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1. Introduction. It is well known that certain results such as the Radon-Nikodym Theorem, which are valid in totally $\sigma$-finite measure spaces, do not extend to measure spaces in which $\mu$ is not totally $\sigma$-finite. (See § 2 for notation.) Given an arbitrary measure space ( $X, S, \mu$ ) and a signed measure $\nu$ on (X,S), then if $v \ll \mu$ for $\mathrm{X}, v \ll \mu$ when restricted to any $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{S}_{f}$ and the classical finite Radon-Nikodym theorem produces a measurable function $g_{e}(x)$, vanishing outside $e$, with

$$
v\left(e^{\prime}\right)=\int_{e^{\prime}} g_{e}(x) d \mu
$$

for every measurable $e^{\prime} \subset e . \quad$ When $\mu$ is totally $\sigma$-finite there exist disjoint measurable sets $e_{i}$ with $X=\cup_{1}^{\infty} e_{i}$ and, defining $g(x)=\Sigma_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{e_{i}}(x)$ extends the Radon-Nikodym theorem to X . Standard arguments then show that every continuous linear functional on $L^{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$, can be expressed in terms of an integral

$$
G(f)=\int f g \mathrm{~d} \mu,
$$

with $g(x) \in L^{q}, p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$, and $\|G\|=N^{\infty}(g)$.
When $\mu$ is not totally $\sigma$-finite the extension may fail in several ways. There may exist a function $g(x)$ defined on $X$
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and such that $g(x)=g_{e}(x)$ a.e. in $e$ for each $e \in S_{f}$ but $g(x)$ may fail to be measurable or $g(x)$ may be measurable but the integral expression be invalid in certain sets of infinite measure (Example 3). In these cases we say that the finite Radon-Nikodym theorem has a local extension to $X$. There may exist no function defined on $X$ with $g(x)=g_{e}(x)$ a.e. in $e$ for each $e \in S_{f}$ (Example 4).

Let $G \in\left(L^{P}\right)^{*}$. If $e \in S_{f}, X_{e} \in L^{P}$. If $|G(e)| / \mu(e)>1 / n$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{n} \mu(e)<\left|G\left(x_{e}\right)\right| \leq\|G\| \underline{N}^{p}\left(x_{e}\right)=\|G\|[\mu(e)]^{1 / p} \\
{[\mu(e)]^{1 / q} \leq n\|G\| .}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $1<p<\infty$ this result can be used to show that there exist disjoint sets $s_{i}$ in $S_{f}^{+}, X_{1}=\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} s_{i}$ with $G\left(X_{e}\right)=0$ if $e \subset X=X_{1}, e \in S_{f}$. Then $G$ can be identified with $g(x)=\Sigma_{1}^{\infty} g_{S_{i}}(x)$ and it can be shown that $\left(\underline{L}^{p}\right)^{*}=\underline{L}^{q}$ if $1<p<\infty$. This method fails when $p=1, q=\infty$ and it is well known that $\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)$ and $\underline{L}^{\infty}$ need not be isometric.

In § 3 we consider three elementary examples illustrating some of the differences between totally $\sigma$-finite and non totally $\sigma$-finite measure spaces. In $\S \S 2$ and 3 we introduce a local theory which permits a description of $\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}$ in terms of integrals when the measure space permits the local extension of the Radon-Nikodym theorem. An analogous description is possible in every case for the Bourbaki theory of Radon measures on locally compact topological spaces [1].

Example 4 ([3], p. 131) shows that a local extension need not be possible and that the local theory is not adequate to describe ( $\underline{L}^{1}$ ) ${ }^{*}$ for general non-topological measure spaces. J. T. Schwartz [5] has characterized (LI $)^{*}$ in general in terms
of measures. In $\S 4$ we study decompositions of X into sets of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}^{+}$and the problem of characterizing $\left(\mathrm{L}^{1}\right)^{*}$ in terms of integrals in the general case. The principal results are presented in Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.1.
2. Definitions and notation. We adopt in general the definitions and notation in [3]. Let ( $X, S, \mu$ ) denote an arbitrary measure space, where $X$ is a space of points $x$, $S$ a relatively complemented, countably additive class of measurable sets (a $\sigma$-ring) and $\mu$ a positive countably additive measure on $S$. When $X \in S$ the space is complemented and $S$ is called a $\sigma$-algebra. The measure is called $\sigma$-finite (totally $\sigma$-finite) if $S$ is a $\sigma$-ring ( $\sigma$-algebra) and every set in $S$ can be expressed as a union of a countable collection of measurable sets of finite measure.

If $S$ is a $\sigma$-algebra we let $S_{f}$ and $S_{f}^{+}$denote the collections of measurable sets of finite, and finite positive measure respectively, $S^{\prime}$ the $\sigma$-ring generated by $S_{f}$.

Let $R^{X}$ and $\bar{R}^{X}$ denote the spaces of real and extended real valued functions on $X$. A function $f$ is called null if $N(f)=\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}$ is a null set (i.e. has measure zero). The relation $f=g$ if $f-g$ is null is an equivalence relation. It is usual to extend the equivalence classes to include functions that are not defined in some null set. A set $A$ (function f) will be called locally null if for each $e \in S_{f}$, $A \cap e\left(f \chi_{e}\right)$ is null.
The relation $f=g$ if $f-g$ is locally null is an equivalence relation. We can thus also consider spaces where the points are equivalence classes of functions modulo locally null functions. We let $\underline{R}^{X}, \underline{R}_{\ell} \mathrm{X}$ denote the spaces of equivalence classes of extended real valued functions on $X$ modulo null and locally null functions respectively. When $\mu$ is $\sigma$-finite or totally $\sigma$-finite, null and locally null coincide.

If $S$ is a $\sigma$-algebra, a function $f$ in $\bar{R}^{X}$ is measurable if the inverse of each extended Borel set on the real line is measurable. If $S$ is a $\sigma$-ring, $f$ is measurable if the intersection of the inverse of every extended Borel set with $N(f)$ is
measurable. A function $f$ in $\bar{R}^{X}$ will be called locally measurable if, for each $e \in S_{f}, f X_{e}$ is a measurable function. Locally measurable functions have the same combinatory properties as measurable functions. We denote by $M, M_{f}$ the spaces of measurable and locally measurable functions, by $\underline{M}$ and $\underline{M}_{l}$ the subspaces of $\bar{R}^{X}, \bar{R}_{\ell}^{X}$ of equivalence classes of measurable and locally measurable functions modulo null and locally null functions respectively. We note that if $f$ is locally measurable then $f_{X_{A}}$ is measurable for every $A \in S^{\prime}$. Thus if $\mu$ is $\sigma$-finite or totally $\sigma$-finite local measurability implies measurability.

For each $f \in M, \underline{N}^{p}(f)=\left[\int|f|^{p} d \mu\right]^{1 / p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$; $N^{\infty}(f)=$ ess. sup. $|f(x)|$ is defined with $0 \leq \mathbb{N}^{p}(f) \leq \infty$. Since every null function $h$ is measurable and $N^{p}(h)=0, \underline{N}^{p}$ is also defined naturally on $M$. We denote by $L^{p}$ the space of functions $f$ in $M$ with $\underline{N}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{f})<\infty$, by $\underline{L}^{\mathrm{p}}$ the Banach space of points $f$ of $M$ with $\underline{N}^{p}(\hat{f})<\infty$. Thus, as sets of points,

$$
\underline{L}^{\mathrm{p}} \subset \underline{M} \subset \underline{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{X}}
$$

When $\mu$ is not $\sigma$-finite or totally $\sigma$-finite we define

$$
\mathrm{N}_{l}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{f})=\sup _{\mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{~S}}{\underset{\mathrm{~N}}{ }}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{f}), 1 \leq \mathrm{p} \leq \infty
$$

We can write

$$
\bar{\int}|f| d \mu \text { for } \underline{N}_{\ell}^{1}(f)
$$

Then $\bar{\int}$ is defined for every locally measurable function whereas $\int$ is defined only for those locally measurable functions that are measurable. We denote by $L_{l}^{p}, L_{l}^{p}$ the analogues of the spaces $L^{p}$ and $\underline{L}^{p}$ using local measurability instead of measurability. Then, as sets of points,

$$
\underline{\underline{L}}^{\mathrm{P}} \subset \underline{\mathrm{M}}_{\ell} \subset \underline{\underline{R}}_{\ell} .
$$

The spaces $\underline{L}^{\mathrm{p}}$ are vector spaces normed by $\mathrm{N}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{P}}$.
Definition. A set $A$ will be called purely infinite if it contains $A^{\prime} \in S$ with $\mu\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ and if $\mu\left(A^{\prime}\right)=0$ or $\infty$ for every $A^{\prime} \in S, A^{\prime} \subset A$.
3. Elementary examples. The following trivial example illustrates some of the pathology introduced by purely infinite sets.

Example 1. $X$ consists of two points $x_{1}, x_{2}, S=\underline{P}(X)$ (the collection of all subsets of $X$ ) , $\mu$ is the measure for which $\mu\left(x_{1}\right)=1, \mu\left(x_{2}\right)=\infty$. (We do not distinguish between $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ as points of $X$ and as one point subsets of $\left.X.\right)$

In the example the set $\mathbf{x}_{2}$ is purely infinite, S a $\sigma$-agebra and $\mu$ is not totally $\sigma$-finite.

Definition. Suppose that $v$ is a signed measure absolutely continuous with respect to a measure $\mu, \nu \ll \mu$. Then a measurable function $g(x)$ will be called a Radon-Nikodym derivative (RN-derivative) of $v$ with respect to $\mu$ if

$$
v(A)=\int_{A} g(x) d \mu
$$

for every AeS. A locally measurable function $g(x)$ will be called a local RN-derivative of $\nu$ with respect to $\mu$ if

$$
\nu(e)=\int_{e} g(x) d \mu
$$

for every $e \in S_{f}$.
Let $v \ll \mu$ in Example 1. Then $g\left(x_{1}\right)=v\left(x_{1}\right)$, $g\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ is a unique $R N$-derivative if $v\left(x_{2}\right)=0$, there exists no RN-derivative if $0<\left|v\left(x_{2}\right)\right|<\infty$ and $g\left(x_{1}\right)=v\left(x_{1}\right)$, $g\left(x_{2}\right)= \pm a, 0<a \leq \infty$, is an RN derivative if $v\left(x_{2}\right)= \pm \infty$.

The functions $g\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=v\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right),-\infty \leq \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \leq \infty$ are local
RN-derivatives for every $v \ll \mu$.
Let $\left|f\left(x_{1}\right)\right|<\infty, f\left(x_{2}\right)=0$. Then $f \in L^{p}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and every $f \in L^{p}$ is of this form if $1 \leq p<\infty$. The equivalence class of $f$ in $L^{p}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ coincides with $f$ since $g \equiv 0$ is the only null function. Now $f \in L_{\ell}^{p}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and its equivaIence class $\hat{f}_{\ell} \in \underline{L}^{p}$ consists of the functions $f(x)+g(x)$ where $g(x)$ is locally null, i. e. $g\left(x_{1}\right)=0,-\infty \leq g\left(x_{2}\right) \leq \infty$. $\underline{N}^{\mathrm{p}}(\hat{\mathrm{f}})=\underline{N}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{f}}_{\ell}\right)$ and the correspondence between $\hat{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{f}}_{\ell}$, $1 \leq \mathrm{p}<\infty$, shows that $\underline{L}^{\mathrm{p}}$ and ${\underset{L}{\mathrm{~L}}}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are isometric. For the case $p=\infty, f(x)+g(x)$ determines different points in $L^{\infty}$ for different finite values of $g\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $\hat{f}_{\ell}$ corresponds to the one point with $g\left(x_{2}\right)=0$. Thus $L_{\ell}^{\infty}$ is isometric to a subspace of $\underline{L}^{\infty}$.

For $1 \leq p<\infty$ the situation illustrated by the example is typical. If $\hat{f} \in \underline{L}^{p}, f \in \hat{f}$ is in $L_{l}^{p}$ and determines an equivalence class $\hat{f}_{\ell}$ in $\underline{L}^{p}$ with $\underline{N}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{f}}_{\ell}\right)=\underline{N}^{\mathrm{p}}(\hat{\mathrm{f}})$. If $\hat{\mathrm{f}}_{\ell} \in \underline{L}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{p}}$ and $f \in \hat{f}$, then since $N_{l}^{P}(f)=\sup _{e \in S_{f}} N^{P}\left(f X_{e}\right)$, there is an increasing sequence $e_{n} \in S_{f}$ with $N^{p}\left(f X_{e_{n}}\right) \geq N^{p}(f)-1 / n, n=1,2, \ldots$ If $A=\cup_{1}^{\infty} e_{n}, f X_{A} \in L^{p}$, and $N^{p}\left(\hat{f}_{X_{A}}\right)=N_{\ell}^{p}\left(\hat{f}_{\ell}\right)$. We have thus shown that for every measure space $(X, S, \mu), \underline{L}^{p}$ and $\underline{L}_{\ell}^{p}$, $1<\mathrm{p}<\infty$, are isometric. Thus $\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{p}}, 1<\mathrm{p}<\infty$ is a Banach space.

Returning to the example we note that $S_{f}=S^{\prime}$ consists of the empty set and the set $\mathrm{x}_{1 \infty}$. Here $L^{p}(X, S, \mu)$ and $L^{p}\left(X, S^{\prime}, \mu\right)$ coincide if $1 \leq p<\infty$ but $\underline{L}^{\infty}\left(X, S^{\prime}, \mu\right)$ and $\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}(X, S, \mu)$ are
isometric, noting that $f(x)$ is non measurable ( $S^{\prime}$ ) unless it vanishes at $\mathbf{x}_{2}$.

We next consider the topological dual of $\underline{L}^{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty$. If $g \in \bar{R}^{X}, g$ determines an element $G$ of ( $\left.\underline{L^{p}}\right)^{*}$ if $\left|g\left(x_{1}\right)\right|<\infty$ by

$$
G(f)=\int f g d \mu=f\left(x_{1}\right) g\left(x_{1}\right),
$$

noting that $f\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ and using the convention for extended real numbers that $0 . \infty=0$ (or we could replace $\int$ by $\bar{f}$ ). Each $g$ in the same equivalence class modulo locally null functions determines the same $G$ with $\|G\|=\underline{N}_{\ell}^{\infty}\left(\hat{g}_{\ell}\right)$. Conversely if $G \in\left(\underline{L}^{p}\right)^{*}$ let $g\left(x_{1}\right)=G\left(x_{x_{1}}\right),-\infty \leq g\left(x_{2}\right) \leq \infty$. If $f \in L^{p}$,

$$
G(f)=G\left(f x_{x_{1}}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right) G\left(x_{x_{1}}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right) g\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

All such $g$ belong to the same equivalence class $\hat{g}_{\ell}$ in $\underline{L}_{l}^{q}$ and $\underline{N}_{l}^{q}\left(\hat{g}_{\ell}\right)=\|G\|$. Thus for this example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\underline{L}^{p}\right)^{*}=\underline{L}_{l}^{q}=\underline{L}^{q}, 1<p<\infty \\
& \left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}=\underline{L}_{l}^{\infty} \subset \underline{L}^{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2. $X=(0,1), S=P(X), \mu(A)$ denotes the number of points in $A$ if $A$ is finite, $=\infty$ otherwise.
$S$ is a $\sigma$-algebra, $\mu$ not $\sigma$-finite. The empty set is the only null set and $A \in S_{f}$ implies that $A$ is finite. Measurability and local measurability coincide, every $v \ll \mu$ has a unique $R N$-derivative and $\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}=\underline{L}^{\infty}=\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$. We note that $L^{P}(X, S, \mu)=L^{P}\left(X, S^{\prime}, \mu\right)$ if $1 \leq p<\infty$ but that $L^{\infty}(X, S, \mu)=$ $L_{\ell}^{\infty}(X, S, \mu) \supset L^{\infty}\left(X, S^{\prime}, \mu\right)$ since $f$ is not measurable ( $S^{\prime}$ ) if
$\{x: f(x) \neq 0\}$ is not countably infinite.
Example 3. ([4], p.36). With $X$ and $\mu$ the same as in Example 2 let $S$ denote the Lebesgue measurable subsets of X .

Let $v$ denote Lebesgue measure on S . Then $v \ll \mu$. Suppose that $v$ has an RN-derivative $g(x)$ with respect to $\mu$. Since $\mu(x)=1, v(x)=0$ for every one point set $x \in X, g(x) \equiv 0$. This is not compatible with

$$
1=v(\mathrm{X})=\int_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{d} \mu}
$$

We note that every locally null function is a local RN-derivative for $v$ with respect to $\mu$.

If $f \in L^{1}$ the points where $f(x) \neq 0$ are at most countable say $x_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ and $\underline{N}^{1}(f)=\Sigma_{1}^{\infty}\left|f\left(x_{i}\right)\right|$. It is easy to show that $\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)$ is isometric to $B$, the space of bounded functions on $X$, by

$$
G(f)=\int g f d \mu \text {, with }\|G\|=\sup _{x \in X}|g(x)|
$$

Here $g f$ is measurable for every $g$ since the product vanishes outside a countable collection of points. The only null or locally null set is the empty set. Thus $L^{1}=\underline{L}^{1}, L_{\ell}^{\infty}=\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$. We note that every element of $\bar{R}^{X}$ is locally measurable and $B=L_{\ell}^{\infty}$. Since there are bounded non-measurable functions, viz. the characteristic function of a non-Lebesgue measurable set,

$$
\underline{L}^{\infty} \subset \underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}=\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}
$$

We note also that $\underline{L}^{\infty}(X, S, \mu) \supset \underline{L}^{\infty}\left(X, S^{\top}, \mu\right)$.

In the above examples $\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$ is a Banach space as the topological dual of the Banach space $\underline{L}^{1}$. In the general case completeness can be shown by a slight modification of the
argument of [2], Theorem 3.1. There is no loss of generality in assuming that each $g_{i}(P)$ in [2], Theorem 3.1 is defined and finite everywhere in X since the equivalence class of each element in $L_{l}^{\infty}$ contains such functions. If $e_{\infty}=$ $\left\{P: g_{0}(P)=\infty\right\} \quad N_{l}^{\infty}\left(g_{0}\right)<\infty$ implies that, for each $e \in S_{f}$, $N_{l}^{\infty}\left(g_{0} \chi_{e}\right)<\infty$ whence $e \cap e_{\infty}$ is null. Thus $e_{\infty}$ is locally null. The remainder of the argument in Theorem 3.1 goes through verbatim.

The above examples illustrate two properties of totally $\sigma$-finite measures that may become invalid for arbitrary measures -

1. We call $A \in S$ finitely regular if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(\mathrm{A})= & \sup \mu(\mathrm{e}) . \\
& \mathrm{e} \subset \mathrm{~A} \\
& \mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{f}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu$ is monotone every $e \in S_{f}$ is finitely regular. Thus if A is not finitely regular

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(A)=\infty, & \sup \mu(e)=a<\infty . \\
& e \subset A \\
& e \in S_{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

There then exists an increasing sequence $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ of sets in $S_{f}$ with $\lim _{n} \mu\left(e_{n}\right)=a$. If $A^{\prime}=\cup_{1}^{\infty} e_{n}, A^{\prime}$ is measurable and A-A' is measurable and purely infinite. Thus every set that is not finitely regular contains a purely infinite measurable subset.
2. If $\mu$ is totally $\sigma$-finite $X=\cup_{1}^{\infty} e_{n}$ with $e_{n} \in S_{f}^{+}$.

If $A \cap e \in S$ for every $e \in S_{f}, A=A \cap X=\cup_{1}^{\infty} A \cap e_{n} \in S$.
Example 3 shows that in the general case there may exist nonmeasurable sets $A$ with $A \cap e e S$ for every $e \in S_{f}$. Replacing measurability by local measurability restores this property in the general case.

Purely infinite sets can always be removed by changing the measure from $\mu$ to $\mu_{o}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{o}(A)= & \sup \mu(e) . \\
& e \subset A \\
& e \in S_{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mu_{o}=\mu$ on the finitely regular sets but purely infinite measurable sets become null sets. We note that, even if $\mu$ is complete, $\mu_{0}$ will not be complete unless every subset of every purely infinite measurable set is measurable. In Example 1, $\underline{L}_{l}^{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{S}, \mu)=\underline{L}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{S}, \mu_{\mathrm{o}}\right), 1 \leq \mathrm{p} \leq \infty$. In Example 3, $\mu=\mu_{0}$ and the local theory is needed to characterize ( $\underline{L}^{1}$ ) ${ }^{*}$ by integrals.

Purely infinite sets may also be deleted by retaining the measure $\mu$ but replacing the $\sigma$-algebra $S$ by a suitable $\sigma$-ring. $S^{\prime}$ was used in Example 1 and is in general adequate in studying $\underline{L}^{p}, 1 \leq \mathrm{p}<\infty$, but was inadequate for the description of $\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}$ in Examples 2 and 3. The collection $S^{\prime \prime}$ of sets in $S$ that contain no purely infinite measurable subsets is a $\sigma$-ring. In Examples 2 and 3 it coincides with $S$.

The local theory has effectively neglected purely infinite sets, restored property 2 , and has provided a natural way of describing ( $\left.\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}$ in terms of integrals in all three examples. In the general case each element of $\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$ determines a continuous linear functional on $\underline{L}^{1}$ (compare Theorem 4.1 below). However $\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$ can be isometric to a proper subset of $\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}$. When the measure space permits a local extension of the RadonNikodym theorem it can be shown that $\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}$ and $\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$ are isometric.
4. $\left(L^{1}\right)^{*}$ for general measure spaces. The following example ([ $\overline{3}]$, p. 131) shows that a local extension of the RadonNikodym theorem is not always possible and that ( $\underline{L}^{1}$ ) ${ }^{*}$ and
$\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$ need not be isometric.

Example 4. Let $X, Y$ be sets of points with cardinal numbers $\alpha, \beta$ respectively where $\alpha>$ the first non-countable cardinal and $\beta>\alpha$. We consider the Cartesian product $X \times Y$, call the set of points $A_{y_{0}}=\left\{\left(x, y_{0}\right), x \in X\right\}$, a horizontal line, the set $A_{x_{0}}=\left\{\left(x_{0}, y\right), y \in Y\right\}$ a vertical line.

A set A will be called full on a horizontal or vertical line if it consists of all but at most countably many points of the line. We let $S$ denote the collection of sets which intersect each horizontal or vertical line in a full set or a countable set. Then $S$ is a $\sigma$-algebra. For each $A \in S$ let $\mu(A)$ equal the number of horizontal lines on which $A$ is full plus the number of vertical lines on which $A$ is full. Then $(X X Y, S, \mu)$ is a complemented measure space that is not totally $\sigma$-finite. If $v(A)$ denotes the number of horizontal lines on which $A$ is full $v$ is a positive measure, $v \ll \mu$.

Since for each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}, \mu\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=1<\infty$ and $v \ll \mu$ on the subsets of $A_{x}$, the classical finite Radon-Nikodym theorem implies the existence of $g_{x}(P), P \in A_{x}$, with

$$
v(e)=\int_{e} g_{x}(P) d \mu
$$

for every measurable subset $e$ of $A_{x}$. Since the measurable subsets of $A_{x}$ are either full or countable, $g_{x}(P)=0$ for all but at most countably many points of $A_{x}$. Similarly for each $y \in Y$ there exists $g_{y}(P), P \in A_{y}$ with

$$
v(e)=\int g_{y}(P) d \mu
$$

for every $e \subset A_{y}$, $e \in S$, and $g_{y}(P)=1$ almost everywhere in $A_{y}$. The collection of functions $g_{x}, x \in X ; g_{y}, y \in Y$ determine an element of ( $L^{1}$ ) ${ }^{*}$ by the argument of Theorem 4.1 below. Suppose now that there exists a locally measurable
function $g(P)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(P) & =g_{x}(P) \text { a.e. in each } A_{x} \\
& =g_{y}(P) \text { a.e. in each } A_{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $A=\{P \in X \times Y: g(P) \neq 0\}$, as in [3] the $x$-conditions imply that $A$ has cardinal number $>\beta$, the $y$-conditions that $A$ has cardinal number $\leq \alpha$ giving a contradiction.

We note that in Example 4, $X=\underset{x \in X}{\cup} A_{x}, A_{x} \in S_{f}^{+}, x \in X$, $A_{x} \cap A_{x^{\prime}}=\theta, x \neq x^{\prime}$. Thus there exists a measurable function $f(P)$ defined on all of $X$, coinciding with $g_{x}(P)$ a.e. in each $A_{x}$, namely the function $g(P)=0$. However each $A_{y}$ e $S_{f}^{+}$ but $\mu\left(A_{x} \cap A_{y}\right)=0, \quad x \in X$ and

$$
1=v\left(A_{y}\right) \neq \int_{A_{y}} g(P) d \mu=0
$$

We note also that $X=\left(\underset{x \in X}{\cup} A_{x}\right) \cup\left(\underset{y \in Y}{\cup} A_{y}\right)=\underset{\lambda \in \Lambda}{\cup} A_{\lambda}$, where $\Lambda$ is the set of all $x$ and $y$ indices. Here $\mu\left(A_{\lambda} \cap A_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)=0, \lambda \neq \lambda^{\prime}$ and, for any $A \in S_{f}^{+}$,

$$
\mu(A)=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda}\left(A \cap A_{\lambda}\right),
$$

where $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu\left(A \cap A_{\lambda}\right)$ means the supremum of all finite sums of this form. In this case the functions $g_{A_{\lambda}}(P), \lambda \in \Lambda$, determine a $g_{A}(P)$ for every $A \in S^{\mathbf{1}}$ but it is impossible to define $g(P)$ on $X$ coinciding a.e. with $g_{A}(P)$ in $A_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

The following lemma shows that similar decompositions are possible for every complemented measure space.

Lemma 4.1. To each complemented measure space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{S}, \mu$ ) correspond two decompositions (D) and (ND) where, for both

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{X}_{1} \cup \mathrm{X}_{2}, \text { with } \mathrm{X}_{1} \text { purely infinite or locally null, } \\
& \mathrm{X}_{1} \cap \mathrm{X}_{2}=\theta ; \\
& \mathrm{X}_{2}=\underset{\lambda \in \Lambda}{\cup} e_{\lambda}, e_{\lambda} \in \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

and where, for
(D) $\quad e_{\lambda} \cap e_{\lambda^{\prime}}=\theta, \quad \lambda \neq \lambda^{\prime}$;
(ND) $\mu\left(e_{\lambda} \cap e_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)=0, \quad \lambda \neq \lambda^{\prime}$ and $\mu(e)=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu\left(\mathrm{e} \cap e_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)$ for every $e \in S_{f}$.

If $\mu$ is a complete measure X is locally null in both cases. The decompositions (D) and (ND) are not in general unique.

Proof. We verify (ND), (D) being simpler and similar. We consider all collections of sets from $S_{f}^{+}$with pairwise intersections null and partially order these collections by inclusion.

If $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathrm{A}$, is a chain in this partially ordered set, let $C^{1}$ denote the collection of all elements $e_{\lambda}$ in any $C_{\alpha}$. If $e_{\lambda} \neq e_{\lambda^{\prime}}, e_{\lambda} \in C_{\alpha}, e_{\lambda^{\prime}} \in C_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ for some $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ and we can assume that $\alpha<\alpha^{\mathbf{t}}$. Then $e_{\lambda^{\prime}} e_{\lambda^{1}} \in C_{\alpha^{1}}$ and $\mu\left(e_{\lambda} \cap e_{\lambda^{1}}\right)=0$. Thus $C^{1}$ is an upper bound for the chain. By Zorn's Lemma there is a maximal collection $C=\left\{e{ }_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$. If $e \in S_{f}^{+}$ maximality implies that $\mu\left(\mathrm{e} \cap \mathrm{e}_{\lambda}\right)>0$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Let $X_{2}=\underset{\lambda \in \Lambda}{\cup} e_{\lambda}, X_{1}=X-X_{2}$. Then $X_{1}$ can contain no set of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}^{+}$and so is purely infinite or locally null.

Let $e \in S_{f}^{+}$. Assume that $\mu\left(e \cap e_{\lambda}\right)>0$ for uncountably many $\lambda \in \Lambda$. There would then exist $\delta>0$ and a sequence $\lambda_{i}, i=1,2 \ldots$ with $\mu\left(e \cap e_{\lambda_{i}}\right)>0$ whence, since $\mu\left(e_{\lambda_{i}} \cap e_{\lambda_{j}}\right)=0$, i $\neq \mathrm{j}$,

$$
\infty=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(\mathrm{e} \cap \mathrm{e}_{\lambda_{i}}\right)=\mu\left(\mathrm{e} \cap\left(\cup_{1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}_{\lambda_{i}}\right)<\mu(\mathrm{e})<\infty,\right.
$$

a contradiction. Thus for at most countably many $\lambda$ say $\lambda_{j}$, $j=1,2, \ldots, \mu\left(e \cap e_{\lambda_{j}}\right)>0$ and

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu\left(e \cap e_{\lambda}\right)=\Sigma_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(e \cap e_{\lambda_{j}}\right) \leq \mu(e)
$$

Let $e^{y}=e-\bigcup_{i}^{\infty}\left(e \cap e_{\lambda_{j}}\right)$. Then $e^{t} \in S_{f}$. If $e^{1} \in S_{f}^{+}$there exists $\lambda^{\prime} \neq \lambda_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots$, with $\mu\left(\mathrm{e} \cap e_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) \geq \mu\left(\mathrm{e}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{e}_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)>0$ giving a contradiction proving that $\mu\left(e^{\mathrm{r}}\right)=0$. If $\mu$ is complete, since $X_{1} \cap e \subset e^{!}, X_{1} \cap e$ is in $S$ with $\mu\left(X_{1} \cap e\right)=0$, i.e. $X_{1}$ is locally null.

The index set in (D) can be countable. Then $\underset{\lambda \in \Lambda}{\cup}{ }^{\mathrm{e}} \lambda$ is measurable, $X_{1}$ a measurable null or purely infinite set. Since $X_{e_{\lambda}} \in L^{p}, 1 \leq p<\infty, \lambda \in \Lambda, \underline{L}^{p}$ cannot be separable when $\Lambda$ is not countable.

Remark. If $S$ is a $\sigma$-algebra and $\mu$ is not totally $\sigma$-finite, $\underline{L}^{\mathrm{p}}(1 \leq \mathrm{p}<\infty)$, cannot be separable unless S contains a purely infinite set.

Definition. A measure $\mu$ on a complemented measure space ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{S}, \mu$ ) will be called locally $\sigma$-finite if there exists a decomposition (D) with $\mu(e)=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mu\left(e \cap e_{\lambda}\right)$ for every $e \in S_{f}$.

When $\mu$ is locally $\sigma$-finite the finite Radon-Nikodym Theorem extends locally to $X$ by $g(x)=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} g_{e}(x)$, i.e. every $v \ll \mu$ has a local $R N$-derivative with respect to $\mu$. We observe that if there is a decomposition (ND) with $\Lambda$ of cardinal $\chi_{1}$ (the smallest uncountable cardinal), $\mu$ is locally $\sigma$-finite. There is then a well ordering of the sets $e_{\lambda}$ such that, for each $\lambda_{0} \in \Lambda$, at most countably many $\lambda$ precede $\lambda_{0}$. Thus $\underset{\lambda<\lambda_{0}}{\bigcup} e_{\lambda} \in S, e_{\lambda_{0}} \cap\left(\underset{\lambda<\lambda_{0}}{\cup} e_{\lambda}\right)$ is null and $e_{\lambda_{0}}^{1}=e_{\lambda_{0}}-\underbrace{v}_{\lambda<\lambda_{0}} e_{\lambda} \in S_{f}^{+}$. Since $e_{\lambda_{1}}^{1}{ }^{\circ} e_{\lambda_{2}}^{1}=0$ if $\lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}$, both (D) and (ND) apply for $X_{2}=\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} e_{\lambda}^{1}$ Thus if $\alpha, \beta \leq X_{1}$ in Example 4, $\mu$ is locally $\sigma$-finite.

We show how a decomposition (ND) can be used to characterize every $\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right){ }^{*}$ in terms of integrals. We fix a decomposition (ND) in Lemma 4.1, let $g \bigwedge$ denote a collection of functions $g_{\lambda}(x), \lambda \in \Lambda$ where $g_{\lambda}(x)$ vanishes outside $e_{\lambda}$ and is measurable. We define ${\underset{\Lambda}{N}}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{g}_{\Lambda}\right)=\sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Lambda_{\infty}^{N}\left(g_{\lambda}\right)$ and denote by $\underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$ the space of collections $g_{\Lambda}$ with $\underline{N}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\left(g_{\lambda}\right)<\infty$. It is easy to verify that $\underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$ is a vector space semi-normed by $\underline{N}_{\wedge}^{\infty}(\cdot) \cdot \underline{N}_{\wedge}^{\infty}\left(g_{\Lambda}\right)=0$ if and only if $g_{\lambda}(x)=0$ a.e. in e ${ }_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If $\underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$ is the corresponding normed space it is easy to show that it is a Banach space. We note that the space $\underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$ does not depend on the choice of the (ND) decomposition. Where primes refer to a second decomposition, to each $e_{\lambda^{\prime}}, \lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, corresponds a countable sequence $e_{\lambda_{i}}, \lambda_{i} \in \Lambda$, with $e_{\lambda^{\prime}}-e_{\lambda^{\prime}} \cap\left(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{\lambda_{i}}\right)$ null. Given $g_{\Lambda}$ let $g_{\Lambda^{\prime}}:=\left\{g_{\lambda^{\prime}}(x)=\sup _{i} g_{\lambda_{i}}(x) \chi_{e_{\lambda^{\prime}}} ; \lambda^{\prime} \in \Lambda^{\prime}\right\}$.
 $g_{\Lambda}$, determines $g_{\widehat{*}}^{*}$ in a similar way and $\underline{N}_{\wedge}^{\infty}\left(g_{\Lambda}-g_{\wedge}^{*}\right)=0$ 。

Thus $\stackrel{N}{-}_{\wedge}^{\infty}\left(\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{\wedge_{\infty}}\right)=\underline{N}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\left(\hat{\mathrm{g}}_{\infty}\right)$. Reversing the roles of $\Lambda, \Lambda$ ' shows that $\underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$ and $\underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$, are isometric.

If $f \in \underline{L}^{1}, e_{f}=\{x: f(x) \neq 0\} \quad$ can be expressed as the union of a countable collection of sets of finite measure and the refore as the union of a null set and a countable collection $e_{f} \cap e_{i}$, $\lambda_{i} \in \Lambda$. We define

$$
g_{f}(x)=\sup _{i} g_{\lambda_{i}}(x), \quad x \in e_{f} ;=0 \text { elsewhere. }
$$

Then $g_{f}(x)=g_{\lambda_{i}}(x)$ a.e. in $e_{f} \cap e_{\lambda_{i}}, i=1,2, \ldots$. We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{a f}(x)=g_{f}(x), a \in R \\
& g_{f_{1}+f}(x)=\sup \left\{g_{f_{1}}(x), g_{f_{2}}(x)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which coincides with $g_{f_{i}}(x)$ a.e. in $e_{f_{i}}, i=1,2$.

Theorem 4.1. For any complemented measure space $(X, S, \mu)\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}$ and $\underline{L} \Lambda$ are isometric by the correspondence

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(f)=\int f g_{f} d \mu \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\|G\|=\underline{N}^{\infty}(\mathrm{g}$,$) . If \mathrm{X}$ is locally $\sigma$-finite $\underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}=\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$. If $X$ is totally $\sigma$-finite $\underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}=\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}=\underline{L}^{\infty}$.

Proof. Let $g_{\Lambda} \in \underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$. If $f, f_{1}, f_{2} \in \underline{L}^{1}, \alpha \in R$ and $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{f})$ is defined by (*),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}(\alpha \mathrm{f}) & =\int \alpha \mathrm{f} \mathrm{~g}_{\alpha \mathrm{f}} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\alpha \int \mathrm{f} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\alpha \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{f}) \\
\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{2}\right) & =\int\left(\mathrm{f}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{2}\right) \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{f}_{1}+\mathrm{f}_{2}} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\int \mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{f}_{1}} \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int \mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{f}_{2}} \mathrm{~d} \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=G\left(f_{1}\right)+G\left(f_{2}\right)
$$

Thus $G$ is linear on $\underline{L}^{1}$. Since

$$
|G(f)|=\left|\int f g_{f} d \mu\right| \leq \underline{N}^{1}(f) \underline{N}^{\infty}\left(g_{f}\right) \leq \underline{N}^{1}(f) N_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\left(g_{\Lambda}\right)
$$

$G \in\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}$ and $\|G\| \leq \underline{N}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\left(g_{\Lambda}\right) \cdot$ Let $a=\underline{N}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\left(g_{\Lambda}\right)$. Given
$\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\underline{N}^{\infty}\left(g_{\lambda}\right)>a-\varepsilon / 2$ and a measurable subset $e^{\prime}$ of $e_{\lambda}$ with $\left|g_{\lambda}(x)\right|>a-\varepsilon$ in $e^{\prime}$.
Now $f_{0}(x)=\left[\mu\left(e^{\eta}\right)\right]^{-1} X_{e^{\prime}} \in L^{1}$ and $\underline{N}^{1}\left(f_{0}\right)=1$. Thus

$$
\|G\| \geq\left|\int \mathrm{f}_{0} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{f}_{0}} \mathrm{~d} \mu\right|>\mathrm{a}-\varepsilon
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary $\|G\|=N_{\Lambda}^{\infty}(\mathrm{g} \Lambda)$.
Conversely if $G \in\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}$ the classical theory applied to the restriction of $G$ to $\overline{L^{1}}\left(e_{\lambda}\right)$ gives the existence of $g_{\lambda}(x) \in L^{\infty}\left(e_{\lambda}\right)$ with

$$
G\left(f_{X_{\lambda}}\right)=\int \operatorname{fg}_{\lambda} d \mu, \underline{N}^{\infty}\left(g_{\lambda}\right) \leq\|G\|
$$

We let $g_{\wedge}=\left\{g_{\lambda}(x), \lambda \in \wedge\right\}$. Then $\underline{N}_{\wedge}^{\infty}(g \wedge) \leq\|G\|$.
If $f \in L^{1}, e_{f}=n \cup_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(e_{f} \cap e_{\lambda_{i}}\right)$ where $n$ is null and $\lambda_{i} \in \Lambda, \quad i=1,2, \ldots$ Thus

$$
\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\chi_{\cup_{1}^{n}}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{e}_{\lambda_{i}}}\right)=\cup_{1}^{\mathrm{n}} \int_{\mathrm{e}_{\lambda_{i}}} \mathrm{fg}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{~d} \mu, \mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots
$$

By continuity

$$
G(f)=\int \mathrm{fg}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

This implies that $\|G\| \leq N_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{g}_{\Lambda}\right)$. Since the opposite inequality was e stablished, $\|G\|=\mathrm{N}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{g}_{\Lambda}\right)$.

If $\mu$ is locally $\sigma$-finite the condition that $\mu\left(e_{\lambda} \cap e_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right)=0$ if $\lambda \neq \lambda^{\prime}$ in the lemma can be replaced by $e_{\lambda} \cap e_{\lambda^{\prime}}=0$, $\lambda \neq \lambda^{\prime}$. The collection $g_{\wedge}=\left\{g_{\lambda}(x), \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ can then be replaced by the function

$$
g(x)=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} g_{\lambda}(x)=\sup _{\lambda \in} \Lambda_{\lambda} g_{\lambda}(x)
$$

Then $g(x)$ is locally measurable and in $L_{\ell}^{\infty}$ and $\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}=\underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$. If $\mu$ is totally $\sigma$-finite $g(x)$ is measurable.

Example 2 shows that $\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}=\underline{L}^{\infty}$ is possible when $\mu$ is not totally $\sigma$-finite. We do not know if there are examples where $\mu$ is not locally $\sigma$-finite but $\left(\underline{L}^{1}\right)^{*}=\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$.

Remark. $\underline{L}^{\infty}, \underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$ are Banach subspaces of $\underline{L}_{\Lambda}^{\infty}$.
$\underline{L}^{\infty}$ is the conjugate of $\underline{L}^{1}$ for the length function determined by $\underline{N}^{1}$. By ([2], Theorem 3.1) it is a Banach space. We have shown above that $\underline{L}_{\ell}^{\infty}$ is always complete.
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