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I. THE REACTION-VELOCITY OF THE GERMICIDAL ACTION OF NORMAL RABBIT-SERUM ON B. COLI COMMUNE AND THE INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE THEREON.
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By HARRIETTE CHICK, D.Sc., Assistant, Lister Institute of Preventive Medicine, London.

## (With 4 charts.)

The following experiments, which form part of an attempt to investigate the bactericidal properties of serum, are concerned with the process by means of which certain bacteria are killed in vitro when immersed in normal sera. Special attention was paid to the temperature relations of this action because it was hoped that some information might be gleaned which might throw light upon the significance of fever as a reaction to infection.

The method of study was in the main similar to that previously employed by me in investigating the germicidal action of other agents (1908 and 191.0) and consists in observation of the time relations of the process. As far as I am aware such a method has not hitherto been applied to the study of the bactericidal properties of serum and by its means data have been obtained which are quite new in character. Under these circumstances it does not seem necessary to give any review of the voluminous literature dealing with other aspects of this subject, but such as bears any direct reference to the matter of this paper will be alluded to as occasion occurs.

## Materials and method of experiment.

The normal serum used in the following experiments was allowed to remain on the blood clot for about 18 hours, and then separated from any stray red corpuscles by centrifuging. A small quantity, -5 c.c., was measured out into a test tube placed in a thermostat at
the required temperature of experiment. In order to test the serum for sterility, sterile broth was added to the small deposit left in the centrifuge tube and the whole incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for two or three days. No difficulty was experienced in keeping the serum sterile.

The bacteria for the experiment were obtained from a 24 hours' culture in broth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.; this was filtered through paper to get rid of any agglutinated masses and diluted as required (from 50 to 250 -fold) with distilled water. A small quantity of the diluted culture, measured by means of special capillary pipettes each delivering a standard drop equal to 0.02 c.c., was added to the serum tube in the thermostat and the time noted. The serum tube was also fitted with a standard capillary pipette by means of which samples could be withdrawn after successive intervals of time and a definite number of drops taken for plate cultures. Duplicate plates were poured at each time of sampling, necessitating the help of at least one assistant. Gelatine plates were usually employed and they were counted after three to four days' incubation at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A more detailed account of the method, including the expedients adopted for maintaining a culture of constant resistance, is given in the previous paper already referred to (1908, pp. 96 and 118).

The experiments set forth in Tables I-X (with the exception of Table IV which is concerned with the action of normal goat-serum upon $B$. typhosus) were all made with normal rabbit-serum and B. coli commune.

Table I contains the results of three experiments carried out in duplicate at three different temperatures, viz. $20 \cdot 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ., 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The general course of events is more readily comprehended by a glance at Fig. 1, where concentration of surviving bacteria is plotted against time.

After the addition of the bacteria to the serum an interesting series of phenomena takes place which, in the case of all three experiments, can be grouped into three distinct phases.

1. A latent period, lasting from 1-41 hours according to the temperature, in which the number of living bacteria remains stationary or may decrease.
2. A period of multiplication, the length of which is also inversely related to temperature, during which the number of bacteria is increased until the concentration is about doubled.
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The total lag caused by periods 1 and 2 varied from about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ hours at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to about $4 \frac{1}{2}$ and 7 hours at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and $20.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. respectively. This may account for the conclusion of Buxton (1905) that normal rabbit-serum was without bactericidal effect on $B$. coli.
3. A bactericidal period, during which the bactericidal action of the serum begins to be manifested and the bacteria are submitted to a slow process of disinfection at a rate depending on the temperature.

TABLE I.
Action of normal rabbit-serum upon B. coli commune.

| $\operatorname{Exp}_{30.1 .12}^{\operatorname{Exp}_{2}}$ | Temperature | Time, | Amount of sample taken, | Numbers counted on plates |  | Mean no. of surviving bacteria in 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $20 \cdot 2$ | 0.08 | 1 | 2220 | 1478 | 1850 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 1 | 1564 | 1504 | 1530 |
|  |  | 1.5 | 1 | 1100 | 1084 | 1090 |
|  |  | $3 \cdot 0$ | 1 | 1158 | 1284 | 1220 |
|  |  | $4 \cdot 5$ | 1 | 1150 | 1090 | 1120 |
|  |  | $5 \cdot 9$ | 1 | 1416 | 1772 | 1590 |
|  |  | 6.9 | 1 | 2368 | 2372 | 2370 |
|  |  | $13 \cdot 1$ | 1 | 1332 | 1344 | 1340 |
|  |  | $24 \cdot 2$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}3 \\ 5\end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2004 \\ & 6400^{*} \end{aligned}$ |  | 1050 |
| II | 30 | 0.08 | 1 | 1696 | 1644 | 1670 |
|  |  | 0.5 | 1 | 1240 | 1388 | 1310 |
|  |  | 1.5 | 1 | 1268 | 1284 | 1280 |
|  |  | $3 \cdot 0$ | 1 | 2520 | 2448 | 2500 |
|  |  | $4 \cdot 5$ | 1 | 2684 | - | 2680 |
|  |  | $5 \cdot 9$ | 1 | 276 | 289 | 282 |
|  |  | 6.9 | 1 | 59 | 56 | 57 |
|  |  | $13 \cdot 0$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}5 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ | 231 45 |  | 46 |
|  |  | $24 \cdot 2$ | 10 | 20,000* |  | 2000 |
| III | 40 | 0.02 | 1 | 2088 |  | 2090 |
|  |  | 0.05 | 1 | 1500 |  | 1500 |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 13$ | 1 | 1296 | 1362 | 1330 |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 38$ | 1 | 1150 |  | 1150 |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 87$ | 2 | 2230 |  | 1120 |
|  |  | 1.2 | 2 | 2576 |  | 1290 |
|  |  | 1.5 | 2 | 4448 |  | 2220 |
|  |  | $2 \cdot 5$ | 2 | 5760 |  | 2880 |
|  |  | 3.6 | 3 | 726 |  | 242 |
|  |  | $9 \cdot 6$ | 5 | 17 |  | $3 \cdot 4$ |
|  |  | 21.0 | 10 | ? 1 |  | 0.0 |

In Experiment II, at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., there is also evidence of the existence of a fourth stage. The disinfection occurring in the third stage was not quite complete and the few surviving bacteria began to multiply again. This phenomenon was not noticed in Experiment III at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and Exp. I at $20.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. was not complete enough to reveal it.

The sequence of the first three stages set out above was found to be a constant characteristic of the action of normal rabbit-serum upon
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B. coli commune. In about an equal number of cases the third stage remained final or was succeeded by a period of further bacterial growth. It is not clear upon what circumstances this depends; the matter is further discussed below, p. 421, where some suggested explanations are put forward.

The quantitative data of the experiments in Table I were not complete enough for satisfactory analysis, such as might throw light upon the nature of the bactericidal action or the effect of temperature thereon. Accordingly a similar set of experiments was undertaken in which determinations of surviving bacteria were made with much greater frequency. The results are set forth in Table II and present a scheme similar to that deduced from the results of Table I, notwithstanding the fact that the serum was obtained from a different rabbit. The first three stages described above are present in all three experiments. In Exp. I at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. the third or bactericidal stage was not completed by the 25 th hour, and in Exp. II at $30 \cdot 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. there is no evidence that this phase is other than final. It must be remembered, however, that the march of events in Exp. II is very slow, compared for example with Exp. III at $40 \cdot 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and it is possible for the final result to remain undiscovered if, as in this instance, the experiment is not continued beyond 24 hours.

In Exp. III, the third or bactericidal stage is succeeded by further multiplication ${ }^{1}$ at the tenth hour. Towards the end of the experiment, from the 10th to the 25th hour, this period of growth is followed by a second phase of bacterial death which itself gives place to another period of multiplication. The latter stages in the action of serum upon bacteria need further investigation and for this purpose it will be necessary to arrange experiments of even longer duration than those described in the present communication.

The first three stages of the process were, however, studied with some care in the experiments in Table II and the results obtained may be summarised as follows:

The first or latent period lasted for about $05,1.0$ and 5 hours at $20^{\circ}, 30 \cdot 1^{\circ}$ and $40 \cdot 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. respectively. This stage seems to be merely an instance of the phenomenon which is usually observed when living bacteria are transferred to fresh media and for which no entirely satisfactory explanation has been advanced. For a variable length of time, depending on the conditions of experiment, including the previous

[^0]history of the seed material and the composition and temperature of the new medium, the number of living organisms remains almost stationary or may even decrease slightly [see Müller (1895); Rahn (1900); Hehewerth (1901); Barber (1908); Lane-Claypon (1909)]. In the present case the initial reduction in numbers, when present, is usually much too small to be explained by any hypothesis of agglutination.

The determinations made during the second or growth period were too infrequent for study and this stage was studied separately later on.

During the third or bactericidal period, however, frequent observations were made in order that the process might be investigated in greater detail. It was found that the action proceeded logarithmically, in other words death-rate of the surviving bacteria remained proportional to the concentration of surviving bacteria thus :

$$
-\frac{d N}{d t}=K N,
$$

where $K$ is a constant and $N$ is equal to the number of bacteria surviving in unit volume. In the seventh column of Table II are given the values of the velocity constant

$$
K=\frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{0}} \log \frac{N_{0}}{N_{n}},
$$

where $N_{0}$ and $N_{n}$ are equal to the number of bacteria surviving in one standard drop of serum at times $t_{0}$ and $t_{n}$ respectively. It will be seen that very fair constancy is maintained in the value of $K$. The same relationship is expressed graphically in Fig. 2 where logarithms of concentration of surviving bacteria as ordinates are plotted against times as abscissae and the experimental points are found to lie upon approximately straight lines.

The fact that the germicidal action proceeds as a "uni-molecular" reaction would suggest that the bactericidal agent was not being exhausted, but was remaining approximately constant in concentration as long as the above relation was maintained. A departure was, however, frequently noticed towards the end of the bactericidal period. At the same time the concentration of living bacteria became so small that it was very difficult to make an accurate evaluation by means of plate cultures. It is possible, however, that a decrease in the value of $K$ such as occurs, for example, in Exp. I might be due to a falling off in concentration of the bactericidal substance or that a few specially resistant forms were being bred which are able to withstand its influence (see

Trommsdorf, 1901). The time occupied for even the most rapid of the experiments was long enough for such a phenomenon to take place and the suggestion receives some support from the fact that a growth period frequently succeeded that of bacterial death.


The bactericidal action of normal serum thus falls into line with other types of disinfection. In all the cases which have been investigated
the process has been shown to take place in accordance with the "unimolecular law," so that the reaction velocity at any time is proportional to the concentration of surviving bacteria. The cases studied include disinfection both of vegetative forms and spores by metallic salts, phenol and other coal-tar derivatives (Chick, 1908); hot water (Madsen and Nyman, 1907 ; Chick, 1910); sunlight (Clark and Gage, 1903 and Chick, 1910, p. 280); drying (Paul, 1909); the radium emanation (Chambers and Russ, 1912).

## Infuence of temperature.

The influence of temperature upon the bactericidal action was estimated by a comparison of velocity constants in the different experiments. These are collected in Table III, and it is seen that the effect of temperature is fairly consistent and a logarithmic relationship with reaction velocity is approximately maintained between the temperatures of $20^{\circ}$ and $40.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., with a temperature coefficient of about 2.8 per rise of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## TABLE III.

Temperature coefficient of the bactericidal action of normal rabbit-serum (II) upon B. coli commune (from Table II ).

|  | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | Value of the velocity constant, $\boldsymbol{K}$ | $\left(\log _{(K \times 10} \log _{10}\right.$ |  coeftricient per $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.) | Temperature coefficient per $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | Temperature coefficient per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp. I | 20 | -126 |  | -0518 | $1 \cdot 13$ | 3-30 |
| Exp. II | 30.1 | $\cdot 42$ | -623 | . 0518 | 1.0 | $2 \cdot 39$ |
| Exp. III | $40 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 03^{*}$ <br> Not includi | 1.01 1st valu | (see Table I) | 1.09 | $\frac{2 \cdot 39}{2 \cdot 84=} \begin{gathered} \text { mean } \end{gathered}$ |

In Table IV are given the results of a set of experiments made with normal goat-serum and B. typhosus. The general character of the action is rather different from that observed with $B$. coli commune and rabbit-serum, the bactericidal action in this case being altogether more powerful. The logarithmic nature of the action is, however, again confirmed, and the effect of temperature is seen to be of the same order, the mean velocity constant being increased 1.93 -fold for a rise in temperature of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. between the temperatures of $20 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ and $40.45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The value of this temperature coefficient is lower than that found for most cases of germicidal action by other agents. With phenol, the velocity of disinfection was found, for anthrax spores, to be increased
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TABLE IV.
Action of normal goat-serum upon B. typhosus; effect of temperature.

5.5 -fold per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. rise in temperature and as much as 15 -fold for B. paratyphosus, under certain conditions. Disinfection of the latter organism by higher tar-acids gave a figure of $7-8$ per rise of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Chick, 1908). In the case of disinfection by hot water the effect of temperature is even more enhanced, the coefficient reaching the high value of 136 per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. rise in temperature for B. typhosus (Chick, 1910). Disinfection by means of drying, on the other hand, has a temperature coefficient of only about 2 to 3 per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (Paul Birstein and Reuss, 1910), and a similar value has been obtained for the germicidal action of metallic salts upon both spores and vegetative organisms (Madsen and Nyman, 1907; Chick, 1908). In the latter case, where there is some
evidence of direct chemical union between the salt and the bacterial protein, it is significant that the effect of temperature should be of the same order as that corresponding to most chemical reactions.

The high temperature coefficient of disinfection by hot water recalls a similar effect of temperature upon other reactions where bodies of a protein nature are involved. Such are the destruction by hot water of certain antigens (Famulener and Madsen, 1908) and agglutinins (Madsen and Streng, 1909) and the "heat coagulation" of proteins (Chick and Martin, 1910). In these cases the suggestion has been made that rise of temperature might be accompanied by changes in the nature of the colloidal solution resulting in a greatly increased surface

TABLE V.
Action of normal rabbit-serum (II) upon B. coli commune at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.; effect of the magnitude of the sowing.
Exp. I. 8 standard drops ( 16 c.c.) of a diluted ( 1 in 250 ) paper-filtered culture, added to 2 c.c. serum.
Exp. II. 1 standard drop ( 02 c.c.) of a diluted ( 1 in 250 ) paper-filtered culture, added to 5 c.c. serum.

| Exp. <br> 13. III. 12 | Time, hours $=t$ | Amount of sample taken, no. of drops of drops | $\overbrace{}^{\begin{array}{l} \text { Numbers } \\ \text { counted } \\ \text { on plates } \end{array}}$ | Mean no. of bacteria surviving in 1 standard drop of serum $=\boldsymbol{N}$ | $\log _{10} N$ | $K=\frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{0}} \log \frac{N_{0}}{N_{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.08 | 1 | 1890 | 1890 |  | ( $N_{0}=2230 ; t_{0}=4 \cdot 8$ ) |
|  | $0 \cdot 66$ | 1 | 1600 | 1600 |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 1 | 1960 | 1960 |  |  |
|  | $2 \cdot 85$ | 1 | 3310 | 3310 |  |  |
|  | $4 \cdot 8$ | 1 | 22502210 | 2230 | $3 \cdot 348$ |  |
|  | $5 \cdot 8$ | 1 | 341 | 341 | 2.533 | -81 |
|  | 6.5 | 1 | 147 | 147 | $2 \cdot 167$ | -69 |
|  | $7 \cdot 0$ | 1 | 94 | 94 | 1.973 | -62 |
|  | 8.05 | 1 | 49 | 49 | $1 \cdot 690$ | $\cdot 51$ |
|  | $24 \cdot 0$ | 5 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdot 66=$ mean |
| II | 0.08 | 8 | 719 | $90 \cdot 9$ |  | ( $N_{0}=104.5 ; t_{0}=4.7$ ) |
|  | $0 \cdot 66$ | 5 | 430 | 86 |  |  |
|  | $2 \cdot 0$ | 5 | 682 | 136 |  |  |
|  | $2 \cdot 85$ | 5 | 1142 | 228 |  |  |
|  | $4 \cdot 7$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}3 \\ 5\end{array}\right.$ | 281 555 | ) 104.5 | 2.019 |  |
|  | $5 \cdot 8$ | 5 | 56 | 11.2 | 1.049 | -88 |
|  | 6.5 | 3 | 17 | $5 \cdot 4$ | 0.732 | $\cdot 71$ |
|  | $7 \cdot 0$ | 5 | 2 | $0 \cdot 4$ |  |  |
|  | $8 \cdot 1$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 3\end{array}\right.$ | 0 1 | ) $0 \cdot \mathbf{2}$ |  | $\cdot 79=$ mean |
|  | $24 \cdot 0$ | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
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for action. In disinfection by hot water some such hypothesis might also explain the enhanced effect of temperature. The comparatively small influence of temperature in the present instance indicates that the mechanism involved in the germicidal action of serum must be of a different and probably simpler character. At the same time it is necessary to point out that a fallacy may be involved when attempts are made to elucidate the nature of a process by such indirect means as the study of the temperature effect. The value of the temperature coefficient need not necessarily refer to the essential part of the process but only to some other factor limiting the velocity of the action without necessarily being closely involved in it.

## Effect of magnitude of the sowing.

The results of Tables I-IV received further confirmation in the case of experiments specially undertaken to investigate other points.

For example, Exps. I and II in Table V were made in order to ascertain what influence the initial number of bacteria added might have upon the bactericidal action of the serum. The data are somewhat scanty, but show approximately the logarithmic nature of the process. In the case of the heavier sowing, a concentration of about 1900 bacteria per drop, disinfection appears to be distinctly retarded, but the effect is not very marked when the mean value of the velocity constant, 0.66 , is compared with that for an initial concentration of bacteria of only 90 per drop, viz. 0•79.

## Influence of previously heating the serum.

A comparison of Experiments A and B in Table VI show the effect upon bactericidal action of previously heating the serum to $55-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for about 20 minutes. As would be expected, the bacteria experienced no germicidal action in Exp. B with heated serum and, after a latent period which lasted about one hour, underwent steady multiplication.

The rate of multiplication was measured by calculating the mean generation time. If $T=$ the mean generation time, and $N_{n}$ and $N_{0}=$ the number of bacteria present in unit volume after times $t_{n}$ and $t_{0}$ respectively, then, assuming cell-division to be steadily and universally maintained, the number of successive cell-divisions taking place in the interval of time $t_{n}-t_{0}$ will be equal to

$$
\frac{t_{n}-t_{0}}{T}
$$

and $N_{n}$

$$
=N_{0} \times 2^{\left(\frac{t_{n}-t_{0}}{T}\right)}
$$

or

$$
\log N_{n}-\log N_{0}=\frac{t_{n}-t_{0}}{T} \log 2
$$

and

$$
T=\frac{\log 2}{\frac{\log N_{n}-\log N_{0}}{t_{n}-t_{0}}},
$$

the denominator of which fraction has been represented by $A$ and called the "growth constant."


Fig. 3. Action of normal rabbit-serum upon B. coli commune (see Table VI).
I. Serum not heated.
II. Serum previously heated to $55-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 20 minutes.

The values of $T$ were calculated up to 5.6 hours in Exp. $B$ and show a fair constancy considering the great difficulty experienced in counting the very crowded plates with any accuracy. The mean generation was $1 \cdot 4$ hours or 84 minutes.

In Exp. A, made with unheated serum to form an exact control for B, the initial latent-period also lasted about one hour. In the period of growth which followed it is interesting to notice that the calculated
value of the generation-time is not very dissimilar to that obtained in Exp. B. In fact when allowance is made for the difficulty of setting boundaries to the various stages in Exp. A, each of which is of short duration, the agreement in the two experiments is surprising. For the first three and a half hours the course of events runs almost parallel in the two cases.

This is well shown in Fig. 3, where logarithms of concentration of living bacteria are plotted against time. The same curve shows the logarithmic nature of the subsequent disinfection in Exp. A to be well maintained for a period of about four hours after which there appears to be some discrepancy. The values of the velocity constant, given in the last column of Table VI, also show a falling off towards the end of the experiment. It should be noted, however, that the concentration of survivors have been reduced so low that the enumerations are not very trustworthy.

The results of a second experiment are set out in Table VII. It was less complete than that of Table VI, and the numbers obtained on the plates were too great for accurate counting. The calculations must be regarded as approximate only, but serve as useful confirmation of the previous results. In this case in Exp. A, between the sixth and tenth hour, after the majority of the bacteria had been killed, the residue began to multiply. The rate of growth was similar to that obtaining in the short period of growth (second to fourth hour) previous to the disinfection, and also similar to that steadily maintained throughout in Exp. B with the heated serum.

## Influence of temperature upon growth in serum.

The temperature coefficient of bacterial growth in serum was investigated in material previously heated to $55-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for about 20 minutes. Three similar experiments at different temperatures are given in Table VIII and graphically shown in Fig. 4. They all show a preliminary latent period followed by regular multiplication which was maintained as long as the observations could be made. In Table IX are given the results of two experiments made at the same time at $30 \cdot 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. with nutrient broth and normal saline solution respectively for comparison with Exp. II in Table VIII. By comparing the calculated values of the generation-time 0.54 hours (or 32 minutes) in broth and 0.69 hours (or 41 minutes) in serum, it will be seen that multiplication took place rather more slowly in the latter medium. The values of the
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## TABLE VI.

Action of normal rabbit-serum (I) upon B. coli commune at $30 \cdot 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
A. Serum unheated.
B. Serum previously heated for 20 minutes at $55-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Two standard drops ( 0.04 c.c.) of a 24 hours' culture of $B$. coli commune in broth, diluted 120 -fold in distilled water, added to 3 c.c. serum.

| $\underset{28 . \mathrm{II} .12}{\operatorname{Exp}_{i 2}}$ | Time, hours $=t$ | Amount of sample taken, no of drops | Num on pl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bers } \\ & \text { ted } \\ & \text { tates } \end{aligned}$ | - Mean no. of surviving bacteria in 1 drop erum $=N$ | $\log _{10} N$ | Growth constant $A=$ $\frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{0}} \log \frac{N_{n}}{N_{0}}$ | Generation time, hours $=\frac{\log 2}{A}$ | Disinfection constant $K=$ $\frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{0}} \log \frac{N_{0}}{N_{n}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.03 | 1 | 409 | 402 | 405 | $2 \cdot 607$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0.25 | 1. | 391 | 359 | 375 | $2 \cdot 574$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 1 | 332 | 313 | 322 | $2 \cdot 508$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0.75 | 1 | 322 | 330 | 326 | $2 \cdot 513$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 1 | 350 | 366 | 358 | 2.554 | ( $N_{0}=327$; |  |  |
|  | $1 \cdot 5$ | 1 | 332 | 322 | 327 | $2 \cdot 514$ | $\left.t_{0}=1.5\right)$ |  |  |
|  | $2 \cdot 5$ | 1 | 502 | 546 | 524 | $2 \cdot 719$ | $\cdot 205$ | $1 \cdot 47$ | ( $N_{0}=813$; |
|  | $3 \cdot 55$ | 1 | 816 | 810 | 813 | 2.910 | -193 | $1 \cdot 56$ | $\left.t_{0}=3.55\right)$ |
|  | $4 \cdot 07$ | 1 | 532 | 538 | 535 | $2 \cdot 728$ |  | - | -35 |
|  | $4 \cdot 5$ | 1 | 396 | 392 | 394 | 2.595 |  | $1 \cdot 51=$ mean | an 33 |
|  | $5 \cdot 0$ | 1 | 263 | 276 | $269 \cdot 5$ | $2 \cdot 431$ |  |  | -33 |
|  | $5 \cdot 66$ | 1 | 117 | 123 | 120 | 2.079 |  |  | -39 |
|  | $6 \cdot 45$ | 1 | 57 | 42 | $49 \cdot 5$ | 1.695 |  |  | -42 |
|  | $7 \cdot 0$ | 1 | 29 | 33 | $31 \cdot 5$ | 1.498 |  |  | -41 |
|  | $8 \cdot 25$ | 1 | 30 | 20 | 25 | $1 \cdot 398$ |  |  | -32 |
|  | $9 \cdot 1$ | 1 | 16 | 18 | 17 | $1 \cdot 230$ |  |  | -30 |
|  | $10 \cdot 25$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 5\end{array}\right.$ | 17 | \} | 11.8 | 1.072 |  |  | $\cdot 27$ |
|  | $11 \cdot 4$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 5\end{array}\right.$ | 6 26 |  | $5 \cdot 3$ | 0.724 |  |  | $\cdot 28$ |
|  | $13 \cdot 6$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 5\end{array}\right.$ | 2 4 | 1 1) | $1 \cdot 0$ | $\cdot 00$ |  |  | -29 |
|  | $18 \cdot 0$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 5\end{array}\right.$ | 0 | (?) 1 | 0 |  |  |  | $\cdot 34=\underset{\text { mean }}{=}$ |
|  | $24 \cdot 0$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 4\end{array}\right.$ | 0 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $31 \cdot 0$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 4\end{array}\right.$ | 0 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| B | 0.03 | 1 | 468 | 476 | 472 | $2 \cdot 674$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0.25 | 1 | 377 | 389 | 383 | $2 \cdot 583$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 1 | 430 | 416 | 423 | $2 \cdot 626$ |  |  |  |
|  | $0 \cdot 75$ | 1 | 386 | 387 | 386 | 2.587 |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 1 | 412 | 377 | 395 | $2 \cdot 597$ | ( $N_{0}=444$; |  |  |
|  | 1.5 | 1 | 453 | 435 | 444 | $2 \cdot 647$ | $t_{0}=1 \cdot 5$ ) |  |  |
|  | $2 \cdot 5$ | 1 | 690 | 718 | 704 | $2 \cdot 848$ | $\cdot 201$ | 1.50 |  |
|  | $3 \cdot 5$ | 1 | 1572 | 1368 | 1470 | 3•167 | $\cdot 260$ | $1 \cdot 16$ |  |
|  | $4 \cdot 5$ | 1 | 3152 | 3240 | 3200 | 3.505 | $\cdot 286$ | 1.05 |  |
|  | $5 \cdot 6$ | 1 | 4052 | 4928 | 4490 | $3 \cdot 652$ | $\cdot 245$ | 1.23 |  |

## TABLE VII.

Action of normal rabbit-serum (I) upon B. coli commune at $30 \cdot 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
A. Serum unheated.
B. Serum previously heated for about 25 minutes at $55-56^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$.

Two standard drops ( 0.04 c.c.) of a 24 hours' culture of B. coli commune in broth, diluted 60 -fold in distilled water, added to 3 c.c. and 2.7 c.c. serum in Experiments $A$ and $B$ respectively.

| $\underset{20.11 .12}{\text { Exp. }}$ | Time, $=t$ | Amount takenple of drops | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Num } \\ & \text { cou } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ |  | Mean no. of surviving bacteria in 1 drop serum $=N$ | $\log _{10} N$ | Growth constant $\frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{0}} \log \frac{N_{n}}{N_{0}}$ | Generation time, hours $=\frac{\log 2}{A}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Disinfection } \\ \text { constant } K= \\ = \\ \frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{0}} \end{array} \log \frac{N_{0}}{N_{n}} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0.05 | 1 | 1336 | 1412 | 1370 |  | ( $N_{0}=1460$; |  |  |
|  | $1 \cdot 0$ | 1 | 1388 | 1532 | 1460 | 3•164 | $t_{0}=1 \cdot 0$ ) |  |  |
|  | $2 \cdot 0$ | 1 | 2120 | 2412 | 2270 | 3-356 | $\cdot 192$ | $1 \cdot 57$ |  |
|  | $3 \cdot 0$ | 1 | 6888 | 6064 | 6480 | 3.812 | -324 | 0.93 | ( $N_{0}=7810$; |
|  | $4 \cdot 33$ | 1 | 7808 |  | 7810 | 3.893 | $\cdot 219$ | $1 \cdot 37$ | $\left.t_{0}=4 \cdot 33\right)$ |
|  | 6.4 | 1 | 1396 | 1408 | 1400 | 3-146 | $\begin{gathered} \left(N_{0}=1400 ;\right. \\ \left.t_{0}=10.6\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\cdot 36$ |
|  | $10 \cdot 6$ | 1 | 16770 | 24350 | 20560 | $4 \cdot 313$ | . 278 | 1.08 |  |
|  | $12 \cdot 1$ | 1 | $\infty$ |  |  |  |  | $1 \cdot 21=m$ | ean |


| B | 0.05 | 1 | 1460 | 1512 | 1490 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0 \cdot 86$ | 1 | 1660 | 1680 | 1670 |  | ( $N_{0}=1970$; |  |
|  | 1.9 | 1 | 1840 | 2092 | 1970 | 3.294 | $\left.t_{0}=1.9\right)$ |  |
|  | $3 \cdot 0$ | 1 | 3640 | 4152 | 3900 | 3-591 | $\cdot 270$ | $1 \cdot 11$ |
|  | $4 \cdot 25$ | 1 | 8064 | 7712 | 7890 | 3•897 | $\cdot 257$ | $1 \cdot 17$ |
|  | 6.33 | 1 | 18944 | 19584 | 19260 | $4 \cdot 285$ | $\cdot 224$ | $1 \cdot 34$ |
|  | $10 \cdot 6$ | 1 | $\infty$ |  |  |  |  | $1 \cdot 21$ |

## TABLE VIII.

Effect of temperature upon growth of B. coli commune in normal rabbit-serum (I) previously heated to $55-57^{\circ}$ C. for 20 minutes.

| $\text { 6. III. }_{\text {Exp }}$ | Tempera ture, ${ }^{9} \mathbf{C}$. | Time, $=t$ | Amount of sample taken, no. of drops | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Num } \\ \text { cont } \\ \text { on } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean no. } \\ \text { of living } \\ \text { bacterinin 1 } \\ \text { standard drop } \\ \text { serum }=N \end{gathered}$ | $\log _{10} N$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Growth } \\ \text { constant } \\ A= \end{array} \\ & \frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{0}} \log \frac{N_{n}}{N_{0}} \end{aligned}$ | Generation time, hours $=\frac{\log 2}{A}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $19 \cdot 8$ | 0.08 | 5 | 139 | 111 | 25 | $1 \cdot 398$ | $\begin{aligned} \left(N_{0}\right. & =48 \cdot 3 ; \\ t_{0} & =6 \cdot 4) \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | $2 \cdot 3$ | 5 | 120 | 105 | 22.5 | 1.352 |  |  |
|  |  | $4 \cdot 3$ | 4 | 119 | 110 | $28 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 456$ |  |  |
|  |  | $6 \cdot 4$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 4\end{array}\right.$ | 90 200 |  | $48 \cdot 3$ | 1.684 |  |  |
|  |  | $8 \cdot 4$ | 2 | 247 | 269 | 129 | $2 \cdot 111$ | -213 | $1 \cdot 41$ |
|  |  | $10 \cdot 0$ | $\{1$ | 550 |  | 349 | 2.543 | -239 | $1 \cdot 26$ |
|  |  | $10 \cdot 0$ | 13 | 848 |  | 349 | 2.543 | -239 | 126 |
|  |  | $11 \cdot 7$ | 1 | 619 | 647 | 633 | $2 \cdot 801$ | -211 | $1 \cdot 43$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1 \cdot 37=$ mean |
| II | $30 \cdot 1$ | 0.08 | 5 | 117 | 103 | 22 | $1 \cdot 342$ | ( $N_{0}=56.4$; |  |
|  |  | $2 \cdot 3$ | 5 | 293 | 271 | $56 \cdot 4$ | $1 \cdot 751$ | $\left.t_{0}=2 \cdot 3\right)$ |  |
|  |  | $4 \cdot 3$ | 4 | 2136 | 1748 | 485 | $2 \cdot 686$ | $\cdot 467$ | $\cdot 645$ |
|  |  | 6.4 | 2 | *9376 | 8160 | 4380 | $3 \cdot 641$ | -461 | $\cdot 653$ |
|  |  | $8 \cdot 4$ | 2 | $\infty$ |  |  |  |  | $\cdot 649=$ mean |
| III | $34 \cdot 9$ | 0.08 | 5 | 90 | 112 | $20 \cdot 2$ | $1 \cdot 305$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1.0 | 5 | 119 | 113 | $23 \cdot 2$ | 1-365 |  |  |
|  |  | $2 \cdot 3$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 3\end{array}\right.$ | 174 324 |  | $99 \cdot 6$ | $1 \cdot 998$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(N_{0}=99 \cdot 6 ;\right. \\ \left.t_{0}=2 \cdot 3\right) \\ =639 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  | $4 \cdot 3$ | 2 | 3792 | 3776 | 1890 | $3 \cdot 276$ |  | $\cdot 471$ |
|  |  | $6 \cdot 3$ | 1 | *38620 | 34620 | 36620 | $4 \cdot 564$ | $\cdot 641$ | $\cdot 470$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdot 470=$ mean |

* Numbers must be regarded as approximate.
generation-time are calculated on the assumption that multiplication is universal among the bacteria and maintained without interruption. But it may well be that in serum the longer generation-time is to be

explained by the fact that some individuals do not multiply at all, or that some are dying while others are growing. The point could be settled by a determination of the generation-time by direct observations under the microscope, using a dark ground and side illumination, and it is hoped subsequently to publish the results of a comparable set of experiments to the present in which the microscopic method is substituted for that of plate cultures.


## TABLE IX.

Growth of B. coli commune in (a) nutrient broth, and (b) "normal" saline solution at $30 \cdot 1^{\circ}$ C., for comparison with Exp. II, Table VIII.

| $\underset{\text { 6. III. } 12}{\operatorname{Exp}_{2}}$ | Time, hours $=t$ | Amount of sample taken, no. of drops |  | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { mbers } \\ \text { nled } \\ \text { plates }}}$ | Mean no. of living bacteria in 1 $\stackrel{\text { standard }}{=N}$ | $\log _{10} N$ | Growth constant $\begin{gathered} A= \\ \frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{0}} \\ \log \\ \frac{N_{n}}{N_{0}} \end{gathered}$ | Generation time, hours $=\frac{\log 2}{A}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | 0.05 | 5 | 43 | 29 | $7 \cdot 2$ |  | ( $N_{0}=23 \cdot 4$; |  |
|  | $2 \cdot 45$ | 5 | 123 | 111 | $23 \cdot 4$ | 1-369 | $\left.t_{0}=2 \cdot 45\right)$ |  |
|  | $4 \cdot 4$ | 2 | 462 | 648 | 277 | $2 \cdot 443$ | $\cdot 551$ | -546 |
|  | 6.5 | 2 | 8430 | 10210 | 4660 | $3 \cdot 668$ | -568 | -530 |
|  | $23 \cdot 1$ | $\begin{gathered} \cdot 002 \\ \text { (by dilution) } \end{gathered}$ | *12580 | 13250 | 6457000 | $6 \cdot 810$ |  | $\sqrt{\cdot 538}=$ |
| (b) | $0 \cdot 05$ | 5 | 28 | 52 | 8 |  | ( $N_{0}=28.4$; |  |
|  | $1 \cdot 3$ | 5 | 57 | 56 | $11 \cdot 3$ |  | $t_{0}=6.5$ ) |  |
|  | $2 \cdot 45$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 10\end{array}\right.$ | 60 152 |  | $14 \cdot 1$ |  |  |  |
|  | $4 \cdot 43$ | 5 | 64 | 66 | 13 |  |  |  |
|  | $6 \cdot 5$ | 5 | 154 | 130 | $28 \cdot 4$ | 1.453 |  |  |
|  | $8 \cdot 5$ | 5 | 260 | 256 | $51 \cdot 6$ | 1.713 | $\cdot 130$ |  |
|  | $10 \cdot 03$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 10\end{array}\right.$ | 401 794 |  | $79 \cdot 7$ | 1.901 | -127 |  |
|  | $11 \cdot 8$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}10 \\ 17\end{array}\right.$ | 976 1940 |  | $108 \cdot 1$ | 2.084 | $\cdot 110$ |  |
|  | 22-8 | 10 | 6180 | 6020 | 610 | 2.785 |  |  |

The figure for generation-time in broth at $30 \cdot 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., viz. 32 minutes, is in fair agreement with that obtained for B. coli commune by LaneClaypon (1909) using a similar method to the above, viz. $31 \cdot 8$ minutes at $31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The fact that the value is also consistent with that obtained by direct observation of the bacterial cells (Barber, 1908, Table II, p. 389), viz. 30.7 minutes at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., goes to prove that during the early hours of a broth culture multiplication is universal. Whether multiplication in serum is really slower or is only less general can only be settled by further experiment.

The effect of temperature was measured by comparison of "growth constants" at the various temperatures of experiment. These are set out in Table X and the influence of temperature is seen to be fairly consistent and in accordance with a logarithmic law. It is not possible to decide whether the velocity of growth is influenced by rise of temperature in accordance with the law of Arrhenius or in a simple logarithmic manner, for over a small range of temperature the two expressions become almost identical. The same is true of the influence of temperature upon the disinfectant action of serum.

TABLE X.
Temperature coefficient of growth of B. coli commune in normal rabbit-serum (I) heated (see Table VIII).

| $\text { 6. }_{\text {Exp. }}^{\text {ExI }}$ | Tempera ture ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | Mean value of the growth constant $A$ | $\begin{gathered} \log _{10} \\ (A \times 10) \end{gathered}$ |  | Temperature coefficient per $1^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$. | Temperature coefticient per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $19 \cdot 8$ | $\cdot 221$ | $\cdot 344$ ) |  |  |  |
| II |  |  |  | $\cdot 0313$ | 1.074 | $2 \cdot 06$ |
| II | $30 \cdot 1$ | $\cdot 463$ | $\cdot 666$ | -0292 | 1.070 | 1.96 |
| III | $34 \cdot 9$ | $\cdot 640$ | -806 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 072= \\ & \text { mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \cdot 01= \\ \text { mean } \end{gathered}$ |

The rate of growth in serum is influenced by temperature to a degree comparable with that shown in the case of the death-rate (see above), being increased 1.07 -fold and 2.01 -fold for a rise of temperature of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. respectively, between the temperatures of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. That the effect remains consistent at least as high as $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. may be gleaned from the somewhat scanty data of the growth phase in Exps. I, II and III, Table II.

These values are in close accord with those obtained for growth of B. coli in broth by Lane-Claypon and Barber respectively. The former worker found a temperature coefficient of $2 \cdot 13$ per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. between the temperatures of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (calculated from data given in Table I, see Lane-Claypon, 1909, p. 246) and the latter obtained 2.36 per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. between $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (from curve, see Barber, 1908, p. 696).

## Summary.

1. The action in vitro of normal rabbit-serum upon B. coli commune consists usually of three or more phases, the duration of which is inversely related to temperature.
2. The latent period which occurs on first mixing bacteria and serum is followed by a period of bacterial growth, which in its turn
yields to a period of bactericidal action. This may remain final or may be succeeded by a second period of growth.
3. The germicidal action, both in case of normal rabbit-serum upon B. coli commune and of normal goat-serum upon B. typhosus, takes place in accordance with a logarithmic law so that death-rate remains proportional to the concentration of surviving bacteria.

The bactericidal action of serum thus falls into line with all other cases of disinfection, hitherto investigated.
4. The temperature coefficient of the bactericidal action of serum is low in comparison with that found for other germicides, being 284 per $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. rise in temperature for normal rabbit-serum and B. coli commune and 1.93 in the case of normal goat-serum and B. typhosus.
5. Growth of B. coli commune in rabbit-serum takes place logarithmically so that the concentration of living bacteria after equal intervals of time form terms of a geometrical series.

The calculated value of the generation-time is slightly greater than that obtaining in broth at the same temperature.
6. The influence of temperature upon rate of bacterial growth in serum is comparable with the effect upon growth in other media. The generation-time is decreased 2.01 -fold for a rise in temperature of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
7. The separate processes of bacterial growth and death in serum being similarly influenced by temperature, there is no support for the view that the significance of fever might be concerned with a tendency to encourage either process relative to the other.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A similar phenomenon was shown by Trommsdorf (1901) in the action of rabbit- and dog-serum upon B. typhosus.

