Opioid prescription practices for patients discharged from the emergency department with acute musculoskeletal fractures
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ABSTRACT

Background: Opioid related mortality rate has increased 200% over the past decade. Studies show variable emergency department (ED) opioid prescription practices and a correlation with increased long-term use. ED physicians may be contributing to this problem. Our objective was to analyze ED opioid prescription practices for patients with acute fractures.

Methods: We conducted a review of ED patients seen at two campuses of a tertiary care hospital. We evaluated a consecutive sample of patients with acute fractures (January 2016–April 2016) seen by ED physicians. Patients admitted or discharged by consultant services were excluded. The primary outcome was the proportion of patients discharged with an opioid prescription. Data were collected using screening lists, electronic records, and interobserver agreement. We calculated simple descriptive statistics and a multivariable analysis.

Results: We enrolled 816 patients, including 441 females (54.0%). Most common fracture was wrist/hand (35.2%). 260 patients (43.3%) had pain related ED visits <1 month after discharge. Fractures of the lumbar spine (OR 10.78 [95% CI: 3.15–36.90]) and rib (s)/sternum/thoracic spine (OR 5.46 [95% CI: 2.88–10.35]) had a significantly higher likelihood of opioid prescriptions.

Conclusions: The majority of patients presenting to the ED with acute fractures were not discharged with an opioid. Hydromorphone was the most common opioid prescribed, with large variations in total dosage. Overall, there were few return to ED visits. We recommend standardization of ED opioid prescribing, with attention to limiting total dosage.

RÉSUMÉ

Contexte: Le taux de mortalité lié à la prise d’opioïdes a augmenté de 200% au cours de la dernière décennie. D’après des études, les pratiques relatives à la prescription d’opioïdes au service des urgences (SU) sont variables, et il existe une corrélation avec l’utilisation prolongée de ces médicaments. Les urgence-physiciens pourraient ne pas être étrangers à ce fait. L’étude visait donc à examiner les pratiques relatives à la prescription d’opioïdes par les médecins, au SU, chez les patients ayant subi une fracture.

Méthode: L’étude consistait en un examen des dossiers de patients traités au SU et a été menée sur deux campus d’un hôpital de soins tertiaires. L’examen portait sur un échantillon de patients consécutifs, traités au SU pour une fracture (janvier 2016–avril 2016). Étaient exclus les patients hospitalisés ou ayant obtenu leur congé d’un autre service. Le principal critère d’évaluation était la proportion de patients retournés à domicile, qui avaient en main une ordonnance d’opioïdes. La collecte de données a été effectuée à l’aide de listes de sélection et de dossiers électroniques, à quoi s’est ajoutée une mesure de l’accord entre observateurs. Les résultats reposent sur des statistiques descriptives simples et une analyse pluridimensionnelle.

Résultats: Ont été inclus dans l’étude 816 patients, dont 441 femmes (54,0%). La plupart des fractures touchaient le poignet
ou la main (35,2%). Dans l’ensemble, 260 patients (31,8%) ont quitté le service avec une ordonnance d’opioïdes en main : l’hydromorphone (n = 115 ; plage : 10–120 mg) était le médicament le plus prescrit. Trente-cinq patients (4,3%) sont retournés au SU pour de la douleur < 1 mois après leur congé. Les fractures de la colonne lombaire (risque relatif approché [RRA] : 10,78 [IC à 95% : 3,15–36,90]), des côtes, du sternum ou de la colonne thoracique (RRA : 5,46 [IC à 95% : 2,88–10,35]) étaient associées à une probabilité significativement plus élevée de prescription d’opioïdes que les autres types de fracture.

Conclusion: La majorité des patients traités au SU pour des fractures ont obtenu leur congé sans ordonnance d’opioïdes. L’hydromorphone était l’opioïde prescrit le plus souvent, mais il y avait de grandes variations entre les doses totales. Dans l’ensemble, peu de patients sont retournés au SU. Il serait recommandé de normaliser les prescriptions d’opioïdes au SU, et surtout de porter une attention particulière à la limitation de la dose totale de médicament.
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INTRODUCTION

Rates of opioid prescriptions have been increasing globally, with Canada having the second-highest rate.1 In the last decade, there has been a fourfold increase in opioid prescriptions and a twofold increase in opioid-related mortality.2 The majority of overdoses occur amongst the young population that has resulted in a threefold increase in years of life lost.3 Furthermore, elderly patients present a challenge, as studies have shown increased rates of falls, fractures, and mortality.4

Acute musculoskeletal pain is a common presentation seen in the emergency department (ED). Finding an appropriate analgesic regimen is challenging, and there is often variation amongst ED physicians, even those within the same ED.5-7 Furthermore, studies have shown a positive correlation between ED opioid prescriptions and risk of long-term use.5,6,8-10 Given the variability in prescription practices and adverse long-term effects, there has been a shift toward creating opioid prescription guidelines. Several studies have suggested that guidelines may be an effective tool to help standardize prescription practices.11-13 However, there are currently no validated guidelines for acute musculoskeletal pain secondary to fractures, and variation continues to exist.

The objective of this study was to evaluate ED physician opioid prescription practices for patients presenting with acute fractures. We sought to investigate this by looking at the quantity and type of opioids prescribed for patients discharged from the ED with an acute fracture.

METHODS

Design

We performed a health records review. Starting from January 2016, we included a consecutive sample of 260 patients based on feasibility who were discharged from the ED with acute fractures and received an opioid prescription.

Setting

Health records were obtained from two EDs of a large tertiary care hospital with a combined annual patient volume of approximately 160,000. This study was approved by the Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics Board.

Population

Eligible participants were patients 18 years or older who had a diagnosis of an acute musculoskeletal fracture and were seen and discharged by an ED physician. Patients with fractures above the level of C7, admitted to hospital, and/or discharged by another service were excluded.

Data collection

Medications that contained morphine, codeine, oxycodone, hydromorphone, and/or tramadol were included as opioids. In conjunction with The Ottawa Hospital Data Warehouse, a health records database was utilized to screen for fractures based on ICD-10 discharge diagnosis by the emergency physician. First, we screened for all pathologies involving the musculoskeletal system. Then, we only included cases with the term “fractures” and excluded cases that were admitted to the hospital. This generated a list of patients starting from January 2016 and included fractures of rib(s), sternum, and thoracic spine; lumbar spine and pelvis; shoulder and upper arm; forearm; wrist and hand; femur; lower leg including ankle; foot excluding ankle; multiple body regions; and lower limb level unspecified (Appendix 1). We then
searched for each patient’s ED visit. We performed a chart review to collect data on patient demographics, triage assessments (CTAS score, pain score, and pain directive utilization), discharge diagnosis, past medical history, current pain medications, medications given during ED stay, medications given upon discharge, and a pain-related visit to ED one month after discharge (Appendix 2). Canadian Triage and Acuity Scale (CTAS) scores were recorded from 1 to 5, in which 1 represented the highest acuity, and 5 represented the lowest acuity. Pain scores were obtained from the nursing triage notes and were recorded from 0 to 10, in which 0 represented no pain and 10 represented severe pain. Data from pain directive utilization were obtained from a review of nursing notes. The pain directive is utilized by triage nurses when, based on their assessment, a patient required analgesia before assessment by an ED physician. Medications included in the directive were acetaminophen, naproxen, ibuprofen, and tramadol. Opioid prescriptions were analyzed, looking both at standard doses given and morphine equivalents, if applicable. These data were gathered upon review of the ED treatment record. The primary outcome was the amount and type of opioid prescribed upon discharge.

**Statistical analysis**

We performed all statistical analyses with SAS, version 9.4. We present continuous data as mean values with standard deviation (SD) or medians with interquartile range (IQR), as appropriate, and categorical data as frequencies with proportions. We compared patients who received an opioid prescription with those who did not. To determine between-group differences, we utilized Student’s *t*-test (parametric values), Mann-Whitney test (non-parametric values), and χ² (for categorical values). We then performed a multivariable logistic regression analysis and presented adjusted odds ratios (OR), with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Variables with substantial missingness (pain score) and those that had fewer than five expected events (oxycodone use in ED, codeine use in ED) were excluded from the multivariable logistic regression analysis. A total of 803 patients were included in the multivariable analysis. CTAS scores were compared relative to CTAS 5, and fractures were compared with wrist and hand in the analysis as it was the most frequent fracture in this study. We used variance inflation factors with a cut-off of >2.5 to identify variables to rule out multicollinearity before analysis. A *p*-value of ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

We reviewed 1,152 ED charts from January 1, 2016, to April 30, 2016. Overall, 336 cases were excluded, and 816 cases were included (Figure 1). The mean age was 48.4 years (range 18–97), and 54.0% of the patients were female. A past medical history of psychiatric illness (15.2%), chronic pain (2.9%), and substance abuse (2.2%) was seen in this set of patients. Overall, 7.2% of the patients were using an opioid prior to their ED visit (Table 1). The median CTAS score was 3 (IQR 3.0–4.0). The median pain score was 6 (IQR 4.0–8.0); however, only 51.3% of the patients had a documented pain score at triage. A pain directive was initiated at triage for 21.2% of the patients. The most common medications given during their ED visits were acetaminophen (34.4%), tramadol (21.2%), naproxen (17.6%), and hydromorphone (10.3%). The most common fracture types were the wrist and hand (35.2%); foot excluding ankle (14.8%); and rib(s), sternum, and thoracic spine (14.2%). Overall, 4.3% of the patients returned to the ED for a pain-related visit, with 1.5% receiving an opioid prescription upon discharge for that visit.

Prescriptions upon discharge are depicted in Table 2. The majority of patients (64.2%) did not receive a
was the most common, with 115 (14.1%) patients
prescription upon discharge. Of the 260 patients
(31.8%) who were prescribed opioids, hydromorphone
was the most common, with 115 (14.1%) patients

Table 1. Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All patients (N = 816)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (range)</td>
<td>48.4 (18–97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female gender (%)</td>
<td>441 (54.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past history (%)</td>
<td>18 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric illness</td>
<td>124 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>24 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain medication prior to ED visit (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td>70 (8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
<td>121 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids</td>
<td>59 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticonvulsants</td>
<td>21 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage assessments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAS score, median (IQR)*</td>
<td>3 (3–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAS score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain score, median (IQR)† (n = 400)</td>
<td>6 (4.0–8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain score, mean (SD) (n = 400)</td>
<td>5.9 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain directive utilized (%)</td>
<td>173 (21.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications given during ED stay (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td>280 (34.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
<td>39 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naproxen</td>
<td>144 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketorolac</td>
<td>20 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine</td>
<td>17 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codeine</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxycodone</td>
<td>6 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyzaine</td>
<td>84 (10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tramadol</td>
<td>173 (21.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture type (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib(s), sternum, and thoracic spine</td>
<td>116 (14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine and pelvis</td>
<td>28 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder and upper arm</td>
<td>92 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forearm</td>
<td>60 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist and hand</td>
<td>287 (35.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femur</td>
<td>4 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower leg, including ankle</td>
<td>79 (9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot, excluding ankle</td>
<td>121 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involving multiple body region</td>
<td>11 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb, level unspecified</td>
<td>18 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up at one month (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain-related visits to ED</td>
<td>35 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescriptions for pain-related ED visits</td>
<td>12 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTAS = Canadian Triage and Acuity Scale; ED = emergency department; IQR = interquartile range; NSAIDs = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; SD = standard deviation.
*CTAS score 1–5 (1 = highest acuity, 5 = lowest acuity)
†Pain score 0–10 (0 = least severe, 10 = most severe)

receiving a prescription. The mean standard dose of hydromorphone (1 mg) was 26.3 (range 1–120). One

Table 3 presents a comparison of patients who
received opioids versus those who did not. As for were
associated with opioids being prescribed versus not being prescribed, patients of older age (52.8 v. 46.2
years, respectively; p < 0.0001), history of psychiatric illness (18.9% v. 13.5%, respectively; p = 0.05), history of
opioid use (10.8% v. 5.6%, respectively; p = 0.008), lower CTAS score (0.6 v. 0.7, respectively; p < 0.0001),
higher pain score (6.5 v. 5.6, respectively; p = 0.0002), and pain directive utilized at triage (35.0% v. 14.8%,
respectively; p < 0.0001). Patients with fractures of rib
(s), sternum, and thoracic spine (30.0% v. 6.8%, respectively; p < 0.0001), lumbar spine and pelvis (8.9% v. 0.9%, respectively; p < 0.0001), and shoulder and upper arm
(20.8% v. 6.8%, respectively; p < 0.0001) had a positive
association with opioids being prescribed versus not being prescribed. Patients with fractures of the wrist and hand (42.8% v. 18.9%, respectively; p < 0.0001), lower leg including ankle (11.2% v. 6.5%, respectively; p = 0.04), and foot excluding ankle (19.8% v. 4.2%, respectively; p < 0.0001) were associated with opioids not being prescribed versus being prescribed.

Table 4 depicts a multivariable analysis of 803
patients. Patients with hydromorphone (OR 7.13 [95% CI 3.29–15.47], p < 0.001), and tramadol (OR 6.07 [95% CI 3.21–11.48], p < 0.001) given during an ED
stay were more likely to receive an opioid prescription upon discharge. Patients with lower CTAS scores had a significantly higher likelihood of opioids being prescribed, with the highest odds for CTAS 2 (OR 5.10 [95% CI 0.98–26.41, p = 0.05]). Fractures of the lumbar spine and pelvis (OR 10.78 [95% CI 3.15–36.90], p = 0.004) and rib(s), sternum, and thoracic spine (OR 5.46 [95% CI 2.88–10.35], P = 0.002) also had a significantly higher likelihood of opioid prescriptions upon discharge. Fractures of the foot, excluding ankle (OR 0.45 [95% CI 0.21–0.95], p < 0.001) and a history of chronic pain (OR 0.14 [95% CI 0.03–0.59], p = 0.01), had a signifi-
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We sought to evaluate opioid prescription practices amongst ED physicians for patients discharged with acute musculoskeletal fractures. Overall, we found that only 260 (31.8%) patients received an opioid prescription. Hydromorphone was the most commonly prescribed opioid, with a wide range of standard doses prescribed. There was one patient who received a total of 120 standard doses of hydromorphone; however, this was a patient on chronic hydromorphone prior to the ED visit and had received two refills. There were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Prescriptions upon discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prescriptions at discharge (standard dose)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No prescription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydromorphone (1 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen (1000 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tramadol (50 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxycodone (10 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naproxen (500 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codeine (30 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen (400 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine (5 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclobenzaprine (10 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregabalin (50 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabapentin (300 mg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Significant univariate correlates of opioids prescribed at discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past history (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain medication before ED visit (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSAIDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAS score, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain score, mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain directive (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture type (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib(s), sternum, and thoracic spine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine and pelvis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder and upper arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrist and hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower leg, including ankle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot, excluding ankle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTAS = Canadian Triage and Acuity Scale; ED = emergency department; IQR = interquartile range; SD = standard deviation.
*Opioids included hydromorphone, morphine, oxycodone, and codeine
†Anticonvulsants included: pregabalin, gabapentin
‡CTAS score 1–5 (1 = highest acuity, 5 = lowest acuity)
§Pain score 0–10 (0 = least severe, 10 = most severe)

DISCUSSION

Interpretation

We sought to evaluate opioid prescription practices amongst ED physicians for patients discharged with acute musculoskeletal fractures. Overall, we found that only 260 (31.8%) patients received an opioid prescription. Hydromorphone was the most commonly prescribed opioid, with a wide range of standard doses prescribed. There was one patient who received a total of 120 standard doses of hydromorphone; however, this was a patient on chronic hydromorphone prior to the ED visit and had received two refills. There were
Furthermore, our multivariable analysis showed that patients may benefit from a strong analgesic regimen to help decrease complications including pneumonia (as seen in rib fractures) and deconditioning.15-17

**Previous studies**

Previous studies have shown that there is variability in prescription practices amongst ED providers, even in those practising within the same ED.5-7 One suggestion shown to help standardize prescription practices is the creation of opioid prescription guidelines.11-13 To date, there are no validated guidelines on opioid prescriptions for acute musculoskeletal fractures in the ED.

There is also a growing body of literature on the negative side effects of all opioids, including their addictive potential, respiratory depression, and fall risk.18-20 Tramadol, previously marketed as a weak opioid, has been shown to have similar addictive profiles as those of other opioids, an unfavourable side effect profile, and an increase in all-cause mortality.21,22

**Strengths and limitations**

One strength of this study was the large, two-site sample of patients with well-defined patient data. This allowed us to capture a variety of injuries and analyze prescription patterns of over 130 staff and resident physicians. One limitation of this study is the lack of follow-up. As such, we are unable to discern the appropriateness of the analgesic plan. However, given that this study primarily sought to look for variability in the amount and type of opioid prescribed rather than the effectiveness of any given analgesic regimen, this can be looked at in future studies. Moreover, utilizing the ED record of treatment and nursing triage notes to collect data on psychiatric history, substance abuse, and chronic pain does not provide us information on the severity of those comorbidities. Furthermore, as we sought to obtain a consecutive sample of 260 patients discharged with opioid prescriptions, our study captured patients with fractures between January and April. This may introduce bias as different fractures are more prevalent depending on the season. However, this will likely not make a

**Table 4. Significant multivariable logistic regression analysis of factors associated with patient’s prescribed opioids at discharge (N = 803)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Past medical history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pain</td>
<td>0.14 (0.03; 0.59)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage assessments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAS score 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAS score 2 v. 5</td>
<td>5.10 (0.98; 26.41)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications given during ED stay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydromorphone</td>
<td>7.13 (3.29; 15.47)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tramadol</td>
<td>6.07 (3.21; 11.48)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture type v. wrist and hand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot, excluding ankle</td>
<td>0.45 (0.21; 0.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine and pelvis</td>
<td>10.78 (3.15; 36.9)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib(s), sternum, and thoracic spine</td>
<td>5.46 (2.88; 10.35)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table footnotes:**

CTAS = Canadian Triage and Acuity Scale; ED = emergency department; IQR = interquartile range; SD = standard deviation.

*CTAS score 1–5 (1 = highest acuity, 5 = lowest acuity); scores compared with CTAS 5.
significant difference in the prescription patterns. Within our multivariable analysis, we excluded 13 patients (1.6%) because covariate values were missing. We do not believe these individuals with missing values are different in a way that would alter our conclusions. However, the risk of bias due to missing data cannot be ruled out. Lastly, although our data comes from two campuses of a large tertiary care centre, they exist within the same health network and city and, therefore, may be impacted by regional practices.

**Implications**

Our study shows that even amongst physicians within the same ED, variability exists in prescription practices for acute musculoskeletal fractures. One contributing factor may be the lack of prescription guidelines in this centre. Our study highlights the need for guidelines to be developed to help standardize practice patterns. Furthermore, our data show some factors associated with a higher likelihood of opioids prescribed, including a lower CTAS score, use of hydromorphone and tramadol during ED stay, and certain fracture types (lumbar spine and pelvis, rib(s), sternum, and thoracic spine). Currently, there is limited data showing these as causative factors. Moreover, tramadol was the second most commonly prescribed opioid, with 86 patients (10.5%) receiving this medication upon discharge. This may be a result of tramadol being marketed as a weak opioid with minimal side effects and being included in the pain directive. Although we did not specifically look at the adverse effects of tramadol, with recent studies showing the negative side effects of tramadol, it may be a medication ED physicians reconsider prescribing.

Future studies on opioid prescription practices may look at which factors result in a higher likelihood of opioids being prescribed. These factors may then help create guidelines to help standardize prescription practices amongst ED physicians. There is also a gap in research for whether analgesic regimens should include adjunctive opioids for musculoskeletal fractures.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, in this health records review looking at ED physician opioid prescriptions for patients discharged with acute musculoskeletal fractures, we found that the majority of patients did not receive opioids. Of those patients who did, there was variation in the type and amount prescribed, with hydromorphone being the most commonly prescribed opioid in a wide range of standard doses. Moreover, few patients returned to the ED for a pain-related visit in one month. Ultimately, we recommend the standardization of opioid prescriptions amongst ED providers.
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