THE SET OF JULIA POINTS FOR FUNCTIONS OMITTING TWO VALUES

BY

P. M. GAUTHIER AND J. S. HWANG

Let f be a function defined in the unit disk D(|z| < 1). For each point $e^{i\theta}$ on the unit circle C(|z|=1) and each subset S of D, we denote by $C_S(f, e^{i\theta})$ the cluster set of f at $e^{i\theta}$ relative to s, i.e.

$$C_{\mathcal{S}}(f, e^{i\theta}) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{f(S \cap N(e^{i\theta}, j))},$$

where $N(e^{i\theta}, j) = \{z \in D : |z - e^{i\theta}| < 1/j\}.$

By a Stolz angle $\Delta(e^{i\theta})$ at $e^{i\theta}$, we mean a triangle Δ with one vertex at $e^{i\theta}$ and $\Delta \subset D$. A point $e^{i\theta}$ on C is called a Plessner point of f, if $C_{\Delta(e^{i\theta})}(f, e^{i\theta}) = W$ for each possible choice of $\Delta(e^{i\theta})$, where W denotes the Riemann sphere. We denote the set of all Plessner points of f by I(f).

In [5], Peter Lappan has proved the following two theorems.

THEOREM A. If f is a meromorphic function in D, then I(f) is a G_{δ} subset of C.

THEOREM B. If E is a G_{δ} subset of C, then there exists a holomorphic function f in D for which I(f) = E.

On the other hand, in [1] E. F. Collingwood and G. Piranian have introduced the notion of Julia point. A point $e^{i\theta}$ is called a Julia point of f, provided in each Stolz angle $\Delta(e^{i\theta})$ the function f assumes all values on the Riemann sphere except possibly two. We denote the set of all Julia points of f by J(f). P. Colwell [2] has proved that both Theorems A and B are true for J(f) instead of I(f). As far as Julia point is concerned, we may ask whether there can actually be constructed a function f such that f omits two values and yet J(f) = E. For this, we claim the following

THEOREM C. If E is a G_{δ} subset of C, and if $w_1, w_2 \in W$, then there exists a meromorphic function f in D which omits w_1, w_2 , and J(f) = E.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \cdots \supset E_n \supset \cdots,$$

Received by the editors January 12, 1976 and, in revised form, June 23, 1976.

This research was conducted in the Summer Institute in Université Laval and supported by NRC of Canada, Grant A-5597 and a grant from the Gouvernement du Québec.

442

[December

and

$$E_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_{n,j}$$
, where $I_{n,j}$ is an open arc on $C, j = 1, 2, \dots$

For each $I_{n,j}$, we form a circular triangle $G_{n,j}$ in D by joining the endpoints of $I_{n,j}$ with two segments which are equal length and orthogonal to each other in D. We set

$$G_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} G_{n,j}, \qquad F_n = \overline{D - G_n}, \qquad D_n = \left\{ z : |z| \le 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right\},$$

and

$$H_n = D_n \cup F_n, \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

It is geometrically clear that we can construct two sequences $\{z_k\}$ and $\{z'_k\}$ of points in D such that

- (1) $z_k, z'_k \notin H_n, \text{ for } >k \quad k(n).$
- (2) for any $e^{i\theta} \in E$, any Stolz angle $\Delta(e^{i\theta})$ contains infinitely many of the pairs z_k, z'_k , and
- (3) the hyperbolic distance $\rho(z_k, z'_k) \rightarrow 0$ is $k \rightarrow \infty$,

Clearly each H_n is a compact set for which the complement $W-H_n$ is connected and therefore by a theorem of S. N. Mergelyan [6, Theorem 1.4], there is a polynomial $P_n(z)$ such that

(4)
$$|P_n(z)| < \frac{1}{2^n}$$
, for $z \in H_n$.

By virtue of J. L. Walsh's lemma [7, p. 310] and the property (1), those polynomials $P_n(z)$ can be chosen to interpolate at the points z_k and z'_k in the following manner

(5)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j(z_k) = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad z_k \in H_{n+1} \setminus H_n$$

(6)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j(z'_k) = 10, \text{ for } z'_k \in H_{n+1} \setminus H_n.$$

Let $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(z)$, then by (4) we can see g is holomorphic in D. Moreover, from (4), we find that no point of the complement C - E is a Plessner point. It remains to prove that each point $e^{i\varepsilon} \in E$ is a Julia point. By the properties (2) and (3) and a result in [3, Theorem 1], it suffices to prove that there exists a real number δ such that

(7)
$$\mathscr{X}(g(z_k), g(z'_k)) \ge \delta > 0$$
 for sufficiently large k,

where $\mathscr{X}(a, b)$ is the chodal distance between a and b.

Now, from (4) and (5), we have for sufficiently large k, $|g(z_k)| < 1$ while (4) and (6) give $|g(z'_k)| > 9$. This yields (7) and therefore J(g) = E.

Finally, if $w_1 = \infty$ and w_2 is finite, set

 $f(z) = e^{g(z)} + w_2,$

while if both w_1 and w_2 are finite, set

 $f(z) = (w_1 - w_2 e^{g(z)} / (1 - e^{g(z)}).$

It is obvious that f omits w_1 , w_2 , and J(f) = E. This completes the proof.

Remarks

1. If we call a point $e^{i\theta}$ a strong Julia point provided in each Stolz angle $\Delta(e^{i\theta})$, the function f assumes all values on the Riemann sphere, and if we denote by $J^*(f)$ the set of all strong Julia points, then for meromorphic functions the above theorem is still true for $J^*(f)$ instead of J(f). Simply replace f by $g \circ f$ where g is any non-constant elliptic function.

2. Since a Julia point is also a Plessner point, Theorem B follows immediately from the above theorem.

3. Our theorem also improves the following theorem of Lappan and Piranian [4]:

For each number $\alpha(0 \le \alpha \le 2\pi)$, there exists a holomorphic function in D whose set of Plessner points is dense on C and has measure α .

4. Our theorem is sharp in the sense that it is impossible to improve f omitting more than two values.

References

1. E. F. Collingwood and G. Piranian, Tsuji functions with segments of Julia. Math. Z. 84 (1964), 246-253.

2. P. Colwell, Julia points of functions meromorphic in a disc. Bull. London Math. Soc. 4 (1972), 327-329.

3. P. M. Gauthier, A criterion for normalcy, Nagoya Math. J. 32 (1968), 277-282.

4. P. Lappan and G. Piranian, Holomorphic functions with dense sets of Plessner points, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21, no. 3 (1969), 555–556.

5. P. Lappan, A characterization of Plessner points, Bull. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 60–62. 6. S. N. Mergelyan, Uniform approximations to functions of a complex variable, [Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.) 7, no. 2 (48) (1952), 31–122]. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 101 (1954), 99 pp.

7. J. L. Walsh, Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. XX (1960).

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128 Montréal, P.Q. Canada H3C 3J7.

Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128 Montréal, P.Q. Canada H3C 3J7.

https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1976-066-6 Published online by Cambridge University Press

443

1976]