## REVIEW

## Extra-vaginal infection caused by Gardnerella vaginalis

BY A. P. JOHNSON AND Y. L. BOUSTOULLER

Division of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, MRC Clinical Research Centre, Watford Road, Harrow, Middlesex HA1 3UJ

(Accepted 1 October 1986)

### INTRODUCTION

For the past 30 years there has been controversy concerning the role of Gardnerella vaginalis in the actiology and pathogenesis of bacterial vaginosis (BV). a non-inflammatory condition characterized by the presence of a malodorous vaginal discharge, an elevated vaginal pH value and the presence of epithelial cells covered with cocco-bacilli (clue cells) in vaginal smears. The clinical features of BV were described in 1955 by Gardner & Dukes, who claimed that the condition was caused by infection with a hitherto unclassified bacterium which they named Haemophilus vaginalis, but which has since been re-classified as G. vaginalis (Greenwood & Pickett. 1980). Their conclusion that G. vaginalis was the cause of BV was based on their isolation of the organism from 92% of patients with BV, but not from women without this condition. The role played by G. vaginalis in the actiology of BV has become less clear, however, with the subsequent finding that it may be isolated from the normal vaginal flora if sensitive culture techniques are employed (Hill, Eschenbach & Holmes, 1984). Quantitative studies of the vaginal microflora have shown that there is a significant increase in the number of G, vaginalis organisms in the genital tract of women with BV compared to women not suffering from this complaint. However, as there is also a relative increase in the anaerobic vaginal flora and the number of Mycoplasma hominis organisms in women with BV (Hill, Eschenbach & Holmes, 1984), the role of G. vaginalis is still unclear. Chen and colleagues (1979) suggested that a symbiotic relationship existed in the vagina between G. vaginalis and other organisms associated with BV, since in vitro, G. vaginalis produced high concentrations of pyruvic and amino acids which could be metabolized by these organisms. Chen et al. (1979) also identified the various amines present in vaginal fluids from BV patients which probably account for the characteristic malodour and showed that they could be produced in vitro during the growth of mixed vaginal bacteria, but not by G. vaginalis alone. Clearly the signs and symptoms of BV correlate with significant changes in the ecology of the vaginal flora, but the role that G. vaginalis, anaerobic bacteria and M. hominis play in the initiation and development of the disease is still unclear.

The finding that smears and biopsies obtained from women with BV show little or no evidence of vaginal inflammation or tissue damage tends to suggest that G. vaginalis and the other organisms associated with this condition are not invasive. There is, however, increasing evidence that G. vaginalis may be isolated from

## A. P. JOHNSON AND Y. L. BOUSTOULLER

extra-vaginal sites such as the blood stream, endometrium, chorioamnion and urinary tract, and that it may be involved in complications of pregnancy, such as pre-term labour.

## ISOLATION FROM THE BLOODSTREAM

Reports of the isolation of G. vaginalis from the bloodstream are listed in Table 1. The majority of cases occurred in obstetric patients, which indicates that G. vaginalis is not intrinsically virulent, but is an opportunistic pathogen, spreading to the bloodstream following trauma to the tissues of the genital tract. The one case of bacteraemia seen in an adult male patient occurred after transurethral prostatectomy (Patrick & Garnett, 1978). It is of interest to note that in many patients with G. vaginalis bacteraemia, other organisms found in the female lower genital tract were also isolated from blood cultures, which further suggests that the bacteraemia reflects spread of the vaginal flora into areas of tissue damage with subsequent spread into the bloodstream. The low inherent virulence of G. vaginalis was commented on by some authors, who noted that most bacteraemic patients recovered, even if appropriate anti-microbial therapy was not given (Reimer & Reller, 1984) and that there was no evidence of patients developing meningitis, endocarditis or other metastatic septic complications (Venkatramani & Rathbun, 1976).

One group of workers have suggested that the incidence of bacteraemia caused by G. vaginalis may be higher than the number of reported cases would indicate (Reimer & Reller, 1985). These workers found that sodium polyanetholesulfonate, an anticoagulant routinely added to most blood culture media, inhibits growth of G. vaginalis unless gelatin is also present. The apparently benign course of G. vaginalis bacteraemia, coupled with a failure to culture the organism on blood culture media, clearly suggests that many cases may not be recognized.

#### ISOLATION FROM THE ENDOMETRIUM

In the late 1950s Edmunds (1959) reported an association between the isolation of *G. vaginalis* from high vaginal swabs and the presence of puerperal pyrexia and leucorrhoea. Subsequently, several workers have reported the isolation of *G. vaginalis* from endometrial samples from women suffering from post-partum or post-caesarian-section infections and septic abortion (Hegamey & Schoenknecht, 1973; Monif & Baer, 1974). Most recently, Eschenbach and colleagues (1984) reported a study of 101 patients with clinical signs of endometritis. BV-associated organisms were isolated from endometrial samples from 61 patients, with *G. vaginalis* being the most commonly isolated species (38 patients). These findings led the authors to suggest that BV may contribute to post-partum infection morbidity.

### ISOLATION FROM THE URINARY TRACT

The male urethra. In view of the fact that G. vaginalis occurs in the lower genital tract of women, it is not surprising that several groups of workers have reported the isolation of G. vaginalis from urethral swabs or mid-stream urine samples from men, particularly those who are the sexual partners of infected or colonized women

	Total	No. 0	of patients in indice	ated categor	y	No. of patients with
Reference	no. or patients	Obstetrics*	Gynaecological	Neonatal	Surgical	porymiteroutar bacteraemia
Adeniyi-Jones et al. (1980)						
Carney (1973)	4	e	ł	1	1	-
Eschenbach et al. (1984)	8	8	I	I		ł
LaScolea et al. (1984)	1	-	ł	I	1	1
Monif & Baer (1974)	13	13	ł	1	I	7
Patrick & Garnett (1978)	Ŧ	1	1	1	1	ļ
Platt (1971)	9	ę	1	က	ł	ł
Regamey & Schoenknecht (1973)	Ţ	Ţ	1	ł	I	l
Reimer & Reller (1984)	30	25	5 C			16
Rotheram & Schick (1969)	Ð	5	1	l	I	4
Venkataramani & Rathbun (1976)	29	22	5	4	1	ł
Vontner & Eschenbach (1981)	14	14	ł	1	I	ļ

\* Includes past-partum endometritis, post-partum rever, cnorroan

Table 1. Reports of bacteraemia caused by Gardnerella vaginalis

Reference	Study population	No. patients culture-positive/ no. patients tested
Abercrombie et al. (1978)	Man with cystitis	1/1
Birch et al. (1981)	Renal allograft recipients	7/123
Fairley & Birch (1983)	Patients with urinary-tract disease	22/190
Fairley & Birch (1983)	Healthy women without history of urinary-tract disease	2/35
McDonald et al. (1982)	Patients with symptoms of urinary tract infection	7/101
McDowall et al. (1981)	Pregnant women with renal disease	26/44
McDowall et al. (1981)	Asymptomatic pregnant women	9/50
McFadyen & Eykyn (1968)	Asymptomatic pregnant women	159/1000
Savige et al. (1983)	Women with acute urinary symptoms	5/40

### Table 2. Isolation of Gardnerella vaginalis from bladder urine

(Leopold, 1953; Gardner & Dukes, 1955; Dawson *et al.* 1982). This finding, and the observation that many women whose sexual partners are untreated become re-infected, clearly indicate that *G. vaginalis* may be transmitted from person to person by sexual contact. The clinical significance of urethral colonization with *G. vaginalis* is, however, not completely clear. Leopold (1953) reported that all the men from whose urines he isolated a Gram-negative rod (which from the description he gave appeared to be *G. vaginalis*) had symptoms of mild to moderate prostatitis. In a more recent study, however, Dawson and colleagues (1982) showed that there was not an association between urethral carriage of *G. vaginalis* and symptoms of urethritis.

The bladder. Reported isolations of G. vaginalis from the bladder are listed in Table 2. The isolation of G. vaginalis from the bladder was first described by McFadyen & Eykyn (1968), who reported its recovery from supra-pubic aspirates from 159 of 1000 healthy pregnant women. A similar finding was subsequently reported by McDowell et al. (1981), who isolated G. vaginalis from 18% of healthy pregnant women and 58% of pregnant women with underlying renal disease. These authors were unsure as to the clinical significance of finding G. vaginalis in bladder urine, since the organisms were not usually associated with pyuria in asymptomatic pregnant women, most of whom remained asymptomatic despite not being treated for bacteriuria. Rather, these authors felt that the presence of these and other fastidious bacteria in the bladder may indicate an increased susceptibility of patients to bacterial invasion of the lower urinary tract, and may, in some cases, indicate the presence of underlying renal disease. In a more recent study (Fairley & Birch, 1983), however, the interesting observation was made that bladder aspirates from women who were culture-positive for G. vaginalis showed squamous epithelial cells with numerous adherent bacteria which appeared to resemble closely the 'clue' cells seen in BV. These workers suggested that G. vaginalis may adhere readily to both squamous epithelium in the vagina and squamous epithelium, which covers the floor of the urethra and the bladder trigone in post-pubertal females. Such an ability to adhere to squamous mucosal surfaces might provide an effective mode of spread from the vagina to the urinary tract.

Extra-vaginal infection caused by Gardnerella vaginalis: a review 135

Since similar areas of squamous epithelium are not seen in the bladder of males, this concept may also possibly go some way towards explaining the far greater rate of isolation of G. vaginalis from the bladders of women as compared to men.

Another finding of interest that has been made in studies with renal transplant patients (Birch, D'apice & Fairley, 1981), patients with urinary symptoms (McDonald *et al.* 1982; Savige, Birch & Fairley, 1983) and pregnant women (McDowall *et al.* 1981) is that *G. vaginalis* was frequently found in bladder urine in conjunction with *Ureaplasma urealyticum*. Clearly, the possibility that interaction between these and possibly other micro-organisms may assist in their becoming established in the urinary tract is an area worthy of further study.

#### Isolation from semen

There have been two reported studies on the isolation of G. vaginalis from semen. In one study (Ison & Easmon, 1985), 22 of 53 men (38%) attending an infertility clinic produced semen samples from which G. vaginalis was isolated. Nine of the samples containing G. vaginalis were also culture-positive for anaerobic bacteria. The prevalence of G. vaginalis and anaerobic bacteria was similar in men with varying sperm counts. By way of contrast, in a subsequent study of 120 men attending an infertility clinic, only one semen sample was culture-positive for G. vaginalis (Naessens et al. 1986).

#### DISCUSSION

Interest in the role of G. vaginalis as a potential human pathogen has centred primarily on its controversial role in the aetiology and pathogenesis of BV. As outlined in this review, however, there is a significant body of evidence which shows that G. vaginalis may spread from the vagina to infect other anatomical sites, in particular the blood stream, endometrium and urinary tract. The finding that endometritis and/or bacteraemia tend to occur during or after parturition suggests that such infections occur as the result of opportunistic spread of G. vaginalis (often with other members of the vaginal microflora) from the vagina. Recent studies have shown that G. vaginalis organisms are resistant in vitro to the bactericidal activity of human serum (Boustouller & Johnson, 1986), which may explain how organisms are able to survive in the bloodstream during bacteraemic episodes. The fact that bacteraemia with G. vaginalis does not appear to persist for prolonged periods may be due to the clearance of the organisms from the bloodstream by phagocytic leucocytes (Easmon et al. 1985). Clearly, there is little evidence to suggest that G. vaginalis is invasive under normal conditions, and it would appear that extra-vaginal infections reflect underlying predisposing host conditions. Nevertheless, G. vaginalis may be associated with extra-vaginal infection, particularly in obstetric patients, more commonly than is generally realized.

#### REFERENCES

ABERCROMBIE, G. F., ALLEN, J. & MASKELL, H. (1978). Corynebacterium vaginale urinary tract infection in a man. Lancet i, 766.

ADENIYI-JONES, C., GROVES, D. J., MANNETHU, A. & RIGHTER, J. (1980). Haemophilus vaginalis bacteremia. Canadian Medical Association Journal 122, 424-426.

- BIRCH, D. F., D'APICE, A. J. F., & FAIRLEY, K. F. (1981). Ureaplasma urealyticum in the upper urinary tracts of renal allograft recipients. Journal of Infectious Diseases 144, 123-127.
- BOUSTOULLER, Y. & JOHNSON, A. P. (1986). Resistance of Gardnerella vaginalis to the bactericidal activity of human serum. Genitourinary Medicine (In the Press.)
- CARNEY, F. E. (1973). Hemophilus vaginalis septicemia. Obstetrics and Gynecology 41, 78-79.
- CHEN, K. C. S., FORSYTHE, P. S., BUCHANAN, T. M. & HOLMES, K. K. (1979). Amine content of vaginal fluid from untreated and treated patients with non-specific vaginitis. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 63, 828–835.
- DAWSON, S. G., ISON, C. A., CSONKA, G. & EASMON, C. S. F. (1982). Male carriage of Gardnerella vaginalis. British Journal of Venereal Disease 58, 243-245.
- EASMON, C. S. F., CLARK, L., CRANE, J. P. & GREEN, R. (1985). Phagocytosis and killing of *Gardnerella vaginalis* by human neutrophils. *Journal of Clinical Pathology* 38, 747-749.
- EDMUNDS, P. N. (1959). Haemophilus vaginalis: Its association with puerperal pyrexia and leucorrhoea. Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 66, 917–926.
- ESCHENBACH, D. A., GRAVETT, M. G., CHEN, K. C. S., HOYME, U. B. & HOLMES, K. K. (1984). Bacterial vaginosis during pregnancy: an association with prematurity and post-partum complications. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology, supplement, 86, 213-222.
- FAIRLEY, K. F. & BIRCH, D. F. (1983). Unconventional bacteria in urinary tract disease: Gardnerella vaginalis. Kidney International 23, 862-865.
- GARDNER, H. L. & DUKES, C. D. (1955). Haemophilus vaginalis vaginitis. A newly defined specific infection previously classified 'non-specific' vaginitis. American Journal of Obstetrics and Cynecology 69, 962-976.
- GREENWOOD, J. H. & PICKETT, M. J. (1980). Transfer of Haemophilus vaginalis Gardner and Dukes to new genus Gardnerella: G. vaginalis (Gardner and Dukes) comb. nov. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 30, 170-178.
- ISON, C. A. & EASMON, C. S. F. (1985). Carriage of Gardnerella vaginalis and anaerobes in semen. Genitourinary medicine 61, 120–123.
- HILL, G. B., ESCHENBACH, D. A. & HOLMES, K. K. (1984). Bacteriology of the vagina. Scandinavian Journal of Urology and Nephrology Supplement 86, 23-39.
- LA SCOLEA, L. J., DRYJA, D. M. & DILLON, W. P. (1984). Recovery of Gardnerella vaginalis from blood by the quantitative direct plating method. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 20, 568-569.
- LEOPOLD, S. (1953). Heretofore undescribed organism isolated from the genitourinary system. U.S. Armed Forces Medical Journal 4, 263-266.
- MCDONALD, M. I., LAM, M. H., BIRCH, D. F., D'ARCY, A. F., FAIRLEY, K. F. & PAVILLARD, E. R. J. (1982). Ureaplasma urealyticum in patients with acute symptoms of urinary tract infection. Journal of Urology 128, 517-519.
- MCDOWALL, D. R. M., BUCHANAN, J. D., FAIRLEY, K. H. & GILBERT, G. L. (1981). Anaerobic and other fastidious microorganisms in asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women. *Journal* of Infectious Diseases 144, 114–122.
- McFADYEN, I. R. & EYKYN, S. J. (1968). Suprapubic aspiration of urine in pregnancy. Lancet i, 1112-1114.
- MONIF, G. R. G., & BAER, H. (1974). Haemophilus (Corynebacterium) vaginalis septicemia. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 120, 1041–1045.
- NAESSENS, A., FOULON, W., DEBRUCKER, P., DEVROEY, P. & LAUWERS, S. (1986). Recovery of microorganisms in semen and relationship to semen evaluation. *Fertility and Sterility* 45, 101-105.
- PATRICK, S. & GARNETT, P. A. (1978). Corynebacterium vaginale bacteraemia in a man. Lancet i, 987-988.
- PLATT, M. S. (1971). Neonatal Haemophilus vaginalis (Corynebacterium vaginale) infection. Clinical Pediatrics 10, 513-516.
- REGAMEY, C. & SCHOENKNECHT, F. D. (1973). Puerperal fever with Haemophilus vaginalis septicemia. Journal of the American Medical Association 225, 1621–1623.
- REIMER, L. G. & RELLER, L. B. (1984). Gardnerella vaginalis bacteremia: A review of thirty cases. Obstetrics and Gynecology 64, 170-172.
- REIMER, L. G. & RELLER, L. B. (1985). Effect of sodium polyanethole sulfonate and gelatin on the recovery of *Gardnerella vaginalis* from blood culture media. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 21, 686–688.
- ROTHERAM, E. B. & SCHICK, S. F. (1969). Non clostridial anaerobic bacteria in septic abortion. American Journal of Medicine 46, 80-89.

# Extra-vaginal infection caused by Gardnerella vaginalis: a review 137

- SAVIGE, J. A., BIRCH, D. F. & FAIRLEY, K. F. (1983). Comparison of mid catheter collection and suprapubic aspiration of urine for diagnosing bacteriuria due to fastidious microorganisms. *Journal of Urology* **129**, 62–63.
- VENKATABAMANI, T. K. & RATHBUN, H. K. (1976). Corynebacterium vaginale (Haemophilus vaginalis) bacteremia: Clinical study of 29 cases. The Johns Hopkins Medical Journal 139, 93-97.
- VONTVER, L. A. & ESCHENBACH, D. A. (1981). The role of Gardnerella vaginalis in non-specific vaginitis. Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology 24, 439-460.