INVERSE SEMIGROUP HOMOMORPHISMS VIA PARTIAL GROUP ACTIONS

BENJAMIN STEINBERG

This paper constructs all homomorphisms of inverse semigroups which factor through an *E*-unitary inverse semigroup; the construction is in terms of a semilattice component and a group component. It is shown that such homomorphisms have a unique factorisation $\beta \alpha$ with α preserving the maximal group image, β idempotent separating, and the domain *I* of β *E*-unitary; moreover, the *P*-representation of *I* is explicitly constructed. This theory, in particular, applies whenever the domain or codomain of a homomorphism is *E*-unitary. Stronger results are obtained for the case of *F*-inverse monoids.

Special cases of our results include the P-theorem and the factorisation theorem for homomorphisms from E-unitary inverse semigroups (via idempotent pure followed by idempotent separating). We also deduce a criterion of McAlister-Reilly for the existence of E-unitary covers over a group, as well as a generalisation to F-inverse covers, allowing a quick proof that every inverse monoid has an F-inverse cover.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The class of E-unitary inverse semigroups has received special attention in the semigroup theory literature. These are inverse semigroups with an idempotent pure homomorphism to a group. McAlister's P-theorem [5], under a reformulation below, states that all E-unitary inverse semigroups can be constructed as the "semidirect product" of a group and a semilattice where the group acts partially on the semilattice. A result of Munn and Reilly [8] shows that every homomorphism from an E-unitary inverse semigroup factors as an idempotent pure homomorphism followed by an idempotent separating homomorphism.

In this paper, we generalise both these results simultaneously. If S is an inverse semigroup, σ_S will denote its minimal group congruence, G(S) its maximal group image and E(S) its set of idempotents. We give an explicit construction of all homomorphisms from S which factor through an E-unitary inverse semigroup. The construction builds

Received 5th December, 2000

The author was supported in part by Praxis XXI scholarship BPD 16306 98 and by FCT through Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/01 \$A2.00+0.00.

B. Steinberg

the homomorphism out of pieces defined on E(S) and on G(S). More precisely (undefined terms will be defined in the text):

THEOREM 1.1. Let $\varphi : S \to T$ be a homomorphism of inverse semigroups. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. The image under φ of each σ_S -class of S is compatible;
- 2. $\varphi = \beta_0 \alpha_0$ with $\alpha_0 : S \to I_0, \beta_0 : I_0 \to T$ homomorphisms and I_0 E-unitary;
- 3. $\varphi = \beta \alpha$ with $\alpha : S \to I$ a surjective, maximal group image preserving homomorphism, $\beta : I \to T$ idempotent separating, and I E-unitary;
- 4. There is a dual prehomomorphism $\rho : G(S) \to C(T)$ such that $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$ for all $s \in S$;
- 5. There is a compatible pair $\psi : E(S) \to E(T)$, $\rho : G(S) \to C(T)$ such that $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$.

Moreover, α and β are unique, there is a unique minimal choice of (ψ, ρ) , and I is the P-semigroup $P_{(\psi,\rho)}$ associated to (ψ, ρ) .

Note that by considering the case when S is E-unitary, we obtain the aforementioned result of Munn and Reilly [8]. Theorem 1.1 allows the construction of a P-representation of an E-unitary inverse semigroup from any idempotent separating image.

Roughly speaking, a compatible pair is a way of coordinatising a homomorphism into two components: one component is a semilattice homomorphism; the other is a dual prehomomorphism from a group. In the case of an E-unitary inverse semigroup acting on a set X, the theorem (or rather a variant thereof proved below) states that the action is obtained from a partial group action of the maximal group image (see [2, 4, 11] for the importance of partial group actions) by restricting the domains of the various group elements. More specifically, we have

THEOREM 1.2. Let S be an inverse semigroup and X a set. Suppose S acts on X with the property: $s \sigma_S t$ implies $s \cdot$ and $t \cdot$ agree on the overlap of their domains. Then there is a partial action of G(S) on X and an action of E(S) on S such that, for all $s \in S$, the action of $\sigma(s)(s^{-1}s)\sigma(s)$ is the action of ss^{-1} , and $sx = (ss^{-1})\sigma(s)x$; the converse holds as well. In particular, if S is E-unitary, this is always the case.

The action of E(S) in the above theorem is unique, while there is a unique minimal choice of the partial group action (where minimality is in terms of the size of the domain of the action of the elements).

It is shown in [3], based on earlier work of Exel [2], that dual prehomomorphisms $\varphi: G \to T$, where G is a group, correspond bijectively to homomorphisms $\psi: G^{\Pr} \to T$ where G^{\Pr} is the prefix expansion of Birget-Rhodes [1]. This is an F-inverse monoid with maximal group image G, finite \mathcal{D} -classes, and a transparent structure. Thus, in some sense, homomorphisms from arbitrary E-unitary inverse semigroups can be reduced to homomorphisms from semilattices and from F-inverse monoids with finite \mathcal{D} -classes.

159

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1 we shall be able to deduce the following result of McAlister and Reilly [6].

COROLLARY 1.3. An inverse semigroup S has an E-unitary cover over a group G if and only if there is a dual prehomomorphism $\rho : G \to C(S)$ such that, for $s \in S$, $s \leq \rho(g)$ for some $g \in G$.

We note that the equivalence of 1, 2, and 3 can be deduced in a straightforward manner from the usual factorisation theorem [4, Theorem 7.5.3]. But we prefer the constructive approach afforded by compatible pairs since it both builds explicitly the P-representation of I, and provides a simple coordinatisation into semilattice and group components.

We also extend Theorem 1.1 to F-inverse monoids (where things simplify because C(T) is not needed). In particular we shall be able to deduce the following generalisation of Corollary 1.3.

COROLLARY 1.4. An inverse monoid S has an F-inverse cover over a group G if and only if there is a dual prehomomorphism $\rho: G \to S$ such that, for $s \in S$, $s \leq \rho(g)$ for some $g \in G$.

We shall then easily obtain the following result of [8] which clearly implies the McAlister-Tilson covering theorem.

THEOREM 1.5. Let S be an inverse monoid, then S has an F-inverse cover. As a consequence, every inverse semigroup has an E-unitary cover.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

Fix an inverse semigroup S. Recall that the *natural partial order* on S is given by $s \leq t$ if $s = ss^{-1}t$. We let $\sigma : S \to G(S)$ denote the canonical projection.

One says that $s, t \in S$ are compatible, written $s \sim t$, if $st^{-1}, s^{-1}t \in E(S)$. Intuitively, if S acts faithfully by partial bijections on the left of a set X, then s and t are compatible precisely when their union (as a relation) is a partial bijection. The relation \sim is not in general transitive; in fact, S is E-unitary if and only if \sim is transitive, in which case $\sim = \sigma_S$ [4, Theorems 2.4.4 and 2.4.6].

A subset A of S is said to be *compatible* if each pair of its elements is compatible. Following Schein [9], a subset which is a compatible order ideal is called *permissible*. For example, for $s \in S$, $[s] = \{t \in S \mid t \leq s\}$ is easily seen to be permissible. If S is E-unitary, $\sigma^{-1}(g)$ is permissible for all $g \in G(S)$. One says that S is *complete* if each compatible subset has a join. For instance, the symmetric inverse monoid on X, I(X), is complete. Observe that if A is any subset of I(X) having a join, A must be compatible.

An inverse semigroup is called *infinitely distributive* if multiplication distributes over arbitrary joins (whenever they exist). One can show S is infinitely distributive if and only if E(S) is. Thus I(X) is infinitely distributive. Let C(S) be the set of permissible subsets of S. Then C(S) is an inverse semigroup under setwise multiplication. There is a natural embedding of S in C(S) by $s \mapsto [s]$. One can show [4, Theorems 1.4.24 and 1.4.25] that C(S) is complete and infinitely distributive, and that the above embedding is universal. The Preston-Wagner representation affords another embedding of S into a complete, infinitely distributive inverse semigroup.

A map $\varphi: S \to T$ is called a *prehomomorphism* if $\varphi(st) \leq \varphi(s)\varphi(t)$. This is equivalent to asking that φ preserve order and that if $s^{-1}s = tt^{-1}$, then $\varphi(st) = \varphi(s)\varphi(t)$ [4]. Also φ is a homomorphism if and only if it preserves products of idempotents.

One says that a map $\varphi: S \to T$ of inverse semigroups is a *dual prehomomorphism* if $\varphi(s)\varphi(t) \leq \varphi(st)$ and $\varphi(s^{-1}) = \varphi(s)^{-1}$. Dual prehomomorphisms take idempotents to idempotents. Indeed

$$\varphi(e) = \varphi(e)\varphi(e)^{-1}\varphi(e) = \varphi(e)^3 \leqslant \varphi(e^3) = \varphi(e).$$

If $\varphi: S \to T$ is a homomorphism, we set ker $\varphi = \varphi^{-1}(E(T))$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\varphi) = \varphi|_{E(S)}$. We use a similar notation for congruences. Any congruence is uniquely determined by its kernel and its trace [4]. A surjective, idempotent separating homomorphism is called a *cover*.

If (X, \leq) is a partially ordered set, we use $I(X, \leq)$ for the inverse monoid of partial order isomorphisms of X whose domains are order ideals. If G is a group, a partial action of G on X consists of a dual prehomomorphism $\rho : G \to I(X, \leq)$ with $\rho(1) = 1$. One usually writes gx for $\rho(g)(x)$. If X is set ordered by equality, this is the usual notion (see [2, 4]). If X is a semilattice, then the partial action automatically preserves meets.

If Y is a semilattice and G is a group acting partially on Y with the property that, for each $g \in G$, there exists $y \in Y$ with $g^{-1}y$ defined, then one can define an inverse semigroup

$$P(Y,G) = \{(y,g) \in Y \times G \mid \exists g^{-1}y\}.$$

One defines a product by

$$(y,g)(x,h) = (g(g^{-1}y \wedge x),gh)$$

It is easy to check this is well defined and that $(y,g)^{-1} = (g^{-1}y,g^{-1})$. Furthermore, the projection to G is an idempotent pure, surjective homomorphism whence P(Y,G) is E-unitary. The P-theorem states that all E-unitary inverse semigroups arise in this manner. Note: the usual statement of the P-theorem differs slightly from, but is equivalent to, ours; see [3].

3. Constructing Homomorphisms

We begin with a general method of constructing homomorphisms sending σ_s -classes to compatible subsets; of course, we aim to show that all such homomorphisms so arise.

3.1. COMPATIBLE PAIRS Let S, T be inverse semigroups. Suppose $\psi : E(S) \to E(T)$ is a homomorphism and $\rho : G(S) \to T$ is a dual prehomomorphism. We say (ψ, ρ) is a *compatible pair* if

(3.1)
$$\rho(g)\psi(s^{-1}s)\rho(g)^{-1} = \psi(ss^{-1}) \text{ for all } s \in \sigma^{-1}(g).$$

The intuition behind this is to axiomatise what is necessary to be able to define a homomorphism from P(Y,G) to T by taking the product of a map defined on Y and a map defined on G.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let (ψ, ρ) be a compatible pair.

- 1. $\psi(s^{-1}s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))^{-1}\rho(\sigma(s))$ whence $e \leq s^{-1}s$ for some $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$ implies $\psi(e) \leq \rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)$.
- 2. $e \leq s^{-1}s \implies \rho(\sigma(s))\psi(e)\rho(\sigma(s))^{-1} = \psi(ses^{-1}).$
- 3. G(S) acts partially on $\psi(E(S))$ by $gf = \rho(g)f\rho(g)^{-1}$ if $f = \psi(e)$ with $e \leq s^{-1}s$ for some $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$. Also, if $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$, then $g^{-1}\psi(ss^{-1})$ is defined.

PROOF: For 1, observe that

$$\psi(s^{-1}s)\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g) = \rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)\psi(s^{-1}s)\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g) = \psi(s^{-1}s)$$

by two applications of (3.1). The second statement is clear.

For 2,

$$\rho(g)\psi(e)\rho(g)^{-1} = \rho(g)\psi\big((se)^{-1}(se)\big)\rho(g)^{-1} = \psi\big((se)(se)^{-1}\big)$$

by (3.1).

For 3, observe first that $g\psi(e) \in \psi(E(S))$ by 2. To see that $g \cdot$ has domain an order ideal and that it preserves order, let $e \leq s^{-1}s$ with $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$ and $\psi(f) \leq \psi(e)$. Then $\psi(ef) = \psi(f)$ and $ef \leq s^{-1}s$ whence $g\psi(f)$ is defined. Moreover, $sefs^{-1} \leq ses^{-1}$ so $g\psi(f) \leq g\psi(e)$ by 2. Note that $1\psi(e) = \psi(e)$ by (3.1) with s = e.

Observe that if $e \leq s^{-1}s$, then $ses^{-1} \leq ss^{-1}$ and $s^{-1}ses^{-1}s = e$. It immediately follows that, for $f \in \psi(E(S))$, $g^{-1}(gf) = f$ whenever gf is defined whence $g \cdot$ and g^{-1} . are inverses.

Finally, suppose h(gf) is defined with $f \in \psi(E(S))$. Since h(gf) and $g^{-1}(gf)$ are defined, there exist $e, e' \in E(S)$ with $\psi(e) = gf = \psi(e')$, $e \leq t^{-1}t$ some $t \in \sigma^{-1}(h)$, and $e' \leq ss^{-1}$ some $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$. Then $ee' \leq ss^{-1}, t^{-1}t$ and $\psi(ee') = gf$ whence $h(gf) = \psi(tee't^{-1})$. Also $f = g^{-1}\psi(ee') = \psi(s^{-1}ee's)$. But

$$s^{-1}ee's \leq s^{-1}(ss^{-1}t^{-1}t)s = (ts)^{-1}ts \text{ and } ts \in \sigma^{-1}(hg), \text{ so}$$

 $(hg)f = \psi((ts)s^{-1}ee's(ts)^{-1}) = \psi(tee't^{-1}) = h(gf).$

B. Steinberg

The last statement is clear.

Thus, given a compatible pair (ψ, ρ) , we can define the associated *E*-unitary inverse semigroup $P_{(\psi,\rho)} = P(\psi(E(S)), G(S))$. Observe that Proposition 3.1 (1) implies that if $g\psi(e)$ is defined, $\psi(e) \leq \rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)$. We shall use this repeatedly in the sequel.

THEOREM 3.2. Let (ψ, ρ) be a compatible pair. Then:

- 1. $\alpha: S \to P_{(\psi,\rho)}$ defined by $\alpha(s) = (\psi(ss^{-1}), \sigma(s))$ is a surjective homomorphism, preserving maximal group images. Moreover, if S is E-unitary, α is injective if and only if ψ is;
- 2. $\beta : P_{(\psi,\rho)} \to T$ given by $\beta(f,g) = f\rho(g)$ is an idempotent separating homomorphism;
- 3. $\beta \alpha(e) = \psi(e)$ for $e \in E(S)$.

PROOF: By Proposition 3.1 (3), α is well defined. Since ψ is a homomorphism, α induces a homomorphism on the idempotents. So it suffices to show α is a prehomomorphism. We first observe that (3.1) implies $\sigma(s)^{-1}\psi(ss^{-1}) = \psi(s^{-1}s)$. Suppose $s^{-1}s = tt^{-1}$. Then

(3.2)
$$(\psi(ss^{-1}), \sigma(s))(\psi(tt^{-1}), \sigma(t)) = (\sigma(s)((\sigma(s)^{-1}\psi(ss^{-1}))\psi(tt^{-1})), \sigma(st))$$

The first coordinate of the righthand side of (3.2) is then

$$\sigma(s)\big(\psi(s^{-1}s)\psi(tt^{-1})\big) = \sigma(s)\psi(s^{-1}s) = \psi(ss^{-1}).$$

Since $(st)(st)^{-1} = ss^{-1}$, it follows that α is a prehomomorphism. To see that α is surjective, note that if $(f,g) \in P_{(\psi,\rho)}$, then, since $g^{-1}f$ is defined, $f = \psi(e)$ with $e \leq ss^{-1}$ for some $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$. But

$$\alpha(es) = (\psi(ess^{-1}e), \sigma(es)) = (f,g)$$

so α is surjective. If α is injective, ψ must be. Suppose S is E-unitary and ψ is injective. Then α is idempotent separating, so it suffices to show α is idempotent pure. But if $\alpha(s) = (\psi(ss^{-1}), \sigma(s))$ is an idempotent, then $\sigma(s) = 1$ and hence $s \in E(S)$.

To prove 2, consider

(3.3)
$$\beta(e,g)\beta(f,h) = e\rho(g)f\rho(h).$$

Since $g^{-1}e$ is defined $e \leq \rho(g)\rho(g)^{-1}$, whence the righthand side of (3.3) is

(3.4)
$$\rho(g)\rho(g)^{-1}e\rho(g)f\rho(h) = \rho(g)(g^{-1}e)f\rho(h)$$

But since $g(g^{-1}e)$ is defined and the domain of g is an order ideal, $g((g^{-1}e)f)$ is defined whence $(g^{-1}e)f \leq \rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)$. This lets us transform the righthand side of (3.4) into

$$\rho(g)(g^{-1}e)f\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)\rho(h) = g((g^{-1}e)f)\rho(g)\rho(h).$$

Observe that $g^{-1}e \leq \rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)$ and $f \leq \rho(h)\rho(h)^{-1}$ (recall: $h^{-1}e$ is defined) imply

(3.5)
$$g((g^{-1}e)f) \leq \rho(g)(\rho(g)^{-1}\rho(g)\rho(h)\rho(h)^{-1})\rho(g)^{-1} = \rho(g)\rho(h)(\rho(g)\rho(h))^{-1}.$$

Denote the left hand side of (3.5) by q. Using $\rho(g)\rho(h) \leq \rho(gh)$, we see

$$q
ho(g)
ho(h) = qig(
ho(g)
ho(h)ig)ig(
ho(g)
ho(h)ig)^{-1}
ho(gh) = q
ho(gh).$$

On the other hand, (e,g)(f,h) = (q,gh), so β is a homomorphism. To see that β is idempotent separating, first observe that $\rho(1)$ is an idempotent and, for $e \in E(S)$, $\psi(e) \leq \rho(1)$ by Proposition 3.1 (1). Hence $\beta(\psi(e), 1) = \psi(e)\rho(1) = \psi(e)$. We now deduce 3 since $\beta\alpha(e) = \beta(\psi(e), 1)$.

As an immediate corollary, we have:

COROLLARY 3.3. Let (ψ, ρ) be a compatible pair. Set $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$. Then φ is a homomorphism; in fact, $\varphi = \beta \alpha$ where α is a surjective, maximal group image preserving homomorphism and β is idempotent separating with *E*-unitary domain.

Note that Theorem 3.2 shows that to prove the *P*-theorem, we just need to show that given an *E*-unitary inverse semigroup *S*, there is a compatible pair (ψ, ρ) with ψ an injective homomorphism. We shall soon see that such a pair can be constructed from any idempotent separating congruence on *S*.

LEMMA 3.4. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be a homomorphism.

- 1. $s_1 \sigma_S s_2 \implies \varphi(s_1) \sigma_T \varphi(s_2)$.
- 2. $s \sim t \implies \varphi(s) \sim \varphi(t)$.

PROOF: For 1, if $u \leq s_1, s_2$, then $\varphi(u) \leq \varphi(s_1), \varphi(s_2)$ so $\varphi(s_1) \sigma_T \varphi(s_2)$.

For 2, st^{-1} , $s^{-1}t \in E(S)$, implies $\varphi(s)\varphi(t)^{-1} = \varphi(st^{-1}) \in E(T)$ and, dually, $\varphi(s)^{-1}\varphi(t) \in E(T)$.

COROLLARY 3.5. Let $\alpha : S \to I$ and $\beta : I \to T$ be homomorphisms of inverse semigroups with I E-unitary. Then, for $g \in G(S)$, $\beta\alpha(\sigma^{-1}(g))$ is compatible.

PROOF: By Lemma 3.4 (1), $\alpha(\sigma^{-1}(g))$ is contained in a single σ_I -class. Since *I* is *E*-unitary, it follows $\alpha(\sigma^{-1}(g))$ is compatible whence, by Lemma 3.4 (2), $\beta\alpha(\sigma^{-1}(g))$ is compatible.

In particular, $\varphi = \beta \alpha$, constructed above, sends σ_S -classes to compatible subsets.

We now state a lemma which is useful in constructing compatible pairs.

LEMMA 3.6. Suppose $\varphi: S \to T$ is a homomorphism and $\rho: G(S) \to T$ is a dual prehomomorphism such that $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$ for all $s \in S$. Then (ψ, ρ) is a compatible pair, where $\psi = \varphi|_{E(S)}$, and $\varphi = \beta \alpha$; that is, $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$ for all $s \in S$.

PROOF: Since $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$, the following equalities hold:

1.
$$\rho(\sigma(s))\varphi(s)^{-1}\varphi(s) = \varphi(s);$$

2.
$$\varphi(s)^{-1}\varphi(s)\rho(\sigma(s))^{-1} = \varphi(s)^{-1}$$

3. $\varphi(s) = \varphi(s)\varphi(s)^{-1}\rho(\sigma(s)).$

By taking products of the corresponding sides of 1 and 2, we obtain

$$\rho(\sigma(s))\psi(s^{-1}s)\rho(\sigma(s))^{-1}=\psi(ss^{-1}),$$

verifying (3.1). To complete the proof, observe that 3 states precisely that $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$.

We now define an ordering on compatible pairs; the definition is motivated by Lemma 3.7 below. For compatible pairs (ψ_1, ρ_1) , (ψ_2, ρ_2) (where $\psi_i : E(S) \to E(T)$, $\rho_i : G(S) \to T$, i = 1, 2), we write $(\psi_1, \rho_1) \leq (\psi_2, \rho_2)$ if $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ and $\rho_1(g) \leq \rho_2(g)$ for all $g \in G(S)$. This is clearly a partial order on the set of compatible pairs.

LEMMA 3.7. Suppose $(\psi_1, \rho_1) \leq (\psi_2, \rho_2)$. Then both pairs induce the same factorisation $\beta \alpha : S \to T$. Furthermore, for any compatible pairs (ψ_1, ρ_1) , (ψ_2, ρ_2) with $\psi_1(ss^{-1})\rho_1(\sigma(s)) = \psi_2(ss^{-1})\rho_2(\sigma(s))$, $\psi_1 = \psi_2$.

PROOF: Let us write the associated factorisations as $\beta_1 \alpha_1$ and $\beta_2 \alpha_2$. Also, let $\psi = \psi_1 = \psi_2$. First we show the actions are the same. Let $e \leq s^{-1}s$ with $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$. Then

$$\rho_1(g)\psi(e)\rho_1(g)^{-1} = \psi(ses^{-1}) = \rho_2(g)\psi(e)\rho_2(g)^{-1}$$

by Proposition 3.1 (2). It follows now that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ so we may drop the subscripts. Since α is onto, to show $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ it suffices to show $\beta_1 \alpha = \beta_2 \alpha$. Now $\psi(ss^{-1}) \leq \rho_1(\sigma(s))\rho_1(\sigma(s))^{-1}$ by Proposition 3.1 (1). So

$$\beta_2 \alpha(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho_2(\sigma(s)) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho_1(\sigma(s))\rho_1(\sigma(s))^{-1}\rho_2(\sigma(s)) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho_1(\sigma(s)) = \beta_1 \alpha(s),$$

the penultimate equality following because $\rho_1(\sigma(s)) \leq \rho_2(\sigma(s))$.

The last statement follow from Proposition 3.1 (3).

3.2. THE COMPLETE, INFINITELY DISTRIBUTIVE CASE We begin with the case T is complete and infinitely distributive. This theorem is inspired by Lawson and Kellendonk's rendition [3] of the *P*-theorem in terms of partial group actions (which, in turn, was inspired by Schein's and Munn's proofs of the *P*-theorem [10, 7]). Fix a homomorphism $\varphi: S \to T$ of inverse semigroups such that each σ_S -class is sent to a compatible subset and such that T is complete and infinitely distributive.

LEMMA 3.8. Define $\rho: G(S) \to T$ by

$$\rho(g) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g)).$$

Then ρ is a dual prehomomorphism.

164

[8]

PROOF: Indeed,

(3.6)
$$\rho(g_1)\rho(g_2) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g_1)) \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g_2)) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g_1)) \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g_2))$$

by [4, Proposition 1.4.20]. Since $\sigma^{-1}(g_1)\sigma^{-1}(g_2) \subseteq \sigma^{-1}(g_1g_2)$, it follows that the r._b....and side of (3.6) is less than $\bigvee \sigma^{-1}(g_1g_2) = \rho(g_1g_2)$.

Also, $\rho(g^{-1}) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g^{-1})) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g))^{-1} = \rho(g)^{-1}$ since inversion preserves joins.

THEOREM 3.9. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be a homomorphism of inverse semigroups such that each σ_S -class is sent to a compatible subset and T is complete and infinitely distributive. Then there is a unique minimal compatible pair $\psi: E(S) \to E(T), \rho: G(S) \to T$ such that $\varphi = \beta \alpha$ (constructed as above). Also $\beta \alpha$ is the unique factorisation as a maximal group image preserving homomorphism followed by an idempotent separating homomorphism with the domain of β being E-unitary.

PROOF: Define ρ as above and let $\psi = \varphi|_{E(S)}$. Then, since $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$, (ψ, ρ) is compatible and $\varphi = \beta \alpha$ by Lemma 3.6.

It remains to prove the uniqueness statements. Suppose (ψ', ρ') is another compatible pair with $\varphi(s) = \psi'(ss^{-1})\rho'(\sigma(s))$; then $\psi = \psi'$ by Lemma 3.7. Now, for $g \in G$, $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g), \varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho'(g) \leq \rho'(g)$. Thus

$$\rho(g) = \bigvee \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g)) \leqslant \rho'(g)$$

whence $(\psi, \rho) \leq (\psi', \rho')$ as desired.

As to the uniqueness of α and β , it suffices to show that the congruence determined by α is unique. We proceed by examining the trace and kernel. Suppose \equiv is a congruence such that the projection to S/\equiv preserves the maximal group image, S/\equiv is *E*-unitary, and the projection from S/\equiv to *T* is idempotent separating. Then $tr(\equiv) = tr(\varphi)$. Suppose $s \in \ker \equiv$, then $s \sigma_S e \in E(S)$ since $\equiv \subseteq \sigma_S$. Conversely, if $s \sigma_S e \in E(S)$, then $s \sigma_{S/\equiv} e$ whence, since S/\equiv is *E*-unitary, $s \in \ker \equiv$. Thus $\sigma^{-1}(1) = \ker \equiv$. But $tr(\alpha) = tr(\varphi)$ and ker $\alpha = \sigma^{-1}(1)$, so \equiv is induced by α .

Theorem 1.2 now follows since I(X) is complete.

3.3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 We are now prepared to prove Theorem 1.1. That 4 implies 5 is Lemma 3.6 (since $\psi = \varphi|_{E(S)}$) while 5 implies 4 follows from the calculation $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s)) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$. That 5 implies 3 is the content of Corollary 3.3 once we show that the range of β is contained in T (viewed as a subsemigroup of C(T)). But since α is onto and $\beta \alpha = \varphi$, the image of β is contained in T; 3 implies 2 is obvious; 2 implies 1 follows from Lemma 3.4. For 1 implies 4, we view $\varphi : S \to T$ as a homomorphism $\varphi' : S \to C(T)$. Then, by Theorem 3.9, we can find a compatible pair $\psi : E(S) \to E(C(T))$ and $\rho : G(S) \to C(T)$ such that $\varphi(s) = \varphi'(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s)) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$.

The uniqueness statements follow from Theorem 3.9.

3.4. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.3 Suppose $\varphi: I \to S$ is an *E*-unitary cover such that G = G(I). Then, by Theorem 1.1 (4), there exists a dual prehomomorphism $\rho: G \to C(S)$ such that $\varphi(t) \leq \rho(\sigma(t))$. Since φ is onto, the result follows.

Suppose now that $\rho: G \to C(S)$ is a dual prehomomorphism such that, for each $s \in S, s \leq \rho(g)$ some $g \in G$. Define

$$(3.7) I = \{(s,g) \in S \times G \mid s \leq \rho(g)\}.$$

Then, since $\rho(1)$ is idempotent, $E(I) = E(S) \times 1$ whence the projection to G is idempotent pure and the projection to S is idempotent separating.

4. F-INVERSE MONOIDS

We now specialise our results to the case of *F*-inverse monoids. An inverse semigroup S is an *F*-inverse monoid if each σ_S -class has a maximum; such a semigroup must be a monoid and *E*-unitary.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $\varphi: S \to T$ be a homomorphism of inverse semigroups. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1. The image under φ of each σ_S -class of S has a maximum;
- 2. $\varphi = \beta \alpha$ with $\alpha : S \to I$ a surjective, maximal group image preserving homomorphism, $\beta : I \to T$ idempotent separating, and I an F-inverse monoid;
- 3. There is a compatible pair $\psi : E(S) \to E(T)$, $\rho : G(S) \to T$ such that $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$, and, for each $g \in G(S)$, there exists $f \in \psi(E(S))$ which is maximum such that $g^{-1}f$ is defined.
- 4. There is a dual prehomomorphism $\rho: G(S) \to T$ such that $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$ all $s \in S$ and $\rho(g) \in \varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g))$.

Moreover, α and β are unique, there is a unique minimal choice of (ψ, ρ) , and I is the *P*-semigroup $P_{(\psi,\rho)}$ associated to (ψ, ρ) .

PROOF: For 4 implies 3, Lemma 3.6 gives that (ψ, ρ) , where $\psi = \varphi|_{E(S)}$, is a compatible pair and $\varphi(s) = \psi(ss^{-1})\rho(\sigma(s))$. Suppose $\rho(g) = \varphi(s)$ with $s \in \sigma^{-1}(g)$; then if $g^{-1}f$ is defined, $f \leq \rho(g)\rho(g)^{-1} = \psi(ss^{-1})$ so the second condition of 3 is satisfied. For 3 implies 2, it suffices to show that $P_{(\psi,\rho)}$ is an *F*-inverse monoid. But if $f \in \psi(E)$ is maximum with $g^{-1}f$ defined, then (f,g) is maximum in the $\sigma_{P_{(\psi,\rho)}}$ -class of g. For 2 implies 1, let A be a σ_S -class. Then, by Lemma 3.4 (1), $\alpha(A)$ is contained in a σ_I -class. But since α is surjective, maximal group image preserving, $\alpha(A)$ must, in fact, be a σ_I class. Let $t = \max(\alpha(A))$; we claim $\beta(t) = \max(\varphi(A))$ (note: $\beta(t) \in \varphi(A)$). Indeed, if $r \in \varphi(A)$, then $r = \beta\alpha(s)$ with $s \in A$ and $\alpha(s) \leq t$ whence $r \leq \beta(t)$. For 1 implies 4, define $\rho(g) = \max(\varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g)))$. The uniqueness statements follow from Theorem 1.1 and observing that the minimal choice of ρ is given by $\rho(g) = \max(\varphi(\sigma^{-1}(g)))$.

We note that Theorem 4.1 applies if S is F-inverse since if $\theta : G(S) \to S$ is defined by $\theta(g) = \max(\sigma^{-1}(g))$, then $\varphi(s) \leq \rho(\sigma(s))$ where $\rho = \varphi\theta$ and $\rho(g) = \varphi(\theta(g))$. Thus every homomorphism from an F-inverse monoid factors as an idempotent pure homomorphism onto an F-inverse monoid followed by an idempotent separating homomorphism, a result of Munn and Reilly [8]. Since free inverse monoids are F-inverse, any homomorphism from a free inverse monoid can be coordinatised in terms of a semilattice homomorphism from the subsemilattice of elements represented by Dyck words and a dual prehomomorphism from a free group.

4.1. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.4 Suppose $\varphi: I \to S$ is an *F*-inverse cover such that G = G(I). Then, by Theorem 4.1, there exists a dual prehomomorphism $\rho: G \to S$ such that $\varphi(t) \leq \rho(\sigma(t))$. Since φ is onto, the result follows.

Suppose now that $\rho: G \to S$ is a dual prehomomorphism such that, for each $s \in S$, $s \leq \rho(g)$ for some $g \in G$ and let I be as in (3.7). Then, as in the proof of Corollary 1.3, I is an inverse semigroup and the projection to S is idempotent separating. But clearly $(\rho(g), g)$ is the maximum element projection to g, so S is an F-inverse monoid.

4.2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5 Suppose S is an X-generated inverse monoid and let FG(X) be a free group on X. Define $\rho : FG(X) \to S$ by taking a reduced word w to its equivalence class in S. Since deleting subwords of the form xx^{-1} takes you up in the natural partial order, it easily follows that ρ is a dual prehomomorphism and that if $s \in S$ is represented by u, then $s \leq \rho(w)$ where w is the reduction of u. The result follows from Corollary 1.4.

References

- J.C. Birget and J. Rhodes, 'Group theory via global semigroup theory', J. Algebra 120 (1989), 284-300.
- R. Exel, 'Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 3481-3494.
- [3] J. Kellendonk and M.V. Lawson, 'Partial actions of groups', (preprint, 2000).
- [4] M.V. Lawson, Inverse semigroups: The theory of partial symmetries (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
- [5] D.B. McAlister, 'Groups, semilattices and inverse semigroups II', Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 196 (1974), 231-251.
- [6] D.B. McAlister and N.R. Reilly, 'E-unitary covers for inverse semigroups', Pacific J. Math. 68 (1977), 161-174.
- [7] W.D. Munn, 'A note on E-unitary inverse semigroups', Bull. London Math. Soc. 8 (1976), 75-80.
- [8] W.D. Munn and N.R. Reilly, 'E-unitary congruences on inverse semigroups', Glasgow Math. J. 17 (1976), 57-75.

B. Steinberg

- [9] B.M. Schein, 'Completions, translational hulls, and ideal extensions of inverse semigroups', Czech. J. Math. 23 (1973), 575-610.
- [10] B.M. Schein, 'A new proof of the McAlister P-theorem', Semigroup Forum 10 (1975), 185-188.
- [11] B. Steinberg, 'Partial actions of groups on cell complexes', (Tech. Rep. University of Porto 1999).

Departmento de Matemática Pura Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto 4099-002 Porto Portugal e-mail: bsteinbg@agc0.fc.up.pt

168