cooklin 2013).

**Service provision**

These interventions and services are also essential to improve child protection. Parents who perpetrate the type of fatal child abuse that hits the media headlines usually have histories with features typical of personality disorder, usually both borderline and antisocial types. However, it is almost impossible to access treatment for abusive parents with personality disorders, especially if the abuse is non-fatal or involves neglect. In my work as an expert witness in child protection cases, I have found that these parents are rejected by every service: they are not deemed ‘forensic enough’ for forensic services (especially since they rarely require secure in-patient care), and community mental health teams usually reject them on the grounds that they do not have a mental illness. Most CAMHS no longer offer therapy to parents; and not every area has specialist psychological therapy or complex needs services. Those that do may be reluctant to work with parents who have histories of child abuse or who are involved with Social Services.

It must also be said that abusive parents with personality disorders may be hard to engage. They may feel defensive and reluctant to accept their difficulties and need to build up a trusting relationship over time with healthcare professionals. In this sense, they resemble forensic patients, who are often similarly hard to engage, wary and in need of long-term support. They also resemble forensic patients in that they are often involved in a range of legal proceedings, both criminal and child protection.
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year just over 600,000 babies were born to mothers in England and Wales (Office for National Statistics 2014). If 2% of these mothers have personality disorders of at least moderate severity, then 12,000 women will need services that offer high-quality therapeutic interventions. These interventions will not just address their personality dysfunction, but must also try to reduce the impact of that dysfunction on their parenting skills and child abuse potential. These will be mothers who are at increased risk of having a child (and possibly more than one) taken into care. The legal costs of completed care of having a child (and possibly more than one) taken into care. The legal costs of completed care proceedings vary, but are in the region of £23,000 (Beckett 2014). If half of those mothers had a child taken into care, the cost would be over £13 million annually. Compare this with the £67 million made available for therapy programmes for offenders with personality disorders by the National Offender Management Service (2012).

Assessment and treatment teams

It should be perfectly possible to commission teams who would work jointly with several local authorities safeguarding services and mental health trusts (a) to provide consultation for social workers and other professionals working with parents with personality disorder, and (b) to offer early interventions, both with younger parents and when professional anxieties are first raised about a parent’s capacity to provide child care. They could also act as leads for child protection and safeguarding.

These professional teams (which might have a regional remit) would be able to assess and treat personality disorder; they would also need to be able to assess risk of adults causing harm to others, especially children. They could liaise with the family courts in the same way that mental health teams work with the magistrates courts to divert offenders out of the criminal justice system; in doing so, they could reduce the costs and the time taken in getting psychiatric expert assessments. They would need to be able to work collaboratively across a range of disciplines and services, keeping both children and adults in mind. The Family Drug and Alcohol Court (FDAC) programme (Tavistock and Portman NHS Foundation Trust 2014) is a potential model for such a service. It has had some success in helping parents with substance misuse (most of whom will have some degree of personality dysfunction) improve their parenting (Bambrough 2014).

BOX 3 Five take-home messages for commissioners

- Just as for other mental disorders, diagnosis alone conveys nothing about risk. The majority of individuals with personality disorders may be able to care for their children with help, and are not a risk to them.
- One-off assessments of personality disorder are notoriously unreliable. Although there is no good agreement about the gold standard for personality disorder assessment, there are some instruments that have reasonable psychometric properties and in any event will be an improvement on clinical assessment alone. Repeat or multiprofessional assessment is optimal.
- There are now effective treatment programmes for mild to moderate degrees of personality disorder, especially borderline personality disorder (Sarkar 2012). There is no evidential basis for saying that personality disorder is untreatable.
- It is vitally important that psychiatrists engage in the active treatment of parents with personality disorders, especially mothers. Capacity to parent may be significantly improved with both psychological therapies that improve affect regulation and impulsivity (such as dialectical behaviour therapy and mentalisation-based treatment) and adequate pharmacological therapy.
- Commissioners will appreciate that provision of treatments for parents with personality disorders may lead ultimately to greater savings in the future, in terms of reduced service utilisation and improved mental health of the next generation.

Commissioning specialist services for parents with personality dysfunction

It will be argued that such projects are too expensive to develop at a time when mental health services are being cut. But commissioners can be persuaded of the value of commissioning services that may reduce the health burden in the long term: for example, the development of new liaison psychiatry posts to deal with medically unexplained conditions. A King’s Fund report into the costs of mental healthcare estimated that the cost of treating adult personality disorder (which was £704 million in 2007) is projected to rise to £11.1 billion in 2026; and that the costs of treating children’s psychiatric disorders is projected to rise by 13.3% (McCrone 2008). Any interventions for parents with personality disorders that could reduce the prevalence of either personality disorder or children’s disorders by even one percentage point would pay for themselves in the long term; and improve the lives of adults and children, now and in the future. Take-home messages for commissioners are set out in Box 3. We may well ask whether we can afford not to treat parental personality disorder with the same vigour with which we treat mothers with psychoses and offenders with personality disorders.
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**MCQs**

Select the single best option for each question stem.

1 The prevalence of mental disorder in parents is thought to be:
   a 70%
   b 50%
   c 30%
   d 10%
   e 100%.

2 High rates of personality dysfunction have been found in the parents of children referred for:
   a autism spectrum disorder
   b conduct disorder
   c growth delay
   d depression
   e anxiety.

3 Parental personality disorder affects child development in the following ways:
   a direct transmission of genes that increase vulnerability to personality disorder
   b parental personality disorder leads to increased parental hostility towards children
   c dysfunctional parental behaviour affects the expression of vulnerability and resilience genes in children
   d parents with personality dysfunction may struggle to provide secure physical environments for children
   e all of the above.

4 What percentage of parents who abuse their children are reported to have a personality disorder diagnosis?
   a 100%
   b 70%
   c 60%
   d 30%
   e 10%

5 Which of these statements is false?
   a Personality disorder in adults is untreatable
   b There are a range of effective therapies for mild to moderate degrees of adult personality disorder
   c There is inequity of service provision between mothers with psychotic disorders and mothers with personality disorders
   d Adequate treatment for parental personality disorder would reduce mental health service costs in the future
   e Current failure to provide treatment for parental personality disorder is costly for social care.