


## Corrigendum

# A comparison of the efficacy of multiple ultraviolet light room decontamination devices in a radiology procedure room — CORRIGENDUM

---

In the original published article by Cadnum et al<sup>1</sup>, figure 3.B was incorrectly repeated instead of figure 4.B. The correct version of Figure 4 appears on the following page. The authors apologize for this error.



**Fig. 4.** Efficacy of 3 nonstandard ultraviolet light decontamination devices in reducing (A) methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), (B) vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*, and (C) *Clostridium difficile* spores on 20-mm<sup>2</sup> steel disk carriers placed on a radiology procedure table. The nonstandard devices included a device with 3 adjustable lamps that can be oriented to provide closer proximity to the surface of interest, a robotic device that moves along the side of the table during the treatment cycle, and a device that has 3 vertical towers that run simultaneously to reduce the impact of shadowing. The devices were operated for a 4-minute cycle and reductions in pathogens were measured in comparison to untreated controls. The means of data from triplicate experiments are presented. Error bars indicate standard deviation.

## Reference

1. Cadnum, JL, Jencson, AL, Gestrich, SA, *et al.* A comparison of the efficacy of multiple UV light room decontamination devices in a radiology procedure room. *ICHE* 2019;40:158–163.