Energy intake, growth rate and body composition of young Labrador Retrievers and Miniature Schnauzers fed different dietary levels of vitamin A
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Abstract

Research in rodents has shown that dietary vitamin A reduces body fat by enhancing fat mobilisation and energy utilisation; however, their effects in growing dogs remain unclear. In the present study, we evaluated the development of body weight and body composition and compared observed energy intake with predicted energy intake in forty-nine puppies from two breeds (twenty-four Labrador Retriever (LAB) and twenty-five Miniature Schnauzer (MS)). A total of four different diets with increasing vitamin A content between 5.24 and 104.80 μmol retinol (5000–100 000 IU vitamin A)/4184 kJ (1000 kcal) metabolisable energy were fed from the age of 8 weeks up to 52 (MS) and 78 weeks (LAB). The daily energy intake was recorded throughout the experimental period. The body condition score was evaluated weekly using a seven-category system, and food allowances were adjusted to maintain optimal body condition. Body composition was assessed at the age of 26 and 52 weeks for both breeds and at the age of 78 weeks for the LAB breed only using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. The growth curves of the dogs followed a breed-specific pattern. However, data on energy intake showed considerable variability between the two breeds as well as when compared with predicted energy intake. In conclusion, the data show that energy intakes of puppies particularly during early growth are highly variable; however, the growth pattern and body composition of the LAB and MS breeds are not affected by the intake of vitamin A at levels up to 104.80 μmol retinol (100 000 IU vitamin A)/4184 kJ (1000 kcal).
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Adequate nutrition is a key factor for the optimal development of young dogs. Vitamin A is an essential nutrient for dogs; however, intake of dietary vitamin A can be variable and is dependent on the natural levels of vitamin A found in some raw materials used in petfood, especially liver. Previously, it has been shown that growing as well as adult dogs can tolerate a wide range of vitamin A levels in their diet due to their capacity to increase the levels of retinyl esters in serum and the ability to excrete vitamin A as both retinol and retinyl esters in the urine. The impact of vitamin A and its metabolic product retinoic acid on energy metabolism in dogs is not clear, although data from rodents indicate an influence of retinoic acid on both thermogenesis and lipid synthesis via its actions when bound to the retinoic acid receptor. The main effect seems to be linked with the induction of uncoupling protein 1 (thermogenin) in brown adipose tissue. Mouse data show an increase in lipid oxidation in muscle tissue by retinoic acid, and retinoids have been shown to induce uncoupling protein 3 in the muscle tissue of mice.

Data on the safety of dietary vitamin A intake at levels up to 104.80 μmol retinol (100 000 IU vitamin A)/4184 kJ (1000 kcal) have been reported previously with regard to the markers of vitamin A metabolism, haematological and biochemical variables and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. In the present study, we evaluated the development of body
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weight and body composition and compared observed energy intake with predicted energy intake in response to the potential impact of dietary vitamin A intake. Our hypothesis was that energy intake and accumulation of body fat in growing dogs would be unaffected due to the well-documented high tolerance to such levels of dietary vitamin A.

**Experimental methods**

The research protocol was evaluated and approved by the WALTHAM® Internal Ethics Committee. The protocol has been described in detail previously (3), and the experimental set-up is summarised briefly in the present study.

**Animals and housing**

The study was completed by forty-eight puppies from two different breeds, Labrador Retriever (LAB) and Miniature Schnauzer (MS). The puppies were group-housed with their mother until 8 weeks of age. Thereafter, they were housed in pairs in environmentally enriched kennels. The puppies had free access to an attached outdoor area, and participated daily in training and socialisation activities.

All puppies were neutered between week 36 and week 52.

**Clinical examination**

The puppies underwent physical examination before the start of the trial and every 4 weeks thereafter. Particular attention was paid to the signs of joint or muscle pain. In addition, any illness or injury between the examinations that required veterinary attention was considered as an adverse event. Adverse events were classified into ten categories: poor faecal quality; vomiting; foreign body ingestion; lameness; accident/injury; skin conditions; eye conditions; ear conditions; dental conditions; urinary conditions. On each occasion, the type and duration of treatment were recorded.

**Diet and feeding**

The base diet used was a standard dry commercial recipe (Perfect Fit Junior; Mars GmbH) compliant with the 2008 FEDIAF (European Pet Food Industry Federation) recommendations (11) for growth and reproduction.

The predicted metabolisable energy (ME) of each batch of the diet was calculated according to the National Research Council (12), and the results of this calculation were multiplied by the factor 4.184 to convert kcal to kJ. The calculated mean energy density of the diet was 16640 (±582) kJ/kg (n = 10 batches). Details about the nutrient composition and feeding regimen have been provided by Morris et al. (3). Briefly, the maternal bitch was fed the base diet throughout lactation until the puppies were fully weaned to the base diet at 6 weeks of age. Between weeks 8 and 26, the puppies were offered their daily ration in three meals and from week 27 until the end of the trial (week 52 for the MS breed and week 78 for the LAB breed) in two meals. Free access to drinking-water was given at all times.

The allocation of puppies to the diets with four different levels of vitamin A has been described by Morris et al. (3). In brief, the diets contained the following levels of vitamin A per 4184 kJ (1000 kcal) ME: 5-24, 13-10, 78-60 and 104-80 μmol retinol (5000, 12 500, 75 000 and 100 000 IU vitamin A)/4184 kJ (1000 kcal), respectively.

Although not fully validated in puppies, the body condition score was evaluated weekly using the WALTHAM® S.H.A.P.E. (Size, Health And Physical Evaluation) guide (13), which is a seven-category system that uses visual and palpable characteristics to determine the amount of subcutaneous and abdominal fat. Each category is assigned an alphabetical character from A (underweight) to G (obese), with D representing ideal where the outline of the ribs can be felt while applying light pressure when running the fingertips against the direction of the coat.

Feeding allowances were calculated from the amounts consumed during the previous week and adjusted weekly with the aim of maintaining the puppies on standard growth curves with ideal body condition scores (13,14).

**Body composition**

The puppies of both breeds were scanned at 26 and 52 weeks of age, and the LAB puppies were also scanned at 78 weeks by means of dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (total body software package, Lunar Hologic QDR-1000W; GE Healthcare). The puppies were fasted for at least 16 h and sedated with Torbugesic (0·3 mg/kg; Pfizer Animal Health), Medetomidine (MS breed: 20 mg/kg, LAB breed: 5 mg/kg; Pfizer Animal Health) and Midazolam (MS breed: 0·25 mg/kg, LAB breed: 0·20 mg/kg; Roche Limited), and reversed with Atipamezole (0·1 mg/kg; Pfizer Animal Health). Estimates of lean body mass and fat mass were derived using the provided proprietary software package.

**Data analysis and statistics**

Data were analysed by means of a linear mixed model analysis including the fixed terms sample number (through time), sex, breed and dietary group, and also the baseline measurement of the variable was modelled. The model included the random terms dog and litter (taking account of possible similarities between littermates), with a correlation between successive samples (within an individual dog) accounted for by using an appropriate correlation structure (determined using graphical methods of residuals from a model with an identity correlation structure). Where necessary, data were log transformed to improve the distribution of the data, as assessed by residual plots. Non-significant terms were removed from the model.

Statistical analyses were performed using R2.10.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) using the nlme package (15,16).

For the comparison of the energy intake of puppies in the trial with their predicted energy intake (12,17), a linear mixed model was first fitted to the energy intake data with breed, week and breed × week interaction as fixed factors, litter and
dog (nested in litter) as random factors, and an autoregressive(1) correlation structure to take account of the correlation of successive measurements within a dog.

The expected energy intake based on the equation cited by the National Research Council(12,17) was then calculated for each dog at each week of age, taking the adult weight of a dog to be the final weight recorded, which was the weight at week 52 for the MS puppies and at week 78 for the LAB puppies. The mean predicted ME requirement at each week was used to construct a predicted ME curve for each breed. This was then compared with the average actual intake by fitting a linear mixed model (with the same terms as for the energy intake data) to the difference between the observed energy intake and the predicted energy intake to identify where the predictive equation and the observed energy intake significantly diverged.

For body composition, a linear mixed model was fitted to the data with the factors breed, sex, age, vitamin A group, litter and dog ID (nested in litter). However, as the MS puppies completed the study at an earlier time point, there were no data available for this breed at week 78, which means that fitting breed and age as separate fixed factors with an interaction term in the conventional way was not possible. Therefore, a concatenated variable ‘BreedAge’ was created that has a level for each combination of breed and age (excluding week 78 for the MS puppies), which was used in the model. The effects of interest around breed and age (testing for breed effects, changes between the successive levels of age, and breed x age interaction effects) were tested using ‘planned contrasts’ that compared combinations of the levels of BreedAge.

Each body composition endpoint was initially analysed by a model containing all these terms, with litter and dog ID considered as random effects and the others as fixed effects. An autoregressive(1) correlation structure was used to account for a possible correlation between subsequent time points within a dog. Error variance was initially allowed to differ between the two breeds. Non-significant fixed terms were then subsequently removed (one by one) until a model was reached where all the fixed effects were significant (the exception to this was BreedAge, which was left in the model in order to allow the planned contrasts to be tested). The ‘breed-specific’ nature of the error variance was also tested for significance and replaced with a single error variance estimate if possible. The resulting model was taken to be the final model. All endpoints were log-transformed before analysis, and means were exponentiated from the log scale to the original scale for display purposes and to ease interpretation.

All endpoints were separately subjected to Bonferroni correction to account for the presence of multiple endpoints; the overall significance level used was 0·05. Data are presented as means and (Bonferroni-adjusted) 95 % CI, unless otherwise stated, and P values are reported as Bonferroni-adjusted values. The standard error of the mean is not reported as the non-linearity of log transformation (and its inverse) implies that only means and CI can be back-transformed.

Results

Clinical examination

Poor faecal quality was reported occasionally, with twenty individual puppies being affected. All cases were resolved within 3 d. In the LAB breed, two cases of lameness without any apparent cause were observed; both cases were resolved following treatment in less than 7 d.

Growth

All puppies maintained an ideal body condition score (score 3) throughout the trial (data not shown). Body weight increased with time in a breed-specific manner1(4) (Fig. 1). Male MS puppies were heavier at 2·1 (SD 0·2) kg, compared with female MS puppies at 2·0 (SD 0·2) kg at week 8, which accounted for a difference of 7 % between sexes. This difference increased to 16 % at the end of the trial in week 52. The final body weight during the observation period was 9·4 (SD 1·2) kg in male MS puppies and 7·9 (SD 1·0) kg in females. At week 8, the average body weight of the male LAB puppies was 4·5 (SD 0·4) kg and the average body weight of females was 4·4 (SD 0·3) kg, which suggested a 2 % difference between sexes. At the end of the trial at week 78, this difference increased to 10 % with a final body weight of 28·9 (SD 3·1) kg in male LAB puppies and 25·9 (SD 1·0) kg in females.

There were no significant differences observed in body weight between the groups treated with different levels of dietary vitamin A at any time point (after accounting for sex and breed) as reported previously3(5).

Intake of metabolisable energy

There were no differences in energy intake per kg body weight or per kg metabolic body weight between the groups fed different levels of dietary vitamin A5(3) (P<0·05). The mean energy allowance to maintain optimal body

Fig. 1. Body-weight development by sex with age in Miniature Schnauzer (MS) and Labrador Retriever (LAB) puppies. Values are means, with standard deviations represented by vertical bars. ○, Male LAB; ●, female LAB; △, male MS; ▲, female MS.
condition was 890 kJ/kg body weight$^{0.75}$ per d in the MS puppies at the beginning of the study at week 8, declining to 563 kJ/kg body weight$^{0.75}$ per d at week 52. In the LAB puppies, the mean energy intake declined from 1263 to 599 kJ/kg body weight$^{0.75}$ per d at week 78 (Fig. 2).

The relative energy intake (based on kJ/kg body weight per d) in the MS puppies at 25% of the mature body weight was 1.58 times the maintenance energy requirement at week 52. In the LAB puppies, it was 1.91 times the energy intake compared with week 78. This factor increased to 1.77 in the MS puppies and decreased to 1.65 in the LAB puppies at 50% of mature body weight. The factor decreased in both breeds to 1.33 and 1.18, respectively, at 80% of mature body weight. The energy intake of the LAB breed reached a mature energy requirement of 599 kJ/kg body weight$^{0.75}$ per d at 90% of mature body weight (Table 1).

The energy intake per kg metabolic body weight in the MS breed deviated from the calculated allowance as derived from the predictive equation$^{12,17}$ from week 8 to 15 and week 18 to 23 inclusive. Until week 15, energy intake was significantly lower ($P<0.01$) than the calculated allowance; between weeks 18 and 23 inclusive, energy intake was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) than the calculated allowance (Fig. 3). The ME intake of the LAB breed was significantly lower ($P<0.01$) than the calculated allowance only at week 9.

Between weeks 19 and 26 inclusive, energy intake was significantly higher ($P<0.05$) than the predictions of the National Research Council (Fig. 4).

**Body composition**

There was no significant difference in the percentage of body fat between the two breeds of either sex at any of week 26, week 52 and week 78 (week 78 for the LAB puppies only) (Table 2). The planned contrasts for breed and the BreedAge interaction showed no significant effect between the breeds. Although the overall $P$ value for the combinations of the levels of BreedAge and sex showed a significant effect in our model ($P<0.01$), post hoc testing was not able to determine which combination of breed, age and sex was relevant for the effect. This may be because the interaction term has a large number of levels and the post hoc procedure consequently involves a large number of pairwise comparisons; this means that the likelihood of false positives is much increased and the Tukey procedure is necessarily more conservative to account for this.

There was no significant difference in the percentage of lean body mass between the MS and LAB puppies at weeks 26 and 52. The percentage of lean body mass in the LAB puppies at week 78 was significantly higher than that in the MS puppies at week 26 (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The aim of the present study was to examine the possible effects of different dietary vitamin A concentrations on energy intake, growth rate and body composition during growth, using MS as a typical breed of small stature and LAB as a large breed. Energy intake was measured during the entire growth phase provided that energy allocation was adjusted to maintain optimal body condition. Body composition was assessed twice during the first year of life for both breeds and at 78 weeks of age for the LAB breed due to the prolonged growth phase. Because of the well-documented high tolerance of dogs to vitamin A intakes at levels up to 104.80 μmol retinol (100 000 IU vitamin A)/4184 kJ (1000 kcal), we hypothesised that there would be no effect at such levels of vitamin A on energy intake and body composition in growing dogs. This assumption was confirmed in principle by experimental data, even if questions on basic

---

**Table 1.** Energy intake (EI) by breed at different stages during growth relative to EI at adulthood

(Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>25% Mean</th>
<th>25% SD</th>
<th>35% Mean</th>
<th>35% SD</th>
<th>50% Mean</th>
<th>50% SD</th>
<th>80% Mean</th>
<th>80% SD</th>
<th>90% Mean</th>
<th>90% SD</th>
<th>MEI (kJ/kg BW$^{0.75}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EI relative to maintenance</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI (basis kJ/kg BW$^{0.75}$)</td>
<td>LAB</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>599</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BW, body weight; MEI, maintenance energy intake; MS, Miniature Schnauzer; LAB, Labrador Retriever.
mechanisms of the effects of vitamin A in dogs cannot yet be answered\(^\text{(7–9)}\).

The growth rate of the LAB and MS puppies in the present study was comparable with the data from other studies\(^\text{(14,18–24)}\). Growth rate is significantly influenced by energy intake. Overfeeding with energy as well as severe under- or over supply of nutrients can predispose for developmental orthopaedic disorders, especially in large breeds\(^\text{(21,25–28)}\). Lifelong overfeeding has also been shown to have an impact on the occurrence of skeletal and other dysfunctions in adulthood and senescence\(^\text{(29)}\). Dietary energy has a key role in determining growth velocity and affects endocrine regulatory mechanisms. The increased biomechanical load seems to increase the risk of musculoskeletal disorders in young dogs\(^\text{(30)}\), although epidemiological studies are not conclusive\(^\text{(28)}\). In addition to biomechanical effects, high energy intakes affect the endocrine system, such as insulin-like growth factor I and thyroid hormones, which in turn control local growth

---

**Fig. 3.** Observed (△) and predicted (△) metabolisable energy intakes (MEI; kJ/kg body weight (BW)^{0.75} per d) in Miniature Schnauzer puppies from 8 to 52 weeks of age. Values are means, with their standard errors represented by vertical bars. *Mean values were significantly different between the observed and predicted MEI (P<0.05) during weeks 8 to 15 and weeks 18 to 23 inclusive. It should be noted that the error bars for the observed energy intake naturally include some element of day-to-day variability while those for the predicted energy intakes do not.

**Fig. 4.** Observed (△) and predicted (△) metabolisable energy intakes (MEI; kJ/kg body weight (BW)^{0.75} per d) in Labrador Retriever puppies from 8 to 52 weeks of age. Values are means, with their standard errors represented by vertical bars. *Mean values were significantly different between the observed and predicted MEI (P<0.05) at week 9 and weeks 19 to 26 inclusive. It should be noted that the error bars for the observed energy intake naturally include some element of day-to-day variability while those for the predicted energy intake do not.
Impact of vitamin A on growth in dogs

Table 2. Change in total body fat (%) with age in puppies*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Age (weeks)</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>95 % CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of fat</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14.3 ± a</td>
<td>9.8–20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14.7 ± a</td>
<td>10.1–21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LAB</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>22.1 ± a</td>
<td>15.1–32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18.7 ± a</td>
<td>12.9–27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>12.9 ± a</td>
<td>8.4–18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>16.8 ± a</td>
<td>11.6–24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LAB</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19.4 ± a</td>
<td>13.2–28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21.5 ± a</td>
<td>14.9–31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>LAB</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21.4 ± a</td>
<td>14.6–31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22.1 ± a</td>
<td>15.3–32.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MS, Miniature Schnauzer; F, female; M, male; LAB, Labrador Retriever.

* Data were analysed using a linear mixed model.

Table 3. Change in the percentage of lean body mass with age in puppies*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Age (weeks)</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>95 % CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of lean body mass</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>82.9 ± a</td>
<td>78.6–87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>81.5 ± b</td>
<td>77.3–86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>LAB</td>
<td>77.2 ± b</td>
<td>72.3–82.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>LAB</td>
<td>75.2 ± a</td>
<td>70.5–80.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MS, Miniature Schnauzer; LAB, Labrador Retriever.

* Data were analysed using a linear mixed model.
between the two breeds in the percentage of body fat. The observed data on the mean percentage of body fat in the LAB breed were 20.4% at week 26, 20.5% at week 52 and 21.8% at week 78, and were lower compared with other recent findings in growing LAB(42).

In the MS puppies, the mean percentage of body fat was 14.5% at week 26 and 14.9% at week 52. The percentage of body fat for both MS and LAB puppies in the present study was within the range found previously in beagles and Foxhound-Boxer-Ingelheim Labradors(33). The mean percentage of lean body mass in the LAB puppies at week 78 was 76.3%, which corresponds well to the data reported for adult Labrador Retriever from one previous study(33), where Labrador Retriever fed ad libitum had a mean percentage of lean body mass of 70% compared with 82% in the food-restricted group. This indicates an adequate energy allocation, which was made on the basis of body condition status.

The possible effects of increased vitamin A intake on growth intensity and body composition were of particular interest because there is some evidence in the literature of an effect on energy expenditure or utilisation. However, the results of our study (100,000 IU vitamin A)/4184 kJ (1000 kcal) do not respond to a change in energy expenditure or utilisation. The underlying biochemical mechanisms are not clear. The high capacity to bind retinol in the form of retinyl esters in plasma might not result in the disruption of cellular retinoid acid homeostasis, which seems to have a major impact on energy metabolism in other species.

Conclusion

The present study shows that vitamin A levels up to 104.80 µmol retinol (100,000 IU vitamin A)/4184 kJ (1000 kcal) do not respond to a change in energy expenditure or utilisation. The apparent effect on energy intake, growth rate or body composition in young dogs. These data confirm the high tolerance of this species to such levels of vitamin A. Data on energy intake, however, showed considerable variability between the two breeds, and also compared with the expected values using the predictive equation cited by National Research Council, which requires further investigation.
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