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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a finite $p$-solvable group. We describe the structure of the $p$-complements of $G$ when the set of $p$-regular conjugacy classes has exactly three class sizes. For instance, when the set of $p$-regular class sizes of $G$ is $\left\{1, p^{a}, p^{a} m\right\}$ or $\left\{1, m, p^{a} m\right\}$ with $(m, p)=1$, then we show that $m=q^{b}$ for some prime $q$ and the structure of the $p$-complements of $G$ is determined.
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## 1. Introduction

It is known that the structure of a finite group is strongly related to its set of conjugacy class sizes. In particular, in some papers it has been proved that certain properties of the sizes of $p$-regular conjugacy classes also affect the $p$-structure of $G$. In [1], Alemany et al. proved that if the set of conjugacy class sizes of $p^{\prime}$-elements of a finite group $G$ is $\{1, m\}$, then $p$-complements of $G$ are nilpotent. In [3], the structure of the $p$-complements of a $p$-solvable group $G$ has been described for the case in which the set of $p$-regular conjugacy class sizes of $G$ is $\{1, m, n\}$ for arbitrary coprime integers $m, n>1$. In fact, it is shown that $G$ is solvable and the $p$-complements of $G$ are quasi-Frobenius groups in which the inverse image of the kernel and complement are abelian. Also, in [7], it is proved that, if the set of conjugacy class sizes of all $p^{\prime}$-elements of a finite $p$-solvable group $G$ is $\left\{1, m, p^{a}, m p^{a}\right\}$, where $m$ is a positive number not divisible by $p$, then $m$ is a prime power and, furthermore, the $p$-complements of $G$ are nilpotent.

We note that studying the $p$-structure of a finite group $G$ from its set of $p$-regular conjugacy class sizes may be more difficult, even if one considers the $p$-solvability of $G$, since if $H$ is a $p$-complement of $G$ and $x \in H$, then $\left|x^{H}\right|$ does not divide $\left|x^{G}\right|$ in general. Furthermore, we are handicapped by the fact that there is no information on the elements whose order is divisible by $p$.

In this paper, we study the structure of the $p$-complements of a $p$-solvable group $G$, with $\left\{1, p^{a}, m p^{a}\right\}$ or $\left\{1, m, m p^{a}\right\}$ as the set of conjugacy class sizes of $p^{\prime}$-elements of $G$, where $(p, m)=1$. In fact, we prove the following two theorems, which are extensions for $p$-solvable groups of Itô's Theorem on groups with two class sizes (see, for example, $[\mathbf{9}, 33.6]$ ).

Theorem A. Let $G$ be a $p$-solvable group with $\left\{1, m, p^{a} m\right\}$ as the set of conjugacy class sizes of $p^{\prime}$-elements, where $(p, m)=1$. Then $m=q^{b}$ for some prime $q$, and any p-complement $H$ of $G$ satisfies $H=Q \times K$ with $Q$ a Sylow $q$-subgroup and $K$ abelian.

Theorem B. Let $G$ be a $p$-solvable group. If the set of conjugacy class sizes of $p^{\prime}$-elements of $G$ is $\left\{1, p^{a}, p^{a} m\right\}$, with $(p, m)=1$, then $m=q^{b}$ for some prime $q$ and some integer $b \geqslant 0$, and every $p$-complement $H$ of $G$ is either
(i) $H=Q \times K$, with $Q$ a Sylow $q$-subgroup and $K$ abelian, or
(ii) $H=Q K$, with $Q$ a normal abelian Sylow $q$-subgroup, $K$ abelian and $Q \boldsymbol{O}_{p}(G) \unlhd G$.

Notice that the solvability of $G$ is an easy consequence of both Theorem A and Theorem B. We also note that the methods we employ for proving Theorems A and B are quite different. In the proof of Theorem $A$ we use the classification of the finite $\mathfrak{M}$-groups due to Schmidt, that is, those non-abelian groups in which all centralizers of non-central elements are abelian. In the proof of Theorem B a more detailed analysis is required.

We remark that the information obtained on the $p$-structure of a group $G$ from its set of $p$-regular class sizes has important applications when studying the conjugacy class sizes of $G$ in the ordinary case (see, for example, [5] and [6], in which the information is used to obtain the solvability or nilpotency of certain groups) and, as a consequence, in determining the structure of $G$.

Throughout this paper all groups are finite. If $x$ is any element of a group $G$, we denote by $x^{G}$ the conjugacy class of $x$ in $G$ and $\left|x^{G}\right|$ is called the conjugacy class size of $x$ and also the index of $x$ in $G$. If $p$ is a prime number and $n$ is an integer, then we use the notation $n_{p}$ for the $p$-part of $n$, i.e. $n_{p}=p^{\alpha}$, where $p^{\alpha}$ divides $n$ and $p^{\alpha+1}$ does not divide $n$. We will denote the set of $p^{\prime}$-elements of $G$ by $G_{p^{\prime}}$ and the set of conjugacy classes of $p^{\prime}$-elements of $G$ by cs $_{p^{\prime}}(G)$. All further unexplained notation is standard.

## 2. Preliminary results

We will need some results on conjugacy class sizes of $p$-regular elements and of $\pi$-elements for a suitable set of primes $\pi$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a finite group. All the conjugacy class sizes in $G_{p^{\prime}}$ are then $p$-numbers if and only if $G$ has abelian $p$-complements.

Proof. See Lemma 2 of [4].
Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $G$ is a finite group and that $p$ is not a divisor of the sizes of p-regular conjugacy classes. Then $G=P \times H$, where $P$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup and $H$ is a $p$-complement of $G$.

Proof. This is exactly Lemma 1 of [8].
Lemma 2.3. Let $x$ and $y$ be a $q$-element and a $q^{\prime}$-element, respectively, of a group $G$, such that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$. Then $\boldsymbol{O}_{q}(G) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$.

Proof. It is enough to apply Thompson's $P \times Q$-Lemma [11, 8.2.8] to the action of $\langle x\rangle \times\langle y\rangle$ on $\boldsymbol{O}_{q}(G)$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a $\pi$-separable group. If $x \in G$ with $\left|x^{G}\right|$ a $\pi$-number, then $x \in \boldsymbol{O}_{\pi \pi^{\prime}}(G)$.

Proof. See Theorem C of [2].
The following result is an extension for $p$-regular elements of Itô's Theorem on groups having two class sizes.

Theorem 2.5. Let $G$ be a finite group. If the set of p-regular conjugacy class sizes of $G$ is exactly $\{1, m\}$, then $m=p^{a} q^{b}$, with $q$ a prime distinct from $p$ and $a, b \geqslant 0$. If $b=0$, then $G$ has an abelian $p$-complement. If $b \neq 0$, then $G=P Q \times A$, with $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}(G)$, $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_{q}(G)$ and $A \subseteq \boldsymbol{Z}(G)$. Furthermore, if $a=0$, then $G=P \times Q \times A$.

Proof. This is Theorem A of [2].
Theorem 2.6. Let $G$ be a finite $p$-solvable group and let $\pi=\{p, q\}$ with $q$ and $p$ two distinct primes. Suppose that the sizes of the conjugacy classes of $G_{p^{\prime}}$ are $\pi$-numbers. Then $G$ is solvable, it has abelian $\pi$-complements and every p-complement of $G$ has a normal Sylow $q$-subgroup.

Proof. This is Theorem 5 of $[7]$.
The following result extends Theorem 6 of $[\mathbf{7}]$ with an easier proof.
Theorem 2.7. Let $G$ be a finite $p$-solvable group and let $\pi=\{p, q\}$ with $q$ and $p$ two distinct primes. Suppose that the sizes of p-regular classes in $G$ are $\pi$-numbers. Let $q^{b}$ be the highest power of the prime $q$ that divides the sizes of classes of p-regular elements in $G$. Suppose that there exists some $q$-element $x \in G$ such that $\left|x^{G}\right|=p^{a} q^{b}$, where $a, b \geqslant 0$. Then $G$ has nilpotent $p$-complements and they have abelian Sylow subgroups for all primes distinct from $q$.

Proof. We know that $G$ is solvable by Theorem 2.6. Let $K$ be a $\pi$-complement of $G$ such that $K \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. Notice that $K$ is abelian by Theorem 2.6, and furthermore it can be assumed to be non-central in $G$, otherwise the result is trivial. Let $y$ be any element in $K$ and observe that $K \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x y)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. Notice that the index of $x y$ in $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ is a $q^{\prime}$-number, and consequently a $p$-number. If we choose $K Q_{0}$ to be a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x y)$, then it is also a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. Note that $x \in K Q_{0}$. Now, let $H$ be a $p$-complement of $G$ such that $K Q_{0} \subseteq H$. We can then write $H=K Q$ with $Q$ a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $G$ that is normal in $H$ by Theorem 2.6. Therefore, $Q_{0} \subseteq Q$ and

$$
\boldsymbol{C}_{Q}(x y)=Q \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x y)=Q_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}(x)=Q \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=Q_{0}
$$

Then $\boldsymbol{C}_{Q}(x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{Q}(x y) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}(y)$, so we can apply Thompson's Lemma to get $Q \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$ for all $y \in K$. Consequently, $H=Q \times K$ as desired.

Theorem 2.8. Let $G$ be a finite group and let $\pi$ be a set of primes. Suppose that the conjugacy class size of every $\pi$-element of $G$ is a power of $p$ for some fixed prime $p \notin \pi$. Then $G$ has an abelian Hall $\pi$-subgroup $H$ and $H \boldsymbol{O}_{p}(G) \unlhd G$.

Proof. This is part (a) of Theorem A of [4].
We use the above theorem to give a simplified proof of Theorem 2.9, which is moreover an extension of Theorem 7 of $[\mathbf{7}]$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $G$ be a p-solvable group whose conjugacy class sizes of $p^{\prime}$-elements are $\left\{1, p^{a_{1}}, \ldots, p^{a_{r}}, p^{c_{1}} q^{b}, \ldots, p^{c_{s}} q^{b}\right\}$, where $q$ is a prime distinct from $p$ and $c_{i} \geqslant 0$, $b, a_{i} \geqslant 0$ for all $i$. Then any $p$-complement $H$ of $G$ is either
(i) $H=Q \times K$, with $Q$ a Sylow $q$-subgroup and $K$ abelian, or
(ii) $H=Q K$, with $Q$ a Sylow $q$-subgroup, $Q$ and $K$ both abelian, $Q \unlhd H$ and $Q \boldsymbol{O}_{p}(G) \unlhd$ $G$.

Proof. If there exists a $q$-element of index $q^{b} p^{c_{i}}$ for some $i$, then case (i) follows by Theorem 2.7. Otherwise, the index of every $q$-element is a $p$-number. So, by Theorem 2.8 , $G$ has an abelian Sylow $q$-subgroup $Q$ and $Q \boldsymbol{O}_{p}(G) \unlhd G$ and thus, if $H$ is a $p$-complement of $G$, containing $Q$, then $Q \unlhd H$. Also by Theorem 2.6 we get that $G$ is solvable and it has an abelian $\{p, q\}$-complement. So we have case (ii).

Corollary 2.10. Let $G$ be a $p$-solvable group.
(a) If $\operatorname{cs}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=\left\{1, q^{b}, p^{a} q^{b}\right\}$, where $q$ is a prime distinct from $p$, then any $p$-complement $H$ of $G$ satisfies $H=Q \times K$ with $Q$ a Sylow $q$-subgroup and $K$ abelian.
(b) If $\operatorname{cs}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=\left\{1, p^{a}, p^{a} q^{b}\right\}$, where $q$ is a prime distinct from $p$, then every $p$-complement $H$ of $G$, is either
(i) $H=Q \times K$, with $Q$ a Sylow $q$-subgroup and $K$ abelian, or
(ii) $H=Q K$, with $Q$ a normal abelian Sylow $q$-subgroup, $K$ abelian and $Q \boldsymbol{O}_{p}(G) \unlhd G$.

Proof. Case (a) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.7 and case (b) is a consequence of Theorem 2.9.

## 3. Groups with three $p$-regular class sizes

In order to prove Theorems A and B , it is sufficient to show that when the set of $p$-regular class sizes of a group $G$ is $\left\{1, p^{a}, p^{a} m\right\}$ or $\left\{1, m, p^{a} m\right\}$, with $(m, p)=1$, then $m$ is a prime power, $q^{b}$. We shall prove this in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. The main results then follow by Corollary 2.10. Note that if $a=0$, then $G$ has two $p$-regular class sizes, so Theorems A and B are immediate consequences of Theorem 2.5. Also note that if $b=0$, then $G$ has abelian $p$-complements by Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. If $G$ is a $p$-solvable group such that $\operatorname{cs}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=\left\{1, m, p^{a} m\right\}$ with $(p, m)=1$, then $m=q^{b}$ for some prime $q$.

Proof. Take $H$ to be a $p$-complement of $G$. We prove the theorem in the following steps.

Step 1. For every non-central p-regular element $x$ of $G$, we may assume that there exist at least two primes $q$ and $r$, distinct from $p$, such that $r$ and $q$ divide $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right| /|\boldsymbol{Z}(G)|$.

Suppose that there exists a non-central $p$-regular element $x \in G$ such that, for some prime $q \neq p,\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p^{\prime}} /|\boldsymbol{Z}(G)|_{p^{\prime}}$ is a $q$-number. On the other hand, we may assume that there exists a non-central $r$-element $y$ in $G$, with $r$ distinct from $p$ and $q$, since otherwise $G$ is the direct product of a $\{p, q\}$-group and a central factor, and so the result follows. Therefore, $r$ is a divisor of $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)\right|_{p^{\prime}} /|\boldsymbol{Z}(G)|_{p^{\prime}}$. Note that $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p^{\prime}}=|G|_{p^{\prime}} / m=$ $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)\right|_{p^{\prime}}$, and so we get a contradiction.

Step 2. If $x$ is a non-central element of $H$ such that $\left|x^{G}\right|=m$, then $\left|x^{H}\right|=m$. Moreover, $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)=T_{x} Q_{x}$, with $Q_{x}$ a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$, where $q$ is a prime divisor of the order of $x$, and $T_{x}$ a normal abelian $q^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$. Furthermore, $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ is a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$.

Let $x$ be a non-central element of $H$ with $\left|x^{G}\right|=m$. So $G=H \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, and consequently $\left|H: \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)\right|=\left|G: \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right|=m$, as desired. Also, $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x): \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)\right|=|G: H|$ implies that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ is a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. By the minimality of the class size of $x$, we can certainly assume that $x$ is a $q$-element for some prime $q$ distinct from $p$. Let $y$ be a non-central $\{p, q\}^{\prime}$-element in $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ (note that by Step 1 such elements exist). Then $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x y)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, and so by the hypotheses, $y$ has index 1 or $p^{a}$ in $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. Now, we apply Theorem 2.9 , so $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ has abelian $\{p, q\}$-complements, and $T_{x} \boldsymbol{O}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right) \unlhd \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, for every $\{p, q\}$-complement $T_{x}$ of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. Since $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ is a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, we may assume that $T_{x} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$, and, as a consequence, $T_{x} \unlhd \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$. So we get the result.

Step 3. $H$ is an $\mathfrak{M}$-group.
Let $x \in H$ be a non-central element. We distinguish two possibilities for the index of $x$ in $G$.

First suppose that $\left|x^{G}\right|=m$. We may assume that $x$ is a $q$-element for some prime $q$. By Step 2 we have $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)=T_{x} Q_{x}$, where $T_{x}$ is the normal abelian $q$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ and $Q_{x}$ is a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$. Let $y \in T_{x}$ be a non-central $r$-element for some prime $r \neq q$.

Assume first that $\left|y^{G}\right|=m$. Then $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)\right|=\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)\right|$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)=L_{y} R_{y}$, where $L_{y}$ is the normal abelian $r$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)$ and $R_{y}$ is a Sylow $r$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)$, by Step 2. So $Q_{y} \subseteq L_{y}$ is the normal abelian Sylow $q$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)$. Therefore, $x \in Q_{y}$ and hence $Q_{y} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)$. Also, since $T_{x}$ is abelian, $T_{x} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)$, which implies that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)=Q_{y} \times T_{x}$, and we deduce that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ is abelian.

Now we assume that $\left|y^{G}\right|=p^{a} m$. Then by the minimality of $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)\right|$ we have $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)=$ $P_{y} R_{y} \times K_{y}$, where $P_{y}$ and $R_{y}$ are some Sylow $p$-subgroup and $r$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$, respectively, and $K_{y}$ is abelian. On the other hand, since $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x y)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y) \subseteq$ $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$, the minimality of $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)\right|$ implies that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x y)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. So we may assume that $R_{y} \subseteq T_{x}$ and, consequently, $R_{y}$ is abelian. Hence, $R_{y} \times K_{y}$ is an abelian $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$. Also $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, whence every $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$ is a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. Now, from the fact that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ is a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ we get that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ is abelian.

Suppose that $\left|x^{G}\right|=p^{a} m$. First we assume that there exists some non-central $p$-regular element $\alpha$ such that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \subsetneq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha)$. So $\left|\alpha^{G}\right|=m$. Since $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ is a $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha)$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{H^{g}}(\alpha)$, where $\boldsymbol{C}_{H^{g}}(\alpha)$ is a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha)$. By the above argument, $\boldsymbol{C}_{H^{g}}(\alpha)$ is abelian, whence $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ is abelian too.

Suppose that there exists no non-central $p^{\prime}$-element $\alpha$ such that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \subsetneq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha)$. Hence, we may certainly assume that $x$ is an $r$-element for some prime $r \neq p$. So we can write $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=P_{x} R_{x} \times K_{x}$, where $P_{x}$ and $R_{x}$ are some Sylow $p$-subgroup and $r$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ and $K_{x}$ is abelian. By Step 1 there exists a non-central $q$-element $w \in K_{x}$ for some prime $q \notin\{p, r\}$. Thus, $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$, which implies that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$, by the hypotheses. On the other hand, we have $C_{G}(w)=P_{w} Q_{w} \times L_{w}$, where $P_{w}$ and $Q_{w}$ are some Sylow $p$-subgroup and $q$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$ and $L_{w}$ is abelian. So $R_{w} \subseteq L_{w}$ is the normal abelian Sylow $r$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. Hence, $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ has abelian $p$-complements. Consequently, every $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ is abelian and, in particular, $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ is abelian too.

Step 4. For every non-central element $x \in H, m$ is a divisor of $\left|x^{H}\right|$. In particular, if $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, then the theorem follows.

Let $x \in H$ be a non-central element. Since $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$ is a $p^{\prime}$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{H^{g}}(x)$, where $\boldsymbol{C}_{H^{g}}(x)$ is a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. On the other hand, $m=\left|G: \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right|_{p^{\prime}}=\left|H^{g}: \boldsymbol{C}_{H^{g}}(x)\right|$. Therefore, $m$ divides $\left|x^{H}\right|$, as desired. If $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, then $\left|x^{H}\right|$ divides $\left|x^{G}\right|$ for every non-central element $x \in H$, and by the fact that $m$ is a divisor of $\left|x^{H}\right|$ we get that $\operatorname{cs}(H)=\{1, m\}$; thus, by applying Ito's Theorem on groups with two class sizes (see [9, 33.6]), we obtain that $m$ is a prime power.

Step 5. Conclusion.
As we proved in Step 3, $H$ is an $\mathfrak{M}$-group. So by applying the classification of finite $\mathfrak{M}$-groups (see [13, Theorem 9.3.12]), we have the following possibilities, each of which leads either to the fact that $m$ is a prime power or to a contradiction.

- Assume that $H=A \times Q$, where $A$ is abelian and $Q$ is a $q$-group, for some prime $q$. Let $x$ be an element in $Q \backslash \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$. So $\left|x^{G}\right|$ is a $\{p, q\}$-number, whence $m$ is a $q$-power, as desired.
- Assume that $H$ is non-abelian and has a normal abelian subgroup $N$ of index $q$ for some prime $q$ distinct from $p$. Notice that $N \nsubseteq \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$, and hence, if $x \in N \backslash \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$, then $\left|x^{G}\right|$ is a $\{p, q\}$-number, whence $m$ is a $q$-power.
- Suppose that $H / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$ is a Frobenius group, with Frobenius kernel $K / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$ and Frobenius complement $L / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$, where $K$ and $L$ are abelian. It follows that $\operatorname{cs}(H)=$ $\{1,|K / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)|,|L / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)|\}$, and so, by Step $4, m=1$, the theorem is trivially true.
- Suppose that $H / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$ is a Frobenius group, with Frobenius kernel $K / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$ and Frobenius complement $L / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$, where $K$ is abelian and $L / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$ is a $q$-group for some prime $q$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{cs}(H)=\left\{1,|L / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)|,|K / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)|\left|x^{L}\right|: x \in\right.$ $L \backslash \boldsymbol{Z}(H)\}$, and so, by Step $4, m$ is a $q$-power.
- Assume that $H / \boldsymbol{Z}(H) \cong S_{4}$, and $V / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$ is the Klein 4 -group, where $V$ is nonabelian. Then for every $x \in H \backslash \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$ we have that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x) / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of $H / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$ (see [13, p. 521]). One can then easily obtain $\operatorname{cs}(H)=$ $\{1,6,8,12\}$, whence $m=2$ by Step 4 .
- Let $H / \boldsymbol{Z}(H) \cong \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{h}\right)$ for some prime $q$. Note that $\boldsymbol{Z}(H)=\boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{p^{\prime}}$. By Lemma 2.4, if $x$ is a $p$-regular element in $G$ such that $\left|x^{G}\right|=m$, then $x \in \boldsymbol{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G) / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$ is a non-trivial normal subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{h}\right)$, so $H=$ $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, and the result follows by Step 4.
- Finally, assume that $H / \boldsymbol{Z}(H) \cong \operatorname{PGL}\left(2, q^{h}\right)$ for some prime $q$. Note that $\boldsymbol{Z}(H)=$ $\boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{p^{\prime}}$. Since $\boldsymbol{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ can be assumed to be a proper non-central subgroup of $H$, we deduce that $\boldsymbol{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G) / \boldsymbol{Z}(H) \cong \operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{h}\right)$. Therefore, any class size of $\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{h}\right)$ divides a $p$-regular class size of $G$ and, consequently, their least common multiple, which is $\left|\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{h}\right)\right|$, divides $m$. Let $x \in H \backslash \boldsymbol{Z}(G)$, such that $\left|x^{G}\right|=m$; we then have $\left|x^{H}\right|=m$. Since $\left|\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{h}\right)\right|=\left|\boldsymbol{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right| /|\boldsymbol{Z}(H)|$ divides $m$, there exists an integer $t$ such that $\left|\boldsymbol{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G): \boldsymbol{Z}(H)\right| t=m=\left|H: \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)\right|$. This implies that $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x): \boldsymbol{Z}(H)\right| t=\left|H: \boldsymbol{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right|=2$, and so $t=1$. Therefore, $|H / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)|=$ $\left|H / \boldsymbol{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G)\right|\left|\boldsymbol{O}_{p^{\prime}}(G) / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)\right|=2 m$. Let $y$ be a non-central element in $H$. There exists $g \in G$ such that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{H^{g}}(y)$, where $\boldsymbol{C}_{H^{g}}(y)$ is a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$, so $m=\left|G: \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)\right|_{p^{\prime}}=\left|H^{g}: \boldsymbol{C}_{H^{g}}(y)\right|$. Taking into account that $\boldsymbol{Z}(H) \subset$ $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{H^{g}}(y) \subseteq H^{g}$ and $2 m=\left|H^{g} / \boldsymbol{Z}(H)\right|$, it follows that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)=\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{H}^{g}}(y)$, so $m=\left|H^{g}: \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)\right|=\left|H: \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)\right|$ for every $y \in H \backslash \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$. By Ito's Theorem on groups with two class sizes, $m$ is a prime power, which contradicts the fact that $\left|\operatorname{PSL}\left(2, q^{h}\right)\right|$ divides $m$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G$ be a $p$-solvable group and suppose that $\operatorname{cs}_{p^{\prime}}(G)=\left\{1, p^{a}, p^{a} m\right\}$ with $(p, m)=1$. Then $m=q^{b}$ for some prime $q$.

Proof. We will proceed by minimal counterexample to prove that $m$ is a prime power. Let $G$ be a group of minimal order satisfying the hypotheses and such that $m$ is not a prime power. Notice that if $w$ is a $p^{\prime}$-element of index $p^{a}$, then by minimality of its index, $w$ certainly can be assumed to be a $q$-element for some prime $q \neq p$. For the rest of the proof, we will fix the prime $q$ and a $q$-element $w$ of index $p^{a}$. Let $H$ be a $p$-complement of $G$ such that $H \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$.

Step 1. If $y \in H$ is a $q^{\prime}$-element, then $\left|y^{H}\right|=1$ or $m$. As a consequence, $H=Q R \times A$, where $Q$ and $R$ are Sylow $q$ - and $r$-subgroups of $H$, respectively, and $A$ is abelian, and $m=q^{b} r^{c}$ with $b, c>0$ for some prime $r \neq p, q$.

Let $y$ be any $q^{\prime}$-element of $H$. Then $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w y)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$, so by the hypotheses $y$ may have index 1 or $m$ in $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$. Now, since $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)=H \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w y)$ and $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(w y)=\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)$, it follows that $\left|H: \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(y)\right|=\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w): \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w y)\right|=1$ or $m$. If every $q^{\prime}$-element of $H$ has index 1 in $H$, then $H$ has a central $q$-complement. Therefore, every element of $H$ is centralized by a $\{p, q\}$-complement of $G$, so its index is a $\{p, q\}$-number and $m$ would be a power of $q$, a contradiction. Therefore, both numbers, 1 and $m$, appear as indexes of $q^{\prime}$-elements in $H$, so we can apply Theorem 2.5. Since we have assumed that $m$ is not a prime power, this completes the step.

Step 2. If $x$ is an $s$-element for any prime $s \neq q, p$ and $y$ is a $q$-element such that both $x$ and $y$ have index $p^{a}$, then $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)^{g}$ for some $g \in G$.

Let $H_{1}$ be a $p$-complement of $G$ contained in $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$. It is clear that there exists some $g \in G$ such that $H_{1}^{g} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$. Then $y^{g} \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ and, clearly, $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x): \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(y^{g} x\right)\right|$ must be equal to 1 or $m$. As $m$ is a $p^{\prime}$ number, we can take $P_{x}$ to be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ such that $P_{x} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(y^{g} x\right)$. In particular, we have $P_{x} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(y^{g}\right)$. By considering the orders, $P_{x}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(y^{g}\right)$ and thus $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(y^{g}\right)=H_{1}^{g} P_{x}=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, as desired.

Step 3. Every $s$-element of $G$ has index 1 or $p^{a} m$ in $G$ for any prime $s \neq p, q$. Also, for every $s$-element $x$, we have $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=P_{x} S_{x} \times T_{x}$, where $P_{x}$ and $S_{x}$ are a Sylow $p$-subgroup and a Sylow $s$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, respectively, and $T_{x}$ is abelian.

Suppose that $\rho$ is a non-central $s$-element such that $\left|\rho^{G}\right|=p^{a}$. Then by the last step we have $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)^{g}$ for some $g \in G$.

Let $z \in H$ be an element of prime power order. If $(o(z), q)=1$, then, by Step 1, we conclude that the index of $z$ in $H$ is 1 or $m$. Let $z$ be a $q$-element. Since $z \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)=$ $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)^{g}$, we conclude that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(z \rho^{g}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(z) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(\rho^{g}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(\rho^{g}\right)$. Therefore, $\mid \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(\rho^{g}\right)$ : $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(z \rho^{g}\right) \mid=1$ or $m$, and as a consequence $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(\rho^{g}\right)=H \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(z \rho^{g}\right)$. Now it is easy to see that $\left|z^{H}\right|=\left|H: \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(z)\right|=\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(\rho^{g}\right): \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(z \rho^{g}\right)\right|=1$ or $m$.

Now we shall prove that $m$ is a prime power, which is a contradiction. By Step 1 we have that $H$ is solvable, which means that there must exist some prime $q$ such that $\boldsymbol{Z}(H)_{q}<\boldsymbol{O}_{q}(H)$. If every $r$-element of $H$ is central in $H$ for every prime $r$ dividing $|H|$ distinct from $q$, then $m$ is certainly a $q$-power. So, let $x$ be a non-central $r$-element of
$H$ for such a prime $r$. We take $Q$ to be a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)$. Let us consider the action of $Q \times\langle x\rangle$ on $Q_{0}=\boldsymbol{O}_{q}(H)$. We claim that $\boldsymbol{C}_{Q_{0}}(Q) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{Q_{0}}(x)$. In fact, if $z \in C_{Q_{0}}(Q)$ is non-central in $H$, then $\langle Q, z\rangle \leqslant C_{H}(z)<H$. However, by the above paragraph, $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(z)\right|_{q}=\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)\right|_{q}=|Q|$, so, in particular, $z \in Q \cap Q_{0} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{Q_{0}}(x)$ as claimed. We apply Thompson's $P \times Q$-Lemma to get $x \in \boldsymbol{C}_{H}\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{q}(H)\right)$, and thus show that every Sylow $r$-subgroup of $H$ lies in $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{q}(H)\right)$ for every $r \neq q$. This means that $\left|H: \boldsymbol{C}_{H}\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{q}(H)\right)\right|$ is a $q$-number. However, if we take $w \in \boldsymbol{O}_{q}(H) \backslash \boldsymbol{Z}(H)$, then $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{q}(H)\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(w)$ and, consequently, $m$ is a $q$-number too, as desired.
Now let $x$ be a non-central $s$-element, in which case we have $\left|x^{G}\right|=p^{a} m$. If $y$ is an $\{s, p\}^{\prime}$-element in $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, then $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$, which implies that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=P_{x} S_{x} \times T_{x}$, where $P_{x}$ and $S_{x}$ are some Sylow $p$-subgroup and $s$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, respectively, and $T_{x}$ is abelian.

Step 4. Every non-central $\{r, p\}^{\prime}$-element has class size $p^{a}$. As a consequence, $G$ is a $\{p, q, r\}$-group.
First we claim that every $q$-element has class size 1 or $p^{a}$.
Suppose that $\alpha$ is a $q$-element of index $p^{a} m$. Take a $p$-complement $H_{1}$ of $G$ such that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}(\alpha)$ is a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha)$. Note that $\alpha \in H_{1}$. By using Step 3, there exists a non-central $r$-element $\beta \in G$ such that $\left|\beta^{G}\right|=p^{a}$ m. Hence, $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha)\right|=\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\beta)\right|$ and $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}(\alpha) /\left(\boldsymbol{Z}(G) \cap H_{1}\right)\right|_{r}=\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\beta) / \boldsymbol{Z}(G)\right|_{r}>1$. So we conclude that there exists a noncentral $r$-element $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}(\alpha)$, whence $\left|\gamma^{G}\right|=p^{a} m$. Moreover, $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha \gamma)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\gamma)$, and by the maximality of the index of $\alpha$ and $\gamma$, we conclude that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\gamma)=$ $C_{G}(\alpha \gamma)$.
Now consider the action of $\langle\alpha\rangle \times\langle\gamma\rangle$ on $\boldsymbol{O}_{q}\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right)$ and, by Lemma 2.3, we deduce that $\boldsymbol{O}_{q}\left(H_{1}\right) \times \boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\gamma)$. In particular, $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{F}\left(H_{1}\right)\right) \subseteq$ $\boldsymbol{F}\left(H_{1}\right)$, since, by Step 1, $H_{1}$ is a solvable group that can be described as $H_{1}=Q_{1} R_{1} \times A_{1}$, where $Q_{1}$ and $R_{1}$ are some Sylow $q$ - and $r$-subgroups of $H_{1}$, respectively, and $A_{1}$ is abelian. Therefore, $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right)$.
Now we shall show that $R_{1} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha)$, which provides a contradiction, since $\alpha$ has index $p^{a} m$, which is divisible by $r$.
Let $\eta \in R_{1}$ be a non-central $r$-element. Then, by Step 3, $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)=P_{\eta} R_{\eta} \times T_{\eta}$, where $P_{\eta}$ and $R_{\eta}$ are some Sylow $p$-subgroup and $r$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)$, respectively, and $T_{\eta}$ is abelian. So $\boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}(\eta) \subseteq\left(R_{\eta} \times T_{\eta}\right)^{x}$ for some $x \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)$. Since $\left|H_{1}: \boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}(\eta)\right|=m=$ $\left|G: \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)\right|_{p^{\prime}}$, by Step 1 we deduce that $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}(\eta)\right|=\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)\right|_{p^{\prime}}$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}(\eta)=$ $\left(R_{\eta} \times T_{\eta}\right)^{x}$. By changing the notation we may assume that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}(\eta)=R_{\eta} \times T_{\eta}$. Now we consider the action of $R_{\eta} \times T_{\eta}$ on $\boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right)$ by conjugation. We claim that $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right)}\left(R_{\eta}\right) \subseteq$ $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right)}\left(T_{\eta}\right)$.
If $z$ is a non-central element in $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right)}\left(R_{\eta}\right)$, then $\left\langle R_{\eta}, z\right\rangle \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(z)$ and, since $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(z)\right|_{r}=\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)\right|_{r}=\left|R_{\eta}\right|$, we deduce that $z \in R_{\eta}$ and hence $z \in \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right)}\left(T_{\eta}\right)$. So it follows that $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right)}\left(R_{\eta}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right)}\left(T_{\eta}\right)$. Now, by using Thompson's $P \times Q$-Lemma, we have $T_{\eta} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{O}_{r}\left(H_{1}\right)\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{H_{1}}(\gamma)$, which implies that $\alpha \in T_{\gamma}=T_{\eta}$, where $T_{\gamma}$ is the $\{r, p\}$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\gamma)$, and so $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)$ and hence $R_{1} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\alpha)$, as we claimed.
Now let $g$ be any $\{r, p\}^{\prime}$-element of $G$, which can be assumed to belong to $H$. Then we have $g=g_{q} z$, where $g_{q}$ is the $q$-part of $g$ and $z$ is an element in $A$. Since $z \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{q}\right)$
and $g_{q}$ has index $p^{a}$ in $G$, we deduce that there exists $t \in G$ such that $z \in H^{t} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{q}\right)$. So $z \in A^{t}$ and, by the fact that $A^{t}$ is central in $H^{t}$, we have $H^{t} \subseteq C_{G}(z)$. Then $H^{t} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(z) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{q}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(g)$, which implies that $\left|g^{G}\right|=1$ or $p^{a}$.

Therefore, by Step 3 and the above argument, we get that every $s$-element of $G$ is central for every $s \notin\{p, q, r\}$. Hence, the $\{p, q, r\}$-complement of $G$ is central, and so by minimal counterexample we conclude that $G$ is a $\{p, q, r\}$-group.

Step 5. Let $P_{w}$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$. Then any $p^{\prime}$-element of $G$ centralizes some conjugate of $P_{w}$.

Let $h$ be any $p^{\prime}$-element of $G$, which can be assumed to belong to $H \subseteq C_{G}(w)$. We factorize $h=h_{r} h_{q}$ with $h_{r} \in R$ and $h_{q} \in Q$. As we proved in Step 3, $h_{r}$ has index 1 or $p^{a} m$. Assume first that $h_{r}$ has index $p^{a} m$. Since $h_{r} \in H \subseteq C_{G}(w)$, we conclude that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(w h_{r}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(h_{r}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(h)$, which implies that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(h) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$. But $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w): \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(h)\right|$ is $m$ and we obtain that $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{G}}(h)$ contains some Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$, and consequently $h$ centralizes some conjugate of $P_{w}$. Therefore, we may assume that $h_{r}$ is central in $G$, whence $h$ can be assumed to be a $q$-element. Thus, by applying Step $4, h$ has index $p^{a}$. Since by Step 3 any $r$-element of $G$ has index 1 or $p^{a} m$, we can choose an $r$-element $t \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(h)$ of index $p^{a} m$. By minimality of the order of the centralizer of $t$ in $G$, we have $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(t h)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(t)$, so $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(t) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(h)$. On the other hand, $t$ lies in some $p$-complement $H^{g} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(w^{g}\right)$ and similarly $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(t) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(w^{g}\right)$. Moreover, $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(w^{g}\right): \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(t)\right|$ is necessarily $m$, so some conjugate of $P_{w}$ must lie in $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(t)$ and, therefore, also in $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{G}}(h)$ and this case is finished.

Step $6\left(\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(\boldsymbol{G})=\boldsymbol{G}\right)$. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)<G$. Let $\rho$ be a $p$-regular element of $\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)$ such that $\left|\rho^{G}\right|=p^{a}$. We have

$$
\frac{|G|}{\left|\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)\right|} \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)}(\rho)\right|}=\frac{|G|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)\right|} \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)}(\rho)\right|} .
$$

Let $P_{\rho}$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)$. The fact that $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho): \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)}(\rho)\right|$ is a $p$-number implies that

$$
\frac{|G|}{\left|\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)\right|} \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)\right|}=\frac{|G|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)\right|} \frac{\left|P_{\rho}\right|}{\left|P_{\rho} \cap \boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)\right|}=\frac{|G|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)\right|} \frac{\left|P_{\rho} \boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)\right|},
$$

and thus

$$
\frac{\left|\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)}(\rho)\right|}=p^{a} \frac{\left|P_{\rho} \boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)\right|}{|G|}=p^{k},
$$

where $k \geqslant 0$.
By Step 5 there exists $g \in G$ such that $P_{w}^{g} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)$. Since $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)\right|_{p}=\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)\right|_{p}$, that is, $P_{\rho}$ is $G$-conjugate to $P_{w}^{g}$, we deduce that $p^{k}$ is constant in the above equation for any element $\rho$ of index $p^{a}$.

Now, let $\rho$ be a $p$-regular element of $\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)$ with $\left|\rho^{G}\right|=p^{a} m$. So we can write $\rho=\rho_{r} \rho_{q}$, where $\rho_{r}$ and $\rho_{q}$ are the $r$-part and $q$-part of $\rho$, respectively. By Step $4, \rho_{r}$ cannot be central. Thus it is easy to see that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)=\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{G}}\left(\rho_{r}\right)$. Hence, we may assume that $\rho$ is an
$r$-element. There exists $g \in G$ such that $\rho \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(w^{g}\right)$ and hence $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(w^{g}\right)$. Then $\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(w^{g}\right): \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)\right|=m$. As $(m, p)=1$, we have $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(w^{g}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho) \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)}\left(w^{g}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G): \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)}(\rho)\right| & =\left|\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G): \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)}\left(w^{g}\right)\right|\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)}\left(w^{g}\right): \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)}(\rho)\right| \\
& =p^{k}\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(w^{g}\right): \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\rho)\right| \\
& =p^{k} m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the set of $p$-regular class sizes of $\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)$ is $\left\{1, p^{k}, p^{k} m\right\}$. If $k \neq 0$, then by minimal counterexample $m$ is a prime power, which is a contradiction. Thus, $k=0$ and $\{1, m\}$ are the $p$-regular conjugacy class sizes of $\boldsymbol{O}^{p}(G)$. This forces $m$ to be a $\{p, q\}$ number by Theorem 2.5, which is a contradiction by Step 1.
Step 7. There exists $N$ a proper normal subgroup of $G$ such that the index $|G: N|$ is a $p^{\prime}$-number and $\boldsymbol{Z}(G) \subseteq \boldsymbol{O}_{p p^{\prime}}(G) \subseteq N$.
First we show that $\boldsymbol{O}_{p p^{\prime}}(G)<G$. Otherwise, $G$ has a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$. Then $G=P H$, and it is easy to see that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(h)=\boldsymbol{C}_{P}(h) \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(h)$ for all $h \in H$. This implies that

$$
\left|G: \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(h)\right|=\left|P: \boldsymbol{C}_{P}(h)\right|\left|H: \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(h)\right|,
$$

which is $1, p^{a}$ or $p^{a} m$. Therefore, $\left|H: \boldsymbol{C}_{H}(h)\right|$ is 1 or $m$ for every $h \in H$. By Itô's Theorem on groups with two class sizes [9, Theorem 33.6], $m$ is a prime power, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\boldsymbol{O}_{p p^{\prime}}(G)<G$.
Take $N$ to be the maximal proper subgroup in the upper $p p^{\prime}$-series of $G$ and note that the index $|G: N|$ is a $p^{\prime}$-number, since $\boldsymbol{O}^{p^{\prime}}(G)<G$ by Step 6 . Moreover, it is obvious that $\boldsymbol{Z}(G) \subseteq \boldsymbol{O}_{p p^{\prime}}(G) \subseteq N<G$.
Step 8. If $Q$ is a Sylow $q$-subgroup of $H$, then $Q \boldsymbol{O}_{p}(G) \unlhd G, Q \unlhd H$ and $Q$ is abelian. Moreover, $\bar{R}=R / \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$ has exponent $r$, where $R$ is a Sylow $r$-subgroup of $G$.
As we proved in Step 4, every $q$-element of $G$ has class size 1 or $p^{a}$. So, by using Theorem 2.9, $G$ has an abelian Sylow $q$-subgroup $Q$, and $Q \boldsymbol{O}_{p}(G) \unlhd G$. Also, by using the fact that $Q \subseteq H$, it easily follows that $Q \unlhd H$, as required.
Now we shall show that $\bar{R}=R / \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$ has exponent $r$. Let $x \in H \backslash Q \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$. Then we factorize $x=x_{r} x_{q}$, where $x_{r}$ and $x_{q}$ are the $r$-part and $q$-part of $x$, respectively. Note that $x_{r} \notin \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$. So $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(x_{r}\right)$, and if we also take into account that $x_{r}$ has index $p^{a} m$ in $G$, we conclude that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(x_{r}\right)$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{H}\left(x_{r}\right)$, whence $\left|x^{H}\right|=m$, by using Step 1 . Now we apply Isaacs's Theorem on groups having a normal subgroup such that the class sizes of the elements not in the normal subgroup are equal (see [10]). So we conclude that $H /\left(Q \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}\right)$, which is isomorphic to $\bar{R}$, is cyclic, or has exponent $r$. However, $\boldsymbol{Z}(R)=\boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$ and $R$ cannot be abelian by Lemma 2.1, so we conclude that $\bar{R}$ has exponent $r$.
Step 9. If $\eta$ is a non-central $r$-element of $G$, then $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)=P_{\eta} \times\langle\eta\rangle \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r} \times Q_{\eta}$, where $P_{\eta}$ and $Q_{\eta}$ are the Sylow $p$-subgroup and $q$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)$, respectively.
We may assume that $H$ is a $p$-complement of $G$, such that $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(\eta)$ is a $p$-complement of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)$. So by Step 3, $\boldsymbol{C}_{H}(\eta)=R_{\eta} \times Q_{\eta}$ for some Sylow $r$-subgroup $R_{\eta}$ and Sylow $q$-subgroup $Q_{\eta}$ of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)$. Hence by the fact that $Q \unlhd H, R_{\eta}$ acts on $Q$. Since $Q$ is abelian
and this action is coprime, it follows that $Q=\left[Q, R_{\eta}\right] \times \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}\left(R_{\eta}\right)$ (see, for example, [9, Theorem 14.5]). On the other hand, we consider the action of $\bar{R}_{\eta}=R_{\eta} / \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$ on $\left[Q, R_{\eta}\right]$, and we claim that this action has no fixed points. Otherwise, there exist $x \in\left[Q, R_{\eta}\right]$ and $y \in R_{\eta}$ such that $x^{\bar{y}}=x$. Therefore, $x^{y}=x$, and as a consequence $x \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)=P_{y} R_{y} \times Q_{y}$, where $P_{y}$ and $R_{y}$ are some Sylow $p$-subgroup and Sylow $r$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$, respectively, and $Q_{y}$ is abelian, by Step 3. Since $x$ is a $q$-element, it is obvious that $x \in Q_{y}$. On the other hand, from the fact that $y \in R_{\eta}$, we conclude that $Q_{\eta} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(y)$, and so $Q_{\eta}=Q_{y}$, by considering the order equality. Thus $x \in Q_{\eta}$, and consequently $x \in \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}\left(R_{\eta}\right)$. Hence, $x \in\left[Q, R_{\eta}\right] \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{Q}\left(R_{\eta}\right)=1$, and our claim is proved. So it is well known that $\bar{R}_{\eta}$ is cyclic or is a generalized quaternion group. By considering Step $8, \bar{R}_{\eta}$ is cyclic of order $r$, and therefore $R_{\eta}=\langle\eta\rangle \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$, so the result follows by the obtained fact in Step 3, that is, $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)=P_{\eta} R_{\eta} \times Q_{\eta}$, where $P_{\eta}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(\eta)$.

Step 10. $\bar{R}=R / \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$ has order $r^{2}$, and consequently it is elementary abelian.
Let $N$ be the normal subgroup introduced in Step 7 and let $M$ be a maximal normal subgroup containing $N$. Recall that $|G: N|$ is a $p^{\prime}$-number. We shall show that $|G / M|=$ $r$. In Step 8 we proved that $Q \boldsymbol{O}_{p}(G) \unlhd G$. So it is easy to conclude that $Q \boldsymbol{O}_{p}(G) \subseteq$ $\boldsymbol{O}_{p_{p^{\prime}}}(G) \subseteq N$. As a consequence, $|G: N|$ is an $r$-number. Therefore, $|G: M|$ is an $r$-number, and since $G / M$ is simple, it follows that $|G / M|=r$.

In the following we shall show that $m_{r}=r$, and so, by using Step 9 , it is obvious that $|\bar{R}|=r^{2}$, whence $\bar{R}$ is abelian and, as a consequence of Step 8 , elementary, as desired.

Let $x$ be a non-central $p$-regular element of $M$. Then

$$
\frac{|G|}{|M|} \frac{|M|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{M}(x)\right|}=\frac{|G|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right|} \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{M}(x)\right|}
$$

Let us consider a Sylow $r$-subgroup $R_{x}$ of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$; the above equality then becomes

$$
\frac{|G|}{|M|} \frac{|M|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{M}(x)\right|}=\frac{|G|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right|} \frac{\left|R_{x}\right|}{\left|R_{x} \cap M\right|}=\frac{|G|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right|} \frac{\left|R_{x} M\right|}{|M|}
$$

and we have the following equality:

$$
\frac{|M|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{M}(x)\right|}=\frac{|G|}{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right|} \frac{\left|R_{x} M\right|}{|G|} .
$$

First suppose that $\left|x^{G}\right|=p^{a}$. Therefore, $R_{x}$ is a Sylow $r$-subgroup of $G$, whence $G=R_{x} M$. So the above equation implies that $\left|x^{M}\right|=\left|x^{G}\right|=p^{a}$.

Now suppose that $\left|x^{G}\right|=p^{a} m$. We factorize $x=x_{r} x_{q}$, where $x_{r}$ and $x_{q}$ are the $r$-part and $q$-part of $x$, respectively. By Step $4, x_{r}$ is a non-central element. Then $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=$ $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(x_{r}\right) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(x_{q}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(x_{r}\right)$, and by the fact that $x_{r}$ has class size $p^{a} m$ by Step 3 , it follows that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(x_{r}\right)$. Also, by Step 9 , the Sylow $r$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(x_{r}\right)$ is $R_{x_{r}}=\left\langle x_{r}\right\rangle \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$, which is a subgroup of $M$, since $x_{r} \in M$. On the other hand, the equality $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(x_{r}\right)$ implies that $R_{x_{r}}$ is the Sylow $r$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, that is, $R_{x}$. So $R_{x} M=M$ and, consequently, $\left|x^{M}\right|=p^{a} m / r$.

Thus $\operatorname{cs}_{p^{\prime}}(M)=\left\{1, p^{a}, p^{a} m / r\right\}$, and by minimal counterexample it follows that $m / r$ must be a prime power, whence $m_{r}=r$, and this completes the step.

Step 11. $\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{x}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{x}\right) P_{x}$, where $P_{x}$ is the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ for every non-central $r$-element $x$ of $G$.
In the following, we will show that $N_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)=C_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}$, where $P_{w}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$. Then by using the fact that there exists some $t \in G$ such that $P_{x}=P_{w}^{t}$, for every $r$-element $x$ of $G$, which is a consequence of Step 5 , our claim will be proved.
First we show that $G=\bigcup_{h \in G}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}\right)^{h} \cup N$, where $N$ is the subgroup that is mentioned in Step 7. Let $g$ be a non-central element of $G$ and write $g=g_{p} g_{p^{\prime}}$. If $g_{p^{\prime}} \in$ $\boldsymbol{Z}(G) \subseteq N$, then, since $g_{p} \in N$, it follows that $g \in N$, as required. If $\left|g_{p^{\prime}}^{G}\right|=p^{a}$, then by applying Lemma 2.4 we get $g_{p^{\prime}} \in N$, and similarly we conclude that $g \in N$. So we may assume that $\left|g_{p^{\prime}}^{G}\right|=p^{a} m$ and write $g_{p^{\prime}}=g_{q} g_{r}$, where $g_{q}$ and $g_{r}$ are the $q$-part and $r$-part of $g$, respectively. Therefore, $g_{r} \notin \boldsymbol{Z}(G)$, by Step 4, and since $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{p^{\prime}}\right)=$ $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{q}\right) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{r}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{r}\right)$, we conclude that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{p^{\prime}}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{r}\right)$. By using Step 5 , there exists $h \in G$ such that $P_{w}^{h} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{r}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{p^{\prime}}\right)$, whence $g_{p^{\prime}} \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)^{h}$. On the other hand, $g_{p} \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{r}\right)$, and by the fact that $P_{w}^{h}$ is the only Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{r}\right)$ by Step 9 , we conclude that $g_{p} \in P_{w}^{h}$. Thus we have $g \in\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}\right)^{h}$, as required.

The above equality implies that

$$
|G| \leqslant\left|G: \boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}\right)\right|\left(\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}\right|-1\right)+|N|,
$$

and as a consequence

$$
1 \leqslant \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}\right|-1}{\left|\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}\right)\right|}+\frac{|N|}{|G|} .
$$

We set $\left|\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}\right)\right|=n$. If $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}<\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}\right)$, then

$$
1 \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{2},
$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}$, and so it is easy to obtain $\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}$, as desired.

Step 12. Let $R$ be a Sylow $r$-subgroup of $H$. Then there exists a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P_{w}$ of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$ such that $R \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$.
Let $x \in R$ be a non-central $r$-element. Since $R \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$, we obtain $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w x)=$ $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w) \cap \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$, so we conclude that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$. Therefore, there exists a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$, say $P_{w}$, such that $P_{w} \in \operatorname{Syl}_{p}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right)$.
Now let $\alpha \in R$ be a non-central element. Since $R / \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$ is abelian, we have $[x, \alpha] \in$ $\boldsymbol{Z}(G)$. It follows that $x^{\alpha}=x z$ for some element $z \in \boldsymbol{Z}(G)$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)^{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)$ and so $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(x)\right)$ and we deduce that $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$. Therefore, by using the previous step we get $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}$. By the fact that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$ is a normal subgroup of $\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}$ whose index is a $p$-number, we conclude that it contains all $p^{\prime}$-elements of $\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$. In particular, $\alpha \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$, and so $R \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$, as required.

Step 13. $G$ is $r$-nilpotent.
Set $\bar{G}=G / \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$. Also, in the following we use $\bar{T}=T / Z(G)_{r}$. Take $R$ to be a Sylow $r$-subgroup of $G$. We shall show that

$$
\bar{G}=\bigcup_{\bar{h} \in \bar{G}} C_{\bar{G}}\left(\bar{R}^{\bar{h}}\right) \cup \bar{N},
$$

where $N$ is the normal subgroup mentioned in Step 7 .
Let $g=g_{p} g_{p^{\prime}}$ be an element of $G$. If $g_{p^{\prime}} \in \boldsymbol{Z}(G)$, then $\bar{g} \in \bar{N}$. So assume that $g_{p^{\prime}} \notin \boldsymbol{Z}(G)$. If $\left|g_{p^{\prime}}^{G}\right|=p^{a}$, then by Lemma 2.4 we have $g_{p^{\prime}} \in \boldsymbol{O}_{p p^{\prime}}(G) \subseteq N$, so $\bar{g} \in \bar{N}$. Thus we assume that $\left|g_{p^{\prime}}^{G}\right|=p^{a} m$ with $g_{p^{\prime}}=g_{q} g_{r}$, where $g_{q}$ and $g_{r}$ are the $q$-part and $r$-part of $g$, respectively. So $g_{r} \notin \boldsymbol{Z}(G)$, and we deduce that $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{p^{\prime}}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{r}\right) \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{q}\right)$. There then exists $h \in G$ such that $g_{r} \in R^{h} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{q}\right)$, whence $\bar{g}_{q} \in \boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}\left(\overline{R^{h}}\right)$. Moreover, $\bar{g}_{r} \in \boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}\left(\bar{R}^{\bar{h}}\right)$, since $\bar{R}^{\bar{h}}$ is abelian. We conclude that $\bar{g}_{p^{\prime}} \in \boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}\left(\bar{R}^{\bar{h}}\right)$. On the other hand, there exists a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P_{w}$ of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$ such that $R \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$, by Step 12. So $g_{r} \in R^{h} \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)^{h}$, which implies that $P_{w}^{h}$ is the Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(g_{r}\right)$, and by Step 9 we have $g_{p} \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(R^{h}\right)$, and hence $\bar{g}_{p} \in \boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}\left(\bar{R}^{\bar{h}}\right)$. So $\bar{g} \in \boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}\left(\bar{R}^{\bar{h}}\right)$, as desired. Thus, we have proved that

$$
\bar{G}=\bigcup_{\bar{h} \in \bar{G}} C_{\bar{G}}\left(\bar{R}^{\bar{h}}\right) \cup \bar{N} .
$$

This implies that

$$
|\bar{G}| \leqslant\left|\bar{G}: \boldsymbol{N}_{\bar{G}}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})\right)\right|\left(\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})\right|-1\right)+|\bar{N}|,
$$

and hence

$$
1 \leqslant \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})\right|-1}{\left|\boldsymbol{N}_{\bar{G}}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})\right)\right|}+\frac{|\bar{N}|}{|\bar{G}|} .
$$

We set $\left|\boldsymbol{N}_{\bar{G}}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})\right)\right|=n$. If we assume that $\boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})<\boldsymbol{N}_{\bar{G}}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})\right)$, then we obtain the following contradiction:

$$
1 \leqslant \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{2}
$$

Therefore, $\boldsymbol{N}_{\bar{G}}\left(\boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})$ and consequently $\boldsymbol{N}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})=\boldsymbol{C}_{\bar{G}}(\bar{R})$. Now, by using Burnside's Theorem (see, for example, [12, 10.1.8]), we get that $\bar{G}$ is $r$-nilpotent. So $G$ is $r$-nilpotent too, as required.

Step 14. Final contradiction.
Let $R$ be a Sylow $r$-subgroup of $H$. By Step 12 there exists a Sylow $p$-subgroup $P_{w}$ of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(w)$ such that $R \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$, whence $R \subseteq \boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$. On the other hand, by Step 13, $G$ has a normal $r$-complement $K$, and so it is obvious that $K \cap \boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$ is normal in $\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$. Hence, $R$ acts coprimely on $K \cap \boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$. By coprime action properties, there exists an $R$-invariant Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$, say $P_{1}$. Note that $P_{w}$ is a normal subgroup of $\boldsymbol{N}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$ and so $P_{w}$ is contained in $P_{1}$. Hence, $P_{w} \subseteq P_{1} \subseteq P$ for some Sylow $p$-subgroup $P$ of $G$, and consequently $P_{1}=\boldsymbol{N}_{P}\left(P_{w}\right)$.

Note that $\boldsymbol{N}_{P}\left(P_{w}\right) / P_{w}$ is non-trivial. Otherwise $\boldsymbol{N}_{P}\left(P_{w}\right)=P_{w}$, and $P_{w}$ would therefore be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$, which is impossible because $\left|w^{G}\right|=p^{a}$ and $a>0$. We
claim that $\bar{R}=R / \boldsymbol{Z}(G)_{r}$ acts fixed-point-freely on

$$
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{N}_{P}\left(P_{w}\right)}=\boldsymbol{N}_{P}\left(P_{w}\right) / P_{w}
$$

and so, by a well-known result, $\bar{R}$ is either cyclic (which is impossible) or a generalized quaternion group, which contradicts Step 10.

Suppose that $\tilde{x}^{\bar{t}}=\tilde{x}$ for some $x \in \boldsymbol{N}_{P}\left(P_{w}\right)$ and some $t \in R$. We can assume that $x$ belongs to $\boldsymbol{C}_{P}\left(P_{w}\right)$ since, using Step 11, we have $\boldsymbol{N}_{P}\left(P_{w}\right)=\boldsymbol{C}_{P}\left(P_{w}\right) P_{w}$. Then $[x, t] \in P_{w}$. In particular, $[x, t]$ centralizes $x$ and $t$. Moreover, as $x$ is a $p$-element and $t$ is an $r$-element, we have $1=\left[x, t^{o(t)}\right]=\left[x^{o(t)}, t\right]=[x, t]^{o(t)}$. However, $[x, t]$ is a $p$-element, and this implies that $[x, t]=1$, that is, $x \in \boldsymbol{C}_{G}(t)$. By the fact that $t \in R \subseteq \boldsymbol{C}_{G}\left(P_{w}\right)$, we deduce that $P_{w}$ is the only Sylow $p$-subgroup of $\boldsymbol{C}_{G}(t)$, and so $x \in P_{w}$, that is, $\tilde{x}=1$, and the action is fixed-point-free, as desired.

Examples. In the following we give some examples of the cases of Theorems A and B.

- Let $G=\boldsymbol{Z}_{5} \rtimes Q_{8}$ be the semidirect product of the group $\boldsymbol{Z}_{5}=\langle x\rangle$ acted on by the quaternion group $Q_{8}=\left\langle y, z: y^{4}=1, y^{2}=z^{2}, y^{z}=y^{-1}\right\rangle$ such that $x^{y}=x^{-1}$ and $x^{z}=x$. Then it is easy to see that the set of 5 -regular conjugacy class sizes of $G$ is equal to $\{1,2,10\}$. This provides an example of a group described in Theorem A.
- Let $G=\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{7} \times Q_{8}\right) \rtimes \boldsymbol{Z}_{3}$, and further let $\boldsymbol{Z}_{7}=\langle x\rangle, Q_{8}=\left\langle y, z: y^{4}=1, y^{2}=\right.$ $\left.z^{2}, y^{z}=y^{-1}\right\rangle$ and $\boldsymbol{Z}_{3}=\langle w\rangle$, where $x^{w}=x^{2}, y^{w}=z^{5}$ and $z^{w}=z^{3} y$. One can easily check that the set of the conjugacy class sizes of 3 -regular elements of $G$ is $\{1,3,6\}$, which is an example of case (i) of Theorem B.
- The group $\Gamma(8)$, whose set of 7 -regular class sizes is exactly $\{1,7,28\}$ (see, for example, $[\mathbf{9}, \mathrm{p} .147]$ ), provides an example of case (ii) of Theorem B.
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