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SUMMARY

It is more than 25 years since the first case of AIDS was reported in the United Kingdom. In December 1981 a gay man was referred to a London hospital with opportunistic infections indicative of immunosuppression. National surveillance began the following year, in September 1982, with the notification of deaths and clinical reports of AIDS and Kaposi’s sarcoma plus laboratory reports of opportunistic infections. Since then epidemiological surveillance systems have evolved, adapting to, and taking advantage of advances in treatments and laboratory techniques. The introduction of the HIV antibody test in 1984 led to the reporting of HIV-positive tests by laboratories and the establishment of an unlinked anonymous survey in 1990 measuring undiagnosed HIV infection among gay men attending sexual health clinics. The widespread use of highly active antiretroviral therapies (HAART) since 1996 has averted many deaths among HIV-positive gay men and has also resulted in a large reduction in AIDS cases. This led to a need for an enumeration of gay men with HIV accessing NHS treatment and care services (1995 onwards), more clinical information on HIV diagnoses for epidemiological surveillance (2000 onwards) and the routine monitoring of drug resistance (2001 onwards). Twenty-five years after the first case of AIDS was reported, gay and bisexual men remain the group at greatest risk of acquiring HIV in the United Kingdom. Latest estimates suggest that in 2004, 26 500 gay and bisexual men were living with HIV in the United Kingdom, a quarter of whom were undiagnosed. In this review, we examine how national surveillance systems have evolved over the past 25 years in response to the changing epidemiology of HIV/AIDS among gay and bisexual men in the United Kingdom as well as advances in laboratory techniques and medical treatments. We also reflect on how they will need to continue evolving to effectively inform health policy in the future.

INTRODUCTION

Twenty-five years have elapsed since AIDS was first reported in the United Kingdom. During that time 30 000 gay and bisexual men (referred to here as ‘gay men’) have been diagnosed with HIV, of whom 12 000 have progressed to AIDS and 10 000 have died [1]. Gay men remain the behavioural group at greatest risk of HIV in the United Kingdom, accounting for three-quarters of HIV infections diagnosed in 2004 that were probably acquired in the United Kingdom [2]. Cumulative figures mask temporal changes, whereby health promotion initiatives and the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapies (HAART)
have profoundly changed the epidemiology of HIV at different times. Nonetheless, HIV transmission continues among gay men in the United Kingdom, coupled in recent years with increases in gonorrhoea and syphilis and an outbreak of lymphogranuloma venereum (LGV) [2]. Behavioural surveillance also indicates increases in ‘high risk’ sexual behaviours [3–6].

Surveillance is the systematic collection, collation and analysis of data and its timely dissemination so that important trends and events may be detected, and necessary action can be taken to promote and protect public health [7]. The United Kingdom’s HIV surveillance systems are some of the most comprehensive in the world, providing a wealth of national epidemiological data (Figs 1 and 2). In this review, we examine how these surveillance systems have evolved over time in response to the changing epidemiological patterns of HIV among gay men in the United Kingdom, and consider how they may need to adapt in the future.

**Search strategy and selection criteria**

Sources for this review were identified by searches of Medline and references from relevant articles; numerous articles were identified through searches of the authors’ files. Search terms were ‘HIV infection’, ‘AIDS’, ‘homosexual’, ‘bisexual’, ‘gay’, ‘United Kingdom’, ‘surveillance’, ‘epidemiology’. English-language papers and reports were reviewed.

**Early experiences: 1981–1983**

The early picture of AIDS in the United Kingdom was a reflection of experience in the United States where, during 1981, Pneumocystis pneumonia (PCP) and Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS) were reported among gay men in metropolitan areas [8, 9]. Described in December 1981, the first case of AIDS in the United Kingdom was in a 49-year-old gay man who regularly visited Florida and was referred with PCP and cytomegalovirus (CMV) infection to a London hospital [10].

In response to growing alarm and uncertainty surrounding the new syndrome, the Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre (CDSC) in collaboration with the Scottish Centre for Infection and Environmental Health (SCIEH) introduced national surveillance in September 1982 [11, 12]. Initially, surveillance consisted of death registrations mentioning PCP, KS and AIDS (provided weekly by the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys, now the Office for National Statistics, and the Registrar General’s Office in Scotland), laboratory reports of opportunistic
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Fig. 1. Timeline of HIV/AIDS surveillance in the United Kingdom. SOPHID, Survey of Prevalent HIV Infections Diagnosed.
infections (with sexual orientation where possible) and clinical reports of PCP, KS and AIDS [11, 13, 14]. The American AIDS case definition was adopted at the outset, but by the early 1990s European and American case definitions had diverged, with Europe defining AIDS as having one of 28 illnesses and the United States, as having a CD4 cell count of <200 cells/mm³ or one of these 28 illnesses [15–17].

HIV antibody test: 1984 onwards

With the discovery of HIV as the causative agent for AIDS and the development of the HIV antibody test in 1984, surveillance was enhanced by asking microbiologists to report HIV-positive test results from 1985 [18, 19]. Prevalence studies using the HIV antibody test during 1984 revealed the extent of HIV infection among British gay men [20–24], and confirmed that AIDS cases were just the ‘tip of the iceberg’. Retrospective testing of stored residual samples, taken for routine hepatitis B infection tests, established that HIV had been present among gay men in the United Kingdom since 1980 and that prevalence was increasing [21]. By 1984–1985, prevalence among gay men attending some genito-urinary medicine (GUM) clinics was 35% in London and 11% outside [22, 23]. While the rise in HIV prevalence among GUM clinic attendees in London during the early 1980s was comparable to that observed in San Francisco, subsequent behavioural change (principally a reduction in partner numbers) at an earlier epidemic stage in the United Kingdom probably prevented the continued rapid rise in prevalence that was seen in the United States [25–28].

Unlinked anonymous serosurveys: 1990 onwards

The above ad-hoc studies demonstrated the importance of measuring HIV prevalence, particularly the level of undiagnosed infections, and by the end of the 1980s there were calls for an ‘unlinked anonymous’ serosurveillance programme to accurately and routinely monitor prevalence within defined populations [29]. There would be no reliance on gay men seeking a named HIV test, therefore reducing participation bias. Such surveillance would improve the accuracy of future predictions about the size of the epidemic and the targeting of prevention campaigns. A range of unlinked serosurveys was proposed, including a GUM clinic survey incorporating ‘high-risk’ gay men where residual samples taken for routine syphilis serology would be irreversibly unlinked from any patient identifiable information and then tested for HIV (Fig. 3) [29]. Information on whether the person had been previously diagnosed would be retained, allowing a measure of undiagnosed HIV prevalence. There were lengthy debates about the individual’s rights vs. the public health benefit, but by November 1988 the British government stated that it saw no legal or ethical objection to the surveys and implementation began early in 1990 [30].

The unlinked anonymous GUM survey revealed no significant changes in the seroprevalence of undiagnosed HIV infection among gay men attending GUM clinics with an acute STI between 1993 and 1998, indicating a high level of continuing transmission [1, 31]. This burden of undiagnosed HIV-1 infection in 1996 highlighted the need to extend the
practice of routine voluntary, named testing for HIV in GUM clinics [32].

Promotion of HIV testing among gay men in GUM clinics was emphasized in both the 2001 English Department of Health’s National Strategy for Sexual Health and HIV and the Chief Medical Officer’s report, as well as in Making it Count, the 1998 health promotion strategy aimed at reducing HIV incidence among gay men in England [33–36]. The Chief Medical Officer’s report recommended that all GUM attendees should be offered a HIV test on their first attendance, and that gay men should be offered HIV testing annually in all health-care settings [34]. Data from the unlinked anonymous GUM survey show that the uptake of HIV testing among gay men attending sentinel GUM clinics increased from 47% (3490/7378) in 1998 to 79% (6865/8774) in 2004 (Fig. 4) [2, 37]. Increased testing has made a considerable contribution to the rise in the number of HIV diagnoses among gay men in the United Kingdom since 1997, but there has also been continued transmission of HIV [38, 39]. In addition, in 2004, more than 40% of gay men with undiagnosed HIV left sentinel GUM clinics remaining unaware of their HIV infection [2]. Late diagnosis of infection may lead to unnecessary morbidity and an increase in mortality within a short period of diagnosis [2]. One suggestion to reduce the number of men being diagnosed late during the course of infection has been the introduction of rapid HIV antibody testing in community settings. However, pilot schemes have reached different conclusions as to whether these services would be acceptable to users [42, 43]. Research also suggests that people are not diagnosed any earlier than in a standard GUM clinic [42]. Understanding why some men continue to present at a very late stage of infection and barriers to HIV testing, perhaps through qualitative research, will be important in reducing morbidity and mortality among HIV-infected gay men in the future. Surveillance will need to be able to monitor the uptake of testing among gay men in non-GUM settings if rapid testing becomes more widespread.

Estimates and projections: 1987 onwards

From the outset, it was clear that AIDS would have a major impact on health-care services. Surveillance data were used to predict the future burden [12, 25, 44]. Fortunately, early predictions were never realized. A Department of Health working group estimated
that between 20,000 and 50,000 people were HIV-infected at the end of 1987, and that 16,000–40,000 people would develop AIDS over the next 10–15 years [25]. At the time it was still unclear as to whether all those infected with HIV would develop AIDS. A report published a year later revised the original estimates downwards predicting that 8,750–17,500 gay men had been infected with HIV in England and Wales by the end of 1988 [44]. Some commentators argued that these were underestimates, with more than 60,000 people, possibly 100,000, having been infected [45].

Estimates of the number of people living with HIV in the United Kingdom have become more sophisticated over time. From 1995 onwards, the ‘direct method’ was used to calculate the number of people living with HIV in the United Kingdom by categorizing the population into a set of mutually exclusive risk groups of known size, and applying estimates of risk group-specific HIV prevalence to each group [46, 47]. In 2000, this method estimated that there were 17,000 HIV-infected gay men living in the United Kingdom of whom 19% were undiagnosed [48]. In 2005, however, a new method, multi-parameter evidence synthesis (MPES) was introduced [49]. Using MPES, an estimated 26,500 HIV-infected gay men were living in the United Kingdom during 2004, of whom 34% were undiagnosed [2]. The distinctive feature of the MPES method is the simultaneous incorporation of multiple sources of information on model parameters and functions [49]. However, the proportion of undiagnosed gay men seems to be high compared to earlier estimates using the direct method especially since the uptake of HIV testing has increased substantially over time without a dramatic rise in HIV incidence [2]. On the other hand, earlier
reports of undiagnosed infections may have been substantial underestimates. The method continues to be refined.

Changing patterns and reporting of AIDS: 1996 onwards

The use of antiretroviral therapy in the United Kingdom began in 1988 with clinical trials using zidovudine (AZT) as monotherapy and was superseded by dual therapy in the early 1990s [50]. However, it was only after the introduction and widespread use of HAART from 1996 onwards that the impact of treatment was seen at a population level. As in many other industrialized countries, HAART led to a large reduction in AIDS cases and deaths in HIV-infected gay men in the United Kingdom and a changing spectrum of AIDS-defining illnesses and causes of death, notably a decline in diseases caused by opportunistic infections (e.g. PCP and KS) and an increase in diseases such as non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL) (Fig. 6) [2, 51–55].

In the context of HAART, AIDS was no longer an unbiased marker of irreversible end-stage disease progression, with fewer AIDS cases and even fewer AIDS reports with complete epidemiological information. To compensate for this information loss, clinicians in England, Wales and Northern Ireland were asked to report new HIV diagnoses as well as initial AIDS-defining illnesses from 2000, to supplement laboratory reporting [56]. The extra information collected on these reports has been used to examine the epidemiology of HIV among gay men in the United Kingdom in greater depth, including trends in ethnicity, migration and possible transmission via oral sex [57–59].

The introduction of the clinical HIV report and the widespread use of HAART had an unexpected effect on national surveillance, however, with a decline in the reporting of initial AIDS-defining illnesses. While simultaneous HIV and AIDS diagnoses are still reported, there has been a reduction in reports of AIDS occurring after HIV diagnosis. It is unclear whether this is because of ambiguity about reporting changes, or if reporters no longer consider an initial AIDS diagnosis after HIV diagnosis as clinically relevant in the HAART era. Clearly this change has implications for the interpretation of trends in AIDS diagnoses and inter-country comparisons, particularly as some countries (e.g. Spain) only collect information on AIDS at a national level for the present [60]. Work is ongoing to assess the extent of underreporting; to understand the need for AIDS reporting, and the meaning of AIDS in the post-HAART era; and ultimately to make recommendations about the future of AIDS reporting in the United Kingdom.

Mortality over 25 years – the impact of HAART

Information on deaths in patients with AIDS has been collected since the start of the epidemic. However, once the HIV antibody test became widely available in 1984, it became clear that deaths were occurring in HIV-infected individuals without AIDS [61, 62]. The AIDS report form was modified to include deaths in HIV-infected individuals who had not progressed to AIDS [61], and when electronic mortality records were made available from 1993 onwards, all deaths in those aged < 60 years were routinely ‘matched’ to HIV/AIDS reports to capture ‘non-AIDS’ deaths and those where ‘HIV/AIDS’ was not stated on the death certificate perhaps because of the stigma attached to the infection and/or sexual risk behaviours [63]. With an ageing cohort of HIV-infected gay men – in 2004, 28% (5087) of gay men accessing HIV-related services were aged ≥ 45 years [2] – the age cut-off of 60 years requires upward revision to ensure completeness of mortality data.

The impact of HIV on premature mortality among younger men and the impact of HAART has been clearly demonstrated using surveillance data, with the crude age-specific mortality rate for HIV rising from
By the mid-1990s surveillance of HIV/AIDS in the United Kingdom was evolving to encompass improvements in treatment and care and to meet the growing data needs of HIV service providers and financiers. An annual Survey of Prevalent HIV Infections Diagnosed (SOPHID) was introduced in England, Wales and Northern Ireland in 1995 to monitor the number of people accessing treatment and care through the (national) health service [68]. In Scotland, equivalent information is collected through a surveillance system involving the collection of CD4 count data [69]. Improvements in survival and continued diagnoses among gay men have led to increasing numbers living with diagnosed HIV: 17,932 gay men were accessing services in 2004 compared with 11,846 in 2000 (Fig. 7) [2]. Surveillance data are used to allocate funding for HIV care, and at an estimated average cost of £15,000 per annum for each HIV-diagnosed person accessing HIV services, the implications for funding are evident as well as the advantage of accurate figures [52]. However, the use of surveillance data for allocating funds has its limitations since the data will not fully reflect the costs of treatment and levels of clinical activity as the system was not set up to capture this level of detail. Future estimates may be enhanced by supplementing surveillance data with data from other sources.
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Historically it has been purported that population level increases in other STIs, particularly gonorrhoea, were indicative of increased HIV transmission. While analyses were always subject to ecological fallacy, they are now further complicated by a high proportion of STI diagnoses occurring in diagnosed HIV-infected gay men post-HAART. Enhanced surveillance of outbreaks of syphilis and LGV collect information on HIV status, as does the sentinel Gonococcal Resistance to Antimicrobials Surveillance Programme (GRASP) [2, 70–72]. Half (785/1583) of the gay men diagnosed with syphilis in London in 2004 were known to be HIV positive [2]. Similarly, 81% (119/147) of gay men diagnosed with LGV in the United Kingdom in 2004 and 32% (123/381) of those with gonorrhoea were HIV positive [2]. There have also been recent reports of outbreaks of shigella, hepatitis A and hepatitis C among known HIV-positive gay men [73–75]. Behavioural surveillance since 1998 shows that ‘sero-sorting’ – where men select partners on the basis of their HIV status – is occurring, with HIV-positive men seeking HIV-positive (i.e. seroconcordant) partners [5, 76–79]. In view of these behaviours, our interpretation of STI trends in relation to HIV transmission needs to be re-evaluated.
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**STIs in HIV-infected gay men in the post-HAART era**
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An additional impact of the acquisition of STIs by a significant number of diagnosed HIV-infected MSM is that diagnosed, and therefore total (diagnosed and undiagnosed), HIV prevalence can no longer be accurately measured by the unlinked anonymous GUM survey. This is because since the re-emergence of syphilis [80, 81], sexually active, diagnosed HIV-positive MSM have been encouraged to regularly test for syphilis. In the unlinked anonymous survey, residual blood from syphilis serology is irreversibly unlinked from patient identifiable information and then tested for HIV [29]. As a consequence, the diagnosed HIV prevalence as measured by the survey has become substantially inflated. To overcome this source of bias, only undiagnosed prevalence has been reported in recent years [2].

Continuing transmission of HIV in the post-HAART era: 1996–2004

Prior to HAART, back-calculation analyses from AIDS cases indicated that HIV incidence among gay men in the United Kingdom peaked in 1983 (when there were about 6000 infections), rapidly decreased and then remained stable [82]. Gonorrhoea incidence has declined dramatically [83]. There was no further reduction in HIV incidence during the late 1980s and mid-1990s [31, 84, 85]. Incidence was about 4/100 person-years among gay men attending four GUM clinics in London between 1988 and 1994, with a higher incidence (9/100 person-years) among younger (aged <30 years) men [84].

In the post-HAART era, gay men still remain the group most at risk of acquiring HIV within the United Kingdom, with substantial evidence for continuing HIV transmission [2]. The use of HAART meant the end of back-calculation methods using AIDS cases to determine HIV incidence, as the natural course of infection had been altered. Since 1998, a laboratory technique the Serological Testing Algorithm for Recent HIV Seroconversion (STARHS) has been developed and applied using the unlinked anonymous technique (including retrospectively) to routine syphilis serology specimens from gay men attending the 16 GUM clinics in the unlinked anonymous survey in England, Wales and Northern Ireland [86–88]. Currently, it is only validated to be used for subtype B HIV infections. Annual HIV incidence in this sample of gay men has remained constant, varying between 2 and 3-5/100 person-years between 1995 and 2004, with no statistically significant trends over time (Fig. 8) [87, 88]. In comparison to the early 1990s, however, incidence seems to be higher among older gay men (in 2004, highest at 4-5/100 person-years in men aged 35–44 years) [2]. This differential in HIV incidence between older and younger gay men has also been observed in The Netherlands [89]. There are future plans to test every newly diagnosed infection among gay men to see whether it is recent or not, and this will allow further analyses and monitoring of incidence in subtype B infections.

Monitoring transmitted drug resistance: 2001 onwards

The emergence of drug-resistant strains of HIV and transmission of these strains (transmitted resistance) has implications for the initiation of therapy, necessitating the use of resistance assays prior to treatment [90], and therapy options. The United Kingdom’s HIV drug resistance database, established in 2001, collects information from resistance tests carried out during routine clinical care [90, 91]. Using these data it has been shown that up until 2002, the prevalence of transmitted resistance (all behavioural risk groups combined) increased over time, with the largest increase observed for non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, fitting in with UK prescribing practices [91]. Between 2002 and 2004, however, the prevalence of transmitted resistance declined [92].

CONCLUSION

Public health surveillance of HIV among gay men in the United Kingdom has come a long way over the
last 25 years providing some of the most comprehensive surveillance data in the world. In this review, we have examined how national surveillance systems have evolved in response to the changing epidemiology of HIV/AIDS among gay men in the United Kingdom as well as advances in laboratory techniques and medical treatments.

There are several key challenges for HIV surveillance among gay men in the United Kingdom in the future. First, improvements in the monitoring of HIV incidence among gay men are needed to determine transmission patterns by age, geography and over time to better inform prevention services. This will involve expanding the coverage of incidence testing to all HIV diagnoses, linking demographic and clinical information to these samples and developing tests for non-B subtype incident infections. To further understand the behaviours that underlie the continuing transmission of HIV among gay men, behavioural research, such as the INSIGHT study, will need to investigate factors leading to seroconversion [93].

At the other end of the clinical spectrum, continued and further monitoring of the uptake of HIV testing and late-stage disease, including the role of AIDS surveillance in the post-HAART era will be important in reducing morbidity and mortality among HIV-infected gay men in the future. This should be supplemented by qualitative research to help us understand why some men continue to present at a very late stage of infection despite efforts to encourage routine HIV testing. Finally, the transmission of STIs among networks of HIV-positive gay men in the United Kingdom has created a need for enhanced surveillance systems collecting information on STI diagnoses and HIV status among gay men [94]. These have helped us understand the contribution of HIV-positive gay men to the recent increase in STIs but further work needs to be done to determine the implications for HIV transmission to HIV-negative gay men and the interpretation of STI surveillance data.

What is clear is that as the epidemiology of HIV and other STIs continues to evolve among gay men in the United Kingdom, our surveillance systems will need to further adapt, as they have done in the past, so that information can be effectively used to inform health policy in the years to come.
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