WISSLARD at Subglacial Lake Whillans, West Antarctica: scientific operations and initial observations
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ABSTRACT. A clean hot-water drill was used to gain access to Subglacial Lake Whillans (SLW) in late January 2013 as part of the Whillans Ice Stream Subglacial Access Research Drilling (WISSARD) project. Over 3 days, we deployed an array of scientific tools through the SLW borehole: a downhole camera, a conductivity–temperature–depth (CTD) probe, a Niskin water sampler, an in situ filtration unit, three different sediment corers, a geothermal probe and a geophysical sensor string. Our observations confirm the existence of a subglacial water reservoir whose presence was previously inferred from satellite altimetry and surface geophysics. Subglacial water is about two orders of magnitude less saline than sea water (0.37–0.41 psu vs 35 psu) and two orders of magnitude more saline than pure drill meltwater (<0.002 psu). It reaches a minimum temperature of −0.55°C, consistent with depression of the freezing point by 7.019 MPa of water pressure. Subglacial water was turbid and remained turbid following filtration through 0.45 μm filters. The recovered sediment cores, which sampled down to 0.8 m below the lake bottom, contained a macroscopically structureless diamicton with shear strength between 2 and 6 kPa. Our main operational recommendation for future subglacial access through water-filled boreholes is to supply enough heat to the top of the borehole to keep it from freezing.
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INTRODUCTION
Antarctic subglacial lakes represent important targets for scientific exploration because they may harbor unique and biogeochemically important microbial ecosystems (Priscu and others, 1999, 2008; Siegert and others, 2003; Siegert, 2005; Christner and others, 2006), help determine ice-sheet dynamics (Bell and others, 2007; Stearns and others, 2008; Beem and others, 2014; Siegfried and others, 2014) and contain sedimentary paleoenvironmental and paleoclimatic records (Hodgson and others, 2009; Bentley and others, 2013). There are already several hundred known subglacial lakes in Antarctica, including the so-called active lakes experiencing fill-and-drain cycles (Gray and others, 2005; Siegert and others, 2005; Wingham and others, 2006; Smith and others, 2009). The glaciological, geological and hydrological settings of these lakes appear to be variable and may result in significant differences in subglacial lacustrine conditions (e.g. Dowdeswell and Siegert, 2002).

Subglacial Lake Whillans (SLW) is located beneath the Whillans Ice Plain, which is fed by Mercer and Whillans Ice Streams on the upstream end and discharges into Ross Ice Shelf (Fig. 1a). It belongs to a group of active subglacial lakes discovered based on anomalous ice elevation changes (Fricker and others, 2007). SLW was targeted for drilling by the Whillans Ice Stream Subglacial Access Research Drilling (WISSARD) project. The reasons for its selection as the drilling target have been detailed in previous publications (e.g. Priscu and others, 2010; Fricker and others, 2011). Recently, Priscu and others (2013) reported on the clean-access technologies and procedures.

The WISSARD project includes an extensive glaciological component focused on monitoring active subglacial lakes using a network of continuous GPS (cGPS) stations (e.g. Beem and others, 2014). One of these cGPS units was placed over SLW in December 2007 and captured one filling–draining cycle in 2008/09 with amplitude of ~4 m (Fig. 1b). Since this event the cGPS on SLW has traveled upward at a slow rate, indicating that the lake was close to its most drained state at the time of drilling in late January 2013.
As part of preparations for site selection and drilling, a surface geophysical survey of SLW was conducted in the 2010/11 austral summer. Detailed kinematic GPS and ice-penetrating radar surveys revealed that SLW corresponds to a 15 m depression in ice surface topography and >80 kPa low in the regional subglacial water-pressure field, as estimated from ice surface and bed topography data (Christianson and others, 2012). Active-source seismic investigations over SLW confirmed that the basal reflection coefficient is consistent with the presence of a subglacial water reservoir and revealed a bowl-shaped sub-ice reflector interpreted as the lake bottom (Horgan and others, 2012).

Based on these geophysical results, the site with the thickest inferred subglacial water column was selected as the SLW drilling location for the 2012/13 field season (84.240°S, 153.694°W). In this paper, we describe scientific operations which took place in the SLW borehole in the course of 3 days at the end of January 2013 (Fig. 2). We also report initial observations describing conditions encountered in the SLW borehole, subglacial water and underlying sediments.

**BOREHOLE OPERATIONS**

**Selected aspects of borehole completion**

Figure 2 shows the timeline of scientific operations following borehole completion on 27 January 2013, at ~8 PM local time (all times are given here in coordinated universal time (UTC) + 12 h). Although drilling operations are not the focus here, we discuss some aspects that are particularly relevant to scientific measurements and sample collection. The WISSARD hot-water drill made a connection to the base of the ice sheet at 8:04 AM on 27 January 2013. The vertical ice motion between late 2007 and early 2013 based on data from a GPS station located ~700 m from the SLW borehole at the time of drilling. The 2009 lake drainage (Siegfried and others, 2014), timing of SLW active-source seismic survey (Horgan and others, 2012) and SLW drilling are labeled for reference.

![Fig. 1.](https://doi.org/10.3189/2014AoG65A009) **Fig. 1.** (a) Overview of the Whillans Ice Plain, with inferred subglacial water flow paths indicated by blue lines (Carter and others, 2013) and subglacial lake outlines by solid white lines (SLC: Subglacial Lake Conway; SLM: Subglacial Lake Mercer; SLW: Subglacial Lake Whillans; SLE: Subglacial Lake Engelhardt; L7: Lake 7; L8: Lake 8; L10: Lake 10; and L12: Lake 12). The red dot on SLW indicates the borehole location. Ice, Cloud and land Elevation Satellite (ICESat) elevation change anomaly amplitudes and lake outlines are from Fricker and Scambos (2009); background imagery and grounding lines (black) come from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Mosaic of Antarctica (Scambos and others, 2007); and the lateral limits of fast ice flow (dashed white lines) are derived from Rignot and others (2011). Projection is south polar stereographic (km) with standard latitude at 71° S. (b) The vertical ice motion between late 2007 and early 2013 based on data from a GPS station located ~700 m from the SLW borehole at the time of drilling. The 2009 lake drainage (Siegfried and others, 2014), timing of SLW active-source seismic survey (Horgan and others, 2012) and SLW drilling are labeled for reference.
Recordings from a water-pressure transducer installed near the return water pump show that, at the time of the breakthrough, water rose within <1 min by 28 m. The transducer output was recorded by the drill control software at 1 min intervals, but the real-time visual output to the command center display took place at 1 s intervals. The drill operator at the time noted that the main phase of borehole water-level adjustment occurred in just 2 s. This rapid equilibration contrasts with minutes-long breakthroughs observed by Engelhardt and Kamb (1997) in boreholes drilled on various Siple Coast ice streams.

If the borehole is assumed to have a simple cylindrical shape with the design radius of 0.3 m then ~8 m³ of lake water rushed into the borehole from the subglacial environment during breakthrough. However, the volume of the upper part of the borehole, which determines how much water must enter to equilibrate water pressure to the flotation level, was likely larger than a simple cylinder because a parallel but interconnected return pump borehole was drilled right next to the main SLW hole. At the point of breakthrough, the drill nozzle flow was at a low level of just less than 1 L min⁻¹. It was lowered from its normal level of ~115 L min⁻¹ at 7:20 AM in anticipation of the breakthrough. Seven minutes after breakthrough the drill flow rate was increased to 135 L min⁻¹, and the process of borehole reaming back to the top lasted until 7:40 PM.

**Borehole camera**

Following its completion, the SLW borehole was visually inspected to determine if it could be used to deploy our scientific equipment. We used a borehole camera, which is part of the Micro-Submersible Lake Exploration Device (MSLED) (MS1 in Fig. 2). It consists of a cylindrical pressure housing with lights around its rim and a down-looking Go-Pro Hero 3 camera sending video to the surface through a Kevlar-reinforced fiber-optic cable with length markings. The camera enabled real-time visual inspection of the SLW borehole and subglacial cavity (Fig. 3).

**Conductivity–temperature–depth (CTD) profiler**

After visual assessment of borehole quality, we deployed a CTD profiler, Sea-Bird SBE 19 plus V2. We used conductivity and temperature data to calculate water salinity based on the equation of state for water (EOS-80, Sea-Bird Electronics, 2013) (Fig. 4). For low-salinity waters, accuracy is reported as being at the level of ±0.005 psu (practical salinity units). Sea-Bird cites accuracy for temperature measurements with this CTD model as ±0.005°C, and we give all temperatures to ±0.01°C. Water density is calculated using salinity, temperature and pressure as inputs for the EOS-80 equation of state. Once density is known, the CTD data provide a depth estimate, from pressure measurements, the calculated water density, and the acceleration of gravity corrected for latitude (Sea-Bird Electronics, 2013). Based on accuracies of the individual measurements reported by the manufacturer, we estimate the absolute error on calculated depth to be <0.1% of total depth value (i.e. <0.7 m for 700 m). Small standard deviation of depth values, ~0.05 m, obtained when the CTD was resting at the lake bottom suggests a much better relative depth resolution, so we later report estimates of lake depth to within 0.1 m.

**Water sampling**

Recovery of subglacial water samples from SLW for biological and chemical analyses was one of the primary scientific objectives of the WISSARD project (Fricker and others, 2011). The key tool used for this purpose was a hydrogen peroxide (3%) cleaned 10 L Niskin sampling bottle (Niskin and others, 1973). One Niskin bottle cast was performed immediately after the initial CTD deployment and two more during the second period of scientific operations (Fig. 2). A Niskin bottle was lowered into the borehole until the winch load cell indicated that the weight hanging 0.25 m below the bottle touched the lake bottom. Particles and cells suspended in water were sampled using a down-hole in situ filtration unit built by McLane Research Laboratories, Inc. (Fig. 5). As seen by the particulate matter on the 0.2 μm filter in this figure, subglacial water still contained significant suspended particles, which was consistent with the visual observations made after the borehole was completed. The finest filter size on the McLane unit is 0.2 μm, with two coarser pre-filters, 3 μm and 10 μm.

**Sediment coring**

The first corer we deployed in the SLW borehole was the UWITEC gravity multi-corer. It consists of three 60 mm diameter, 50 cm-long sampling tubes with automatic core catchers and is designed to enable sampling of soft sediments...
sediments with preservation of the sediment/water interface. The entire assembly is relatively large, not streamlined, and quite light. Consequently, we experienced seven unsuccessful attempts at deploying the multi-corer because it either got stuck in the borehole or could not advance beyond the ice layer that formed at the air/water interface. Given these problems, the decision was made to put the drill back into the SLW borehole for an up-and-down ream cycle which lasted for ~24 hours (Fig. 2).

Sediment coring was the key objective for the second phase of scientific borehole operations at SLW (Fig. 2). The NIU multi-corer was deployed immediately after borehole reaming, and on three deployments it returned two sediment cores in each run (Fig. 6). The cores were up to 0.4 m in length. One of the multi-corer samples was used to collect pore waters for chemical analyses using Rhizon samplers (Seeberg-Elverfeldt and others, 2005). Later, in the second phase of scientific operations, we deployed the borehole piston corer with a 3 m-long barrel. It collected a 0.8 m-long core with a diameter of 58 mm.

The third sediment corer used was the 10 cm diameter, 5 m-long percussion corer. Due to malfunction of its smart winch, this was used essentially as a gravity corer, and collected 0.4 m-long samples. Between the first multi-corer deployment and the sampling with the percussion corer, the SLW borehole moved by ~1 m horizontally (Fig. 2).

**Geothermal probe**

It is difficult to constrain geothermal fluxes from basal temperature profiles measured in boreholes drilled in ice, and such estimates are associated with large uncertainties (e.g. supplementary material for WAIS Divide Project Members, 2013). Antarctic geothermal flux distribution is crucial to understanding the tectonic evolution of Antarctica, the dynamics of its ice sheet, and its subglacial hydrology, but it is only constrained by geophysical models, which yield quite disparate results (cf. Shapiro and Ritzwoller, 2004; Maule and others, 2005). The geothermal probe was designed to penetrate sediments at the bottom of SLW and measure precisely the vertical temperature gradient (Fisher and others, 2003; Heesemann and others, 2006). In combination with direct laboratory measurements of thermal conductivity on sediment samples, the observed subglacial vertical temperature gradient will be used to calculate geothermal flux.

**Borehole sensor string**

Installation of the borehole sensor string early on 1 February 2013 represented the final stage of scientific operations in the SLW borehole. The string contains one three-axes borehole seismometer (Geosig), three vertical-axes geophones (one at the very bottom of the string, one 100 m above and one 600 m from the bottom) plus an 800 m-long fiber-optic distributed temperature sensor (DTS). Due to environmental stewardship, the bottom of the string was installed ~20 m above the ice base, rather than all the way to the bed. The lowest point of the string corresponds to the bottom of the DTS and contains the Geosig borehole seismometer as well as one of the geophones. The sensor string was left to freeze-in, and the top of the borehole was covered for safety. Both seismic sensors and the DTS survived the borehole freezing process, and data were collected from them during the 2013/14 season.

**DISCUSSION**

**Operational issues**

Whereas the WISSARD Science Team planned for the potential risk of borehole diameter shrinkage due to refreezing, formation of ice at the top of the borehole was not managed. Consequently, scientific operations in the SLW borehole were impacted by freezing at the air/water interface.

To mitigate the risk from lateral borehole refreezing, we requested a borehole diameter which exceeded by >0.2 m the diameter of our largest scientific equipment. Moreover, the planned scientific borehole timeline prioritized, whenever there were no overriding scientific considerations, the large-diameter tools. Based on Koci (1984, fig. 4), we estimated that borehole freezing rates would decrease from ~8 cm d^{-1} on day one to about 4 cm d^{-1} on day four for the...
mean annual temperature of −25°C at the SLW site. Freezing rates should decrease to nil at the base, where ice temperature is near its pressure-melting point (Engelhardt, 2004).

Freezing at the top of the SLW borehole water column resulted in significant disturbance to the planned operational sequence, but we found no quantitative treatment of this problem in published literature. A simple equation quantifying the growth in ice thickness, \( h \), is given by Stefan (1891):

\[
h(t) = \sqrt[2]{ \frac{2K_i \Delta T}{L_p}}
\]

where \( K_i \) is the thermal conductivity of ice \((2.1 \text{ W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}) \), \( \Delta T \) is the difference between the freezing point of water and air temperature right above the ice layer, \( t \) is time, \( L \) is the latent heat of freezing \((333.5 \text{ kJ kg}^{-1}) \) and \( \rho \) is density \((917 \text{ kg m}^{-3}) \). Equation (1) shows that in just one day, the ice layer reaches ~0.03 m thickness, with air temperature being just 1°C below freezing. Data from the geothermal probe, which was deployed about a day after borehole reaming, show that air temperature in the borehole was below −4°C.

Lake ice studies indicate that the load capacity of an ice layer scales with the square of its thickness (Bealos, 2002):

\[
F = C_i h^2
\]

where \( F \) is the ‘safe’ short-term load (kN) and \( C_i \) is an empirical constant with units of stress, given by Bealos (2002, p. 206) as 1790 kPa. Equation (2) has been developed and calibrated for horizontally extensive ice layers, whereas we consider an ice layer in a borehole with radius \( R \). However, it should still be applicable as long as \( h \ll R \). Even if we take \( h = 0.03 \text{ m} \), as calculated above, such a layer can support a piece of equipment with mass of ~200 kg.

Growth of an ice layer at the top of a water-filled borehole can be hindered by supplying hot water at the water/air interface. It is sufficient to keep an ice layer thin enough so that it can be penetrated by even light instrumentation. For instance, a 0.002 m-thick ice layer will be broken by a mass greater than 2 kg. The rate of hot-water input, \( q \left( \text{kg s}^{-1} \right) \), needed to balance conductive heat loss through an ice layer of thickness \( h \) can be estimated from

\[
q = \frac{\Delta T K_i \frac{\pi R^2}{h}}{C_p \ T_{dw}}
\]

where the first ratio on the right-hand side represents a linear temperature gradient across the ice layer, the second term is the ratio of ice thermal conductivity to heat capacity of water \((C_p \approx 4218 \text{ J kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}) \) and the third term is the ratio of borehole area to the temperature of drill water, \( T_{dw} \). Even for \( \Delta T = −20°C \), it would take just 1 L min\(^{-1}\) of drill water with a temperature of 85°C to keep an ice layer from growing beyond 0.002 m thickness in a borehole of 0.6 m diameter. However, this would mean deploying an additional hose into the borehole, which could risk entanglement with instruments being deployed down-hole.

**Water properties and lake depth**

Our first opportunity to examine the transition from borehole water to subglacial water came during the deployment of the MSLED camera (Fig. 2). It went through the air/water interface 78 m below the ice surface. Borehole water first appeared turbid at ~520 m depth, and turbidity increased markedly near the bottom of the borehole. High turbidity made it difficult to determine the depth to the ice base, which was picked to be at ~800 m below surface based on decrease in image brightness, presumed to be due to camera lights no longer reflecting from borehole walls. After an estimated 1.6 m of additional cable pay-out, the camera rested on the lake bottom, imaging the top of relatively soft sediments (Fig. 3). It is difficult to quantify the uncertainty on this visual water depth estimate. Below we use CTD data as an additional constraint.

Figure 4 shows the vertical profile of salinity (psu), including a detailed view of the lowermost 10 m of the borehole. For reference, standard sea-water salinity is 35 on the psu scale, whereas the maximum salinity in Figure 4 is almost 100 times lower. Hence, all water encountered by the CTD, including the subglacial cavity water, is quite fresh, with subglacial water being similar in salinity to a lower Colorado River reservoir. Pure meltwater generated during drilling has nil salinity, with psu values staying at the limit of detectability (<0.002 psu) above 530 m water depth. This observation is consistent with the very low ion concentration found in the Siple Dome ice core (MacGregor and others, 2007).

The average salinity of borehole water below 530 m is 0.28 psu, about three orders of magnitude higher than the salinity of drill meltwater. Assuming that this average salinity resulted from mixing of drill meltwater (0.002 psu) with subglacial water (0.37–0.41 psu) (Fig. 4), then the latter constitutes 25–27% of borehole water below 530 m. If the average borehole diameter was the design diameter of 0.6 m, then we estimate that about 20 m\(^3\) of subglacial water entered the borehole before our first CTD cast. This value exceeds our prior estimate of 8 m\(^3\) entering the borehole during the drill breakthrough. The initial influx may have been at the 20 m\(^3\) level because of the presence of the return pump borehole next to the main hole, or subglacial water continued to enter the borehole during reaming.

The total water column depth measured by the CTD when it was resting at the bottom of SLW was 724.6 ± 0.7 m. This means that the lake bottom was 802.6 ± 0.8 m below ice surface. As the CTD was being lowered into the borehole, water salinity had very low scatter down to 798.4 ± 0.8 m below the surface. A distinct kink in the salinity curve is seen at ~800 m. Analysis of the corresponding CTD water temperature data, not shown here, indicates an approximately isothermal water layer in the lowermost 2.2 m of the record. Based on these salinity and temperature data, we interpret that the subglacial water layer was 2.2 m thick beneath the SLW borehole. This is slightly higher than the 1.6 m water thickness estimated with the borehole camera.

The minimum water temperature of −0.55°C was recorded in the borehole by the CTD unit at a pressure of 7019 ± 7 kPa (Sea-Bird Electronics, 2013) and salinity of 0.31 psu. With salinity at <1% of sea-water salinity, at most 0.02°C of freezing-point depression can be due to solutes. Most of the observed depression, about −0.53°C, is attributable to the pressure dependence of the freezing point, with a proportionality coefficient of 75.5 × 10\(^{-6}\)°C Pa\(^{-1}\). This coefficient is within 2% of the value of 74.2 × 10\(^{-6}\)°C Pa\(^{-1}\) given by Cuffey and Patterson (2010) for pure water. This slight discrepancy may result from the presence of dissolved gas in subglacial water (Fig. 6; Tulaczyk and others, 2001).
Subglacial cavity and its sedimentary environment

Radar and seismic geophysical surveys provided evidence for the presence of a subglacial water layer with horizontal extent corresponding closely to the footprint of SLW inferred previously from ice surface elevation changes (Fricker and others, 2007; Fricker and Scambos, 2009; Christianson and others, 2012; Horgan and others, 2012). Borehole observations yield direct confirmation that this water layer interpreted from remote-sensing and surface geophysical data does exist in the study area. However, they do not confirm the inference drawn from active-source seismic profiling that the subglacial water column is 8 ± 2 m thick at the drill site (Horgan and others, 2012, fig. 7). This discrepancy is difficult to explain by changes in lake thickness in the 2 years between seismic surveys and drilling (Fig. 1b).

This opportunity to double-check Antarctic subglacial conditions inferred from surface active-source seismic investigations represents a cautionary note that emphasizes the resolution limitations and potential non-uniqueness of geophysical methods. Conceivably, SLW depth distribution is sufficiently variable on horizontal scales smaller than the resolution of the seismic survey, ~180 m (Horgan and others, 2012, p. 203), for the borehole to encounter a local high in the lake bed that is not resolvable in the seismic data. Alternatively, limits on vertical resolution may have made it impossible to distinguish between the thin water layer and relatively soft subglacial sediments with similar seismic velocities.

We conjecture that the bowl-shaped sub-ice reflector imaged by Horgan and others (2012) may not represent the bottom of a water body but a boundary between the relatively porous and weak sediments cored by us and some denser and/or more rigid geologic substratum. Given its geometry and spatial coincidence with the surface expression of SLW, it is plausible that this reflector delineates an erosional boundary which used to be the bottom of the lake. Over time this shallow basin may have become largely filled with sediments.

The sediment cores recovered from SLW are composed of a macroscopically structureless diamicton and are similar to the subglacial tills recovered previously from beneath Siple Coast ice streams (Scherer and others, 1998; Tulaczyk and others, 1998, 2000). Sediment shear strength, measured in June 2013 on a split percussion core with a handheld penetrometer, was also similar to previously measured values (Tulaczyk and others, 2001), with 2 kPa near the core top and rising to ~6 kPa at 0.2 m below surface. At this point we have no observational basis to decide if these sediments are true glacial tills, i.e. have been brought to the location where they were sampled by ice, or represent till material that has been redeposited by subaqueous flows in the lake basin. Such redeposition has been proposed to be one of the leading mechanisms for sub-ice sedimentation in SLW (Bentley and others, 2013).

No clear evidence of sedimentation from settling is present in the collected SLW cores, although we observed abundant suspended particles in subglacial water. One interpretation is that this water turbidity resulted from hot-water drilling through debris-laden basal ice. Christoffersen and others (2010) estimated that basal ice of the neighboring Kamb Ice Stream contains debris equivalent to a ~2 m-thick sediment layer. However, the lack of positive evidence for coarse sediment settling at the bottom of the lake (Fig. 3) favors the alternative explanation that lake water was turbid even before drilling. Similarly, the lack of a coarse lag on the sampled lake floor indicates that influx of subglacial water into the borehole at the time of its completion either did not mobilize bottom sediments or did it only over an area small enough for the coring to miss the disturbed zone (Fig. 2).

High turbidity is common in glacially fed streams and lakes (e.g. Milner and Petts, 1994), so it would not be surprising if SLW water is turbid in its natural state. Detailed particle-size analyses of till samples from upstream of SLW demonstrated that 20–25% of these sediment samples were smaller than 0.5 μm (Tulaczyk and others, 1998). Such fine particles may stay in suspension practically indefinitely, due to Brownian collisions with water molecules (Ramaswamy, 2001). Subglacial water entering SLW may bring very fine particles that do not settle out and leave no sedimentary signature at the lake bottom.

CONCLUSIONS

The WISSARD subglacial access borehole drilled in late January 2013 confirmed the presence of a subglacial water basin that had been previously inferred from satellite altimetry (Fricker and others, 2007) and surface geophysics (Christianson and others, 2012; Horgan and others, 2012). Pressure equilibration between the borehole and SLW took place within seconds, rather than minutes as it was observed by Engelhardt and Kamb (1997) in dozens of boreholes drilled to the bottom of Siple Coast ice streams. This difference indicates that subglacial hydrological conditions encountered by our borehole at SLW are not widespread in the region. SLW water is ~100 times less saline than sea water but almost an order of magnitude more saline than Subglacial Lake Vostok (Priscu and others, 1999; Siegert, 2000). Because borehole water was pumped down below the flotation level, 8–20 m³ of subglacial water rushed into the hole during breakthrough, helping to minimize the impact of drilling on SLW. Subglacial water was turbid, which may be its natural state. Cored SLW sediments do not contain macroscopic evidence of sediment rain-out from suspension but are diamictons with fine-grained matrix. Our main operational recommendation for similar future projects is to manage freezing at the top of a water-filled borehole by supplying heat during science operations.
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