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#### Abstract

D.L. Berman has proved several divergence theorems about "extended" Hermite-Fejér interpolation on the Chebyshev nodes of the first kind. These are surprising in light of the classical convergence theorem of $L$. Fejér concerning ordinary Hermite-Fejér interpolation on these nodes. However there is one case which has been neglected so far: the case of quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation on these nodes. In this paper it is proved that quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials on the Chebyshev nodes converge uniformly to the continuous function being interpolated. In addition, an estimate for the rate of convergence is established.


## 1. Introduction

The following result proved by Fejêr [3] is now classical:
THEOREM 1 (Fejér). Let $f(x)$ be continuous on the interval $[-1,1]$ and let $H_{n}(f, x)$ be the polynomial of degree $2 n-1$ uniquely determined by the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{n}\left(f, x_{k n}\right)=f\left(x_{k n}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, n, \\
& H_{n}^{\prime}\left(f, x_{k n}\right)=0 \quad, k=1,2, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
x_{k n}=\cos ((2 k-1) \pi / 2 n), k=1,2, \ldots, n,
$$
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and the dash in $H_{n}^{\prime}(f, x)$ denotes differentiation with respect to $x$. Then $H_{n}(f, x)$ converges to $f(x)$ uniformly on the interval $[-1,1]$ as $n$ tends to infinity.

Throughout this paper $x_{k n}$ will be defined by (1) and denoted by $x_{k}$ where there is no confusion.

In 1969, Berman [1], considered a related interpolation process. Let $F_{n}(f, x)$ be the polynomial of degree $2 n+3$ uniquely determined by the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{n}(f, 1)=f(1) ; \quad F_{n}(f,-1)=f(-1) ; \\
& F_{n}^{\prime}(f, 1)=0 \quad F_{n}^{\prime}(f,-1)=0 \\
& F_{n}\left(f, x_{k}\right)=f\left(x_{k}\right) ; \quad F_{n}^{\prime}\left(f, x_{k}\right)=0 \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

One of his results is as follows:
THEOREM 2 (Berman). If $f(x)=x^{2}$, then the sequence $\left(F_{n}(f, x)\right)$ diverges for every $x$ in the open interval (-1, 1).

In a later paper, Berman [2], considered the polynomial $A_{n}(f, x)$ of degree $2 n+2$ uniquely determined by the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}(f, l) & =f(1) ; A_{n}(f,-1)=f(-1) ; \\
A_{n}^{\prime}(f, l) & =0 \\
A_{n}\left(f, x_{k}\right) & =f\left(x_{k}\right) ; A_{n}^{\prime}\left(f, x_{k}\right)=0 \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Concerning this process he proved another divergence theorem:
THEOREM 3 (Berman). If $f(x)=x^{2}$, then the sequence $\left(A_{n}(f, x)\right)$ diverges for every $x$ in the open interval ( $-1,1$ ).

In this paper we shall consider the polynomial $V_{n}(f, x)$ of degree $2 n+1$ uniquely determined by the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{n}(f, l) & =f(1) \\
V_{n}(f,-1) & =f(-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{n}\left(f, x_{k}\right)=f\left(x_{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& V_{n}^{\prime}\left(f, x_{k}\right)=0 \quad, k=1,2, \ldots, n
\end{aligned}
$$

Such processes were called quasi-Hermite-Fejér interpolation processes by Szász [5]. We shall prove the following estimate which shows that if $f$ is continuous on $[-1,1]$ then $v_{n}(f, x)$ converges to $f$ uniformly on the closed interval $[-1,1]$.

THEOREM 4. Let $f(x)$ be continwous on the interval $[-1,1]$ and let $w(f ; \delta)$ be the modulus of continuity of $f$. Then

$$
\left\|V_{n}(f, x)-f(x)\right\| \leq c_{1} \omega\left(f ; n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

Here $c_{1}$ (and later $c_{2}, c_{3}, \ldots$ ) is an absolute constant independent of $f$ and $n$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is the uniform norm on $[-1,1]$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 4

We shall prove the theorem by using a series of lemmas which will be proved in the next section.

LEMMA 1. $\left(V_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of uniformly bounded linear operators.
LEMMA 2. Let $m=\left[n^{\frac{3}{2}}\right]$ and let $p_{m}(x)$ be the best approximating polynomial of degree $m$ to $f(x)$ in $[-1,1]$. Then,

$$
\left\|V_{n}\left(p_{m}, x\right)-p_{m}(x)\right\| \leq c_{2} w\left(f ; n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

The proof of the theorem is now quite straight forward. By the fundamental approximation theorem of Jackson,

$$
\left\|f(x)-p_{m}(x)\right\| \leq c_{3} w\left(f ; n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|V_{n}(f, x)-f(x)\right\| & \leq\left\|V_{n}(f, x)-V_{n}\left(p_{m}, x\right)\right\|+\left\|V_{n}\left(p_{m}, x\right)-p_{m}(x)\right\|+\left\|p_{m}(x)-f(x)\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\left\|V_{n}\right\| c_{3}+c_{2}+c_{3}\right) w\left(f ; n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \leq c_{4} w\left(f ; n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the theorem follows.

## 3. Proofs of the lemmas

Proof of Lemma 1. From Szász' paper we know that
$V_{n}(f, x)=f(1) \frac{(1+x)}{2} T_{n}^{2}(x)+f(-1) \frac{(1-x)}{2} T_{n}^{2}(x)+$

$$
+\sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(x_{k}\right) \frac{1-x^{2}}{1-x_{k}^{2}} v_{k}(x) \tau_{k}^{2}(x)
$$

where

$$
v_{k}(x)=1+\frac{x_{k}\left(x-x_{k}\right)}{1-x_{k}^{2}}, k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

and

$$
\tau_{k}(x)=\frac{T_{n}(x)}{T_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(x-x_{k}\right)}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

and

$$
T_{n}(x)=\cos (n(\arccos x))
$$

Let us set

$$
V_{n}(f, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} f\left(x_{k}\right) h_{k}(x)
$$

where $x_{0}=1$ and $x_{n+1}=-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|V_{n}\right\| & \leq \sup \sum_{k=0}^{n+1}\left|h_{k}(x)\right| \\
& \leq 2+\sup \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|h_{k}(x)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all $x$ in $[-1,1]$.
Now let $x \in(-1,1)$ and suppose that $j$ is an integer satisfying $1 \leq j \leq n$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x-x_{j}\right| \leq\left|x-x_{k}\right|, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Naturally $j=j(n)$. Should there be two such integers then pick either one. Since $V_{n}\left(f, x_{j}\right)=f\left(x_{j}\right)$ we may assume that $x \neq x_{j}$.

To estimate $\left\|V_{n}\right\|$ consider the expression
(4)

$$
2+\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\left|h_{k}(x)\right|+\left|h_{j}(x)\right|+\sum_{k=j+1}^{n} h_{k}(x)
$$

and estimate each part in turn. If $j=1$ or $n$ then one of these parts will not occur.

Now

$$
h_{j}(x)=\frac{1-x^{2}}{1-x_{j}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{x_{j}\left(x-x_{j}\right)}{1-x_{j}^{2}}\right) z_{j}^{2}(x)
$$

Furthermore

$$
\frac{\left|x_{j}\left(x-x_{j}\right)\right|}{1-x_{j}^{2}} \leq \frac{\left|t-t_{j}\right|}{\sin t_{j}} \cdot \frac{\sin r_{j}}{\sin t_{j}} \leq c_{5}
$$

where $x=\cos t, x_{j}=\cos t_{j}$, and $r_{j}$ is some number between $t$ and $t_{j}$. Hience

$$
\left|h_{j}(x)\right| \leq c_{6} \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) \imath_{j}{ }^{2}(x)}{1-x_{j}{ }^{2}}
$$

But Varma has shown in [6] that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1-x^{2}}{1-x_{k}^{2}} \tau_{k}^{2}(x) \leq 8
$$

and so we have
(5)

$$
\left|h_{j}(x)\right| \leq c_{7}
$$

Now we estimate $\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\left|h_{k}(x)\right|$. By decomposing $h_{k}(x)$ into partial fractions we get

$$
h_{k}(x)=\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{2}(x)}{n^{2}\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}}+\frac{x T^{2}(x)}{n^{2}\left(x-x_{k}\right)}-\frac{(1+x) T_{n}^{2}(x)}{2 n^{2}\left(1-x_{k}\right)}-\frac{(1-x) T_{n}^{2}(x)}{2 n^{2}\left(1+x_{k}\right)}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|h_{k}(x)\right| & \leq \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{2}(x)}{n^{2}\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{n^{2}\left|x-x_{k}\right|}+\frac{1}{n^{2}\left(1-x_{k}\right)}+\frac{1}{n^{2}\left(1+x_{k}\right)}  \tag{6}\\
& =A_{k}+B_{k}+C_{k}+D_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

It is known that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} D_{k}=1
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} c_{k} \leq 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(8)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} D_{k} \leq 1
$$

To estimate $B_{k}$, let $k=j-i$ where $i \geq 1$ and note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \left(\left(t+t_{k}\right) / 2\right) & =\sin t / 2 \cos t_{k} / 2+\cos t / 2 \sin t_{k} / 2 \\
& \geq\left|\sin t / 2 \cos t_{k} / 2-\cos t / 2 \sin t_{k} / 2\right| \\
& =\sin \left(\left|t-t_{k}\right| / 2\right) \\
& \geq\left|t-t_{k}\right| / \pi \\
& \geq c_{8} i / n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{k} & =\left[n^{2}\left|x-x_{k}\right|\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left(2 n^{2} \sin \left(\left(t+t_{k}\right) / 2\right) \sin \left(\left|t-t_{k}\right| / 2\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& \leq\left(2 n^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\left|t-t_{k}\right| / 2\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& \leq c_{9} i^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So we obtain
(9)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} B_{k} \leq c_{9} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} i^{-2} \leq c_{10}
$$

Finally let us consider $A_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}^{2}(x) & =\cos ^{2} n t \\
& =\left(\cos n t-\cos n t_{k}\right)^{2} \\
& =4 \sin ^{2}\left(n\left(t+t_{k}\right) / 2\right) \sin ^{2}\left(n\left(t-t_{k}\right) / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{k} & =\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{2}(x)}{n^{2}\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\sin ^{2} t}{\sin ^{2}\left(\left(t+t_{k}\right) / 2\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{2}} \cdot \frac{\sin ^{2}\left(n\left(t-t_{k}\right) / 2\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(\left(t-t_{k}\right) / 2\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin t & \leq \sin t+\sin t_{k} \\
& \leq \dot{2} \sin \left(\left(t+t_{k}\right) / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
n^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\sin ^{2}\left(n\left(t-t_{k}\right) / 2\right)}{\sin ^{2}\left(\left(t-t_{k}\right) / 2\right)} \leq c_{11}
$$

it follows that
(10)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} A_{k} \leq c_{12}
$$

By (7), (8), (9), and (10) we now have
(11)

$$
\sup _{k=1}^{j-1}\left|h_{k}(x)\right| \leq c_{13}
$$

Similarly,
(12)

$$
\sup \sum_{k=1+j}^{n}\left|h_{k}(x)\right| \leq c_{14}
$$

From (4), (5), (11), and (12), Lemma 1 now follows.
Proof of Lemma 2. From Szász' work we know that since $p_{m}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $m<2 n+1$,

$$
p_{m}(x)=V_{n}\left(p_{m}, x\right)+Q_{n}\left(p_{m}, x\right)
$$

where

$$
Q_{n}\left(p_{m}, x\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{m}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right) \cdot \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{2}(x)}{n^{2}\left(x-x_{k}\right)}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|V_{n}\left(p_{m}, x\right)-p_{m}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|p_{m}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{2}(x)}{n^{2}\left|x-x_{k}\right|}
$$

Now a recent result of Szabados [4] states that

$$
\left|p_{m}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq c_{15} \frac{m w\left(f ; m^{-1}\right)}{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad|x|<1
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{n}\left(p_{m}, x\right)-p_{m}(x)\right| \leq c_{16^{2}} w\left(f ; m^{-1}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{k}(x) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
u_{k}(x)=\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{2}(x)}{n^{3 / 2}\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left|x-x_{k}\right|}
$$

Once again let $j$ be defined by (3). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{k}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} u_{k}(x)+u_{j}(x)+\sum_{k=j+1}^{n} u_{k}(x) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We begin by estimating $u_{j}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{j}(x) & \leq \frac{n}{n^{3 / 2}} \cdot \frac{1-x^{2}}{1-x_{j}{ }^{2}} \cdot \tau_{j}(x)  \tag{15}\\
& \leq 4 n^{-\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we shall estimate $n^{3 / 2} \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} u_{k}(x)$. Writing

$$
1-x^{2}=1-x_{k}^{2}+\left(x-x_{k}\right)^{2}-2 x\left(x-x_{k}\right)
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{3 / 2} u_{k}(x) & \leq\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{T_{n}^{2}(x)}{x-x_{k}}+\left|x-x_{k}\right| \frac{T_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{2 \frac{3}{2}}}+|x| \frac{T_{n}^{2}(x)}{\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}  \tag{16}\\
& \leq n\left|z_{k}(x)\right|+3 /\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Now it is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \leq c_{17} n \ln n \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|z_{k}(x)\right| \leq c_{18} \ln n \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by (16), (17), and (18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} u_{k}(x) \leq c_{19} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+1}^{n} u_{k}(x) \leq c_{20} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (15), (19), and (20),

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{k}(x) \leq c_{21} .
$$

Thus, returning to (13) we have

$$
\left\|V_{n}\left(p_{m}, x\right)-p_{m}(x)\right\| \leq c_{21} w\left(f ; m^{-1}\right)
$$

which proves Lemma 2.
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