ON THE MONOTONICITY OF CERTAIN FUNCTIONALS IN THE THEORY OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Q.I. Rahman

(received June 5, 1967)

Let f(z) be a function regular for |z| < R, and denote by L(r) the length of the curve Γ onto which the circle |z| = r is mapped by f(z), i.e.

$$L(r) = r \int_{\Omega}^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

If D_r is the image of $|z| \le r$ on the Riemann surface of f(z) then its area S(r) is given by

$$S(r) = \int_{\Omega}^{r} \rho \, d\rho \, \int_{\Omega}^{2\pi} \left| f'(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right|^{2} d\theta.$$

It has been conjectured by M. Biernacki that $L^2(r)/S(r)$ increases with r. This means that with increasing r the shape of the map of the circle |z|=r deviates monotonically from a circle. The conjecture is still open but we are able to prove the weaker statement that $\delta(r,f',1)=L^2(r)-4\pi S(r)$ is strictly increasing for $r\in(0,R)$, unless $f(z)=\frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ (ad $-bc\neq 0$), when $\delta(r,f',1)\equiv 0$. This was proved by Krzyz [1, Theorem 4] under the assumption that $f'(z)\neq 0$ in |z|< R. We remove this restriction.

What Krzyż proved is clearly equivalent to the following:

THEOREM A. If f(z) is regular for |z| < R and $f(z) \neq 0$ for |z| < R, then the function

$$\delta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{r}^2 \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta}) \right| d\theta \right\}^2 - 4\pi \int_0^{\mathbf{r}} \rho d\rho \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathbf{f}(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right|^2 d\theta$$

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 10, no. 5, 1967

increases with r in (0, R), unless $f(z) = (az+b)^{-2}$, when $\delta(r, f, 1) = 0$.

We wish to show that the restriction $f(z) \neq 0$ in |z| < R can be dropped.

If f(z) has no zeros in |z| < R, any branch of $\{f(z)\}^p$ where p > 0 is also regular for |z| < R and Theorem A can therefore be stated in the following more general form:

THEOREM A'. Under the conditions of Theorem A and p > 0, the function

$$\delta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{r}^{2} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta \right\}^{2} - 4\pi \int_{0}^{\mathbf{r}} \rho d\rho \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{2p} d\theta$$

increases with r in (0, R), unless $f(z) = (az+b)^{-2/p}$, when $\delta(r, f, p) \equiv 0$.

We observe that the restriction $f(z) \neq 0$ in |z| < R is unnecessary even for this generalized version of Theorem A.

So we let f(z) have zeros in |z| < R, and prove that if $0 \le r_1 < r_2 < R$, p > 0, then $\delta(r_1, f, p) < \xi(r_2, f, p)$. For this we distinguish two different cases.

<u>Case</u>(i). Let f(z) have zeros $z_1, z_2, ..., z_m$ in $|z| < r_2$ but no zero on $|z| = r_2$. Then the function

$$\phi(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{r_2(z-z_j)}{r_2^2 - \overline{z}_j} z$$

is analytic in the circle $|z| \le r_2$ and $|\varphi(z)| = 1$ on $|z| = r_2$. By the maximum modulus principle $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ for $|z| < r_2$. There exists a positive number ϵ such that $f(z)/\varphi(z) \ne 0$ for $|z| < r_2 + \epsilon$. Hence, if p > 0, then from Theorem A

applied to
$$\{f(z)/\phi(z)\}^p$$
 with $R = r_2 + \epsilon$ it follows that

$$\delta(\mathbf{r}_1, \frac{\mathbf{f}}{\phi}, \mathbf{p}) < \delta(\mathbf{r}_2, \frac{\mathbf{f}}{\phi}, \mathbf{p}),$$

i.e.

$$\begin{split} & r_{1}^{2} \left\{ \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(\mathbf{r}_{1} e^{i\theta}) / \phi(\mathbf{r}_{1} e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta \right\}^{2} - 4\pi \int_{o}^{r_{1}} \rho d\rho \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(\rho e^{i\theta}) / \phi(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right|^{2p} d\theta \\ & < r_{2}^{2} \left\{ \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(\mathbf{r}_{2} e^{i\theta}) / \phi(\mathbf{r}_{2} e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta \right\}^{2} - 4\pi \int_{o}^{r_{2}} \rho d\rho \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(\rho e^{i\theta}) / \phi(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right|^{2p} d\theta \\ & = r_{2}^{2} \left\{ \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(\mathbf{r}_{2} e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta \right\}^{2} - 4\pi \int_{o}^{r_{2}} \rho d\rho \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(\rho e^{i\theta}) / \phi(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right|^{2p} d\theta . \end{split}$$

Or

$$r_{1}^{2} \{\int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(r_{1}e^{i\theta})/\phi(r_{1}e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta \}^{2} + 4\pi \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \rho d\rho \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(\rho e^{i\theta})/\phi(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right|^{2p} d\theta$$

$$< r_2^2 \{ \int_0^{2\pi} |f(r_2 e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \}^2.$$

Since $|\phi(\rho e^{i\theta})| < 1$ for $0 < \rho < r_2$, $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$ we get

$$r_{1}^{2} \{ \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(r_{1}e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta \}^{2} + 4\pi \int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \rho d\rho \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right|^{2p} d\theta$$

$$< r_2^2 \{ \int_0^{2\pi} |f(r_2^e)|^p d\theta \}^2.$$

But

$$4\pi \int_{\mathbf{r}_{1}}^{\mathbf{r}_{2}} \rho \ d\rho \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{2p} \ d\theta = -4\pi \int_{0}^{\mathbf{r}_{1}} \rho \ d\rho \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{2p} \ d\theta$$

$$+ 4\pi \int_{0}^{\mathbf{r}_{2}} \rho \ d\rho \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{2p} \ d\theta.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} & r_{1}^{2} \{ \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(r_{1} e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta \}^{2} - 4\pi \int_{o}^{r_{1}} \rho d\rho \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right|^{2p} d\theta \\ & < r_{2}^{2} \{ \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(r_{2} e^{i\theta}) \right|^{p} d\theta \}^{2} - 4\pi \int_{o}^{r_{2}} \rho d\rho \int_{o}^{2\pi} \left| f(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right|^{2p} d\theta, \end{split}$$

i.e.

$$\delta(r_1, f, p) < \delta(r_2, f, p).$$

<u>Case</u> (ii). If f(z) has zeros on $|z| = r_2$, choose r_3 such that $r_1 < r_3 < r_2$ and f(z) has no zero on $|z| = r_3$. From the preceding case it follows that

$$\delta(\mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) < \delta(\mathbf{r}_2 + \epsilon, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p})$$

if ϵ is a sufficiently small positive number. Letting $\epsilon \Rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\delta(r_3, f, p) \leq \delta(r_2, f, p)$$
.

But $\delta(r_1, f, p) < \delta(r_3, f, p)$. Therefore

$$\delta(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) < \delta(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p})$$

in this case as well.

Thus we have proved the following:

THEOREM 1. If f(z) is regular for |z| < R then for every p > 0, the function

$$\delta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{r}^2 \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta}) \right|^p d\theta \right\}^2 - 4\pi \int_0^{\mathbf{r}} \rho d\rho \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \mathbf{f}(\rho e^{i\theta}) \right|^{2p} d\theta$$

increases with r in (0, R), unless $f(z) = (az+b)^{-2/p}$, when $\delta(r, f, p) = 0$.

COROLLARY 1. If f(z) is regular for |z| < R then

$$\delta(r, f', 1) = L^2(r) - 4\pi S(r)$$

is strictly increasing for $r \in (0, R)$, unless $f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$ (ad - bc $\neq 0$), when $\delta(r, f', 1) = 0$.

From the above theorem it follows that for p>0 the derivative of $\delta(r,f,p)$ with respect to r is positive, i.e.

$$2r\left\{\int_{O}^{2\pi}\left|f(re^{i\theta})\right|^{p}d\theta\right\}^{2}+2r^{2}\left\{\int_{O}^{2\pi}\left|f(re^{i\theta})\right|^{p}d\theta\right\}\frac{d}{dr}\left\{\int_{O}^{2\pi}\left|f(re^{i\theta})\right|^{p}d\theta\right\}$$

$$-4\pi r \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^{2p} d\theta > 0.$$

Hence with

$$I_{p}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(\mathbf{r}e^{i\theta})|^{p} d\theta$$

we have the following:

COROLLARY 2. If f(z) is regular for |z| < R, then for every p > 0, $r \in (0, R)$

$$\frac{I_{2p}(r,f)}{\{I_{p}(r,f)\}^{2}} \leq 1 + r \frac{I'_{p}(r,f)}{I_{p}(r,f)}.$$

Note that in Corollary 2 equality holds if f(z) is a constant.

The paper of Biernacki and Krzyź (loc. cit.) also contains the following

THEOREM B. If f(z) is regular for |z| < R, $f(z) \not\equiv 0$, then the quotient

$$\frac{r^{2}I_{2}(r,f')}{I_{2}(r,f)} = \frac{\int_{o}^{2\pi} |rf'(re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta}{\int_{o}^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta}$$

is a strictly increasing function of $r \in (0, R)$, unless $f(z) = a_n z^n$ ($a_n \neq 0$, n is a non-negative integer), in which case the quotient is constant.

We prove the following stronger

THEOREM 2. If f(z) is regular for |z| < R, $f(z) \neq 0$, then the quotient

$$\frac{rI_{2}(r,f')}{I_{2}'(r,f)}$$

is a strictly increasing function of $r \in (0, R)$, unless $f(z) = a_0 + a_n z_n (a_n \neq 0, n \text{ is a non-negative integer})$, in which case the quotient is constant.

Proof of Theorem 2. It is well known (see for example [2, pp. 173-174]) that log I₂(r,f) is a convex function of log r. Noting the case of equality in Schwarz's inequality one can immediately conclude from the proof [2, p.174] that

$$\frac{d}{d \log r} \log I_2(r, f) = \frac{rI'_2(r, f)}{I_2(r, f)}$$

is strictly increasing unless f(z) is a constant multiple of z^n , when it is, of course, constant.

Now, let f(z) have the representation

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

in |z| < R. Then the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} a_n z^n$$

also represents a function F(z) regular in |z| < R, and hence

$$\frac{\mathrm{rI}_{2}'(\mathrm{r},\mathrm{F})}{\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{r},\mathrm{F})}$$

is strictly increasing unless F(z) reduces to $\sqrt{n} a_n z^n$, i.e. $f(z) = a_0 + a_n z^n$.

But

$$\frac{rI'_{2}(r, F)}{I_{2}(r, F)} = \frac{4rI_{2}(r, f')}{I'_{2}(r, f)},$$

and therefore the theorem follows.

That Theorem 2 is really stronger than Theorem B follows from the fact that

$$\frac{rI_{2}(r, f')}{I'_{2}(r, f)} = \frac{r^{2}I_{2}(r, f')}{I_{2}(r, f)} = \frac{rI'_{2}(r, f)}{I_{2}(r, f)}$$

and $\frac{\mathbf{rI'_2(r,f)}}{I_2(r,f)}$ is non-decreasing.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Biernacki and J. Krzyż, On the monotonity of certain functionals in the theory of analytic functions, Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Lublin (Poland), Vol. IX (1955), pp. 135-145.
- 2. E.C. Titchmarsh, Theory of Functions, Oxford (1939).

Université de Montréal