Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 24 (2), 1981

ON GLEASON'S DEFINITION OF QUADRATIC FORMS

BY T. M. K. DAVISON

§1. Introduction. Suppose R is a commutative ring with identity. Let M be an R-module, and suppose f is a function from M to R. How do we characterize the property that f be a quadratic form? One approach is in terms of bilinear forms as follows.

DEFINITION (Jacobson [2, Definition 6.1]). $f: M \rightarrow R$ is a quadratic form if, and only if, the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) f is quadratically homogeneous; that is

(1)
$$\forall a \in R \ \forall x \in M \qquad f(ax) = a^2 f(x)$$

(ii) F(x, y) is bilinear on $M \times M \rightarrow R$, where

(2)
$$\forall x, y \in M \qquad F(x, y) = f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y).$$

In [1] Gleason proved: Suppose that R is a field, not of characteristic 2, and not the field of 3 elements. Let M be a vector space over R. If $f: M \to R$ satisfies f(0) = 0, and $\forall x, y \in M, \forall a \in R$

(3)
$$f(ax+y) - f(ax-y) = a[f(x+y) - f(x-y)],$$

then f is a quadratic form.

As a routine computation with bilinear forms shows, all quadratic forms f satisfy f(0) = 0, and (3). So, at least for the fields delineated in the hypothesis of Gleason's theorem, equation (3) characterizes quadratic forms. In this paper Gleason's theorem is generalized as follows.

THEOREM. Suppose R is a commutative ring with identity. In order that every function f, with domain an R-module, which satisfies f(0) = 0 and (3), be a quadratic form it is necessary and sufficient that 2 be a unit of R and the only element $k \in R$ having the property that $(a^4 - a^2)k = 0$ for all $a \in R$ is k = 0.

REMARK. If, in addition to 2 being a unit in R, 3 is not a zero divisor in R then $(a^4 - a^2)k = 0$ implies k = 0. (Just take a = 2.)

It is easy to see that Gleason's theorem is a consequence of the above result. The necessity of the condition on R is proved in §2, and the sufficiency (which is more difficult) in §3.

Received by the editors June 29, 1979 and, in revised form, November 12, 1979.

§2. Proof of the necessity.

LEMMA 1. If 2 is not a unit in R there is an R-module M and a function $f: M \to R$ which satisfies f(0) = 0, and (3) which is not a quadratic form.

Proof. Take $M = R^3$ and define

$$f(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \in 2R \text{ and } b \in 2R \text{ and } c \in 2R \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then f(0, 0, 0) = 0, and f satisfies (3) since f(ax + y) = f(ax - y) for all $a \in R$, $x, y \in R^3$. However F is not bi-additive and so not bilinear. For, as is easily verified, F((1, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)) - F((1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1)) - F((0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)) = 1, not 0 as it would be were F additive in the first variable.

LEMMA 2. Suppose 2 is a unit in R, and suppose there is a non-zero element $k \in R$ such that $(a^4 - a^2)k = 0$, for all $a \in R$. Then there is an R-module M, and a function $f : M \to R$ which satisfies f(0) = 0, and (3) which is not a quadratic form.

Proof. Let $M = R^2$, and let $p, q: M \rightarrow R$ be the canonical projections. Let k be as above. Set $f(x) = kp(x)^2q(x)^2$. Then f(0) = 0. And

$$f(ax+y) - f(ax-y) = 4akX(a^2Y+Z)$$

where X = p(x)q(y) + p(y)q(x), Y = p(x)q(x), Z = p(y)q(y). Thus f satisfies (3) if, and only if, $4a^3kXY = 4akXY$. But the identity⁽¹⁾ $(a-1)[(a+1)^4 - (a+1)^2] - (a+3)[a^4 - a^2] = 2a^3 - 2a$ and the definition of k shows that $2a^3k = 2ak$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus f satisfies (3). But f is not a quadratic form: it does not satisfy the well-known parallelogram law

(4)
$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y).$$

Just take x = (1, 0), y = (0, 1), in (4). Then the left-hand side is 2k, and $2k \neq 0$ since 2 is a unit and $k \neq 0$ whereas the right-hand side is 0.

§3. **Proof of the sufficiency.** In this section we assume 2 is a unit in R and the only element annihilated by all $a^4 - a^2$ is 0. As usual M denotes an R-module.

LEMMA 3. If $f: M \to R$ satisfies f(0) = 0, and equation (3) then f is quadratically homogeneous.

Proof. Letting x = 0, and a = 2 in (3) we deduce that f(y) = f(-y), for all

234

⁽¹⁾ Supplied by the referee.

 $y \in M$. Next let y = ax in (3), to deduce that, using f(x - ax) = f(ax - x),

$$f(2ax) = a[f(x + ax) - f(x - ax)]$$

= $a[f(ax + x) - f(ax - x)]$
= $a^{2}[f(2x) - f(0)].$

Thus, for all $a \in R$, and for all $x \in M$, we have

(5)
$$f(2ax) = a^2 f(2x).$$

But 2 is a unit of R. So (5) is equivalent to (1).

The following auxiliary function is the key to the success of the proof. Let $f: M \to R$ be given. Define

(6)
$$P(x, y) = f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y).$$

It is well known that when 2 is not a zero-divisor in the ring (see e.g. Gleason [1]) that F is bi-additive if, and only if, P is identically 0, which is, of course, the parallelogram law. [See e.g. (3)]

LEMMA 4. If $f: M \to R$ is quadratically homogeneous then $P(ax, ay) = a^2 P(x, y)$, for all $a \in R$, all $x, y \in M$.

Proof. Immediate.

LEMMA 5. Let $y \in M$ be fixed. Define $\phi : M \to R$ by $\phi(x) = P(x, y)$, for all $x \in M$. If $f : M \to R$ satisfies f(0) = 0 and (3) then $\phi(0) = 0$ and ϕ satisfies (3).

Proof. That $\phi(0) = 0$ is easy. Let $a \in R$, $x, z \in M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(ax+z) - \phi(ax-z) &= f(ax+z+y) + f(ax+z-y) - f(ax-z-y) \\ &- f(ax-z+y) - 2(f(ax+z) - f(ax-z)) \\ &= f(ax+z+y) - f(ax-(z+y)) \\ &+ f(ax+z-y) - f(ax-(z-y)) \\ &- 2a(f(x+z) - f(x-z)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using the fact that f satisfies (3) again

$$\phi(ax+z) - \phi(ax-z) = a(f(x+z+y) - f(x-z-y)) + a(f(x+z-y) - f(x-z+y)) - 2a(f(x+z) - f(x-z)) = a(\phi(x+z) - \phi(x-z));$$

which shows that ϕ satisfies (3).

LEMMA 6. If f(0) = 0, and f satisfies (3) then $P(ax, y) = a^2 P(x, y)$.

Proof. In the notation of Lemma 5 this says $\phi(ax) = a^2 \phi(x)$; which is a consequence of Lemma 3, since ϕ satisfies (3).

LEMMA 7. If f(0) = 0, and f satisfies (3) then P(x, y) = 0, for all $x, y \in M$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in M$ be fixed. Then, for all $a \in R$, $a^2P(x, y) = P(ax, ay)$ (Lemma 4) = $a^2P(x, ay)$ (Lemma 6) = $a^2P(ay, x)$ (symmetry of P) = $a^4P(y, x) = a^4P(x, y)$. Hence, for all $a \in R$ $(a^4 - a^2)P(x, y) = 0$. So, by our assumption about the nature of R, *it follows that* P(x, y) = 0; as claimed.

We now have all the pieces available to prove that if f(0) = 0, and f satisfies (3), then f is a quadratic form. For as we have shown in Lemma 3, f is quadratically homogeneous. Moreover, F is bi-additive since f satisfies the parallelogram law P = 0, (see [3]). We show finally that F is bi-homogeneous.

Define following Gleason, H(x, y) = f(x+y) - f(x-y). Then (3) may be restated as H(ax, y) = aH(x, y). Furthermore, H(x, y) + P(x, y) = 2F(x, y), so the fact that P = 0 implies that H = 2F, and so 2F(ax, y) = 2aF(x, y). Finally, since 2 is a unit of R we deduce that F is homogeneous in the first variable, and so by the symmetry it is bi-homogeneous. This completes the proof that F is bilinear, and so f is a quadratic form.

I would like to thank the referee for his helpful comments and detailed suggestions.

References

1. A. M. Gleason, The definition of a quadratic form. Amer. Math. Monthly 73 (1966) 1049-1056.

2. N. Jacobson, Basic Algebra I. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco 1974.

3. P. Jordan and J. von Neumann, On inner products in linear, metric spaces. Ann of Math. (2) 36 (1935) 719–723.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES MCMASTER UNIVERSITY 1280 MAIN STREET WEST HAMILTON, ONTARIO L8S 4K1