## REMARK ON A CRITERION FOR COMMON TRANSVERSALS by HAZEL PERFECT

(Received 4 January, 1968)

All sets considered will be finite, and |X| will denote the cardinal number of the set X.

Let  $\mathfrak{A} = (A_i: i \in I)$  be a family of subsets of a set E. A subset  $E' \subseteq E$  is called a *trans*versal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  if there exists a bijection  $\sigma: E' \to I$  such that  $e \in A_{\sigma(e)}$   $(e \in E')$ . According to a wellknown theorem of P. Hall [2], the family  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a transversal if and only if  $|\{\bigcup A_i: i \in I'\}| \ge |I'|$ for every subset I' of I. Ford and Fulkerson [1] obtained (as a special case of a more general theorem) an analogous criterion for the existence of a common transversal (CT) of two families. We may state their result in the following terms.

The families  $\mathfrak{A} = (A_i : i \in I)$ ,  $\mathscr{B} = (B_j : j \in J)$  of subsets of E, where |I| = |J| = n, have a CT if and only if

$$\left|\left(\bigcup_{i \in I'} A_i\right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \in J'} B_j\right)\right| \ge \left|I'\right| + \left|J'\right| - n \tag{1}$$

whenever  $I' \subseteq I, J' \subseteq J$ .

The original proof of this depended on the max-flow min-cut theorem from the theory of flows in networks. This theorem is intimately connected with Menger's graph theorem [3], and it is therefore not surprising that a direct deduction of the Ford-Fulkerson criterion can be made from Menger's theorem. Another treatment [4] depends on the theory of transversal independence. Our purpose here is to indicate a simple argument which relies solely on Hall's theorem.

Assume that  $E \cap I = E \cap J = \emptyset$ ; and consider the family  $\mathfrak{X} = (X_k : k \in I \cup E)$  of subsets of  $E \cup J$  defined by the requirements:

$$X_k = A_k \ (k \in I), \quad X_k = \{k\} \cup \{j : j \in J, k \in B_j\} \qquad (k \in E).$$

We assert that  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  have a CT if and only if  $\mathfrak{X}$  has a transversal.

Write  $E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ ,  $I = J = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{X}$  has a transversal (which must be the whole of  $E \cup J$ ). This implies, after appropriately ordering the *e*'s, *A*'s and *B*'s, that  $n \leq m$  and

$$e_1 \in X_1 = A_1, \dots, e_n \in X_n = A_n,$$
  
 $e_{n+1} \in X_{e_{n+1}}, \dots, e_m \in X_{e_m},$   
 $1 \in X_{e_1}, \dots, n \in X_{e_n}.$ 

The last line is equivalent to the statements

 $e_1 \in B_1, \ldots, e_n \in B_n;$ 

and therefore  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  possess the CT  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ . The converse is also easy to prove.

Now, by Hall's theorem,  $\mathfrak{X}$  has a transversal if and only if

$$\big|\bigcup_{k\in K'}X_k\big|\geq \big|K'\big|,$$

whenever  $K' \subseteq I \cup E$ . Write  $K' = I' \cup E'$ , where  $I' \subseteq I$ ,  $E' \subseteq E$ ; then this is equivalent to the condition

$$\left| \left( \bigcup_{i \in I'} A_i \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k \in E'} X_k \right) \right| \ge \left| I' \right| + \left| E' \right|, \tag{2}$$

whenever  $I' \subseteq I, E' \subseteq E$ . Further,

$$(\bigcup_{i \in I'} A_i) \cap (\bigcup_{k \in E'} X_k) = (\bigcup_{i \in I'} A_i) \cap E'$$
$$\bigcup_{k \in K'} X_k = E' \cup \{j : j \in J, B_j \cap E' \neq \emptyset\};$$

and so, after a simple rearrangement of terms, we may write (2) in the form

$$\left|\left(\bigcup_{i \in I'} A_i\right) \cap (E - E')\right| + \left|\left\{j : j \in J, B_j \cap E' \neq \emptyset\right\}\right| \ge \left|I'\right|,\tag{3}$$

whenever  $I' \subseteq I$ ,  $E' \subseteq E$ .

and

It remains to establish the equivalence of (1) and (3). To prove the implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), it suffices to define, for each  $J' \subseteq J$ , the set E' by the equation  $E - E' = \bigcup \{B_j : j \in J'\}$ . The reverse implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is proved if, for each  $E' \subseteq E$ , we take  $J' = \{j : j \in J, B_j \cap E' = \emptyset\}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. L. R. Ford, Jr, and D. R. Fulkerson, Network flow and systems of representatives, *Canad. J. Math.* 10 (1958), 78-85.

2. P. Hall, On representatives of subsets, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1935), 26-30.

3. K. Menger, Zur allgemeinem Kurventheorie, Fund. Math. 10 (1927), 96-115.

4. L. Mirsky and H. Perfect, Applications of the notion of independence to problems of combinatorial analysis, J. Combinatorial Theory 2 (1967), 327-57.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS THE UNIVERSITY SHEFFIELD