Effect of a high-fat diet on the hepatic expression of nuclear receptors and their target genes: relevance to drug disposition
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Abstract
More than 1·4 billion individuals are overweight or obese worldwide. While complications often require therapeutic intervention, data regarding the impact of obesity on drug disposition are scarce. As the influence of diet-induced obesity on drug transport and metabolic pathways is currently unclear, the objective of the present study was to investigate the effect of high fat feeding for 13 weeks in female Sprague–Dawley rats on the hepatic expression of the nuclear receptors pregnane X receptor (PXR), constitutive androstane receptor (CAR), liver X receptor (LXR) and farnesoid X receptor (FXR) and several of their target genes. We hypothesised that high fat feeding would alter the gene expression of major hepatic transporters through a dysregulation of the expression of the nuclear receptors. The results demonstrated that, along with a significant increase in body fat and weight, a high-fat diet (HFD) induced a significant 2-fold increase in the expression of PXR as well as a 2-, 5- and 2·5-fold increase in the hepatic expression of the PXR target genes Abcc2, Abcb1a and Cyp3a12, respectively (P<0·05). The expression levels of FXR were significantly increased in rats fed a HFD in addition to the increase in the expression levels of FXR target genes Abcb11 and Abcb4. The expression levels of both Lxrα and Lxrβ were slightly but significantly increased in rats fed a HFD, and the expression levels of their target genes Abca1 and Abcg5, but not Abcg8, were significantly increased. The expression of the nuclear receptor CAR was not significantly altered between the groups. This suggests that HFD may induce changes in the hepatobiliary transport and metabolism of endogenous and exogenous compounds.
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Obesity affects more than 500 million individuals and is currently the fifth leading risk of death worldwide1). The clinical importance of this disease stems from its associated complications including liver diseases, metabolic diseases, cardiovascular disease, gastrointestinal problems and musculoskeletal disorders3–6). Therefore, overweight and obese patients are on numerous medications, many of which are cleared through hepatobiliary mechanisms. However, it is plausible that obesity and its related complications may alter the hepatobiliary clearance of endogenous and exogenous compounds. There is a scarcity of information regarding the effect of obesity on hepatic transport and/or metabolic pathways. Previous studies have reported obesity-related alterations in the pharmacokinetics of clinically important drugs such as verapamil, vancomycin and β-lactam antibiotics7), and studies have indicated that anti-retroviral drugs may be less effective in obese patients8). This could be attributed to alterations in the distribution, metabolism and/or transport of the drug. Indeed, increased cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1) activity leading to the increased clearance of chlorzoxazone has been reported in obese patients9). Studies in genetic rodent models of obesity have reported altered expression of hepatic transporters and metabolic enzymes10–13). Studies in human subjects, as well as in diet-induced obesity rodent models, have shown that obesity, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes are associated with a tendency towards increased cholesterol synthesis and decreased absorption, which is associated with an increase in the expression of the cholesterol efflux
transports Abcg5/Abcg8 (ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member 5/8) and Abca1 (ATP-binding cassette subfamily A member 1)(14–17).

In addition to genetic factors, an imbalance between energy intake and expenditure highly contributes to the development of obesity. In particular, the intake of high-fat diets (HFD) seems to be a principal factor(18). Several models of diet-induced obesity using a HFD have been developed in rodents(19). Besides increased weight gain, high fat feeding results in a surplus of fatty acids, which contributes to hepatic lipogenesis in the form of triglycerides (TAG). It is believed that the liver has a limited capacity to store excess TAG, after which steatosis accompanied by cell damage occurs(20). Several inflammatory mediators released during hepatocellular damage, particularly IL-6 and TNF-α(14–17) .

Detoxification pathways (Table 1) (22). Pregnane X receptor seems to be a principal factor (18). Several models of diet-of obesity. In particular, the intake of high-fat diets (HFD) intake and expenditure highly contributes to the development of TAG synthesis(25). In rodents, LXR also induces cytochrome P450 7A1 (Cyp7a1), which metabolises cholesterol to bile expression of the most abundantly expressed microsomal enzyme, CYP3A4 (cytochrome P450 3A4; Cyp3a2 (cytochrome P450 3A2) in rats)(25), as well as a plethora of drug transporters including key members of the ABC family such as P-glycoprotein (Pgp) (ABCB1, ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1) and the multi-drug resistance-associated proteins (ABCC, ATP-binding cassette subfamily C). The constitutive androstane receptor (CAR/NR1H3 (nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group I, member 3)) is another xenobiotic-sensing nuclear receptor, which regulates drug detoxification pathways through the induction of an overlapping set of genes, including Pgp, ABCC2, ABCC3 and CYP2B6 (cytochrome P450 2B6)(25).

Liver X receptor (LXRα/β/NR1H3/2 (nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 3/2)) is a main regulator of cholesterol metabolism, and has recently been shown to play a role in the pathogenesis of inflammation(24). Oxysterols, the oxygenated derivatives of cholesterol, activate LXR, thereby promoting the clearance of cholesterol through the induction of several transporters including ABCA1, ABCG1 (ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member 1), ABCG5/ABCG8 as well as the sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1 that promotes TAG synthesis(25). In rodents, LXR also induces cytochrome P450 7A1 (Cyp7a1), which metabolises cholesterol to bile

### Table 1. Nuclear receptors and their target genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclear receptors</th>
<th>Target genes</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PXR (NR1I2)</strong></td>
<td>Abcb1</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Anger &amp; Piquette-Miller(40), Chen et al.(56), Geick et al(56), Tirona &amp; Kim(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcc2</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Anger &amp; Piquette-Miller(40), Kast et al.(59), Chen et al.(55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcc3</td>
<td>Anger &amp; Piquette-Miller(40), Tirona &amp; Kim(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcc2</td>
<td>Chen et al.(55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slco1a4</td>
<td>Chen et al.(55), Tirona &amp; Kim(57), Maglich et al(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyp3a</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Chen et al.(55), Tirona &amp; Kim(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyp2b</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Chen et al.(55), Tirona &amp; Kim(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyp2c</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Chen et al.(55), Tirona &amp; Kim(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAR (NR1I3)</strong></td>
<td>Abcb1</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Chen et al.(55), Burk et al.(59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcc1</td>
<td>Chen et al.(55), Maglich et al(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcc2</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Anger &amp; Piquette-Miller(43), Chen et al.(56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcc3</td>
<td>Anger &amp; Piquette-Miller(43), Chen et al.(56), Tirona &amp; Kim(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcc4</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Chen et al.(55), Tirona &amp; Kim(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcc5</td>
<td>Chen et al.(55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyp3a</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Chen et al.(55), Tirona &amp; Kim(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyp2b</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Chen et al.(55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyp2c</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Chen et al.(55), Tirona &amp; Kim(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyp1a</td>
<td>Chen et al.(55), Maglich et al(58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FXR (NR1H4)</strong></td>
<td>Abcc2</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcc11</td>
<td>Urquhart et al.(22), Tirona &amp; Kim(57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcb4</td>
<td>Kalaiyan &amp; Mangelsdorff(56), Tirona &amp; Kim(57), Yin et al(60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcc2</td>
<td>Kalaiyan &amp; Mangelsdorff(56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyp7a</td>
<td>Kalaiyan &amp; Mangelsdorff(56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHP</td>
<td>Calkin &amp; Tontonoz(51), Lefevbre et al(52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slco1a1</td>
<td>Kalaiyan &amp; Mangelsdorff(56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LXRα (NR1H3)</strong></td>
<td>Abca1</td>
<td>Kalaiyan &amp; Mangelsdorff(56), Yin et al(60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abcg5/Abcg8</td>
<td>Kalaiyan &amp; Mangelsdorff(56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Srebp-1c</td>
<td>Kalaiyan &amp; Mangelsdorff(56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PXR, pregnane X receptor; NR1I2, nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group I, member 2; Abcb1, ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1; Abcc2, ATP-binding cassette subfamily C member 2; Abcc3, ATP-binding cassette subfamily C member 3; Abcc2, ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member 2; Slco1a4, solute carrier organic anion transporter family, member 1a4; Cyp3a, cytochrome P450 3A; Cyp2b, cytochrome P450 2B; Cyp2c, cytochrome P450 2C; CAR, constitutive androstane receptor; NR1H3, nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group I, member 3; Abcc1, ATP-binding cassette subfamily C member 1; Abcc4, ATP-binding cassette subfamily C member 4; Abcc5, ATP-binding cassette subfamily C member 5; Cyp7a, cytochrome P450 1A; NR1H4, nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 4; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; Abcb11, ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 11; Abcb4, ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 4; Cyp7a, cytochrome P450 7A; SHP, small heterodimer partner; Slco1a1, solute carrier organic anion transporter family, member 10a1; LXRα, liver X receptor α; NR1H3, nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 3; Abca1, ATP-binding cassette subfamily A member 1; Abcg5/Abcg8, ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member 5/8; Srebp-1c, sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1.
acids. Farnesoid X receptor (FXR/NR1H4 (nuclear receptor subfamily 0, group H, member 4)) is a master regulator of bile acid homeostasis, responding to intracellular bile acid concentrations by promoting bile acid and phospholipid biliary secretion through the induction of the bile salt export pump (ABCB11 (ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 11)) and ABCB4 (ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 4), respectively. FXR activation also indirectly suppresses the Na-dependent taurocholate co-transporting protein (SLC10A1 (solute carrier family 10 (Na/bile acid co-transporter), member 1)) (solute carrier family 10 (Na/bile acid co-transporter), member 4), respectively. FXR activation also indirectly suppresses the Na-dependent taurocholate co-transporting protein (SLC10A1 (solute carrier family 10 (Na/bile acid co-transporter), member 4)), mediating uptake of bile into the liver through activation of the small heterodimer partner (SHP/NR0B2 (nuclear receptor subfamily 0, group B, member 2)) (26).

As the involvement of nuclear receptors in regulating drug transporters and enzyme activity in diet-induced obesity is currently unclear, the objective of the present study was to investigate the effect of a HFD on the hepatic expression of the nuclear receptors PXR, CAR, LXRA and FXR and several of their target genes (Table 1). We hypothesised that high fat feeding would alter the hepatic gene expression of major transporters through a dysregulation of the expression of the nuclear receptors.

### Experimental methods

#### Animals

Female Sprague–Dawley rats aged 6 weeks were purchased from Charles River. The rats were individually caged in a temperature- and humidity-controlled 12 h light–12 h dark cycle, and allowed free access to food and water. The experiments were conducted in accordance with the Canadian Council on Animal Care. Female rats were randomised into two groups, either fed a HFD or a standard diet (SD) for a period of 13 weeks. The HFD consisted of 42.8% energy from fat, 38.5% energy from carbohydrate and 18.7% energy from protein in the form of a pellet (TD 06092; Harlan Teklad). The SD consisted of 12.5% energy from fat, 63.2% energy from carbohydrate and 24.3% energy from protein in the form of a pellet of standard rat chow (5075; Charles River). Weight and food intake were monitored three times per week.

#### Blood and tissue collection

On week 13, the rats were killed between 09.00 and 12.00 hours, depending on the time at which food was removed. All food was removed from the cages at least 2–3 h before

### Table 2. Quantitative PCR primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>GenBank accession no.</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-Actin</td>
<td>NM_031144.2</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-GTCACCCGGCCGAGTGACA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abcg5</td>
<td>NM_053754.2</td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-GTCATCCATGGGACACTGTTGG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abcb4</td>
<td>NM_012690.1</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-ATGCACATGGTGCTGGCAGCTGTC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abca1</td>
<td>NM_178095.2</td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-CTTGATCCGTGAGCTATGTC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abcb1a</td>
<td>NM_133401.1</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-GCCACACAAAGGCCACCATGTA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abcc2</td>
<td>NM_012833.1</td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-AACCACCAACACCTGCAA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abcb3</td>
<td>NM_005811.1</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-GGAGGCTTACGCGACACAGATGTA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abcb11</td>
<td>NM_031760.1</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-GCCAAATTCGCCGTCTATGTA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FXR (NR1H2)</td>
<td>NM_052980.2</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-GCTCGTGTGACCGCTGTTGAA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp7a1</td>
<td>NM_012942.1</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-GTCGGAAGAGGACCTTGGACACA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LXRα (NR1H3)</td>
<td>NM_031627.2</td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-GCATCTCCCTGGAGGTGTGGTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LXRβ (NR1H2)</td>
<td>NM_031626.1</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-GCCACAGATGGGACCAGCTCA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FXR (NR1H4)</td>
<td>NM_021745.1</td>
<td>Reverse: 5'-GCCAGTGGCGACACAGAT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHP (NR0B2)</td>
<td>BC088117</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-GTCATCCAGTGACTGCTTGGACACA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>NM_017096.3</td>
<td>Forward: 5'-ACCTCAATGGCCGCAGCTTCCA-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abcg5, ATP-binding cassette subfamily G member 5; Abcb4, ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 4; Abcc3, ATP-binding cassette subfamily C member 3; Abcc2, ATP-binding cassette subfamily C member 2; Abcc1a, ATP-binding cassette subfamily A member 1; Abcb2, ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 2; Abcc2, ATP-binding cassette subfamily C member 2; Abcb3, ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 11; PXR, pregnane X receptor; NR1H2, nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group I, member 2; Cyp7a1, cytochrome P450 7A1; LXRα, liver X receptor α; NR1H3, nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 3; LXRβ, liver X receptor β; NR1H2, nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 2; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; NR1H4, nuclear receptor subfamily 1, group H, member 4; SHP, small heterodimer partner; NR0B2, nuclear receptor subfamily 0, group B, member 2; CRP, C-reactive protein.
sacrificing. After complete anaesthesia with isoflurane, the abdominal cavity was opened and approximately 5 ml of blood were withdrawn from the abdominal vena cava into 15 % EDTA pre-treated tubes. To collect plasma samples, whole blood was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C (Allegra 6R Centrifuge; Beckman Coulter) and then immediately stored at −80°C. The liver median lobe was weighed, freeze-clamped and stored at −80°C for further use. Fat depots were collected from the urogenital, retroperitoneal and subcutaneous regions.

**Blood chemistry**

Plasma levels of free fatty acid (non-esterified fatty acid, NEFA), insulin, glucose, cholesterol, leptin, TAG and glycerol were measured as described previously[27,28]. Briefly, plasma insulin and leptin concentrations were determined with RIA test kits distributed by LINCO Research, plasma glucose concentrations were measured using the Autokit Glucose enzymatic colorimetric assay (Wako Diagnostics), and plasma NEFA concentration was determined using an enzymatic colorimetric assay (Roche Diagnostics). Plasma total cholesterol concentration was determined using a commercial kit (Wako Diagnostics and Chemicals USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Commercial kits (Sigma) were used to determine glycerol and TAG levels in plasma and hydrolysed liver homogenate samples by colorimetric methods. The analysis of plasma bile acid concentration was performed by IDEXX Laboratories. Total hepatic bile acid concentrations were determined in 100 mg liver samples by homogenising in 75 % ethanol followed by incubation for 2 h at 50°C. The samples were centrifuged at 6000 g and the supernatant was used for the determination of total bile acid concentrations according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Crystal Chem, Inc.).

**PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from 100 mg of frozen liver tissue using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and absorbance was measured at 260 and 280 nm (NanoDrop; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Total RNA (2 μg) was treated with deoxyribonuclease I (Invitrogen) and reverse transcribed with the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Primer sets were synthesised at the Hospital for Sick Children (DNA Synthesis Center; Table 2). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed using LightCycler® technology with SYBR Green I fluorescence detection (Roche Diagnostics). A sample without reverse transcription enzyme and a no-template control was used to detect genomic or DNA contamination. An efficiency-corrected ΔCt method was used to calculate the relative amounts of RNA, and amplification efficiency was calculated using the equation $E = 10^{(-1/slope)}$. mRNA levels were normalised to those of β-actin, and results are presented as a percentage of control values.

**Western blot analysis**

Protein extraction was performed by methods as described previously[29,30]. Briefly, 300 mg of liver tissue were homogenised in 1 × RIPA (radioimmunoprecipitation assay) lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology) with freshly added 0.5 mM-phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (BioShop) and 4 μl/ml protease inhibitor (P8340; Sigma-Aldrich). Protein concentrations were quantified by the Bradford assay. A total of 20 μg of membrane protein or 60 μg of whole cell lysate in Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad) for Pgp and Cyp3a2, respectively, were separated by 10 % SDS–PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories Canada Limited). The membranes were blocked for 1 h in 5 % (w/v) skimmed milk powder in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05 % (v/v) Tween-20, and then incubated at 4°C overnight with mouse anti-Pgp antibody (C-219, 1:500, Abcam, Inc.), rabbit anti-Cyp3a2 (ab78279, 1:1000; Abcam, Inc.), rabbit anti-PXR.1 (A-20, 1:100, 0.2 mg/ml; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). After a series of washes with Tris-buffered saline, the membranes were incubated for 2 h with secondary antibodies from Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories (goat anti-mouse at 1:3000 for Pgp, goat anti-rabbit at 1:5000 for Cyp3a2 and donkey anti-goat at 1:2000 for PXR.1). The membranes were visualised with ECL Plus (GE Healthcare) using a FluorChem imaging system (Alpha Innotech), and the optical density of each
The SD group and 209±0.4 (SEM 1.9) g in the HFD group, while the final weight of the rats was 348±0.4 (SEM 5.9) g. The difference between the HFD (0.42 (SEM 0.04) g compared with the SD group (0.45 (SEM 0.08) g) was not significantly different (P<0.05) (Table 3). Total hepatic bile acid concentrations were not elevated in the HFD group, but did not reach significance with the SD group. Plasma TAG levels were also somewhat higher in the HFD group compared with the SD group (0.45 (SEM 0.08) mol/g) groups.

**Data and statistical analysis**

Data were analysed with GraphPad Prism version 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). A two-tailed Student’s t test was used to compare the results between the HFD and SD rats. Significance was set at P<0.05. Data are presented as means with their standard errors of the mean. Correlation was performed using Pearson’s correlation test.

**Results**

**Body composition and metabolic characteristics**

Compared with the SD group, rats fed the HFD gained significantly more weight after 6 weeks of commencing the diet until the end of the study (P<0.05; Fig. 1(a)). Differences in weight gain between the two groups increased with time. The initial weight of the rats was 210±3 (SEM 1.8) g in the SD group and 209±0 (SEM 1.9) g in the HFD group, while the final weight of the rats was 348±4 (SEM 5.9) g in the SD group and 372±1 (SEM 7.3) g in the HFD group. A similar intake of energy was observed between the two groups (Fig. 1(b)). A significant gain in intra-abdominal and subcutaneous fat mass was observed in the HFD group (P<0.05) (Table 3).

Blood chemistry of the HFD group showed a trend towards mild hyperlipidaemia with significantly higher levels of cholesterol, NEFA and glycerol in the HFD group compared with the SD group, rats fed the HFD had a 2-fold increase in the expression of PXR and FXR (P<0.05). The expression levels of both LXRα and LXRβ were slightly but significantly increased in rats fed the HFD (P<0.05). However, the expression level of CAR was not significantly affected.

**Effect of the high-fat diet on cytokine expression**

Hepatic mRNA levels of IL-1β and IL-6 were not significantly different between the HFD and SD groups; however, mRNA levels of C-reactive protein (CRP), which is a biomarker for IL-6 activity and systemic inflammation, were significantly higher in the HFD group (Fig. 2).

**Effect of the high-fat diet on nuclear receptor expression**

We observed significant differences in the hepatic expression of key nuclear receptors in rats fed the HFD (Fig. 3). Compared with the SD group, the rats fed the HFD had a 2-fold increase in the expression of PXR and FXR (P<0.05). The expression levels of both LXRα and LXRβ were slightly but significantly increased in rats fed the HFD (P<0.05). However, the expression level of CAR was not significantly affected.

**Target genes of pregnane X receptor**

The HFD had a pronounced effect on the expression of several target genes of PXR (Fig. 4(a)). Compared with the SD group, a 2- and 5-fold increase in the hepatic expression of the canicular efflux transporters Abcc2 (ATP-binding cassette subfamily C member 2) and Abcb1a (ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1a) was observed in rats fed the HFD. While the mRNA expression of the apical uptake transporter Slco1a4 (solute carrier organic anion transporter family, member 1a4) was significantly higher in the HFD group, the expression levels of Abcc3 (ATP-binding cassette subfamily C member 3) were slightly decreased (P<0.05). The mRNA expression level of Cyp3a2 was 2.5-fold higher in the HFD group than in the SD group. The increased expression levels of these genes were strongly correlated with the increased expression levels of PXR (Pearson’s r=0.67; Abcb1a (P=0.0002), Abcc2 (P=0.002), Slco1a4 (P=0.009) and Cyp3a2 (P=0.012). Changes in the expression levels of Cyp3a2 and Pgp were further confirmed at the protein level (Fig. 4(b) and (c)).

**Table 3. Body composition and metabolic characteristics of female rats fed a high-fat diet (HFD) or a standard diet (SD) for 13 weeks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Mean (SEM)</th>
<th>HFD</th>
<th>Mean (SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.2 (4)</td>
<td>18.45 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (kcal/d)</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.4 (4)</td>
<td>70.1 (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver triglycerides (mg/g)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3 (4)</td>
<td>12.2 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-abdominal fat-pad weight (sum of 3 in g)</td>
<td>30.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>35.8* (2.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous fat-pad weight (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 (0.18)</td>
<td>2.4* (0.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma triglycerides (g/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.5 (6.3)</td>
<td>82.4 (13.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.15 (0.12)</td>
<td>4.17** (0.24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-esterified fatty acids (mmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.54* (0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol (g/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.03* (0.005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (ng/ml)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.33 (0.7)</td>
<td>1.54 (0.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (ng/ml)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>15.4 (2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.3 (0.55)</td>
<td>11.57 (0.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile acids (μmol/l)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 (2.7)</td>
<td>13.5 (1.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean value was significantly different from that of the SD group: *P<0.05, **P<0.01.
Higher weight gain and larger intra-abdominal fat mass compared with those fed a SD; however, the total lipid content in the liver did not change, as determined by biochemical and histological analysis of TAG levels in the liver\(^{31}\). This result is in agreement with previous studies showing that liver TAG levels increase during the first weeks of high fat feeding, but then gradually return to normal levels\(^{32,31}\), which suggests that there are mechanisms by which the liver adapts to increased dietary lipids\(^{31}\).

Altered hepatobiliary transport of endogenous and exogenous compounds has previously been reported in transgenic and dietary rodent models of obesity\(^{10,35–37}\). The HFD, most commonly referred to as the Western-style diet, is currently the leading cause of obesity and its associated co-morbidities\(^{35–57}\). The main findings of the present study were that high fat feeding in female rats, which was associated with increased total weight and intra-abdominal fat, was accompanied by an increase in the gene expression levels of several nuclear receptors. HFD-induced changes in nuclear receptors were further associated with an increase in the expression levels of their target genes, including numerous hepatobiliary transporters. While the lack of a universal definition of diet-induced obesity in rodents might allow the HFD rats to be considered obese, in relation to human obesity and other diet-induced obesity models in rats, and the difference in body weight observed between the two groups in the present study (11-3%), the HFD rats should be described as mildly obese or overweight.

The present study demonstrated that the HFD induced a significant increase in the expression levels of the nuclear receptor PXR and its target genes. A strong correlation was also observed between the expression levels of these genes. The mRNA and protein expression levels of Pgp and Cyp3a2, which are key target genes of PXR\(^{38}\), were significantly increased in the HFD group. While PXR activation has been reported in the ob/ob mouse model of obesity\(^{13,31}\), the present study was the first to observe PXR activation and
induction of target genes such as Pgp and Cyp3a2 in mildly obese rats. Sugioka et al.\(^{(32)}\) reported that diet-induced obesity imposed a decrease in the protein expression of Pgp and Cyp3a2 in male rats. However, they utilised a high-fat/high-cholesterol diet containing cholic acid, which is known to be associated with hepatic steatosis\(^{(39,39,40)}\). We did not find any evidence for hepatic steatosis in our HFD model. Thus, discrepancies between the present results and previous findings could result from the differences in the models used to induce obesity and the time points of the investigation. PXR and CAR play a key role in energy metabolism in the liver and could possibly act as a link between energy homeostasis and drug metabolism\(^{(41)}\). It has previously been suggested that accumulation of dietary fatty acids in the liver triggers the activation of PXR, leading to the induction of cytochrome P450 enzymes\(^{(42,43)}\). It is possible that the increased levels of fatty acids observed in our HFD rats could have contributed to PXR activation and up-regulation of their target genes. High fat feeding was also associated with a substantial increase in the plasma concentrations of cholesterol, which probably contributes to PXR activation as recent studies have shown that PXR is activated by oxysterols, which are the oxidised derivatives of cholesterol\(^{(44,45)}\). With regard to the clinical implications of these findings, Cyp3a2, which corresponds to CYP3A in humans\(^{(46)}\), is responsible for the metabolism of the majority of drugs currently on the market\(^{(47)}\). Pgp also plays a key role in the hepatobiliary clearance of many structurally diverse compounds including many anticancer, antiviral and anti-arrhythmic drugs\(^{(48)}\). Thus, it is plausible that PXR activation, resulting in the induction of CYP3A and Pgp, could increase the clearance of numerous drugs.

The present study demonstrated a significant elevation in the expression of the nuclear receptor FXR, the principal regulator of bile acid homeostasis. This correlated with the increased expression levels of its target genes Abcb4, Abcb11 and Slco10a1 in response to the HFD. Slco10a1 and Abcb11 are the principal uptake and efflux transporters of bile acids. In contrast, Abcb4 is responsible for the efflux of phospholipids into bile, making it more lipophilic and less damaging to biliary cells\(^{(46,49)}\). The HFD was also associated with a substantial increase in the plasma concentrations of cholesterol, which probably contributes to PXR activation as recent studies have shown that PXR is activated by oxysterols, which are the oxidised derivatives of cholesterol\(^{(44,45)}\). With regard to the clinical implications of these findings, Cyp3a2, which corresponds to CYP3A in humans\(^{(46)}\), is responsible for the metabolism of the majority of drugs currently on the market\(^{(47)}\). Pgp also plays a key role in the hepatobiliary clearance of many structurally diverse compounds including many anticancer, antiviral and anti-arrhythmic drugs\(^{(48)}\). Thus, it is plausible that PXR activation, resulting in the induction of CYP3A and Pgp, could increase the clearance of numerous drugs.

The present study demonstrated a significant elevation in the expression of the nuclear receptor FXR, the principal regulator of bile acid homeostasis. This correlated with the increased expression levels of its target genes Abcb4, Abcb11 and Slco10a1 in response to the HFD. Slco10a1 and Abcb11 are the principal uptake and efflux transporters of bile acids. In contrast, Abcb4 is responsible for the efflux of phospholipids into bile, making it more lipophilic and less damaging to biliary cells\(^{(46,49)}\). The HFD was also associated with a substantial increase in the plasma concentrations of cholesterol, which probably contributes to PXR activation as recent studies have shown that PXR is activated by oxysterols, which are the oxidised derivatives of cholesterol\(^{(44,45)}\). With regard to the clinical implications of these findings, Cyp3a2, which corresponds to CYP3A in humans\(^{(46)}\), is responsible for the metabolism of the majority of drugs currently on the market\(^{(47)}\). Pgp also plays a key role in the hepatobiliary clearance of many structurally diverse compounds including many anticancer, antiviral and anti-arrhythmic drugs\(^{(48)}\). Thus, it is plausible that PXR activation, resulting in the induction of CYP3A and Pgp, could increase the clearance of numerous drugs.
with an increased expression level of Abcc2, which is regulated by FXR as well as by PXR and CAR\(^{50}\). The efflux transporter Abcc2 is involved in the transport of drugs, bilirubin, glucuronide and glutathione drug conjugates in addition to bile constituents. The underlying cause of FXR induction and activation in our overweight rats is not clear. The principal activator of FXR is bile acids\(^{49}\). While several changes were observed in the expression of bile acid transporters, we did not observe any significant changes in the liver or plasma concentrations of bile acids in the HFD group. Nevertheless, it is possible that HFD-induced changes could have resulted in bile acid retention at an earlier time point as FXR activation leads to negative feedback inhibition to normalise bile acid levels by increasing the efflux of bile acids from hepatocytes and decreasing \textit{de novo} synthesis of bile from cholesterol\(^{51,52}\). This could explain our findings of FXR-mediated induction of Abcb11, Abcc2 and Abcb4, which would lead to an increased efflux of bile and bile acids from hepatocytes. The up-regulation of Scl10a1 could increase hepatobiliary clearance and normalise bile acid level likewise. More & Slit\(^{53}\) reported an increase in the hepatic expression levels of Abcc2 as well as Scl10a1 in a diet-induced murine model of obesity. In addition, Martin \textit{et al.}\(^{13}\) reported an increase in the mRNA levels of Abcc2, Abcb11 and FXR in an ob/ob mouse model.

The results from the present study show that total cholesterol levels were significantly elevated in the HFD group, as well as an increased expression level of the cholesterol transporters Abca1 and Abcg5. The nuclear receptor LXR, which is activated by cholesterol derivatives such as oxysterols\(^{51}\), is involved in the induction of the expression levels of Abca1, Abcg5, Abcg8 and Cyp7a1, leading to increased serum cholesterol levels. The expression of Cyp7a1, which was not significantly affected by the HFD in the present study, is regulated by both LXR and FXR\(^{53,54}\). While LXR activation causes the induction of Cyp7a1, FXR activation suppresses its expression. Our findings demonstrating an increased expression level of both FXR and LXR\(\alpha/LXR\beta\) probably contribute to the overall effect of the HFD on the expression level of Cyp7a1.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that the HFD increases the hepatic expression levels of PXR, LXR\(\alpha/LXR\beta\) and FXR as well as their activation, as illustrated by the induction of their key target genes. The HFD was also associated with changes in plasma lipid profiles, as well as with the hepatic expression levels of several uptake and efflux transporters along with the metabolic enzyme Cyp3a2. This suggests that mild obesity may trigger changes in the hepatobiliary transport and clearance of both endogenous and exogenous compounds. The results from the present study and those from different models of obesity indicate that obesity is a complex condition with several co-morbidities that might affect hepatobiliary disposition pathways. If these findings in rodent models translate to humans with mild obesity, the distribution and clearance of many clinically important therapeutics could be affected in patients.
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