# MAXIMAL PRE-PRIMAL CLUSTERS 

JON FROEMKE

A number of unsolved problems of primal algebra theory concern the existence of certain collections of dependent primal algebras. In [3] E. S. O’Keefe showed that any collection of pairwise non-isomorphic primal algebras of type $\{n\}$ with $n>1$ forms a primal cluster. Recently the author has discovered that if $\tau$ is any type containing at least two elements, one of which is $>1$, then there are at least two non-isomorphic dependent primal algebras of type $\tau$, except possibly in the case $\tau=\{2,0\}$; this result will appear later. (In [1] it is stated that F. M. Sioson proved in [5] that any collection of pairwise non-isomorphic primal algebras of type $\{n, 0\}$ with $n>1$ also forms a primal cluster; an examination of Sioson's proof, however, reveals that each of the primals considered is required to satisfy a certain permutation condition which need not hold for an arbitrary primal algebra of that type.)

The exact number of distinct maximal primal clusters of a given type is unknown, except for the case $\{n\}$ mentioned above when there is only one. It is not even known whether the number must be finite for a type containing only finitely many finite elements.

By definition the class of polynomial functions of a primal algebra is complete in the sense that every finitary function defined on the carrier of the algebra is representable by a polynomial in the primitive operations of the algebra. A set $\mathscr{U}$ of finitary functions defined on a finite set $A$ is said to be pre-complete provided (i) $\mathscr{U}$ is closed under composition, (ii) $\mathscr{U}$ is not complete in the sense that there is a finitary function defined on $A$ which is not contained in $\mathscr{U}$, and (iii) the set $\mathscr{V}$ is complete in the sense of (ii), where $\mathscr{V}$ is the set of finitary functions on $A$ generated under composition by $\mathscr{U}$ and any finitary function on $A$ which is not in $\mathscr{U}$. Pre-complete sets of functions have been studied and classified by S. V. Jablonskii in [2]. We define a pre-primal algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ to be an algebra of finite or countably infinite, finitary type whose carrier is a finite set containing more than one element and whose set of polynomial functions is pre-complete. By a pre-primal cluster we mean a set of similar pre-primal algebras which is also a cluster in the sense that any finite collection of pairwise non-isomorphic algebras from the set is independent; by a maximal pre-primal cluster we mean a pre-primal cluster which is not properly contained in any other pre-primal cluster. We call two maximal pre-primal clusters of the same type distinct provided each contains an algebra which is isomorphic to none of the algebras in the other. We will show, assuming the
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Axiom of Choice, that there are infinitely many maximal pre-primal clusters of most types.

In the course of the proof we will need a special case of a result of Jablonskii's. Let $A$ be a finite set containing more than one element and let $\theta$ be an equivalence relation defined on $A$. Let $f: A^{n} \rightarrow A$ be any finitary function defined on $A$. Then $f$ is said to conserve $\theta$ provided $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} \in A$ with $a_{i} \theta b_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ implies $f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \theta f\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$. Denote by $\mathscr{U}(\theta, A)$ (or simply by $\mathscr{U}(\theta)$ if no confusion can arise) the totality of finitary functions defined on $A$ which conserve $\theta$.

Theorem A (Jablonskii [2]). If the set A has finite, non-prime cardinality, then $\mathscr{U}(\theta, A)$ is pre-complete.

Let $A_{n}=\left\{0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right\}$ denote the first $2^{n}$ non-negative integers, where $n>1$. Define the functions $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$, and $G$, of ranks $2,2,2$, and 1 , respectively, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}(x, y)=x \cdot y \quad\left(\text { modulo } 2^{n}\right) \\
& F_{2}(x, y)=x+y \quad\left(\text { modulo } 2^{n}\right), \\
& F_{3}(x, y)= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } x=y=0 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& G(x)=x+1 \quad\left(\text { modulo } 2^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. The set of functions generated by $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$, and $G$ is pre-complete.
Proof. Define the equivalence relation $\theta$ on $A_{n}$ by the partition

$$
\theta:\left\{0,2,4, \ldots, 2^{n}-2\right\}, \quad\left\{1,3,5, \ldots, 2^{n}-1\right\}
$$

Then each of the functions $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$, and $G$ belongs to $\mathscr{U}(\theta)$ and thus so does the set of functions they generate. Moreover, by Theorem A, this latter set is not complete.

The following are easily seen to be polynomials of $A_{n}$ :
(i) $0(x)=x \cdot G(x) \ldots G^{\left(2^{n-1)}\right.}(x)=0$ for all $x \in A_{n}$;
(ii) if $r \in A_{n}$, then $R_{r}(x)=G^{(r)}(0(x))=r$;
(iii) $\delta_{0}(x)=x^{2^{n}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0 \text { if } x \text { is even, } \\ 1 \text { if } x \text { is odd; }\end{array}\right.$
(iv) $\delta_{1}(x)=\delta_{0}(G(x))=\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \text { if } x \text { is even, } \\ 0 \text { if } x \text { is odd. }\end{array}\right.$

Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t} \in A_{n}$, with repetitions allowed, and define the function $\Delta\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\imath}\right]: A^{t} \rightarrow A$ by

$$
\Delta\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2, \text { if } x_{1}=a_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}=a_{t} \\
0, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Then we have

(v) $\Delta[a](x)=F_{3}\left(G^{\left(2^{n-a}\right)}(x), 0(x)\right)$.

Suppose inductively that for any positive integer $k \leqq t$, $(t \geqq 1)$, we can represent $\Delta\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ as a composition of $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$, and $G$ for any $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in A_{n}$. Let $a_{1}, \ldots a_{t}, b \in A_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left[a_{1}, \ldots a_{t}, b\right]\left(x_{1}, \ldots\right. & \left., x_{t}, y\right) \\
& =F_{3}\left(G^{\left(2^{n-2}\right)}\left(\Delta\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t}\right]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}\right)\right), G^{\left(2^{n-b}\right.}(y)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by induction we can represent any $\Delta\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ as a composition of $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$, and $G$.

Suppose now that $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \mathscr{U}(\theta)$. Define

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right), \text { if } f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \text { is even, } \\
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)-1, \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \mathscr{U}(\theta)$, as is

$$
f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)
$$

If we can show that $f^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$ can both be obtained as compositions of $F_{1}$, $F_{2}, F_{3}$, and $G$ it will follow that $f$ can also be so obtained.

We observe that the range of $f^{\prime}$ is a subset of $\left\{0,2, \ldots, 2^{n}-2\right\}$. Consequently

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\sum R\left[\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right)\right](x) \cdot \Delta\left[i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right]\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)
$$

where the sum runs independently over all $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}\right) \in\left(A_{n}\right)^{m}$.
The range of $f^{\prime \prime}$ is a subset of $\{0,1\}$. Moreover, $f^{\prime \prime}$ is completely determined by its restriction to $\{0,1\}^{m}$. This is so since $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=f^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ if $x_{i} \equiv y_{i}$ modulo 2 for all $i=1, \ldots, m$, and thus, in particular, $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ $=f^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right)$ where $x_{i} \equiv j_{i}$ modulo 2 and $j_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, m$. Also, if $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{m}$ we have

$$
\delta_{j_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots \delta_{j_{m}}\left(x_{m}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } x_{i} \equiv j_{i} \text { modulo } 2 \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, m \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Consequently

$$
f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=R\left[f^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m}\right)\right]\left(x_{1}\right) \cdot \delta_{j_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots \delta_{j_{m}}\left(x_{m}\right)
$$

where the sum runs independently over all $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m} \in\{0,1\}^{m}$.
Then $P\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ and thus each element of $\mathscr{U}(\theta)$ is representable as a composition of $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$, and $G$. Hence the set of functions generated by these functions is pre-complete.

Because of Theorem 1 we can show that an algebra with carrier $A_{n}$ is pre-primal by showing that each of its primitive operations belongs to $\mathscr{U}(\theta)$ and that $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$, and $G$ are all representable as polynomials modulo the algebra.

Theorem 2. If $\tau=\left\{n_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ is any finite or countably infinite finitary type satisfying at least one of the following three conditions (A), (B), or (C), then
there exists at least a countable infinity of maximal pre-primal clusters of type $\tau$.
(A) The type $\tau$ contains at least two elements, one of which is greater than or equal to 5 .
(B) The type $\tau$ contains at least two elements, one of which is greater than or equal to 3 , while the other is greater than or equal to 2 .
(C) The type $\tau$ contains at least three elements, each greater than or equal to 2 .

Proof. Case (A). Suppose A holds for $\tau$ and suppose for definiteness that $n_{j} \geqq 5$. Define the algebra $\mathfrak{N}_{n}=\left\langle A_{n} ; o_{i} \mid i \in I\right\rangle$ by letting $o_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n k}\right)=$ $0\left(\mathfrak{A}_{n}\right)$ for $k \in I, k \neq j$, while

$$
o_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{j}}\right)=x_{1}+x_{1} \cdot x_{2}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{2} \cdot F_{3}\left(x_{4}, x_{5}\right)+1\left(\mathfrak{H}_{n}\right) .
$$

Then obviously $o_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{i}}\right) \in \mathscr{U}(\theta)$ for all $i \in I$.
The following are seen to be polynomials of $\mathfrak{Y}_{n}$ :
(i) $0(x)=0$;
(ii) $G(x)=o_{j}(x, 0(x), \ldots, 0(x))$;
(iii) $R_{r}(x)=G^{(r)}(0(x))=r$;
(iv) $p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}\right)=G^{\left(2^{n-1}\right)}\left(o_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{j}}\right)\right)=$

$$
x_{1}+x_{1} \cdot x_{2}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{2} \cdot F_{3}\left(x_{4}, x_{5}\right) ;
$$

(v) $F_{3}(x, y)=p\left(0(x), R_{1}(x), R_{2} n_{-1}(x), x, y\right)$;
(vi) $F_{2}(x, y)=p(0(x), x, y, x, x)=x+y$;
(vii) $q(x, y)=p(x, y, 0(x), y, y)=x+x \cdot y+y$;
(viii) $s(x)=G^{(2)}\left(q\left(R_{2} n_{-2}(x), x\right)\right)=2^{n}-2+\left(2^{n}-2\right) y+y+2$

$$
=\left(2^{n}-1\right) y=-y ;
$$

(ix) $F_{1}(x, y)=F_{2}\left(F_{2}(s(x), s(y)), q(x, y)\right)=-x-y+x+x \cdot y+y=x \cdot y$.

Thus by (ix), (vi), (v), and (ii) we may represent $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$, and $G$ as polynomials modulo $\mathfrak{U}_{n}$; this implies that $\mathfrak{U}_{n}$ is pre-primal for each $n$.

Now let $p$ and $q$ be distinct positive integers greater than one. We will show that $\mathfrak{N}_{p}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_{q}$ are dependent. Let $\sigma(x)$ be any unary polynomial symbol. We claim that $\sigma(0)$ modulo $\left(\mathfrak{H}_{p}\right)$ has the same parity as $\sigma(0)$ modulo $\mathscr{H}_{q}$. This is certainly true if $\sigma$ contains no primitive operation symbol or if $\sigma$ contains exactly one primitive operation symbol. Suppose inductively that it is true for all polynomial symbols containing fewer than $t(t>1)$ occurrences of primitive operation symbols and let $\sigma(x)$ be any polynomial symbol containing exactly $t$ occurrences of primitive operation symbols. If $k \in I, k \neq j$, and $\sigma(x)=$ $o_{k}\left(\sigma_{1}(x), \ldots, \sigma_{n k}(x)\right)$, our claim is obviously valid, while if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(x)=o_{j}\left(\sigma_{1}(x), \ldots, \sigma_{n k}(x)\right)= & \sigma_{1}(x)+\sigma_{1}(x) \cdot \sigma_{2}(x)+\sigma_{2}(x) \\
& +\sigma_{3}(x)+\sigma_{2}(x) \cdot F_{3}\left(\sigma_{4}(x), \sigma_{5}(x)\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}(x), \ldots, \sigma_{5}(x)$ each satisfies our induction hypothesis, it is easy to check that $\sigma(0)$ modulo $\mathfrak{A}_{p}$ and $\sigma(0)$ modulo $\mathfrak{U}_{q}$ have the same parity. Thus our claim is true by induction. Because of this there can exist no polynomial symbol $\Gamma(x)$ satisfying both $\Gamma(x)=0$ modulo $\mathfrak{U}_{p}$ and $\Gamma(x)=1$ modulo $\mathfrak{U}_{q}$,
since this would imply that $\Gamma(0)=0$ modulo $\mathfrak{A}_{p}$ while $\Gamma(0)=1$ modulo $\mathfrak{A}_{a}$, a contradiction. Consequently $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathfrak{U}_{\ell}$ are dependent.

By the Axiom of Choice we may imbed each $\mathfrak{N}_{n}$ in at least one maximal preprimal cluster. By our previous work no such cluster can contain two distinct $\mathfrak{A}_{n}$. Thus there must be at least countably infinitely many such clusters.

Case (B). Suppose $B$ holds for $\tau$ and suppose for definiteness that $n, \geqq 3$, $n_{k} \geqq 2, j \neq k$. Define the algebra $\mathfrak{B}_{n}=\left\langle A_{n} ; o_{i} \mid i \in I\right\rangle$ by letting $o_{t}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n \iota}\right)$ $=0$ for $t \in I, t \neq j, k$ (if any such $t$ exist), while

$$
\begin{aligned}
o_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n_{j}}\right)=x_{1}+x_{1} \cdot x_{2}+x_{3}+1\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right), o_{k}\left(x_{1},\right. & \left.\ldots, x_{n k}\right) \\
& =F_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(\mathfrak{B}_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again each $o_{i} \in \mathscr{U}(\theta)$. Furthermore, the following are polynomials of $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ :
(i) $F_{3}(x, y)=o_{k}(x, y, \ldots, y)$;
(ii) $0(x)=0=F_{3}\left(x, F_{3}(x, x)\right)$;
(iii) $G(x)=o_{j}(x, 0(x), \ldots, 0(x))$;
(iv) $R_{r}(x)=G^{(r)}(0(x))=r$;
(v) $p(x, y)=o_{j}(x, 0(x), y, \ldots, y)=x+y+1$;
(vi) $F_{2}(x, y)=G^{\left(2^{n-1}\right)}(p(x, y))=x+y$;
(vii) $s(x)=o_{j}\left(R_{2} n_{-1}(x), x, R_{2} n_{-1}(x), \ldots, R_{2} n_{-1}(x)\right)=-x-1$;
(viii) $F_{1}(x, y)=o_{j}(x, y, s(x), \ldots, s(x))=x+x \cdot y+(-x-1)+1=x \cdot y$.

Then by (i), (iii), (vi), and (viii), $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ is pre-primal. As in Case A we can establish the pairwise dependence of the $\mathfrak{B}_{n}$ 's and thus obtain at least a countable infinity of maximal pre-primal clusters.

Case (C). Suppose (C) holds for $\tau$ and suppose for definiteness that $n_{j} \geqq 2$, $n_{k} \geqq 2$, and $n_{r} \geqq 2$ with $j, k, r$ pairwise unequal. Define the algebra $\mathfrak{C}_{n}=$ $\left\langle A_{n} ; o_{i} \mid i \in I\right\rangle$ by letting $o_{t}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n t}\right)=0\left(\mathfrak{C}_{n}\right)$ for $t \in I, t \neq j, k, r$ (if any such $t$ exist), while

$$
\begin{aligned}
o_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n j}\right) & =x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{n}\right) \\
o_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n k}\right) & =x_{1}+x_{2}+1\left(\mathfrak{C}_{n}\right), \\
o_{\tau}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n r}\right) & =F_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(\mathfrak{C}_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As before, we observe that $0(x)=0=F_{3}\left(x, F_{3}(x, x)\right)$ is a polynomial of $\mathfrak{C}_{n}$; it is now easy to proceed as in the previous cases and show that each $\mathfrak{C}_{n}$ is pre-primal. We can now show as before that the $\mathfrak{C}_{n}$ 's are pairwise dependent and hence that there exists at least a countable infinity of maximal pre-primal clusters of type $\tau$.

Corollary. Let $\tau$ be any finite or countably infinite finitary type satisfying at least one of the conditions (A), (B), or (C). Then there exists at least a countable infinity of pairwise dependent pre-primal algebras of type $\tau$.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to the referee for many helpful suggestions about the exposition of the results contained in this paper.
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