# TWISTED ALEXANDER POLYNOMIAL FOR THE LAWRENCE-KRAMMER REPRESENTATION 

Masaaki Suzuki

In this paper, we prove that the twisted Alexander polynomial for the LawrenceKrammer representation of the braid group $B_{4}$ is trivial. This gives an answer to the problem of whether the twisted Alexander polynomial for given faithful representations is always non-trivial.

## 1. Introduction

The twisted Alexander polynomial for finitely presentable groups was introduced by Wada in [5]. As a notable application, it was shown that the twisted Alexander polynomial can tell Kinoshita-Terasaka knot from Conway's 11-crossing knot.

In [4], the twisted Alexander polynomial for Jones representations of the braid group $B_{n}(n \geqslant 3)$ is studied. One of the main results of $[4]$ is that the twisted Alexander polynomial for the Burau representation is not trivial for $n=3$ and trivial for $n \geqslant 4$. We know that the Burau representation is faithful for $n=3$, not faithful for $n \geqslant 5$ and the faithfulness is still open for the case $n=4$. Then it is mentioned in [4] that it would be interesting to study a relation between the faithfulness of the Burau representation and the twisted Alexander polynomial. In other words,

Problem 1.1. If a given representation is faithful, is the twisted Alexander polynomial non-trivial?

In this paper, we present the answer to this question.
Krammer constructed in [2] a representation of the braid group, which is now called the Lawrence-Krammer representation, and showed that it is faithful for $n=4$. Moreover, Bigelow [1] and Krammer [3] proved that the Lawrence-Krammer representation is faithful for all $n$. Then we may show a relation between the faithfulness of a representation and the twisted Alexander polynomial as a consequence of an explicit calculation of the twisted Alexander polynomial for the Lawrence-Krammer representation.

In this paper, we show the following. (See Section 3 for the precise statement.)
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Theorem 1.2. The twisted Alexander polynomial for the Lawrence-Krammer representation of the braid group $B_{4}$ is trivial.

This gives the negative answer to Problem 1.1.
In Section 2, we briefly recall the definition of the Lawrence-Krammer representation of the braid group $B_{4}$. In Section 3, the twisted Alexander polynomial of $B_{4}$ is computed and we prove Theorem 1.2.

## 2. Lawrence-Krammer representation of $B_{4}$

Let $B_{n}$ be the braid group of $n$ strings, $B_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \simeq\langle x\rangle$ the Abelianisation and $L K$ the Lawrence-Krammer representation

$$
L K: B_{n} \longrightarrow G L\left(n(n-1) / 2 ; \mathbb{Z}\left[q^{ \pm 1}, t^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)
$$

In this paper, we treat the case $n=4$, and we discuss the definition of the braid group and the Lawrence-Krammer representation for only this case. The braid group $B_{4}$ admits the presentation:

$$
B_{4}=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3} \mid \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3}=\sigma_{3} \sigma_{1}\right\rangle
$$

The Lawrence-Krammer representation of $B_{4}$ is defined as follows (see $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}]$ for general cases):

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
L K\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
t q^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
t q(q-1) & 0 & 0 & q & 0 & 0 \\
t q(q-1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & q & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1-q & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1-q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
L K\left(\sigma_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1-q & q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & t q^{2}(q-1) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & t q(q-1)^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & t q^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & t q(q-1) & 0 & q \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1-q
\end{array}\right), \\
L K\left(\sigma_{3}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1-q & q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t q^{3}(q-1) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1-q & q & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & t q^{2}(q-1) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t q^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

## 3. Twisted Alexander polynomial

In this section, we compute the twisted Alexander polynomial. All notations are the same as ones used in [4], unless we state otherwise.

First, we obtain a denominator in the twisted Alexander polynomial by an explicit calculation.

Lemma 3.1.

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{6}-x L K\left(\sigma_{3}\right)\right)=(1-x)^{3}(1+q x)^{2}\left(1-q^{2} t x\right)
$$

Next, we calculate a numerator in the twisted Alexander polynomial. In our case, we have the $18 \times 12$-matrix $M_{3}$ which is obtained from the Alexander matrix removing the third column. The numerator which we need is the greatest common divisor of $\operatorname{det} M_{3}^{I}$ for all the choices of the indices $I$. Here $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{12}\right)$ and $M_{3}^{I}$ denotes the square matrix consisting of the $i_{k}$-th rows of the matrix $M_{3}$, where $1 \leqslant i_{1}<\cdots<i_{12} \leqslant 18$.

Lemma 3.2. For any index $I$, $\operatorname{det} M_{3}^{I}$ has a common divisor $(1-x)^{3}(1+q x)^{2}$ $\left(1-q^{2} t x\right)$.

Proof: For a given $18 \times 12$-matrix $A$, we denote by $A\left(i ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{12}\right)$ the matrix obtained from $A$ adding $a_{j}$ times the $j$-th column to the $i$-th column. We note that

$$
\operatorname{det} A\left(i ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{12}\right)^{I}=\left(1+a_{i}\right) \operatorname{det} A^{I}
$$

1. First, we consider

$$
M^{(1)}=M_{3}\left(4 ;-1+q^{2} t, p, p, 0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\right)
$$

where $p=-1-q t+q^{2} t$. Then we can take a term $1-x$ as a common divisor from the fourth column. Next, we observe

$$
M^{(2)}=M^{(1)}\left(12 ; 0,0,0,0,0,0, q^{2}, p q,(1-q)^{2} q t,-1+q^{2} t, p, 0\right)
$$

and

$$
M^{(3)}=M^{(2)}\left(8 ;-1+q^{2} t,(-1+q) q t,(-1+q) q t, 0,0,0,-q, 0,0,0,0,0\right)
$$

Therefore the eighth and the twelfth columns have common divisors $1-x$ and $\operatorname{det} M_{3}^{I}$ has a divisor $(1-x)^{3}$ for any index $I$.
2. Similarly, it can be considered

$$
M^{(4)}=M_{3}\left(12 ; 0,0,0,0,0,0, q^{2}, p q^{2},-1+q^{3} t-q^{4} t+p q,-q^{2}(1+q t),-p q, 0\right)
$$

and

$$
M^{(5)}=M^{(4)}\left(5 ; 0,-q^{2}, q,-q, 0,0,-q^{2},-q^{2}, 1+q, 0,0,0\right)
$$

Then the fifth and the twelfth columns have common divisors $1+q x$ and $\operatorname{det} M_{3}^{l}$ has a divisor $(1+q x)^{2}$ for any index $I$.
3. Finally, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{(6)}= & M_{3}\left(12 ; 0, q^{3} t(1-q)\left(1-q^{2} t\right)\right. \\
& q^{2} t(-1+q)\left(1-q^{2} t+q^{4} t^{2}+p q\right), q^{2} t(1-q)\left(1-q^{2} t\right) \\
& q t(-1+q)\left(1-q^{2} t+q^{4} t^{2}+p q\right),(1+q t)\left(1-q^{2} t\right)^{2} \\
& (1-q) q^{4} t,(-1+q) q^{4} t^{2}, q^{2} t(-1+q)\left(1-q-q t+q^{4} t^{2}\right) \\
& \left.0, q\left(1+q t-q^{2} t\right)\left(1-q^{3} t^{2}\right),\left(1-q-q^{2} t\right)\left(1-q^{3} t^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The twelfth column of $M^{(6)}$ has a common divisor $1-q^{2} t x$. We need to note that the determinant of this matrix $M^{(6) I}$ is different from that of $M_{3}^{I}$. More precisely,

$$
\operatorname{det} M^{(6) I}=\left(1+\left(1-q-q^{2} t\right)\left(1-q^{3} t^{2}\right)\right) \operatorname{det} M_{3}^{I}
$$

However, the greatest common divisor of two polynomials $1+\left(1-q-q^{2} t\right)\left(1-q^{3} t^{2}\right)$ and $1-q^{2} t x$ is a unit, that is, they are relatively prime. This deduces that $\operatorname{det} M_{3}^{I}$ has a divisor $1-q^{2} t x$ for any index $I$. Then it completes the proof.

Lemma 3.3. There exist indices $I_{1}, I_{2}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(\operatorname{det} M_{3}^{I_{1}}, \operatorname{det} M_{3}^{I_{2}}\right)=(1-x)^{3}(1+q x)^{2}\left(1-q^{2} t x\right)
$$

Proof: We select

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}=(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12) \\
I_{2}=(2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,12,15,17)
\end{gathered}
$$

and calculate $\operatorname{det} M_{3}^{I_{1}}, \operatorname{det} M_{3}^{I_{2}}$ explicitly, then we get the conclusion.
[
The above two lemmas deduce that $\operatorname{det} M_{3}^{I}$ has a common divisor $(1-x)^{3}$ $(1+q x)^{2}\left(1-q^{2} t x\right)$ and does not have any other common divisor, then the numerator is settled. It follows by the definition that

TheOrem 3.4. The twisted Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{B_{4}, L K}(x)$ for the LawrenceKrammer representation with the Abelianisation $B_{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \simeq\langle x\rangle$ is given by

$$
\Delta_{B_{4}, L K}(x)=1
$$

Remark 3.5. The twisted Alexander polynomial for the Lawrence-Krammer representation is not always trivial for $n$. In fact, we get $\Delta_{B_{3}, L K}(x)=1+q^{3} t x^{3}$ by an easy calculation.
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