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ABSTRACT: Background: Symptomatic (secondary) dystonias associated isolated lesions in the brain provide insight into etiopathogenesis of the idiopathic form of dystonia and are a basis for establishing the possible correlation between the anatomy of a lesion and the type of dystonia according to muscles affected. Methods: In 358 patients with differently distributed dystonias, a group of 16 patients (4.5%) was encountered in whom dystonia was associated with focal brain lesions. Results: Of the 16 patients, 3 patients had generalized, 3 segmental and 4 hemidystonia, while the remaining 6 patients had focal dystonia. The most frequent etiologies were infarction in 7, and tumor in 4 patients. These lesions were usually found in the lenticular and caudate nucleus, thalamus, and in the case of blepharospasm in the upper brainstem. Conclusions: Our results support the suggestion that dystonia is caused by a dysfunction of the basal ganglia.

RÉSUMÉ: Dystonies symptomatiques associées à des lésions structurales du cerveau: à propos de 16 cas. Introduction: Les dystonies symptomatiques (secondaires) associées à des lésions cérébrales isolées aident à comprendre la pathogenèse de la forme idiopathique de dystonie et servent de base à une corrélation éventuelle entre la topographie de la lésion et le type de dystonie, selon sa distribution. Méthodes: Parmi 358 patients atteints de dystonies à distribution variée, nous avons étudié un groupe de 16 sujets (4.5%) chez qui la dystonie était associée à des lésions focales du cerveau. Résultats: De ces 16 patients, 3 présentaient une dystonie généralisée, 3 présentaient une dystonie segmentaire, 4 présentaient une hémidystonie et les 6 autres présentaient une dystonie focale. L’étiologie la plus fréquente était un infarctus, chez 7 patients, ou une tumeur, chez 4 patients. Ces lésions se situaient le plus souvent dans les noyaux lenticulaire et caudé, le thalamus, et, dans le cas du blepharospasme, dans la partie supérieure du tronc cérébral. Conclusion: Nos résultats appuient la notion que la dystonie est la conséquence d’une dysfonction au niveau du noyau lenticulaire, du noyau caudé, de l’avant-mur ou du noyau amygdalien.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The studied group included patients with symptomatic dystonia who fulfilled the following criteria: (1) presence of dystonia (focal, segmental, generalized, hemidystonia); (2) normal childbirth and early psychomotor development; (3) negative history of exposure to drugs and toxins; (4) absence of metabolic and degenerative disorders which may induce dystonia, and (5) neuroradiologically-evidenced anatomic lesions which are correlated with and/or have acceptable temporal relation to dystonic manifestations.

From 358 patients with differently distributed dystonias treated at the Institute for Neurology CCS, Belgrade, in the period October 1987 - May 1994, 16 patients (4.5%) fulfilled the above criteria. In the remaining 342 patients focal lesions were absent (cortical atrophy was not accepted as a relevant lesion). Considering the paucity of neurological findings
described following discrete lesions in the caudate, two patients (one with oromandibular dystonia and one with adductor spasmodic dysphonia) with small unilateral infarcts in this region, but lacking a definite temporal relation between the infarction and dystonia, were not included. After detailed history and neurological examination the diagnosis of dystonia was established by 2 neurologists independently (V.S.K. and M.S.). Following this laboratory, neuropsychological and neuroradiological studies (CT and/or MRI) were conducted, as recommended for the investigation of dystonia.

RESULTS

The clinical characteristics of the 16 patients with focal brain lesions are summarized in Table 1. The mean age at onset of dystonic movements in our patients (8 females and 8 males) was 45 years (range from 19 to 69 years), with the mean duration of the disease of 6.5 years (range from one to 20 years). The latency between the onset of focal injury and appearance of abnormal posturing was determined in 9 patients and ranged from a few days (Patient 3) to 3.5 years (Table 1). The patients were followed on average for 4 years.

Generalized dystonia was present in 3 patients, hemidystonia in 4, segmental dystonia in 3 and focal dystonia in 6 (hand dystonia in 3, blepharospasm in 2, and oromandibular dystonia in one) (Table 1). The sites of onset of abnormal movements in cases with segmental dystonia were left hand with subsequent axial involvement in Patient 4, neck (head rotated to left) with dystonia spreading to the right, and later, to the left arm in Patient 5, while the initial torticollis (head rotated to left) in Patient 6 was followed by the development of oromandibular dystonia and bilateral blepharospasm.

The etiology of the lesions was infarction in 7 patients, tumor in 4, basal ganglia calcification in one patient with Morbus Sjögren, and also, vasculitis, cerebral anoxia due to cardiac arrest, trauma, and arterio-venous (A-V) malformation in one of each (Table 1).

Table 1: Clinical characteristics, localization and etiology of lesions in 16 patients with symptomatic dystonia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Onset</th>
<th>Latency (years)*</th>
<th>Type of dystonia</th>
<th>Localization (CT or MRI)</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>Generalized</td>
<td>R capsulolenticular</td>
<td>Infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Generalized</td>
<td>R globus pallidus and L putamen</td>
<td>Small lucencies due to vasculitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Generalized</td>
<td>Punctiform lesions: L lenticulocapsulocaudate, R globus pallidus, bilateral temporal</td>
<td>Post anoxic changes (cardiac arrest)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Segmental</td>
<td>R temporoparieto-thalamic</td>
<td>Tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Segmental</td>
<td>Bilateral lenticulocapsulocaudate</td>
<td>M. Sjögren (calcification)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Segmental</td>
<td>Head of R caudate nucleus</td>
<td>Tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hemidystonia (R)</td>
<td>L capsulolenticular</td>
<td>Infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hemidystonia (L)</td>
<td>R putamen</td>
<td>Infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hemidystonia (L)</td>
<td>L lenticulocapsulocaudate</td>
<td>Infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Hemidystonia (L)</td>
<td>R temporocaudate</td>
<td>A-V malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Focal hand (R)</td>
<td>L temporoparieto-thalamic</td>
<td>Infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Focal hand (R)</td>
<td>L postero-lateral thalamus</td>
<td>Infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Focal hand (R)</td>
<td>Head of L caudate nucleus</td>
<td>Tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Blepharospasm</td>
<td>L thalamus &amp; frontoparietal region</td>
<td>Tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Blepharospasm</td>
<td>L thalamus and upper brainstem</td>
<td>Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Oromandibular</td>
<td>Head of L caudate nucleus</td>
<td>Infarction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - interval between onset of lesion and onset of dystonia; -- unknown; R - right; L - left; F - female; M - male
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Small, discrete lesions were observed in 6 patients (Patients 6, 7, 8, 12, 13 and 16), mainly located in the head of caudate nucleus (3 patients), while larger lesions frequently affecting several brain structures were detected in 7 patients. In 3 patients multiple, punctiform lesions were found (Table 1).

The location of the lesions associated with dystonias secondary to anatomical involvement is tabulated in Table 2.

### DISCUSSION

The location of focal brain lesions associated with dystonia was described in 16 patients (Table 1). The caudate and lentiform nuclei, as well as thalamus, were, similarly to findings of the other authors, the most frequent sites of pathology (Table 2). On analyzing the behavioral and movement disorders in 240 patients described in the literature with focal lesions affecting the caudate nucleus, putamen and globus pallidus, Bhatia and Marsden found that dystonia was the most frequent movement disorder recorded (36%). However, we found that in only 6 out of 16 patients (Patients 6, 7, 8, 12, 13 and 16) was dystonia associated with a discrete focal lesion of defined brain area or nuclei; in the other patients, multiple or relatively large lesions were observed. Three of the focal lesions were in caudate nucleus (Patient 6 with segmental dystonia, Patient 13 with focal hand dystonia and Patient 16 with oromandibular dystonia). Bhatia and Marsden reported that lesions of the caudate nucleus rarely caused motor disorders, with dystonia described in only 9% of such cases. Patient 12 with focal hand dystonia had posterolateral thalamic infarction. In the 33 patients with movement disorders following a lesion confined to the thalamus, 2 of them (Patients 11 and 12), infarction of posterolateral thalamus was found, which is in accordance with the conclusion from previous studies that hand dystonia is mainly related to the lesions of this area, either alone or in combination with the caudate and/or lenticular lesions. However, symptomatic dystonia of the hand and arm was also, although infrequently, reported to be associated with isolated lesions of the lentiform, and of the caudate nucleus, as in our Patient 13, with a tumor affecting the head of caudate nucleus (Table 1).

Another 3 patients presented with segmental dystonia (Table 1). Jabbari et al. described a case of segmental axial dystonia with 3 focal areas of posttraumatic encephalomalacia, one involving the head of the left caudate nucleus. CT of our Patient 6 (Table 1), presented with craniocephal dystonia, disclosed an isolated lesion of the head of the right caudate nucleus. The patient with brachial segmental dystonia (Patient 5) had bilateral

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of dystonia</th>
<th>Lentiform nucleus</th>
<th>Caudate nucleus</th>
<th>Thalamus</th>
<th>Internal capsule</th>
<th>Brainstem</th>
<th>Cortex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generalized</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segmental*</td>
<td>-Neck</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Arm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemidystonia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal hand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blepharospasm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oromandibular</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S - single lesion; C - combined lesion
* - Segmental dystonia was divided according to the site of onset of dystonia

Table 2: Distribution of lesion responsible for dystonia.
lenticulocapsulocaudate calcifications associated with Sjögren’s syndrome: this entity with bilateral pallidal calcification was suggested as an etiology for Meige’s syndrome. However, bilateral basal ganglia calcification is frequently asymptomatic, but in our patient dystonic movements correlate with the disease progression. In all 3 patients with segmental dystonia, complete signs had developed in a course of 2-3 months, beginning as torticollis in 2 of them. Isolated cervical dystonia has been reported in association with an A-V malformation involving the caudate nucleus contralateral to the direction of neck rotation (as in our Patient 16), with lacunar infarctions in the contralateral putamen, with traumatic infarction in the contralateral caudate nucleus and putamen, with a midbrain lesion in a case of multiple sclerosis, and with a unilateral lesion in the centrum semiovale. The significance of basal ganglia injuries in secondary cervical dystonia has had recent support by the finding of prolonged MRI T2 times exclusively in the lentiform nucleus in idiopathic spasmodic torticollis.

Blepharospasm secondary to structural focal lesions had been described in very few cases, mainly including the lesions of upper brainstem and, to a lesser degree, bilateral basal ganglia lesions. An upper brainstem lesion was present in our Patient 15; in our Patient 14, a large tumorous mass primarily affecting left thalamus and frontoparietal region was found which might also result in brainstem compression and damage. Even less information is available for the other forms of cranial dystonia, suggesting that the mesodiencphalic region is likely to be their main morphological basis. We report a case with oromandibular dystonia (Patient 16) associated with unilateral infarction of the head of caudate nucleus (Table 1).

Cases with generalized dystonia secondary to bilateral basal ganglia lesions have been occasionally reported. This is similar to our Patients 2 and 3, due to vasculitis and severe anoxia, respectively. However, in Patient 1 general dystonia was associated with unilateral capsulolenticular infarction, although, considering the sensitivity of CT, we could not exclude the existence of small, lacunar infarctions in contralateral structures.

In conclusion, progress in neuroimaging techniques had made it possible to investigate cases of secondary dystonia. Our own experience with 16 patients extends previous efforts to provide a pathological and physiological understanding for cases with idiopathic dystonia.
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