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Background
Heritability of antisocial behaviour is estimated at approximately 50% and involves multiple genes.

Aims
To investigate the cumulative genetic effects of 116 single nucleotide polymorphisms mapping to 11 candidate serotonergic genes and antisocial behaviours, in adolescence and in early adulthood.

Method
Participants were 410 male members of the Quebec Longitudinal Study of Kindergarten Children, a population-based cohort followed up prospectively from age 6 to age 23. The serotonergic genes were selected based on known physiological processes and prior associations with antisocial behaviours. Antisocial behaviours were self-reported and assessed by using semi-structured interviews in adolescence and in adulthood.

Results
Cumulative, haplotype-based contributions of serotonergic genes conferring risk and protection for antisocial behaviours were detected by using multilocus genetic profile risk scores (MGPRSs) and multilocus genetic profile protection scores (MGPPSSs). Cumulatively, haplotype-based MGPRSs and MGPPSSs contributed to 9.6, 8.5 and 15.2% of the variance in general delinquency in adolescence, property/violent crimes in early adulthood and physical partner violence in early adulthood, respectively.

Conclusions
This study extends previous research by showing a cumulative effect of multiple haplotypes conferring risk and protection to antisocial behaviours in adolescence and early adulthood. The findings further support the relevance of concomitantly considering multiple serotonergic polymorphisms to better understand the genetic aetiology of antisocial behaviours. Future studies should investigate the interplay between risk and protective haplotype-based multilocus genetic profile scores with the environment.
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Antisocial behaviours are complex phenotypes whose variance is influenced by genetic and environmental factors. Twin and adoption studies consistently show that about 50% of individual differences in antisocial behaviours can be attributed to genetics, with the remaining 50% being due to the environment.1 Whereas the proximal and distal environmental risk factors of antisocial behaviour are relatively well identified, the genes associated with these phenotypes remain elusive. Genes within the serotonergic system – such as tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH-1 and TPH-2), monoamine oxidase A (MAOA) and B (MAOB), serotonin transporter (SLC6A4) and serotonin receptor (e.g. 5-HTR1A, 5-HTR2A, 5-HTR2C, 5-HTR5A, 5-HTR6, and 5-HTR7) genes – have been targeted because of their prior associations with antisocial behaviour.2,3 However, the findings from these studies are inconsistent and of small magnitude.

The gap between the heritability estimates drawn from twin studies and the variance accounted for by measured genes, often referred to as ‘missing heritability’, is expected to stem from factors such as measurement bias; phenotypic complexity; penetrance; epigenetics; epistasis; gene-by-environment interplay; rare variants (<1%) with potentially larger effects; incomplete linkage disequilibrium between causal variants and genotyped single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs); small effects of the genetic variants distributed across the genome; and the presence of more than one model connecting all the candidate genes and their corresponding proteins at the molecular levels, leading to several aetiological pathways of neuronal migration and neurite outgrowth contributing to complex traits.4,5 Recently, polygenic risk scores (PRSs; derived from genome-wide association studies) and multilocus genetic profile scores (MGPSs; derived from candidate genes studies) have been used to operationalise genetic liability through the additive consideration of numerous variants of small effect sizes.6,7 Previous investigations relying on either strategy indicate that participants carrying a higher number of genetic risk variants were more likely to experience depressive symptoms,8,9 schizophrenia10 and high body mass.11 Because they result from the addition of multiple genetic variants, PRSs and MGPSs are expected to reduce the missing heritability gap.11,12 Growing evidence emerging from genome-wide association studies support this assertion, but the overall variance accounted for by the individual SNPs remains low. Specifically, whereas individual SNPs only explained 0.01–0.34% of body mass index,11 0.02% of educational attainment12 and 1% of antisocial behaviour,11 PRSs accounted for 0.99–1.37% of body mass index,11 approximately 9% of educational attainment13 and 5.2% of adult antisocial behaviours.14

To our knowledge, only one study investigated the cumulative contribution of multiple serotonergic genes to antisocial-related outcomes using an MGPS approach. Belsky and Beaver15 examined the influence of carrying five risk alleles located in candidate serotonergic (5-HTTLPR, MAOA) and dopaminergic (DAT1, DRD2 and...
genes on adolescent self-regulation in a sample of 1586 adolescents (754 males). No significant associations were found. However, the target phenotype was assessed only at one point in time (i.e. adolescence), the study relied solely on a self-report correlate of antisocial behaviour and only one genetic polymorphism was considered per gene instead of relying on multiple variants to characterize each gene.

Another strategy to increase the explanatory value of multiple genetic polymorphisms for antisocial behaviours could be to consider a combination of nearby SNPs based on linkage disequilibrium (i.e. haplotypes) as a unit of analysis. Because haplotypes maximize information gathered from multiple genetic variants, they should be more strongly associated with the targeted phenotypes and thus contribute to clarifying the genetic etiology of antisocial behaviours.26 From a statistical perspective, haplotypes reduce the burden of multiple testing, thereby reducing the risk of false-positive findings.17 This haplotype-based strategy has been used successfully for complex phenotypes such as schizophrenia18 and diabetes.19 A genome-wide association investigation of Finnish people who were violent recidivists further showed that investigating CDH13 variants using a haplotype-based approach provided stronger power to detect associations with violent offending.20 Thus providing initial indications of the potential value of this strategy to examine the cumulative effect of multiple genetic polymorphisms on antisocial behaviours, especially in smaller samples.

The aim of this study was to investigate, in a population-based sample of males, the genetic contributions of 11 candidate serotonergic genes to a variety of antisocial behaviours in adolescence and early adulthood. There were three objectives: (a) to assess the association between each SNP and antisocial behaviour to highlight the value of considering haplotype-based superalleles over individual SNPs when investigating a small number of candidate genes; (b) to estimate haplotypes within each serotonergic candidate gene and test their association with antisocial behaviours; and (c) to derive MGPSSs depicting higher or lower (i.e. protection) risk of antisocial behaviour21 and test if they are associated with antisocial behaviours in a cumulative manner.

Participants
The Quebec Longitudinal Study of Kindergarten Children is a representative sample of children attending kindergarten in French-speaking state schools in the province of Quebec, Canada.22 The total sample comprised 3017 children drawn from two initial subsamples. The first subsample comprised 2000 children (1001 boys) selected randomly. The second subsample included 1017 children (593 boys) who scored in the 80th percentile or higher on disruptive behaviours at the age of kindergarten (age 6 years) with gender-specific cut-offs; this subsample was added to ensure a sufficient prevalence of antisocial cases. Mothers and teachers rated disruptive behaviours using 13 items drawn from the Social Behaviour Questionnaire,23 which covers physical aggression, opposition, hyperactivity and antisocial behaviour (e.g. lying, stealing). Factor analysis suggested that these items belonged to a single factor (Cronbach’s α from 0.86 to 0.90 for mothers and 0.82 to 0.89 for teachers). Participants were evaluated on multiple individual and familial characteristics by their mothers from age 6 to 12, via parental reports and self-reports at age 13 and 15 and via self-reports between ages 21 and 23. We focused on adolescence and adulthood in this study.

Similarly to comparable cohorts followed up longitudinally, non-random attrition was noted.24 Between the ages of 20 and 23 years, 1241 participants took part in the DNA collection (33% males; n = 412). A total of 12 male participants were later excluded due to population stratification,24 resulting in a homogeneous sample of 410 genotyped Caucasian males for whom antisocial behaviour was assessed in adolescence and adulthood.25 On average, male participants for whom DNA was not collected in early adulthood (20–23 years) exhibited higher levels of disruptive behaviours in kindergarten (t(1, 528.25) = −3.70, P = 0.001) and were from lower socioeconomic backgrounds (t(1, 469.76) = −6.40, P = 0.001). All statistical analyses were thus weighted for this selective attrition. This study focused on males as 5-HTR2C, MAOA and MAOB genes are linked to the X chromosome, which contributes to differences between the sexes at a molecular level, including sex-specific impact of genetic variations.26 Written informed consent was obtained at each data collection. The study was approved by the research ethics board of Sainte-Justine Hospital and its affiliated universities (ethics approvals 2828/2831).

Method

Participants
The Quebec Longitudinal Study of Kindergarten Children is a representative sample of children attending kindergarten in French-speaking state schools in the province of Quebec, Canada.22 The total sample comprised 3017 children drawn from two initial subsamples. The first subsample comprised 2000 children (1001 boys) selected randomly. The second subsample included 1017 children (593 boys) who scored in the 80th percentile or higher on disruptive behaviours at the end of kindergarten (age 6 years) with gender-specific cut-offs; this subsample was added to ensure a sufficient prevalence of antisocial cases. Mothers and teachers rated disruptive behaviours using 13 items drawn from the Social Behaviour Questionnaire,23 which covers physical aggression, opposition, hyperactivity and antisocial behaviour (e.g. lying, stealing). Factor analysis suggested that these items belonged to a single factor (Cronbach’s α from 0.86 to 0.90 for mothers and 0.82 to 0.89 for teachers). Participants were evaluated on multiple individual and familial characteristics by their mothers from age 6 to 12, via parental reports and self-reports at age 13 and 15 and via self-reports between ages 21 and 23. We focused on adolescence and adulthood in this study.

Similarly to comparable cohorts followed up longitudinally, non-random attrition was noted.24 Between the ages of 20 and 23 years, 1241 participants took part in the DNA collection (33% males; n = 412). A total of 12 male participants were later excluded due to population stratification,24 resulting in a homogeneous sample of 410 genotyped Caucasian males for whom antisocial behaviour was assessed in adolescence and adulthood.25 On average, male participants for whom DNA was not collected in early adulthood (20–23 years) exhibited higher levels of disruptive behaviours in kindergarten (t(1, 528.25) = −3.70, P = 0.001) and were from lower socioeconomic backgrounds (t(1, 469.76) = −6.40, P = 0.001). All statistical analyses were thus weighted for this selective attrition. This study focused on males as 5-HTR2C, MAOA and MAOB genes are linked to the X chromosome, which contributes to differences between the sexes at a molecular level, including sex-specific impact of genetic variations.26 Written informed consent was obtained at each data collection. The study was approved by the research ethics board of Sainte-Justine Hospital and its affiliated universities (ethics approvals 2828/2831).

Measures

Genotyping
A total of 11 serotonergic candidate genes (5-HTR1A, 5-HTR2A, 5-HTR2C, 5-HTR5A, 5-HTTR5C, 5-TRR, SLC6A4, MAOA, MAOB, TPH-1 and TPH-2) were selected on the basis of existing knowledge about their physiological role, previous associations with antisocial behaviour and availability of suitable and informative genetic markers.27 Common SNPs (minor allele frequency >5%) located 5 kbp upstream of the transcription sites were selected. Additionally, 44 anonymous markers spread across the genome and located outside of gene-coding regions were genotyped to detect population stratification. We used a high-throughput, 768-SNP Illumina platform and GoldenGate panel based on BeadArray technology.28 The initial genotyping success rate for the SNPs was 95.4%. SNPs less than 60 bp apart were eliminated, and 33 SNPs were eliminated because of low call rate (<0.90). A genotype call rate of 100% was achieved in the remaining sample. Allele frequencies and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium analyses were completed using Haploviev version 4.0.29 Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was rejected for ten SNPs, which were eliminated from further analyses.

Antisocial behaviours
General delinquency was assessed at age 13 by trained research assistants using a semi-structured interview based on the Self-Reported Delinquency Questionnaire.30 Participants reported whether they had perpetrated violent offences (e.g. threatened to use violence, carried a weapon), drug-related crimes (e.g. sold drugs), theft (e.g. stole something worth $10, worth $100) and vandalism (e.g. voluntarily damaged a vehicle) over the previous 12 months according to a Likert scale varying from ‘0’ for ‘never’ to ‘4’ for ‘frequently’. The general delinquency scale sums 22 items (range 0–29, mean [s.d.] 4.99 [5.41]) and the internal consistency was good (Cronbach’s α from 0.82 to 0.90; 0.75 in our sample).

Conduct disorder symptoms were assessed at age 15 using a semi-structured interview based on the Diagnostic Interview Schedule (DIS) for Children.31 The test-retest reliability and internal consistency of the French version of the DIS for Children were satisfactory.32 A total was created by summing the symptoms assessed as being present (range 0–6, mean [s.d.] 0.77 [1.20]). In our population-based sample, the Cronbach’s α was 0.61 due to low variability and base rate of some items.

Antisocial personality disorder symptoms were measured at age 21 using the DIS for adults, a semi-structured interview based on the DSM-III-R (1987) criteria (e.g. illegal activities, impulsivity, remorselessness).33 The reliability of the French version of the
Omnibus tests were performed.44 Because antisocial behaviours
knife/gun. The internal consistency of this instrument was satisfac-
section). Methods
attrition (see the second paragraph under
were no conferring risk was considered to avoid redundancy between
behaviours within the same haplotype block, only the superallele
items in this cohort.

Physical partner violence was measured at age 21 using
15 items drawn from the French version of the Conflict Tactics
Scale,38 including violent behaviours against the partner such as
pushed/Grabbed/shoved, choked/strangled and threatened with a
knife/gun. The internal consistency of this instrument was satisfac-
Participants who reported at least one instance of physical
violence against their partner were identified (n = 40 participants;
10.1%).

Statistical analyses
We investigated the added value of considering haplotype-based
superalleles cumulatively instead of relying on individual SNPs
when studying candidate genes. To do so, statistical analyses were
conducted in four steps. First, we tested the bivariate associations
between 116 SNPs mapping to 11 candidate serotonergic genes
and the antisocial behaviour using chi-square tests (dichotomous outcomes) and t-tests (continuous outcomes) according to the
allelic model using SPSS 24.0 software for Windows. Second, we
assessed the linkage disequilibrium between the SNPs located
within each gene and identified haplotypes with a frequency of at
least 10% using Haploview software.29 Third, the associations
between the haplotype-based superalleles and the antisocial
behaviour were tested using PLINK 1.7 software.40 Nominal and empirical P-values computed from 10 000 Monte Carlo permuta-
tions were estimated.41-43 Fourth, we examined whether participants
carrying higher numbers of haplotype-based superalleles conferring
risk (or protection) to each antisocial behaviour exhibited more (or
less) antisocial behaviours.21 To test this possibility, we created a
multilocus genetic profile risk score (MGPRS) (using haplotype-
Based superalleles conferring risk) and a multilocus genetic profile
protection score (MGPPS) (using haplotype-based superalleles
conferring protection) for each antisocial behaviour based on the
observed haplotype associations. Similarly to other studies and
given our focus on the cumulative (versus unique) contribution of
these haplotype-based superalleles, the candidate gene approach
and the relatively small size of our sample, we used an empirical
(10 000 permutations) P-value threshold of ≤0.10 for including
each superalleles in the MGPS.41-43 In the case where superalleles
were respectively positively and negatively associated with antisocial
behaviours within the same haplotype block, only the superalleles
conferring risk was considered to avoid redundancy between
the MGPRS and MGPPS. There were no a priori assumptions
regarding the genetic model (i.e. allelic, dominant, recessive) and
the MGPS was derived according to the best fitting model.
Omnibus tests were performed.44 Because antisocial behaviours
were not normally distributed, we used negative binomial with
log link regression analyses (with robust estimators) to examine
the associations between the MGPS, weighting for non-random
attrition (see the second paragraph under Participants in the
Methods section).

Results
We tested the associations between the 116 SNPs mapping to
11 candidate serotonergic genes and antisocial behaviour (Supplementary Table 1 available at https://10.1192/bjp.2018.251).
Several SNPs within the 5-HTR6, 5-HTR7, TPH-1 and TPH-2
genes were associated with antisocial behaviours assessed during adolescence and adulthood; 5-HTRA, 5-HTRC and 5-HTRC
5-HTRA and MAOA genes appeared of greater relevance in adulthood. Furthermore, 5-HTR4 and MAOB genes were not associated with any antisocial
behaviour and were thus eliminated from subsequent analyses.

We analysed the patterns of linkage disequilibrium (R²) within
the 5-HTRA, 5-HTRC, 5-HTRD, 5-HTRG, 5-HTRH, MAOA,
SLC6A4, TPH-1 and TPH-2 genes to estimate haplotypes
(Supplementary Fig. 1). Only haplotype-based superalleles with a
frequency of at least 10% were considered. Additional associations
with antisocial behaviour emerged (see Table 1). Indeed, although
only 39% of the SNPs showed at least a trend for significance (empirical P ≤ 0.10) with antisocial behaviour, almost twice as
many associations emerged with the haplotypes (78%). For
example, whereas SNPs within the 5-HTRA gene showed – for
the most part – an exclusive pattern of association with physical
partner violence, haplotypes AT (block 7, rs2070040, rs9534511)
and TA (block 8, rs41142900, rs9534512) now extended the associ-
ation with general delinquency in adolescence and antisocial per-
sonality disorder symptoms in early adulthood. Similarly, we
uncovered associations between the TPH-1-TGATC-TATG haplo-
type (block 1, rs10741734, rs1800552, rs10488683, rs10832876,
rs865657, rs10488682, rs623580, rs652458, rs546383), conduct
conduct disorder (β = 0.27, t(1) = 4.92, P = 0.02) and antisocial personality
disorder symptoms (β = -0.29, t(1) = 5.43, P = 0.02).

The sample distribution of the total number of haplotype-
based superalleles conferring risk to each antisocial behaviour
(Supplementary Fig. 2) show that, for each antisocial behaviour
except conduct disorder symptoms (range 0–3, mean 1, s.d. = 1.1),
participants carried on average three risk superalleles (general delin-
quency: range 0–8, mean 2.7, s.d. = 2.1; antisocial personality dis-
order symptoms: range 0–9, mean 3.4, s.d. = 2.1; property/violent
crimes: range 0–7, mean 3.3, s.d. = 1.6; physical partner violence:
range 0–12, mean 4.0, s.d. = 3.5).

Figure 1 shows that as the number of superalleles carried by par-
ticipants increased, there were higher levels of general delinquency
(Wald χ² = 8.92(1), P ≤ 0.001) and conduct disorder symptoms
(Wald χ² = 5.10(1), P ≤ 0.05) in adolescence, as well as higher
levels of antisocial personality disorder symptoms (Wald χ² = 11.89(1), P ≤ 0.001), property/violent crimes (Wald χ² = 15.18(1),
P ≤ 0.001) and physical partner violence in adulthood (Wald χ² = 11.87(1), P ≤ 0.001). Sensitivity analyses were conducted to
explore the impact of using more (or less) liberal thresholds on
the reported findings (see Supplementary Fig. 3). Results suggest
that a threshold for significance at 0.10 offers the best balance
between more and less liberal thresholds. MGPRSs contributed to
explaining between 2.0% (conduct disorder) and 5.5% (general
delinquency) of the phenotypic variance in adolescence, and from
4.2% (antisocial personality disorder symptoms) to 8.0% (physical
partner violence) of the variance in outcomes measured in adult-
hood (Table 2).

In addition to the cumulative contributions noted for the
MGPRSs, we also identified haplotypes uniquely associated with
lower antisocial behaviour (i.e. general delinquency, property/
violent crimes, physical partner violence). On average, participants
carried one protective superallele (general delinquency: range 1–2,
mean 1.3, s.d. = 0.9; property/violent crimes: range 0–5, mean 1.6,
s.d. = 1.2; physical partner violence: range 0–5, mean 1.4, s.d. = 1.2,
https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2018.251 Published online by Cambridge University Press
## Table 1. Associations between haplotype-based superalleles within each block and antisocial outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superallele (frequency)</th>
<th>General delinquency (13 years)</th>
<th>Conduct disorder symptoms (15 years)</th>
<th>Antisocial personality disorder symptoms (21 years)</th>
<th>Property/Violent crime (21 years)</th>
<th>Physical partner violence (21 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β/odds ratio (nominal/empirical)</td>
<td>P-values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-HTR&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GACT (15.6%)</td>
<td>-0.03 (0.96/0.972)</td>
<td>-0.19 (0.158/0.146)</td>
<td>-0.03 (0.814/0.811)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.179/0.197)</td>
<td>1.67 (0.079/0.095)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAGC (41.0%)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.62/0.622)</td>
<td>-0.01 (0.862/0.850)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.690/0.697)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.083/0.082)*</td>
<td>0.83 (0.539/0.538)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG (26.3%)</td>
<td>-0.36 (0.57/0.573)</td>
<td>-0.13 (0.225/0.230)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.161/0.169)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.141/0.159)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.111/0.095)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA (68.0%)</td>
<td>0.742 (0.245/0.243)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.334/0.332)</td>
<td>-0.09 (0.365/0.361)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.790/0.769)</td>
<td>1.71 (0.058/0.052)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-HTR&lt;sub&gt;7&lt;/sub&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTCG (33.7%)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.85/0.85)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.349/0.343)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.69/0.687)</td>
<td>1.38 (0.086/0.084)*</td>
<td>0.81 (0.419/0.446)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCTG (37.8%)</td>
<td>-0.07 (0.89/0.897)</td>
<td>-0.02 (0.800/0.800)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.861/0.874)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.052/0.051)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.398/0.029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCTG (12.7%)</td>
<td>-0.06 (0.94/0.951)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.561/0.563)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.859/0.874)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.360/0.408)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.487/0.567)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-HTR&lt;sub&gt;8&lt;/sub&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCTA (19.3%)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.118/0.114)</td>
<td>0.023 (0.066/0.070)*</td>
<td>0.10 (0.422/0.430)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.856/0.886)</td>
<td>2.15 (0.007/0.005)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCTG (52.4%)</td>
<td>-0.45 (0.48/0.481)</td>
<td>-0.02 (0.829/0.818)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.560/0.599)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.503/0.629)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.360/0.324)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-HTR&lt;sub&gt;9&lt;/sub&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCTG (25.6%)</td>
<td>-0.16 (0.789/0.790)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.105/0.110)</td>
<td>-0.07 (0.484/0.474)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.479/0.519)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.474/0.468)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCTC (15.2%)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.85/0.902)</td>
<td>-0.20 (0.137/0.139)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.981/0.979)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.251/0.249)</td>
<td>1.59 (0.116/0.114)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-HTR&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCTC (26.3%)</td>
<td>-0.36 (0.57/0.573)</td>
<td>-0.13 (0.225/0.230)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.161/0.169)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.141/0.159)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.111/0.095)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCTC (68.0%)</td>
<td>0.742 (0.245/0.243)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.334/0.332)</td>
<td>-0.09 (0.365/0.361)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.790/0.769)</td>
<td>1.71 (0.058/0.052)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Continued]
The association between serotoninergic function and antisocial behaviour is one of the most robust findings in biological psychiatry, and it is replicated and supported by endocrine challenge and brain imaging studies. However, the identification of the genetic variants involved in these putative mechanisms has been challenging, and inconsistent findings have been reported. Using a population-based sample of Caucasian males, we examined the cumulative contributions of serotoninergic genes to antisocial behaviours in adolescence and adulthood. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the genetic burden of antisocial behaviour using haplotype-based MGPSs in a sizeable sample of males. In line with previous findings with this cohort and PRSs (derived from genome-wide association studies) of antisocial behaviour, more generally, our findings echo results from previous haplotype-based studies of complex phenotypes such as depression, bipolar disorder and schizophrenia.

Our study provides preliminary support for the protective role of haplotype-based MGPSs with respect to general delinquency in adolescence, as well as regarding property/violent crimes and physical partner violence in early adulthood. These MGPSs were associated with a reduction in antisocial behaviours. Clearly, these findings need to be replicated in larger samples, correcting for multiple testing before investigating further the mechanisms conferring possible protection, or resilience, to antisocial behaviour. Nevertheless, the stability of these results at both developmental periods, combined with similar findings for pathologies such as diabetes and heart diseases, suggests that the protective value of genetic variants warrants further investigation. Indeed, results from inflammatory type 1 diabetes studies in humans and mice suggest that a number of haplotypes are associated with lower risk for disease over and above the haplotypes conferring risk. In our study, participants carrying the SLC6A4-ACGAT haplotype were less likely to manifest delinquent behaviours in adolescence than their counterparts. Previous studies that have considered another polymorphism, the 5-HTTLPR long and short alleles, reported higher levels of antisocial behaviours when exposed to adverse environments, but not always. Our results may not be incompatible with prior findings, as the 5-HTTLPR long and short alleles, reported higher levels of antisocial behaviours when exposed to adverse environments, but not always. Our results may not be incompatible with prior findings, as the 5-HTTLPR long and short alleles, reported higher levels of antisocial behaviours when exposed to adverse environments, but not always. Out of the 11 serotoninergic candidate genes investigated in this study, 9 were associated with antisocial behaviours. Pending
replications in independent samples, our findings provide additional information regarding the serotonergic basis of individual differences in antisocial behaviours. Serotonin is a widespread neurotransmitter in the central nervous system, and serotonergic neurons are found in numerous brain regions underlying psychopathological and antisocial traits.47,48 Serotonergic genes such as TPH-1 and TPH-2, which are important in the regulation of serotonin biosynthesis, were shown to be related to smaller amygdala volume and reactivity.48 A recent meta-analysis of imaging genetic studies also reported an association between the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism and amygdala activation.33 In agreement with prior results,49 the TPH-1 gene rs1800532 increased the risk of antisocial personality disorder symptoms in our study. The TPH-1-TGATCTATG haplotype, which comprised this SNP, was also associated with a greater number of conduct disorder symptoms in adolescence. Similarly to previous studies,47 we found no association between the 5-HTR1A functional variant rs6295 and antisocial behaviour. We did not, however, replicate the association

![Diagram of associations between the multilocus genetic profile risk scores and their related antisocial outcome.](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2018.251)

**Fig. 1** Associations between the multilocus genetic profile risk scores and their related antisocial outcome. Results are shown for (a) general delinquency, (b) conduct disorder symptoms, (c) antisocial personality disorder symptoms, (d) property/violent crimes and (e) physical partner violence.
Table 2  Associations between each multilocus genetic profile risk and protection score and their related antisocial outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic delinquency</th>
<th>Conduct disorder symptoms (15 years)</th>
<th>Antisocial personality disorder symptoms (21 years)</th>
<th>Property/violent crimes (21 years)</th>
<th>Physical partner violence (21 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General (df/f)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>Risk scores 8.292 (1)*** 2.10 (1)*</td>
<td>11.89 (1)***</td>
<td>15.18 (1)***</td>
<td>11.87 (1)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>Protection scores 5.86 (1)*</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.15 (1)**</td>
<td>13.48 (1)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>Risk scores 9.35 (1)**</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.24 (1)***</td>
<td>12.58 (1)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. MGPRS: HTR2A-AG (rs2770293, rs9136230), HTR2A-GTCCTAAAA (rs582385, rs666693, 6561336, rs972979, rs2770304, rs985934, rs927544, rs4941573), HTR2A-AT (rs2142490, rs9364126), HTR7-ACAACCTTGG (rs11599992, rs7094560, rs12261011, rs12259401, rs10786973, rs4520504), MAOA-TATAGGAAA (rs3027460, rs2235186, rs2235185, rs3027465, rs2072744, rs979606, rs2339484, rs3027407), MGPPS: SLC6A4-ACGAT (rs3794808, rs5823836, rs2002942, rs659745, rs2020924).

b. MGPRS: HTR2A-GACG (rs19533496, rs262400, rs2224721, rs3612636, HTR2A-GTCTCTAG (rs11599992, rs7094560, rs12261011, rs12259401, rs10786973, rs4520504), TPH-1TAGCTATG (rs10741734, rs180032, rs30488640, rs1081076, rs68667, rs30488640, rs2339484, rs652458, rs344393).

c. MGPRS: HTR2A-AG (rs2770293, rs9136230), HTR2A-ACCTGGGA (rs582385, rs666693, 6561336, rs972979, rs2770304, rs985934, rs927544, rs4941573), HTR2A-AT (rs2142490, rs9364126), HTR5A-TCCTCGGA (rs2873379, rs1017488, rs1881691, rs659745, rs2341859, rs659745, rs1074188, rs3794808, rs5823836, rs2002942, rs659745, rs2020924, rs1074188).

d. MGPRS: HTR2A-GACT (rs7322347, rs979703, HTR2A-ACCTGGGA (rs582385, rs666693, 6561336, rs972979, rs2770304, rs985934, rs927544, rs4941573), HTR2A-GACG (rs19533496, rs262400, rs2224721, rs3612636, HTR2A-GTCTCTAG (rs11599992, rs7094560, rs12261011, rs12259401, rs10786973, rs4520504), TPH-1TAGCTATG (rs10741734, rs180032, rs30488640, rs1081076, rs68667, rs30488640, rs2339484, rs652458, rs344393).

e. MGPRS: HTR2A-GACT (rs7322347, rs979703, HTR2A-ACCTGGGA (rs582385, rs666693, 6561336, rs972979, rs2770304, rs985934, rs927544, rs4941573), HTR2A-AT (rs2142490, rs9364126), HTR5A-TCCTCGGA (rs2873379, rs1017488, rs1881691, rs659745, rs2341859, rs659745, rs1074188, rs3794808, rs5823836, rs2002942, rs659745, rs2020924, rs1074188).

* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001.

Fig. 2  Associations between the multilocus genetic profile protection scores and their related antisocial outcome. Results are shown for (a) general delinquency, (b) property/violent crimes, and (c) physical partner violence.
between the 5-HTR2A rs7322347A>T SNP and physical aggression.\textsuperscript{50} But we did find a significant association between the 5-HTR2A-GTCG haplotype, which comprised rs6295, and property/violent crimes in adulthood. Of the serotonergic genes implicated in antisocial behaviours, four were linked to more than one antisocial behaviour. The 5-HTR2A gene, which codes for receptors heavily distributed in the frontal cortex (an area of the brain involved in impulsive control), was included in all MGPRSs. For instance, the 5-HTR2A gene haplotype blocks 7 (AT) and 8 (TA) increased the risk of general delinquency in adolescence, antisocial personality disorder symptoms and physical partner violence in adulthood. Finally, the 5-HTR2A-TGATCTATG haplotype, which has previously been found to be less prevalent in participants suffering from depression,\textsuperscript{9} was associated with a greater number of conduct disorder symptoms, antisocial personality disorder symptoms and self-reported property/violent crimes in adulthood. These findings suggest a partly common genetic aetiology of antisocial behaviour in adolescence and early adulthood, and tend to support the model of generalist genes. This also echoes findings from behavioural genetic studies, which identified a shared genetic aetiology across multiple externalised behaviours.\textsuperscript{31}

More importantly, our results suggest a cumulative explanatory value of MGPRSs and MGPPs, which together contribute to better understand the genetically based variance in antisocial behaviours. Our findings fall in line with those reported by others,\textsuperscript{18,20,44} suggesting that the cumulative effect of multiple genetic variants may help, to some extent, in bridging the gap between the heritability estimates derived from twin studies and the variance explained by measured genes. Our results are also consistent with existing literature suggesting that haplotype-based superalleles confer greater statistical power to detect genetic risk than SNPs, especially in smaller samples.\textsuperscript{6,17} The use of haplotypes may also help to understand the genetic aetiology of antisocial behaviours via independent causal cis-effects of multiple genes. Nonetheless, a large gap remains between the previously reported heritability estimates and our serotonergic haplotype-based MGPPs. To better understand the genetic aetiology of antisocial behaviour, other factors should be considered such as epistasis, epigenetics, gene–environment interactions and the use of intermediate phenotypes.

This study is not without limitations. First, we relied on self-report measures to ascertain several antisocial behaviours, which could be prone to recall bias and memory loss. Importantly, however, the pattern of findings was consistent across both self-reported antisocial behaviour and reports of antisocial behaviours derived from semi-structured interviews (i.e. conduct disorder and antisocial personality disorder symptoms). Second, we used a significance threshold of 0.10 for the inclusion of each haplotype-based superallele in the MGPS. Sensitivity analyses suggested that this threshold offered the best balance between more and less stringent thresholds and that, when more than two haplotype-based superalleles were included, the serotonergic genes’ haplotype-based MGPS had a cumulative effect on antisocial behaviours at two developmental periods and across multimethod assessments. Third, we did not apply corrections for multiple testing. To partially circumvent this issue, we applied several methodological precautions such as selecting haplotype-based superalleles to reduce the number of tests to derive our cumulative scores, focusing on empirical P-values,\textsuperscript{41,42} performing an omnibus test when creating our cumulative scores\textsuperscript{14} and relying on a genetically homogeneous sample.\textsuperscript{25} Fourth, our results are based exclusively on Caucasian males and they may not be generalisable to females and people of other ethnicities. Finally, few haplotype-based superalleles conferred a protective effect in the absence of risk effect, resulting in more restricted distributions of MGPPs in comparison to MGPRSs, which could have constrained the statistical power for these indices.
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