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#### Abstract

In this paper, smoothness properties of solutions of the initialDirichlet problem for parabolic equations in regions with edges are considered. We obtain bounds for solutions and derivatives, and prove the Hölder continuity of the first derivatives and of the second derivatives multiplied by a suitable power of the distance from the edges.


## 1. Introduction

This investigation is concerned with the behaviour of solutions of linear parabolic equations in regions with edges, and it may be motivated as follows. For elliptic equations, the behaviour of solutions near singularities of the boundary of the domain has been studied under various assumptions by means of complex analysis, Hölder and Sobolev space methods (cf. for example, Dziuk [1], Kondrat'ev [4], Wigley [10], and the review by Grisvard [3]) and, recently, by methods of geometric measure theory (cf. Simon [8]). The earliest interest in these problems arose from conformal mapping, in connection with the behaviour of mapping functions near the boundary (cf. Warschawski [9]). Later work also had important applications in elasticity theory, fluid flow, and numerical analysis ("subtraction of singularities", estimation of truncation errors in difference methods; ef. Laasonen [5]).

The methods used in the above and related papers do not extend to
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parabolic equations; indeed, in this case, comparatively little is known about general smoothness properties of solutions if the boundary of the domain has singularities. We shall be concerned with the initial-Dirichlet problem for parabolic equations
(1) $L u(x, t)=a_{i j}(x) u_{x_{i} x_{j}}+a_{i}(x, t) u_{x_{i}}+a(x, t) u-u_{t}=f(x, t)$,
$x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, with $C^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$-coefficients, $0<\alpha<1$, in a cylindrical region $\Omega=G \times J_{1}$, where $J_{1}=\left\{t \mid 0<t \leq t_{1}\right\}, t_{1}>0, G \subset R^{2}$ is a domain with corners to be specified below, and in (1) we use the summation convention. We shall introduce a method of obtaining smoothness statements for solutions of (l) satisfying initial-boundary conditions of Dirichlet type.

## 2. Bounds for solutions in a cylindrical sector

The first step of the method consists of deriving bounds for solutions of (1) in the special region $\Omega=G \times J_{1}$, where $G$ is a circular sector. We use the notations

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\sigma}=\{(r, \theta, 0) \mid 0<r<\sigma, \theta \in I\} \\
& B_{\sigma}=\{(r, \theta) \mid 0<r<\sigma, \theta=B \text { or } \beta+\omega\},  \tag{2}\\
& J_{2}=\left\{t \mid 0<t \leq t_{2}\right\}, t_{2} \leq t_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I=(\beta, \beta+\omega)$, the angle $\omega \in(0, \pi)$ is given, $\beta>0$ is such that $\pi / 2<\omega+2 \beta<\pi$, and $r, \theta$ are defined by $x_{1}=r \cos \theta$, $x_{2}=r \sin \theta$.

THEOREM 1. Let $u$ be a bounded solution of the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
L u & =f \text { in } \Omega_{1}=G_{\sigma} \times J_{2},  \tag{3}\\
u & =0 \text { on } \bar{G}_{\sigma} \text { and } B_{\sigma} \times J_{2}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i j}(0)=\delta_{i, j}, \quad a_{i j} \in C^{0}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1}\right)$, and $a_{i}, a, f$ are bounded in $\bar{\Omega}_{1}$. Then there exist $r_{0} \in(0, \sigma / 2)$ and $\nu \in(0,1)$ such that in $\bar{\Omega}_{2}$, where $\Omega_{2}=G_{2 r_{0}} \times J_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x, t)| \leq M r^{1+v} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $M>0$ independent of $(x, t)$.
Proof. We write (1) in the form

$$
L u=\Delta u+\left(a_{i j}-\delta_{i j}\right) u_{x_{i} x_{j}}+a_{i} u_{x_{i}}+a u-u_{t}=f
$$

and introduce the function

$$
v(x)=\tilde{v}(r, \theta)=-M r^{\mu} \sin \lambda \theta \quad(M>0)
$$

assuming that

$$
I<\mu<\lambda=\frac{\pi}{2 \beta+\omega}<2 .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L v(x)=\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) M r^{\mu-2} \sin \lambda \theta+M h_{i j}(x)\left(a_{i j}(x)-\delta_{i j}\right) r^{\mu-2} \\
&+M h_{1}(x, t) r^{\mu-1}+M h_{2}(x, t) r^{\mu},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{i j}, h_{1}, h_{2}$ denote functions which are bounded in $\bar{\Omega}_{1}$, say,

$$
\left|h_{i j}(x)\right| \leq R_{0}, \quad\left|h_{i}(x, t)\right| \leq R_{0}, \quad i, j=1,2
$$

Since $a_{i j}-\delta_{i j}$ is continuous and vanishes at $x=0$, given $\varepsilon>0$, there is an $r_{0} \in(0, \sigma / 2)$ such that for $r \leq 2 r_{0}$,

$$
\left|a_{i j}(x)-\delta_{i j}\right|<\varepsilon / 4 R_{0}
$$

Since $\sin \lambda \theta \geq \sin \lambda \beta$ if $\theta \in \bar{I}$, we thus obtain in $\Omega_{2}$,

$$
L v(x) \geq M\left[\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right) \sin \lambda \beta-\varepsilon\right] r^{\mu-2}-M R_{0} r^{\mu-1}-M R_{0} r^{\mu}
$$

For a positive $\varepsilon<\left(\lambda^{2}-\mu^{2}\right)$ sin $\lambda \beta$ the right-hand side tends to infinity as $r \rightarrow 0$. Since $f$ is bounded in $\bar{\Omega}_{1}$, by choosing $r_{0}>0$ sufficiently small, we thus can make

$$
L v(x) \geq f(x, t) .
$$

Hence, in $\Omega_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u(x, t)-v(x)) \leq 0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove next that $u-v$ is nonnegative on $S=\partial \Omega_{2} \backslash \hat{G}_{2 r_{0}}$ for sufficiently large $M$; here

$$
\hat{G}_{\sigma}=\left\{\left(r, \theta, t_{2}\right) \mid 0<r<\sigma, \theta \in I\right\},
$$

$u$ is zero on $\bar{G}_{2 r_{0}}$ and ${ }^{B} 2 r_{0} \times J_{2}$, so that on this part of $S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)-v(x)=-v(x) \geq 0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other part of $S$,

$$
u(x, t)-v(x) \geq-\|u\|_{0}+M\left(2 r_{0}\right)^{\mu} \sin \lambda \beta,
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ is the sup norm in $\bar{\Omega}_{2}$. This shows that the left-hand side is positive for sufficiently large $M$. From this, (6), (7), and the maximum principle (cf. [6], pp. 174-175) it follows that in $\bar{\Omega}_{2}$,

$$
u(x, t) \geq v(x)=-M r^{\mu} \sin \lambda \theta \geq-M r^{\mu} .
$$

Similarly, for sufficiently large $M$ and small $r_{0}>0$ the maximum principle also yields

$$
u(x, t) \leq M r^{\mu}
$$

in $\bar{\Omega}_{2}$. Since $1<\mu<2$, setting $\nu=\mu-1$, we have (5). Theorem 1 is proved.
3. Bounds for derivatives of solutions in a cylindrical sector

In the second step of our method, using Theorem 1 and the notations of Section 2, we estimate the first and second partial derivatives of solutions in a cylindrical sector.

THEOREM 2. Let $u$ be a bounded solution of the problem (3), (4) in $\Omega_{2}=G_{2 r_{0}} \times J_{2}$ with $r_{0}$ as in Theorem 1, and assume the coefficients of $L$ and the function $f$ to be of class $c^{\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2}\right), 0<\alpha<1$. Then in $\bar{\Omega}_{3}$, where $\Omega_{3}=G_{r_{0}} \times J_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{x}^{k} u(x, t)\right| \leq M_{k^{r^{2}}} 1+v_{-k}, \quad k=1,2, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $v$ as in Theorem 1 ; here, $D_{x}^{k} u$ denotes any $k$ th partial derivative of $u$ with respect to $x_{1}, x_{2}$.

Proof. For $n=-1,0,1, \ldots$ let

$$
H_{n}=\left\{(r, \theta, t) \mid 2^{-n-2} r_{0} \leq r \leq 2^{-n-1} r_{0}, \theta \in \bar{I}, 0<t<t_{2}\right\}
$$

and $\tilde{H}_{n}=H_{n-1} \cup H_{n} \cup H_{n+1}, n=0,1, \ldots$. Then $H_{n}, \tilde{H}_{n} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{2}$. The transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=2^{-n} y, \quad y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

maps $H_{n}$ onto $H_{0}$ and $\tilde{H}_{n}$ onto $\tilde{H}_{0}$. Also $w(y, t)=u\left(2^{-n} y, t\right)$ satisfies in $\tilde{H}_{0}$ the parabolic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i j} w_{y_{i} y_{j}}+2^{-n} b_{i} w_{y_{i}}+2^{-2 n} b w-2^{-2 n} w_{t}=2^{-2 n} g \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i, j}(y)=a_{i, j}\left(2^{-n} y\right)$, and so on, and $g(y, t)=f\left(2^{-n} y, t\right) ; c f$. (1). We now use a Schauder type estimate (cf. [2]) in $H_{0}$ and $\tilde{H}_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{2+\alpha}^{H_{0}} \leq n\left(\|w\|_{0}^{\tilde{H}_{0}}+2^{-2 n}\|g\|_{\alpha}^{\tilde{H}_{0}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the constant $\eta$ does not depend on $\omega$, and the norms are defined as usual, that is,

$$
\|w\|_{2+\alpha}^{H}=\sum_{i=0}^{2}\left\|D_{y}^{i} w\right\|_{0}^{H} 0 \quad h_{\alpha}^{H} 0\left(D_{y}^{2} w\right)
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ denotes the sup norm and $h_{\alpha}^{H_{0}}$ the Holder coefficient, and so on. In (11), by Theorem 1 ,

$$
\|w\|_{0}^{\tilde{H}} \leq M_{0}\left(2^{-n}\right)^{\mu} \quad(\mu=1+v)
$$

Since $\|g\|_{\alpha}^{\tilde{H}_{0}}<\infty$, from (ll) we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{2+\alpha}^{H_{0}} \leq n_{1}\left(2^{-\mu n}+2^{-2 n}\right) \leq 2 n_{1} 2^{-\mu n} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by (9), for corresponding derivatives in the $x$ and $y$ systems,

$$
D_{y}^{k}{ }_{y}=\partial^{k}{ }_{w / \partial y_{1}}^{k_{1}} \partial_{2}{ }_{2}^{k-k_{1}}=2^{-n k_{D}}{\underset{x}{u}}_{k}^{k}=2^{-n k}\left\{\partial^{k} u / \partial x_{1}^{k_{1}} \partial x_{2}^{k-k_{1}}\right\},
$$

where $k_{1}=0, \ldots, k$, and $k=1,2$. In $H_{0}$,

$$
\left|D_{y}^{k} w(y, t)\right| \leq\|w\|_{2+\alpha}^{H_{0}}, k=1,2,
$$

so that (12) yields in $H_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{-n k}\left|D_{x}^{k} u(x, t)\right| \leq n_{2} 2^{-\mu n}, \quad k=1,2 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2^{-n-2} r_{0} \leq r \leq 2^{-n-1} r_{0} \text { in } H_{n} \text {. Hence by (13), in } H_{n}, \\
\left|D_{x}^{k} u(x, t)\right| \leq n_{2}\left(2^{-n}\right)^{\mu-k} \leq M_{k} r^{\mu-k}, k=1,2 .
\end{array}
$$

Since $\mu=1+\nu$, Theorem 2 follows.
4. Smoothness of solutions in a cylindrical sector

We now show that a bounded solution of the problem (3), (4) is of class $C^{1+\nu}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{3}\right)$ in $x$, where $\Omega_{3}=G_{r_{0}} \times J_{2}$, as in Theorem 2. Note that $u$ is of class $c^{1+\alpha / 2}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{3}\right)$ in $t$, so that the corner of $G_{r_{0}}$ does not affect the $t$-smoothness of the solution.

THEOREM 3. Let $u$ be a bounded solution of the problem (3), (4) in $\Omega_{2}$. Assume that the conditions in Theorem 2 are satisfied. Then for $u$, considered as a function of $x$, we have

$$
u \in c^{1+v}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{3}\right) \quad(0<v<1)
$$

with $r_{0}$ and $v$ as in Theorem 1. Furthermore, for any $k \in(1-\nu, 1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\kappa} D_{x}^{2} u \in C^{X}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{3}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\min (\kappa+\nu-1, \alpha)$.
Proof. We use the metric defined by

$$
d(P, \tilde{P})^{2}=|x-\tilde{x}|^{2}+|t-x|
$$

where $P:(x, t), \tilde{P}:(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$. In cylindrical coordinates we also write $P:(r, \theta, t)$, and $u(x, t)$ will be written $u(P)$, for simplicity. Consider $P:\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, t\right)$ and $Q:\left(r_{2}, \theta_{2}, t\right)$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{3}$, corresponding to the same $t$, but otherwise arbitrary; let $0 \leq r_{2} \leq r_{1} \leq r_{0}$, without restriction.

Case 1. If $r_{2} \leq r_{1} / 2$, then $d(P, Q) \geq r_{1} / 2$, so that (8) yields

$$
\left|D_{x} u(P)-D_{x} u(Q)\right| / d(P, Q)^{\nu} \leq M_{1}\left(r_{1}^{\nu}+r_{2}^{\nu}\right) /\left(r_{1} / 2\right)^{\nu} \leq K=\text { const. }
$$

In a similar fashion it follows that $r^{k} D_{x}^{2} u$ satisfies an analogous inequality.

Case 2. Let $r_{2}>r_{1} / 2$. We consider the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\xi y, \quad \xi=2 r_{1} / r_{0} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$. We set $\rho=r / \xi$. Now $P$ has the image $P^{*}:\left(\rho_{1}, \theta_{1}, t\right), \rho_{1}=r_{0} / 2$, and $Q$ has the image $Q^{*}:\left(\rho_{2}, \theta_{2}, t\right)$, $\rho_{2}=r_{2} / \xi>r_{0} / 4$. The region

$$
R=\left\{(r, \theta, t) \mid r_{1} / 2 \leq r \leq r_{1}, \theta \in \bar{I}, 0 \leq t \leq t_{2}\right\}
$$

is mapped onto

$$
R^{*}=\left\{(\rho, \theta, t) \mid r_{0} / 4 \leq \rho \leq r_{0} / 2, \theta \in \bar{I}, 0 \leq t \leq t_{2}\right\}
$$

and the region

$$
S=\left\{(r, \theta, t) \mid r_{1} / 4 \leq r \leq 2 r_{1}, \theta \in \bar{I}, 0 \leq t \leq t_{2}\right\}
$$

onto

$$
S^{*}=\left\{(\rho, \theta, t) \mid r_{0} / 8 \leq \rho \leq r_{0}, \theta \in \bar{I}, 0 \leq t \leq t_{2}\right\}
$$

We now consider the function $w$ defined by $w(y, t)=u(x, t)$. This function is zero on the bottom part $(t=0)$ and the two plane lateral parts of the boundary of $S^{*}$, and in $S^{*}$ it satisfies the parabolic equation

$$
c_{i j} w_{y_{i} y_{j}}+\xi c_{i} w_{i}+\xi^{2} c w-\xi^{2} w_{t}=\xi^{2} g,
$$

where $c_{i j}(y)=\alpha_{i j}(\xi y)$, and so on, and $g(y, t)=f(\xi y, t) . \operatorname{In} R^{*}$ and $S^{*}$ we again apply a Schauder type estimate for parabolic equations:

$$
\|w\|_{2+\alpha}^{R^{*}} \leq n\left(\|w\|_{0}^{S^{*}}+\xi^{2}\|g\|_{\alpha}^{S^{*}}\right)
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2, from this it follows that

$$
\|w\|_{2+\alpha}^{R^{*}} \leq \eta_{1} r_{1}^{\mu} \quad(\mu=1+\nu)
$$

As before, for corresponding derivatives in the $x$ and $y$ systems we have

$$
D_{y}^{k}{ }_{w}=\xi^{k} D_{x}^{k}, \quad k=1,2,
$$

and, furthermore,

$$
h_{v}^{R^{*}}\left(D_{y} \omega\right)=\xi h_{v}^{\mu_{2}^{R}}\left(D_{x} u\right)
$$

as well as, for any $X \in(0, \alpha]$,

$$
h_{x}^{R^{*}}\left(D_{y}^{2} w\right)=\xi^{2+\chi_{h}^{R}}\left(\left[D_{x}^{2} u\right)\right.
$$

Consequently,

$$
h_{v}^{R}\left(D_{x} u\right) \leq K_{1},
$$

that is, $D_{x} u \in C^{\nu}(R)$. It implies that $u \in C^{l+\nu}(R)$, considered as a function of $x$. Similarly,

$$
h_{\chi}^{R}\left(D_{x}^{2} u\right) \leq K_{2} r_{1}^{\mu-2-\chi} .
$$

Hence by Theorem 2 and formula (14),

$$
\left|r_{1}^{K} D_{x}^{2} u(P)-r_{2}^{K} D_{x}^{2} u(Q)\right| / d(P, Q)^{X} \leq K_{3} .
$$

This proves the second statement of the theorem in Case 2 and completes the proof.

## 5. Smoothness of solutions in general cylindrical regions

In this last step of our method, using Theorem 3 we obtain the main result, which concerns the smoothness of solutions of the initial-Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u=f \text { in } \Omega=G \times J_{2}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\bar{G} \times\{0\}}=0, \tag{17a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\partial G \times J_{2}}=0 \tag{17b}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a general region $\Omega=G \times J_{2}$ with $L$ as in (1) and $J_{2}$ as in (2), where $G$ has corners. Obviously, it suffices to consider the case of a single corner, from which the case of finitely many corners (each satisfying the conditions of Theorem 4 , below) results in a trivial way. Accordingly, we assume the following.
(Al) $G \subset R^{2}$ is a simply connected bounded domain. Its boundary $\partial G$ is of class $C^{2+\alpha}$, except at a point $P$, at which $\partial G$ has a corner with interior angle $\gamma>0$. Let $\omega$ denote the angle into which $\gamma$ is transformed under the transformation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}(P) u_{x_{i} x j}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

into canonical form.
(A2) The coefficients of $L$ and the function $f$ are of class $c^{\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Note that $\omega$ does not depend on the special choice of that transformation. Furthermore, if $G^{*} \subset G$ is a compact region having positive distance from the corner $P$, then a bounded solution $u$ of (16), (17) in $\Omega$, considered as a function of $x$, is of class $c^{2+\alpha}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{*}\right) \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$, where $\Omega^{*}=G^{*} \times J_{2} ; c f$. [2], Chapter 3.

THEOREM 4. Let $u$ be a bounded solution of the problem (16), (17)
in $\Omega$ with $L$ given in (1), and asswme that (A1) and (A2) are satisfied. If $\omega<\pi$, then for $u$, considered as a function of $x$, we have

$$
u \in c^{l+\nu}(\bar{\Omega})
$$

with a suitable $v \in(0,1)$.
Proof. Let the corner $P$ be at $x=(0,0)=0$, without restriction. Let

$$
x_{1}=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad x_{2}=\varphi_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

represent the two arcs of $\partial G$ emanating from $P$, in a neighborhood of $P$. Then $\varphi_{1}(0)=\varphi_{2}(0)=0$. Moreover, we assume that

$$
\varphi_{1}^{\prime}(0)=\cot \gamma \text { and } \varphi_{2}^{\prime}(0)=0
$$

We consider the subregion $\Omega^{I}=N^{\lambda} \times J_{2} \subset \Omega$, where

$$
N^{\lambda}=\{x \in G| | x \mid \leq \lambda\}
$$

with $\lambda>0$ sufficiently small. Since in $\bar{\Omega} \backslash \overline{\Omega^{1}}$ the solution $u$ is of class $C^{2+\alpha}$ in $x$, it is sufficient to prove the theorem in $\Omega^{1}$ (instead of $\Omega$ ). We first apply a mapping $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ such that at the corner the coefficients of the transformed principal part of $L$ have the values $\delta_{i j}$ and $N^{\tilde{\lambda}}=\{x \in G| | x \mid \leq \tilde{\lambda}\}$ is mapped onto a region $\hat{N}$ in the $y_{1} y_{2}$-plane whose boundary consists of two straight segments in the direction $y_{2}=0$ and $y_{2}=y_{1}$ tan $\omega$, respectively, and a simple arc joining the other endpoints of those segments. Such a mapping is

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1}=\left[k_{12}\left(x_{1}-\varphi_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)+k_{11}\left(x_{2}-\varphi_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)\right] / \delta \sqrt{k_{11}} \\
& y_{2}=\left(x_{1}-\varphi_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) / \sqrt{k_{11}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{11}=a_{11}(0)-2 \varphi_{1}^{\prime}(0) a_{12}(0)+\varphi_{1}^{\prime 2}(0) a_{22}(0), \\
& k_{12}=a_{22}(0) \varphi_{1}^{\prime}(0)-a_{12}(0),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta=\left[a_{11}(0) a_{22}(0)-a_{12}(0)^{2}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Here $\tan \omega=\delta / k_{12}, \quad 0<\omega<\pi$. Note that $\omega$ depends only on $\gamma$ and on the values of the coefficients of the principal part of $L$ at the origin. The function $u_{1}(y, t)=u(x, t)$ satisfies in $\hat{\Omega}=\hat{N} \times J_{2}$ a parabolic equation which is obtained from (16) and (19). From (17) and (19) it follows that $u_{1}$ vanishes for $t=0$ as well as on the plane parts of $\partial \hat{\Omega}$, which correspond to those two straight segments. Since $\omega<\pi$, we can rotate $\hat{\Omega}$ about the $t$-axis through an angle $\beta$ such that $\pi / 2<\omega+2 \beta<\pi$. The composite of the two mappings is a mapping $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ such that $u_{2}(z, t)=u(x, t)$ satisfies the conditions in Theorems 1 to 3 in the transformed region. This implies that $u_{2} \in C^{1+\nu}\left(\bar{\Omega}^{*}\right)$, where $\Omega^{*}=G^{*} \times J_{2}$ and $G^{*}$ is a circular sector in the $z_{1} z_{2}$-plane whose radius $r_{0}$ is sufficiently small. Since the value of the Jacobi determinant of (19) at $(0, t)$ is $1 / \delta \neq 0$, it follows that $u \in C^{1+\nu}\left(\overline{\Omega^{1}}\right)$. This completes the proof.
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