# PROJECTIONS INDUCING AUTOMORPHISMS OF STABLE UHF-ALGEBRAS

## KAZUNORI KODAKA

coy Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Science, Ryukyu University, Nishihara-cho, Okinawa, 903-0213 Japan

(Received 24 October, 1997)

**Abstract.** Let A be a UHF-algebra and **K** the C\*-algebra of all compact operators on a countably infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. In this note we shall find all projections p in A with  $pAp \cong A$  and, using these projections, we shall determine the group of automorphisms of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  induced by those of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$  in some cases.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification 46 L40.

**0. Introduction.** Let A be a UHF-algebra and K the C\*-algebra of all compact operators on a countably infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Let p be a projection in  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$  with  $p(A \otimes \mathbf{K})p \cong A$ . In [9] we showed that we can construct any automorphism of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$  using the projection p above, an automorphism of A and a unitary element in  $M(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$ , where  $M(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  is the multiplier algebra of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$ . But since A is a UHF-algebra, it suffices to find all projections p in A with  $pAp \cong A$  in order to determine the group of automorphisms of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  induced by those of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$ . By the above result we can compute the Picard group of A in some cases. Furthermore let  $\beta$  be an automorphism of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K} \times_{\beta} \mathbf{Z}$  is a purely infinite simple C\*-algebra and its isomorphism class can be determined by Elliott, Evans and Kishimoto [5] if the automorphism  $\beta_*$  of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  is known to us.

Since  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$  is an AF-algebra, we can determine the group of automorphisms of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  induced by those of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$  by Blackadar [2, Theorem 7.3.2]. In fact if  $A = M_{2^{\infty}}$ , we can easily do it, where  $M_{2^{\infty}}$  is the UHF-algebra of type  $2^{\infty}$ . However, it seems difficult in general to determine order-preserving automorphisms of the dimension group  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  and so we apply the method above to determine projections p in A with  $pAp \cong A$ .

**1. Preliminaries.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M_n$  be the C\*-algebra of  $n \times n$ -matrices over C. For positive integers m(1),  $m(2) \ge 2$  let i be a monomorphism of  $M_{m(1)}$  into  $M_{m(1)m(2)}$  such that  $i(I_{m(1)}) = I_{m(1)m(2)}$ , where  $I_{m(1)}$  and  $I_{m(1)m(2)}$  are the unit elements in  $M_{m(1)}$  and  $M_{m(1)m(2)}$  respectively. Given a sequence  $\{m(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of positive integers greater than 1, let  $m(n)! = \prod_{k=1}^{n} m(k)$ . We consider the inductive system

$$M_{m(1)!} \xrightarrow{i} M_{m(2)!} \xrightarrow{i} \cdots \xrightarrow{i} M_{m(n)!} \xrightarrow{i} \cdots$$

We call the C\*-algebra generated by the inductive system above a UHF-algebra of type  $\{m(n)!\}$ .

Let A be a UHF-algebra and  $\tau$  the unique tracial state on A. Then by Blackadar [2],  $K_0(A)$  is a simple dimension group which is a dense subgroup of Q containing Z.

Let  $\tau_*$  be the homomorphism of  $K_0(A)$  to **R** induced by  $\tau$ . By Blackadar [1, Theorem 3.9]  $\tau_*$  is injective and the positive cone of  $K_0(A)$  is given by the formula

$$K_0(A)_+ = \{x \in K_0(A) | \tau_*(x) \ge 0\}.$$

We identify  $K_0(A)$  with  $\tau_*(K_0(A))$ . Since  $K_0(A)$  is a dense subgroup of **Q**, an automorphism of  $K_0(A)$  is multiplication by a positive rational number.

LEMMA 1.1. For any automorphism  $\alpha$  of A,  $\alpha_* = \text{id on } K_0(A)$ .

*Proof.* This can easily be proved using the facts that, by the uniqueness of trace,  $\alpha$  preserves the trace  $\tau$  and the homomorphism  $\tau_* : K_0(A) \to \mathbf{R}$  is injective. Q.E.D.

Let **K** be the C\*-algebra of all compact operators on a countably infinitedimensional Hilbert space and  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$  matrix units of **K**. Let Tr be the canonical trace on **K**. Then  $\tau \otimes \text{Tr}$  is a densely defined lower semi-continuous trace on  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$ and, as described in Elliott, Evans and Kishimoto [5], it is unique up to a constant multiple. Let  $\beta$  be an automorphism of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$ . We define  $s(\beta) \in \mathbf{Q}$  by  $(\tau \otimes \text{Tr}) \circ \beta = s(\beta)(\tau \otimes \text{Tr})$ . Then an automorphism  $\beta_*$  of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  is multiplication by the positive rational number  $s(\beta)$ .

Let  $M_n(A)$  be the C\*-algebra of  $n \times n$ -matrices over A, for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ; we identify  $M_n(A)$  with  $A \otimes M_n$ . Let p be a projection in  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(A) \subset A \otimes \mathbf{K}$  with  $p(A \otimes \mathbf{K})p \cong A$ . We denote by  $\chi_p$  an isomorphism of A onto  $p(A \otimes \mathbf{K})p$ . By Brown [3, Lemma 2.5], there is a partial isometry  $z \in M(A \otimes \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{K})$  such that  $z^*z = p \otimes 1$  and  $zz^* = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ . Let  $\psi$  be an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{K}$  onto  $\mathbf{K}$  with  $\psi_* = \text{id of } K_0(\mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{K})$  onto  $K_0(\mathbf{K})$ . Let  $\beta_p$  be the automorphism of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$  defined by

$$\beta_p = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \psi) \circ \mathrm{Ad}(z) \circ (\chi_p \otimes \mathrm{id}).$$

LEMMA 1.2. With the notations above the automorphism  $\beta_{p*}$  of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  is multiplication by  $(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})(p)$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $s(\beta_p) = (\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})(p)$ . Let  $(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})_*$  be the homomorphism of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  to **R** induced by  $\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr}$ . We note that  $\beta_p(1 \otimes e_{00})$  is in the ideal of definition of  $\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr}$  by [7, Lemma 1]. Hence

$$(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr}) \circ \beta_p (1 \otimes e_{00}) = (\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})_* \circ \beta_{p*} ([1 \otimes e_{00}])$$
  
=  $(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})_* \circ (\operatorname{id} \otimes \psi)_* ([z(p \otimes e_{00})z^*])$   
=  $(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})_* \circ (\operatorname{id} \otimes \psi)_* ([p \otimes e_{00}])$   
=  $(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})(p).$ 

Since  $(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr}) \circ \beta_p = s(\beta_p)(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})$  and  $(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})(1 \otimes e_{00}) = 1$  it follows that  $s(\beta_p) = (\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})(p)$ . Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let  $\beta_p$  be as above. If  $(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})(p) > 1$ , there is a projection  $q \in A$  with  $qAq \cong A$  such that  $\beta_{p*}^{-1} = \beta_{q \otimes e_{00}*}$  on  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$ .

*Proof.* By [9, Theorem 4.5 and Remark 2.1], there are an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a projection  $q_1 \in M_n(A)$ , an automorphism  $\alpha$  of A and a unitary element  $w \in M(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  such that

$$q_1(A \otimes \mathbf{K})q_1 \cong A, \quad \beta_n^{-1} = \mathrm{Ad}(w) \circ \beta_{q_1} \circ (\alpha \otimes \mathrm{id}),$$

where  $M(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  is the multiplier algebra of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$ . By Lemma 1.1 and [9, Lemma 1.1]  $\beta_{p*}^{-1} = \beta_{q_1*}$ . Hence, by Lemma 1.2,  $(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})(q_1)(\tau \otimes \operatorname{Tr})(p) = 1$ . We note that  $\tau(\operatorname{Proj} A) = \tau_*(K_0(A)) \cap [0, 1]$ , where  $\operatorname{Proj} A$  is the set of all projections in A. Since A has cancellation, there is a projection  $q \in A$  such that  $q \otimes e_{00}$  is unitarily equivalent to  $q_1$  in  $(A \otimes \mathbf{K})^+$ , where  $(A \otimes \mathbf{K})^+$  is the unitized C\*-algebra of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$ . Thus  $qAq \cong A$  and  $\beta_{p*}^{-1} = \beta_{q \otimes e_{00}*}$  on  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$ . Q.E.D.

Let Aut( $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$ ) be the group of automorphisms of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  and let

$$S = \{\beta_{p \otimes e_{00}*} \in \operatorname{Aut}(K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})) | p \text{ is a projection in } A \text{ with } pAp \cong A\}.$$

COROLLARY 1.4. With the notations above, S is a semigroup of automorphisms of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  with the unit element.

*Proof.* Since *S* is a subset of the group  $\operatorname{Aut}(K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K}))$ , it suffices to show that *S* is invariant under the product of  $\operatorname{Aut}(K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K}))$  and that *S* has the unit element in  $\operatorname{Aut}(K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K}))$ . Since  $\tau(1) = 1$ ,  $\beta_{1 \otimes e_{00}*}$  is the unit element in  $\operatorname{Aut}(K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K}))$ . Thus *S* has the unit element in  $\operatorname{Aut}(K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K}))$ . For j = 1, 2, let  $p_j$  be a projection in *A* with  $p_jAp_j \cong A$ . Then, in the same way as in the proof of Corollary 1.3, we see that there is a projection  $p_3$  in *A* such that

$$\tau(p_3) = \tau(p_1)\tau(p_2), \quad p_3Ap_3 \cong A.$$

Since  $\tau(p_3) = \tau(p_1)\tau(p_2)$ , by Lemma 1.2 we deduce that  $\beta_{p_3*} = \beta_{p_1*} \circ \beta_{p_2*}$ . Hence  $\beta_{p_1*} \circ \beta_{p_2*} \in S$ . Therefore we obtain the conclusion. Q.E.D.

REMARK 1.5. Let A be a UHF-algebra of type  $\{m(n)!\}$ . By Corollary 1.3 and [9], the group of automorphisms of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  induced by those of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$  is generated by S and, since an automorphism of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  is multiplication by a positive rational number, by Lemma 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 we have

 $S = \{\tau(p) \in \mathbf{Q} | p \text{ is a projection in } A \text{ with } pAp \cong A\}.$ 

Furthermore, by Blackadar [2, Proposition 4.6.6],

$$S = \{\tau(p) \in \mathbb{Q} | p \text{ is a projection in } \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{m(n)!} \text{ with } pAp \cong A\}.$$

**2.** Projections *p* in *A* with *pAp* isomorphic to *A*. Let *A* be a UHF-algebra of type  $\{m(n)!\}$ . Following Glimm [6] we define a function  $f(\{m(n)!\})$  whose domain is the prime numbers. For each prime number *r*, let

$$f({m(n)!}(r) = \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N} | \text{there is an } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } r^k \text{ divides } m(n)!\}.$$

Also, for each subset N of N we denote by #(N) the number of elements in N.

**LEMMA** 2.1. Let  $f(\{m(n)\})$  be as above and r a prime number. Then the following conditions hold:

### KAZUNORI KODAKA

- (1)  $f(\{m(n)\})(r) = \infty$  if and only if  $\#\{n \in N | r \text{ divides } m(n)\} = \infty$ .
- (2)  $f(\{m(n)\})(r) = 0$  if and only if r does not divide m(n) for any  $n \in N$ ,
- (3)  $f(\{m(n)\})(r) < \infty$  if and only if there is an  $n_0 \in N$  such that r does not divide m(n) for any  $n > n_0$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ : We suppose that  $\#\{n \in \mathbb{N} | r \text{ divides } m(n)\} < \infty$ . Then there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that r does not divide m(n) for any  $n > n_0$ . Thus

 $f({m(n)!})(r) = \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N} | \text{there is an } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } r^k \text{ divides } m(n)!\}$ = sup{ $k \in \mathbf{N}$  | there is an integer *n* with  $1 \le n \le n_0 - 1$  such that  $r^k$ divides m(n)!  $<\infty$ .

This is a contradiction. Therefore  $\#\{n \in \mathbb{N} | r \text{ divides } m(n)\} = \infty$ .

 $\Leftarrow$ : For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a set  $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\} \subset \{n \in \mathbb{N} | r \text{ divides } m(n)\}$  with  $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_k$ . Since r divides  $m(n_i)$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k, r^k$  divides  $m(n_k)!$ . Thus  $f({m(n)!}(r) \ge k$ . Since k is an arbitrary positive integer,  $f({m(n)!})(r) = \infty$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ : If there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that r divides  $m(n_0)$ , then r divides  $m(n_0)$ !. Hence  $f(\{m(n)\})(r) > 1$ . This is a contradiction. Thus r does not divide m(n), for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

⇐: If  $f(\{m(n)\})(r) \ge 1$ , then there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that r divides  $m(n_0)$ . Hence there is an  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that r divides  $m(n_1)$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $f({m(n)!})(r) = 0.$ 

(3) is equivalent to (1). Q.E.D.

Let A be a UHF-algebra of type  $\{m(n)\}$ . We suppose that  $f(\{m(n)\})(r) = 0$  or  $\infty$ , for any prime number r. If  $f(\{m(n)\})(r) = 0$ , for any prime number r, then  $A \cong \mathbb{C}$ and so we also suppose that

 $\#\{r|r \text{ is a prime number with } f(\{m(n)\})(r) = \infty\} \ge 1.$ 

LEMMA 2.2. With the notations and assumptions above, let  $n_0$  be a positive integer and p a projection in  $M_{m(n_0)!}$  with  $\tau(p) = \frac{k}{m(n_0)!}$ . Then the following conditions hold.

(1) If k = 1, then  $pAp \cong A$ , We suppose that  $k \neq 1$ . Let  $k = c_1^{d_1} \dots c_h^{d_h}$  be the decomposition of k by prime factors with  $d_i \neq 0$  for j = 1, 2, ..., h.

- (2) If  $f(\{m(n)\})(c_i) = \infty$ , for j = 1, 2, ..., h, then  $pAp \cong A$ .
- (3) If there is an integer  $j_0$  with  $1 \le j_0 \le h$  such that  $f(\{m(n)\})(c_{j_0}) = 0$ , then pApis not isomorphic to A.

*Proof.* (1) For the UHF-algebra *pAp* we have the inductive system

 $M_{m(n_0+1)} \longrightarrow M_{m(n_0+1)m(n_0+2)} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow M_{m(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n)} \longrightarrow \cdots$ 

For any prime number r with  $f(\{m(n)\})(r) = \infty$ ,  $\#\{n \in \mathbb{N} | r \text{ divides } m(n)\} = \infty$ , by Lemma 2.1. Hence  $f(\{m(n_0 + 1) \dots m(n_0 + n)\})(r) = \infty$ . Also, for any prime number r with  $f({m(n)})(r) = 0$ ,  $\#\{n \in \mathbb{N} | r \text{ divides } m(n)\} = 0$ , by Lemma 2.1. Hence  $f({m(n_0 + 1) \dots m(n_0 + n)})(r) = 0$ . Thus

 $f(\{m(n)!\}) = f(\{m(n_0 + 1) \dots m(n_0 + n)\}).$ 

Therefore, by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12], we have  $pAp \cong A$ .

(2) For the UHF-algebra pAp we have the inductive system

 $M_k \longrightarrow M_{km(n_0+1)} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow M_{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)} \longrightarrow \cdots$ 

For any prime number *r* with  $f(\{m(n)\})(r) = \infty$ , we have

$$f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r) = \infty,$$

by Lemma 2.1. For any prime number r with  $f(\{m(n)\})(r) = 0$ , we have  $f(\{km(n_0 + 1) \dots m(n_0 + n - 1)\})(r) = 0$  since r does not divide k and m(n) for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12]  $pAp \cong A$ .

(3) Since  $c_{i_0}$  divides k and does not divide m(n), for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

 $f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(c_{i_0}) = d_{i_0} \ge 1.$ 

On the other hand  $f({m(n)!})(c_{j_0}) = 0$ . Hence by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12] pAp is not isomorphic to A. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2.3. With the same assumptions as in Lemma 2.2, let  $n_0$  be a positive integer and p a projection in  $M_{m(n_0)!}$  with  $\tau(p) = \frac{k}{m(n_0)!}$ . Then  $pAp \cong A$  if and only if k = 1 or  $k = c_1^{d_1} \dots c_h^{d_h}$  with  $f(\{m(n)!\})(c_j) = \infty$  and  $d_j \neq 0$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, h$ .

Proof. This is immediate, by Lemma 2.2. Q.E.D.

Let *A* be a UHF-algebra of type  $\{m(n)\}$ . We suppose that

 $1 \leq \#\{r | r \text{ is a prime number with } 1 \leq f(\{m(n)!\})(r) < \infty\} < \infty,$ 

 $\#\{r|r \text{ is a prime number with } f(\{m(n)!\})(r) = \infty\} = \infty.$ 

Let  $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^l$  be the set of all prime numbers with  $1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r_j) < \infty$ . We put  $t_j = f(\{m(n)!\})(r_j)$ , for j = 1, 2, ..., l. By the assumptions above there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $r_1^{t_1} \ldots r_l^{t_l}$  divides  $m(n_0)!$  and, for any  $n \ge n_0$  and j = 1, 2, ..., l,  $r_j$  does not divide m(n). Let  $n_1$  be any positive integer with  $n_1 \ge n_0$  and p a projection in  $M_{m(n_1)!}$  with  $\tau(p) = \frac{k}{m(n_1)!}$ . We note that, for the UHF-algebra pAp, we have the inductive system

$$M_k \longrightarrow M_{km(n_0+1)} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow M_{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

LEMMA 2.4. With the notations and assumptions above, the following conditions hold.

(1) If  $k = r_1^{t_1} \dots r_l^{t_l}$ , then  $pAp \cong A$ ,

(2) If  $r_1^{t_1} \dots r_l^{t_l}$  does not divide k, then pAp is not isomorphic to A.

*Proof.* (1) Since  $f(\{m(n)\})(r_j) = t_j$ , for j = 1, 2, ..., l, and  $r_j$  does not divide m(n) for any  $n \ge n_1$  and j = 1, 2, ..., l, we have

 $f(\{km(n_1+1)\dots m(n_1+n-1)\})(r_i) = t_i.$ 

Also, by Lemma 2.1,

$$f(\{km(n_1+1)\dots m(n_1+n-1)\})(r) = \infty, \text{ if } f(\{m(n)\})(r) = \infty,$$
  
$$f(\{km(n_1+1)\dots m(n_1+n-1)\})(r) = 0, \text{ if } f(\{m(n)\})(r) = 0.$$

Hence, by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12],  $pAp \cong A$ .

(2) Since  $r_1^{t_1} \dots r_l^{t_l}$  does not divide k, there is a  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \le j_0 \le l$  such that  $r_{j_0}^{t_{j_0}}$  does not divide k. Hence

$$f(\{km(n_1+1)\dots m(n_1+n-1)\})(r_{i_0}) < t_{i_0} = f(\{m(n)\})(r_{i_0}).$$

Thus pAp is not isomorphic to A by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12]. Q.E.D.

By Lemma 2.4 (2), if  $pAp \cong A$ , there is a  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k = r_1^{t_1} \dots r_l^{t_l} k_1$ .

**LEMMA** 2.5. With the same notations as in Lemma 2.4, we suppose that there is a  $k_1 \in N$  such that  $k = r_1^{l_1} \dots r_l^{l_l} k_1$ . Let  $k_1 = c_1^{d_1} \dots c_h^{d_h}$  be the decomposition of  $k_1$  by prime factors with  $d_j \neq 0$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, h$ . Then the following conditions hold.

- (1) If there is a  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \le j_0 \le h$  such that  $f(\{m(n)!\})(c_{j_0}) = 0$ , then pAp is not isomorphic to A.
- (2) If  $f(\{m(n)\})(c_j) = \infty$  for j = 1, 2, ..., h, then  $pAp \cong A$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $f(\{km(n_1 + 1) \dots m(n_1 + n - 1)\})(c_{j_0}) \ge 1$ , we have

$$f(\{km(n_1+1)\dots(n_1+n-1)\}) \neq f(\{m(n)!\}).$$

Thus pAp is not isomorphic to A, by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12].

(2) By Lemma 2.1, for any prime number r with  $f({m(n)!})(r) = \infty$ , we have

$$f(\{km(n_1+1)\dots m(n_1+n-1)\})(r) = \infty.$$

Let *r* be a prime number with  $1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r) < \infty$ . Then there is a  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \le j_0 \le l$  such that  $r = r_{j_0}$  and that  $f(\{m(n)!\})(r) = t_{j_0}$ . Since  $r_{j_0}^{t_{j_0}}$  divides *k* and  $r_{j_0}$  does not divide m(n), for any  $n \ge n_1$ ,  $f(\{km(n_1 + 1) \dots m(n_1 + n - 1)\})(r) = t_{j_0}$ . Let *r* be a prime number with  $f(\{m(n)!\})(r) = 0$ . Then  $r \ne r_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , and  $r \ne c_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, h$ , since  $f(\{m(n)!\})(c_j) = \infty$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, h$ . Hence *r* does not divide *k*. Hence

$$f(\{km(n_1+1)\dots m(n_1+n-1)\})(r) = 0.$$

Thus  $pAp \cong A$ , by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12]. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2.6. With the notations and assumptions above, let  $n_1$  be an integer with  $n_1 \ge n_0$  and p a projection in  $M_{m(n_1)!}$  with  $\tau(p) = \frac{k}{m(n_1)!}$ . Then  $pAp \cong A$  if and only if there is a  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k = r_1^{l_1} \dots r_l^{l_l} k_1$  and  $k_1 = 1$  or  $k_1 = c_1^{d_1} \dots c_h^{d_h}$  with  $f(\{m(n)\})(c_j) = \infty$  and  $d_j \neq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, h$ .

*Proof.* This is immediate by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 Q.E.D.

Let *A* be a UHF-algebra of type  $\{m(n)\}$ . We suppose that

 $\#\{r|r \text{ is a prime number with } 1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r) < \infty\} = \infty,$ 

 $\#\{r|r \text{ is a prime number with } f(\{m(n)!\})(r) = \infty\} \ge 1.$ 

By the assumptions above we may assume that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , m(n)! has a prime number r as a factor with  $1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r) < \infty$ . Let p be a projection in  $M_{m(n_0)!}$  with  $\tau(p) = \frac{k}{m(n_0)!}$ . For the UHF-algebra pAp we have the inductive system

 $M_k \longrightarrow M_{km(n_0+1)} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow M_{km(n_0+1)\ldots m(n_0+n-1)} \longrightarrow \cdots$ 

By Lemma 2.1 we can easily see that

$$f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r) = \infty,$$

for any prime number r with  $f(\{m(n)!\})(r) = \infty$ . Let  $r_1^{s_1} \dots r_l^{s_l}$  be a factor of  $m(n_0)!$ with  $1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r_j) = t_j < \infty$  and  $1 \le s_j \le t_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , such that  $r_j$  does not divide  $\frac{m(n_0)!}{r_1^{s_1} \dots r_l^{s_l}}$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , and r does not divide  $m(n_0)!$ , for any prime number r with  $r \ne r_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$  and  $1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r) < \infty$ .

**LEMMA** 2.7. With the notations and assumptions above, if  $r_1^{s_1} \dots r_l^{s_l}$  does not divide k, then pAp is not isomorphic to A.

*Proof.* Since  $f(\{m(n)!\})(r_j) = t_j$ , for j = 1, 2, ..., l, there is an  $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n_j \ge n_0 + 1$  such that  $r_j^{l_j - s_j}$  divides  $\frac{m(n_j)!}{m(n_0)!}$ . Since  $r_1^{s_1} \dots r_l^{s_l}$  does not divide k, there is a  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \le j_0 \le l$  such that  $r_{j_0}^{s_0}$  does not divide k. Thus

$$f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r_{j_0}) < s_{j_0} + (t_{j_0} - s_{j_0}) = t_{j_0}.$$

On the other hand  $f({m(n)!})(r_{j_0}) = t_{j_0}$ . Thus pAp is not isomorphic to A by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12]. Q.E.D.

By Lemma 2.7, if  $pAp \cong A$ , then there is a  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k = r_1^{s_1} \dots r_l^{s_l} k_1$ . So we suppose that there is a  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k = r_1^{s_1} \dots r_l^{s_l} k_1$ .

LEMMA 2.8. With the notations and assumptions above, if there is a prime number  $r_0$  with  $f(\{m(n)\})(r_0) < \infty$  such that  $r_0$  divides  $k_1$ , then pAp is not isomorphic to A.

*Proof.* If  $f(\{m(n)!\})(r_0) = 0$ , then  $f(\{km(n_0 + 1) \dots m(n_0 + n - 1)\})(r_0) \ge 1$ , since  $r_0$  divides k. Thus pAp is not isomorphic to A by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12]. We suppose that  $f(\{m(n)!\})(r_0) \ge 1$ . Furthermore, we suppose that there is a  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \le j_0 \le l$  such that  $r_0 = r_{j_0}$ . If  $s_{j_0} = t_{j_0}$ , then  $r_{j_0}^{l_{j_0}+1}$  divides k, since

$$k = r_1^{s_1} \dots r_{j_0}^{t_{j_0}+1} \dots r_l^{s_l} \frac{k_1}{r_{j_0}}.$$

Hence

$$f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r_{i_0}) = t_{i_0}+1.$$

#### KAZUNORI KODAKA

Since  $f(\{m(n)\})(r_{j_0}) = t_{j_0}$ , pAp is not isomorphic to A, by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12]. If  $s_{j_0} < t_{j_0}$ , then  $r_{j_0}^{s_{j_0}+1}$  divides k, since

$$k = r_1^{s_1} \dots r_{j_0}^{s_{j_0}+1} \dots r_l^{s_l} \frac{k_1}{r_{j_0}}.$$

Furthermore, since  $f(\{m(n)\})(r_{j_0}) = t_{j_0}$ , there is an  $n_{j_0} \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n_{j_0} \ge n_0 + 1$  such that  $r^{t_{j_0} - s_{j_0}}$  divides  $\frac{m(n_{j_0})!}{m(n_0)!}$ . Thus

$$f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r_{j_0}) \ge s_{j_0}+1+t_{j_0}-s_{j_0}=t_{j_0}+1.$$

Hence, by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12], *pAp* is not isomorphic to *A*.

Next, we suppose that  $r_0 \neq r_j$ , for j = 1, 2, ..., l. Then, since  $r_0$  does not divide  $m(n_0)!$ , we have

$$f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r_0) = 1 + f(\{m(n)\})(r_0).$$

Thus pAp is not isomorphic to A, by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12]. Q.E.D.

By Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, if  $pAp \cong A$ , then there is a  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k = r_1^{s_1} \dots r_l^{s_l} k_1$  and  $k_1 = 1$  or  $k_1 = c_1^{d_1} \dots c_h^{d_h}$ , where  $c_j$  is a prime number with  $f(\{m(n)\})(c_j) = \infty$  and  $d_j \neq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, h$ .

**LEMMA** 2.9. With the same assumptions as in Lemma 2.8, we suppose that there is a  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k = r_1^{s_1} \dots r_l^{s_l} k_1$  and  $k_1 = 1$  or  $k_1 = c_1^{d_1} \dots c_h^{d_h}$ , where  $c_j$  is a prime number with  $f(\{m(n)\}\})(c_j) = \infty$  and  $d_j \neq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, h$ . Then  $pAp \cong A$ .

*Proof.* We suppose that r is a prime number such that  $r = r_{j_0}$ , for some  $j_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ , with  $1 \le j_0 \le l$ . Then, since  $f(\{m(n)\})(r_{j_0}) = t_{j_0}$ , there is an  $n_{j_0} \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $n_{j_0} \ge n_0 + 1$  such that  $r^{t_{j_0}-s_{j_0}}$  divides  $\frac{m(n_{j_0})!}{m(n_0)!}$ . Since  $r_{j_0}$  does not divide  $k_1$ , we have

$$f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r_{j_0}) = s_{j_0} + t_{j_0} - s_{j_0} = t_{j_0} = f(\{m(n)\})(r_{j_0}).$$

Next, we suppose that *r* is a prime number with  $r \neq r_j$ , for j = 1, 2, ..., l. In this case we divide a proof into three subcases to show that

$$f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r) = f(\{m(n)\})(r).$$

(i) Case of  $1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r) < \infty$ . Then *r* does not divide  $m(n_0)!$ . Hence there is an  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n_1 \ge n_0 + 1$  such that  $r^{t_0}$  divides  $\frac{m(n_1)!}{m(n_0)!}$ , where  $t_0 = f(\{m(n)!\})(r)$ . Thus

$$f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r) = t_0 = f(\{m(n)\})(r)$$

(ii) Case of  $f({m(n)!})(r) = 0$ . Then r does not divide k and m(n), for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus

$$f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r) = 0 = f(\{m(n)\})(r)$$

(iii) Case of  $f({m(n)!})(r) = \infty$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1, there are countably many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \ge n_0 + 1$  such that r divides m(n). Thus

352

 $f(\{km(n_0+1)\dots m(n_0+n-1)\})(r) = \infty = f(\{m(n)\})(r).$ 

Therefore, since  $f(\{km(n_0 + 1) \dots m(n_0 + n - 1)\}) = f(\{m(n)\})$ , by Glimm [6, Theorem 1.12]  $pAp \cong A$ . Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2.10. Let  $n_0$  be a positive integer and p a projection in  $M_{m(n_0)!}$  with  $\tau(p) = \frac{k}{m(n_0)!}$ . Let  $r_1^{s_1} \dots r_l^{s_l}$  be a factor of  $m(n_0)!$  with  $1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r_j) = t_j < \infty$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , such that  $r_j$  does not divide  $\frac{m(n_0)!}{r_1^{s_1}, \dots, r_l^{s_l}}$  and r does not divide  $m(n_0)!$ , for any prime number r with  $r \ne r_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$  and  $1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r) < \infty$ . Then  $pAp \cong A$  if and only if there is a  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k = r_1^{s_1} \dots r_l^{s_l} k_1$  and  $k_1 = 1$  or  $k_1 = c_1^{d_1} \dots c_h^{d_h}$  with  $f(\{m(n)!\})(c_j) = \infty$  and  $d_j \ne 0$ , for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, h$ .

*Proof.* This is immediate, by Lemmas 2.7, 2.8 and 2.9. Q.E.D.

Let A be a UHF-algebra of type  $\{m(n)\}$ . We suppose that

 $\#\{r|r \text{ is a prime number with } 1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r) < \infty\} = \infty,$ 

 $\#\{r|r \text{ is a prime number with } f(\{m(n)!\})(r) = \infty\} = 0.$ 

In this case  $k_1$  in the statement of Theorem 2.10 is always equal to 1, and so  $pAp \cong A$  if and only if  $\tau(p) = 1$ . By Remark 1.5 we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.11. With the assumptions above, for any automorphism  $\beta$  of  $A \otimes K$ , we have  $\beta_* = \text{id on } K_0(A \otimes K)$ .

**3. Examples.** Let *B* be a C\*-algebra and M(B) its multiplier algebra. Let Aut(*B*) be the group of all automorphisms of *B*. For each unitary element  $w \in M(B)$ , let Ad(*w*) denote the automorphism of *B* defined by Ad(*w*)(*b*) = *wbw*<sup>\*</sup>, for any  $b \in B$ . We call Ad(*w*) a *generalized inner automorphism* of *B*, and we denote by Int(*B*) the group of all generalized inner automorphisms of *B*. It is easily seen that Int(*B*) is a normal subgroup of Aut(*B*). We note that if *B* is unital, Int(*B*) is the group of all inner automorphisms of *B*. Let Pic(*B*) be the Picard group of *B*. We note that Pic(*B*)  $\cong$  Aut( $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$ )/Int( $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$ ).

Let A be a UHF-algebra of type  $\{m(n)\}$  and S the semigroup of automorphisms of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  defined in Section 1.

EXAMPLE 3.1. We suppose that  $m(n) = k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \ge 2$ , for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; that is, A is a UHF-algebra of type  $k^{\infty}$ .

(1) If k is a prime number, then by Theorem 2.3 we have

$$S = \left\{ \frac{1}{k^t} | t \in \mathbf{Z} \quad \text{with} \quad t \ge 0 \right\}.$$

Hence the group of automorphisms of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  induced by those of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$  is  $\{\frac{1}{k^t} | t \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cong \mathbf{Z}$ . Also, by Lemma 1.1 and [8, Proposition 4], Pic(A) is isomorphic to a semidirect product of Aut(A)/Int(A) with  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

(2) If k = 6, then by Theorem 2.3 we have

$$S = \{\frac{2^{d_1} \cdot 3^{d_2}}{6^t} | 1 \le 2^{d_1} \cdot 3^{d_2} \le 6^t, \quad d_1, d_2, t = 0, 1, \dots \}$$

EXAMPLE 3.2 We suppose that

 $\#\{r|r \text{ is a prime number with } 1 \le f(\{m(n)!\})(r) < \infty\} = \infty,$ 

 $\#\{r|r \text{ is a prime number with } f(\{m(n)!\})(r) = \infty\} = 0.$ 

Then, by Lemma 2.1,  $S = \{1\}$ . Hence the group of automorphisms of  $K_0(A \otimes \mathbf{K})$  induced by those of  $A \otimes \mathbf{K}$  is  $\{1\}$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{Pic}(A) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(A)/\operatorname{Int}(A)$ , by Lemma 1.1 and [8, Proposition 4].

**REMARK** 3.3. Let *A* be an AF-algebra by an inductive limit of finite dimensional C\*-algebras for which the corresponding limit of  $K_0$ -groups is

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}^2 \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \mathbf{Z}^2 \longrightarrow \cdots$$
,

where each  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  is endowed with its natural ordering and

$$\phi_n = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \ldots]$  is the continued fraction expansion of an irrational number  $\theta$ . Then, in the same way as in [8], we see that if  $\theta$  is not quadratic,  $Pic(A) \cong Aut(A)/Int(A)$  and that if  $\theta$  is quadratic, Pic(A) is isomorphic to a semidirect product of Aut(A)/Int(A) with Z.

## REFERENCES

1. B. Blackadar, Traces on simple AF C\*-algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 38 (1980), 156-168.

2. B. Blackadar, K-theory for operator algebras, (M. S. R. I. Publications, Springer-Verlag, 1986).

**3.** L. G. Brown, Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebra of C\*-algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **71** (1977), 335–348.

4. E. G. Effros and C. L. Shen, Approximately finite C\*-algebras and continued fractions, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 29 (1980), 191–204.

**5.** G. A. Elliott, D. E. Evans and A. Kishimoto, Outer conjugacy classes of trace scaling automorphisms of stable UHF-algebras, preprint.

6. J. Glimm, On a certain class in operator algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1960), 318–340.

**7.** K. Kodaka, Automorphisms of tensor products of irrational rotation C\*-algebras and the C\*-algebra of compact operators II, *J. Operator Theory* **30** (1993), 77–84.

8. K. Kodaka, Picard groups of irrational rotation C\*-algebras, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 56 (1997), 179–188.

9. K. Kodaka, Full projections, equivalence bimodules and automorphisms of stable algebras of unital C\*-algebras, J. Operator Theory 37 (1997), 357–369.

10. G. K. Pedersen, C\*-algebras and their automorphism groups (Academic Press, 1979).

**11.** M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, Imbedding the irrational rotation C\*-algebra into an AF-algebra, *J. Operator Theory* **4** (1980), 201–210.

12. M. Rørdam, Classification of certain infinite simple C\*-algebras, preprint.

354