BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC. VOL. 7 (1972), 279-296.

The multiplicator of a regular product of groups

William Haebich

It is shown that if G is an arbitrary regular product of its subgroups A_{λ} , $\lambda \in I$, then the multiplicator, M(G), of G is the direct product of the $M(A_{\lambda})$ together with a certain other group. This extends a calculation of $M(A_1 \times A_2)$ due to Schur. As an application, we find the multiplicator of a verbal wreath product $A wr_V B$ where A is abelian. A representing group for a finite regular product is also constructed.

1. Regular products

In this section we define regular products and list some of their properties needed in Section 2. Properties (1.7) and (1.8) are of particular importance. Everything here can be found in Golovin [2].

DEFINITION 1.1. G is a regular product of its subgroups A_{λ} , $\lambda \in I$, where I is an ordered set, if they generate G and $A_{\lambda} \cap \hat{A}_{\lambda} = E$ where $\hat{A}_{\lambda} = sgp \left(A_{\mu}^{G} \mid \mu \in I, \mu \neq \lambda \right)$. The A_{λ} are called regular factors of G.

As usual A_{μ}^{G} denotes the normal closure of A_{μ} in G and E is the trivial group. From now on in this note we consider G to be a fixed group generated by its subgroups A_{λ} , $\lambda \in I$. The statement "G is a regular product of its subgroups A_{λ} " will be abbreviated to "G is a Received 17 May 1972. Communicated by P.J. Cossey.

279

regular product".

If X_{λ} is an arbitrary subgroup of G ($\lambda \in I$) then the notation $\begin{bmatrix} X_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$ will be used for the subgroup, $\operatorname{sgp}\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_{\lambda}, x_{\mu} \end{bmatrix} \mid x_{\lambda} \in X_{\lambda}; x_{\mu} \in X_{\mu}; \lambda, \mu \in I; \lambda \neq \mu\right)$, called the *cartesian of the* X_{λ} .

The product $\prod_{\lambda \in I} X_{\lambda}$ will mean the set, in *G*, of all elements of the form $x_{\lambda_1} x_{\lambda_2} \cdots x_{\lambda_n}$ where $\lambda_j \in I$ and $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n$. If each X_{λ} is normal in *G* then $\prod_{\lambda \in I} X_{\lambda}$ becomes the normal subgroup of *G* generated by the X_{λ} and any two orderings of *I* will yield the same product.

(1.2). $\begin{bmatrix} A_{\lambda}^G \end{bmatrix}$ is normal in G.

(1.3). If G is generated by its subgroups A_{λ} then $G = \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} A_{\lambda}\right) \left[A_{\lambda}^{G}\right]$. Furthermore G is a regular product if and only if each of its elements can be written uniquely as a product $a_{\lambda_{1}}a_{\lambda_{2}}\cdots a_{\lambda_{n}}u_{n}$ where $a_{\lambda_{i}} \in A_{\lambda_{i}}$, $\lambda_{1} < \lambda_{2} < \cdots < \lambda_{n}$ and $u \in \left[A_{\lambda}^{G}\right]$.

(1.4). If G is a regular product and X_{λ} is a subgroup of A_{λ} for each $\lambda \in I$, then the subgroup of G generated by the X_{λ} is a regular product of the X_{λ} .

•

(1.5). If G is a regular product then
$$G' = \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} A'_{\lambda}\right) \left[A^G_{\lambda}\right]$$

DEFINITION 1.6. If G is a regular product, a homomorphism ϕ of G to a group \overline{G} is a regular homomorphism if ker ϕ is a subgroup of $\begin{bmatrix} A_{\lambda}^{G} \end{bmatrix}$: hence the terms regular homomorphic image and regular quotient group.

(1.7). If G is a regular product and $\phi : G \rightarrow \overline{G}$ is a regular

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700045020 Published online by Cambridge University Press

homomorphism then $~\phi~~restricted$ to $~A_\lambda~~is$ an isomorphism for each $~\lambda~\in~I$ and $~G \varphi~~is~a~regular$ product of the $~A_\lambda \varphi$.

(1.8). If $\psi : \prod_{\lambda \in I}^{*} A_{\lambda} \neq G$ (where $\prod_{\lambda \in I}^{*} A_{\lambda}$ denotes the free product of the A_{λ}) is the natural homomorphism induced by the identity map on each A_{λ} , then G is a regular product if and only if ψ is regular.

This last result shows that the free product of a set of groups can be interpreted as the *largest* regular product of those groups. In the same way, their direct product is the *smallest* such product. For, if G is a regular product of the A_{λ} , then the quotient $G/\left[A_{\lambda}^{G}\right]$ is a direct product of copies of the A_{λ} .

2. Calculation of the multiplicator

We define the multiplicator of G to be $R \cap F'/[R, F]$ where F/R is a presentation for G. The most direct way of approaching M(G), when Gis a regular product, is to try to express $R \cap F'/[R, F]$ in terms of the groups $R \cap F'_{\lambda}/[(R \cap F_{\lambda}), F_{\lambda}]$ where F_{λ} is the group of elements in F which map onto A_{λ} . $(F_{\lambda}/R \cap F_{\lambda})$ is a presentation for A_{λ} . This is the sort of method previously applied to $M(A_1 \times A_2)$ (see [8]). The difficulty here lies in not knowing how the F_{λ} generate F. It can be avoided by taking a presentation, F_{λ}/R_{λ} , for each A_{λ} and constructing a presentation for G from the free product $\prod_{\lambda \in I}^{*} F_{\lambda}$.

In fact we begin with greater generality and let B_{λ} be a fixed group which maps epimorphically onto A_{λ} under v_{λ} . This will allow us to construct a representing group for G when it is finite.

Suppose that C_{λ} is the kernel of v_{λ} and that v is the natural epimorphism from the free product $B = \prod_{\lambda \in I}^{*} B_{\lambda}$ onto $A = \prod_{\lambda \in I}^{*} A_{\lambda}$ induced

by the ν_{λ} . Further, if ψ is the natural homomorphism from A onto G induced by the identity on each A_{λ} , let H be the kernel of ψ and H_0 the group in B which maps onto H under ν . We have that

$$\begin{split} H &= H \cap \begin{bmatrix} A_{\lambda}^{A} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{since} \quad H \leq \begin{bmatrix} A_{\lambda}^{A} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{by (1.8)} \\ &= H_{0} \vee \cap \begin{bmatrix} B_{\lambda}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \vee \quad \text{since} \quad \begin{bmatrix} B_{\lambda}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \vee = \begin{bmatrix} B_{\lambda} \vee^{B} \vee \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{by definition of the cartesian} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} H_{0} \cap \begin{bmatrix} B_{\lambda}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \vee \quad \text{since} \quad \ker \vee \leq H_{0} \qquad \text{by definition} \\ &= K \vee \qquad \text{where} \qquad K = H_{0} \cap \begin{bmatrix} B_{\lambda}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{is normal in } B \ . \\ \text{LEMMA 2.1. } B/C \quad \text{is isomorphic to} \quad G \quad \text{where} \quad C = \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B} \right) \cdot K \ . \end{split}$$

Proof. (i) We first show that $\ker v = \prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B}$. (This is almost certainly well known but I cannot find it in the literature.) The kernel of v contains $\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B}$ since, by construction of v, $C_{\lambda}v$ is trivial for each $\lambda \in I$. On the other hand,

$$B_{\mu} \cap \prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B} = B_{\mu} \cap D_{\mu} C_{\mu}^{B} \quad \text{where} \quad D_{\mu} = \prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B}$$
$$= (B_{\mu} \cap D_{\mu} [C_{\mu}, B]) C_{\mu} \quad \text{since} \quad C_{\mu} \leq B_{\mu}$$
$$= C_{\mu}$$

by Definition 1.1 and the fact that $B_{\mu} \cap D_{\mu}[C_{\mu}, B] \leq B_{\mu} \cap \hat{B}_{\mu}$. Thus, if ρ is the canonical homomorphism from B onto $B / \prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B}$, then $B_{\lambda}\rho$ is isomorphic to B_{λ}/C_{λ} , and hence to A_{λ} .

Now $B\rho$ is generated by the $B_{\lambda}\rho$, $\lambda \in I$. Let η be the natural epimorphism from A onto B_{ρ} induced by the isomorphism from each A_{λ} to $B_{\lambda}\rho$. The following diagram commutes



The kernel of ν is therefore contained in ker $\rho = \prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B}$ and hence $\ker v = \prod_{\lambda \in T} C_{\lambda}^{B} .$

(ii) The product, $v\psi$, of mappings is an epimorphism from B onto G. Now,

$$b \in \ker \psi \iff b \psi = 1 \quad \text{in } G$$
$$\iff b \psi \in H \quad \text{since } H = \ker \psi$$
$$\iff b \in \ker \psi.K \quad \text{since } K \psi = H .$$

But

kerv.
$$K = \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B} \right) K$$
 from (i)
= C .

Thus $C = \ker v \psi$ from (i) and (ii).

We aim to find the structure of $C \cap B' / [C, B]$ which is isomorphic to M(G) when B is free by Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.2.
$$\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B} = \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda} \right) D \text{ in } B \text{ where } D = \prod_{\substack{\lambda, \mu \in I \\ \lambda \neq \mu}} [C_{\lambda}, B_{\mu}]^{B}.$$

Proof. We prove that c_{λ}^{b} is in C_{λ}^{D} for all $c_{\lambda} \in C_{\lambda}$ and $b \in B$ by induction on the length of the normal form for b . This is trivial when b is of length one, for $c_{\lambda}^{\mu} = c_{\lambda}[c, b_{\mu}]$, $\lambda \neq \mu$, and $c_{\lambda}^{b} \in C_{\lambda}$ since C_{λ} is normal in B. Suppose that $c_{\lambda}^{b} \in C_{\lambda}^{D}$ for all elements bof length m and that bb_{11} is a reduced word in B. Then

$$\begin{split} c_{\lambda}^{bb}{}^{\mu} &= \left(c_{\lambda}^{\prime}d\right)^{b\mu} \text{ where } c_{\lambda}^{\prime} \in C_{\lambda}, \ d \in D \text{ by the inductive hypothesis} \\ &= c_{\lambda}^{\prime} \left[c_{\lambda}^{\prime}, \ b_{\mu}\right]^{b\mu} \in C_{\lambda}D \text{ since } \left[c_{\lambda}^{\prime}, \ b_{\mu}\right] \in D \text{ for } \lambda \neq \mu \text{ ,} \\ \text{and if } \lambda &= \mu \text{ , then } \left[c_{\lambda}^{\prime}, \ b_{\lambda}\right] \in C_{\lambda} \text{ .} \end{split}$$

Thus C_{λ}^{B} is a subgroup of $C_{\lambda}D$. It follows that $\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B}$ is a subgroup of $\prod_{\lambda \in I} (C_{\lambda}D)$. By definition, every element of $\prod_{\lambda \in I} (C_{\lambda}D)$ can be written in the form $c_{\lambda_{1}}d_{\lambda_{1}}c_{\lambda_{2}}d_{\lambda_{2}}\cdots c_{\lambda_{n}}d_{\lambda_{n}}$ where $c_{\lambda_{i}} \in C_{\lambda_{i}}$, $d_{\lambda_{i}} \in D$ and $\lambda_{1} < \lambda_{2} < \cdots < \lambda_{n}$. But $c_{\lambda_{1}}d_{\lambda_{1}}c_{\lambda_{2}}d_{\lambda_{2}}\cdots c_{\lambda_{n}}d_{\lambda_{n}}$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_{\lambda_{2}} c_{\lambda_{3}} \cdots c_{\lambda_{n}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\lambda_{3}} \cdots c_{\lambda_{n}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} c_{\lambda_{1}} c_{\lambda_{2}} \cdots c_{\lambda_{n}} \end{pmatrix} d_{\lambda_{1}} \qquad d_{\lambda_{2}} \qquad \cdots d_{\lambda_{n}}$$

which belongs to $\left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}\right) D$. Hence $\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B}$ is a subgroup of $\left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}\right) . D$. The reverse inclusion is trivial since $\left[C_{\lambda}, B_{\mu}\right]^{B}$ is a subgroup of C_{λ}^{B} .

(2.3). (i)
$$C \cap B' = \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} (C_{\lambda} \cap B'_{\lambda}) \right) D.K$$
,
(ii) $[C, B] = \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} [C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}] \right) D.[K, B]$

Proof. (i) C consists of all elements of the form $c = c_{\lambda_1} c_{\lambda_2} \cdots c_{\lambda_m} dk$ where $c_{\lambda_i} \in C_{\lambda_i}$, $d \in D$, $k \in K$, by the preceding two lemmas. The fact that DK is in B' means that c belongs to B' if and only if $\overline{c} = c_{\lambda_1} c_{\lambda_2} \cdots c_{\lambda_m}$ belongs to B'. Now, by (1.5), every element of B' is of the form $b'_{\lambda_1} b'_{\lambda_2} \cdots b'_{\lambda_m} u$ where $b'_{\lambda} \in B'_{\lambda}$, $u \in \begin{bmatrix} B^B_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$. But both C_{λ_i} and B'_{λ_i} are subgroups of B_{λ_i} . Therefore \overline{c} is in B' if and only if c_{λ_i} is in B'_{λ_i} by (1.2).

(*ii*)

(*)
$$[C, B] = \left[\left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B} \right) K, B \right]$$
$$= \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} \left[C_{\lambda}^{B}, B \right] \right] [K, B] \text{ by [2], Lemma 4.3}$$
$$= \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} \left[C_{\lambda}, B \right]^{B} \right] [K, B] .$$

Now $[C_{\lambda}, B]$ is generated by the elements $[c_{\lambda}, b]$ where $c_{\lambda} \in C_{\lambda}$, $b \in B$. We can prove that $[c_{\lambda}, b] \in [C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}]D$ by induction on the length of b precisely as in Lemma 2.2. The result is trivial for $b = b_{\mu}$. Suppose that $[c_{\lambda}, b] \in [C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}].D$ for b of length m, then $[c_{\lambda}, b] = x_{\lambda}d$ where $x_{\lambda} \in [C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}]$ and $d \in D$. If bb_{μ} is reduced then

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, bb_{\mu} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b_{\mu} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b \end{bmatrix}^{b_{\mu}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b_{\mu} \end{bmatrix} x_{\lambda}^{b_{\mu}} d^{b_{\mu}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b_{\mu} \end{bmatrix} x_{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} x_{\lambda}, b_{\mu} \end{bmatrix} d^{b_{\mu}}, \end{split}$$

which is an element of $[C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}] \cdot [C_{\lambda}, B_{\mu}] \cdot D$. Thus $[C_{\lambda}, B]$ is a subgroup of $[C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}]D$. Consequently,

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, B \end{bmatrix}^{B} \leq \begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}^{B} \cdot D^{B}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}, B \end{bmatrix} D$$

$$\leq \begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, B \end{bmatrix} D \text{ since } C_{\lambda} \leq B_{\lambda}$$

$$\leq \begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} D \cdot S^{A}$$

Hence, from (*), [C, B] is a subgroup of $\left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} ([C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}]D)\right).[K, B]$.

Again, copying the argument of Lemma 2.2, every element of

 $\begin{array}{l} \prod\limits_{\lambda \in I} \left(\begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} D \right) \quad \text{is a product of the form} \quad x_{\lambda_{1}} d_{\lambda_{1}} x_{\lambda_{2}} d_{\lambda_{2}} \ \cdots \ x_{\lambda_{n}} d_{\lambda_{n}} \quad \text{where} \\ x_{\lambda_{i}} \in \begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda_{i}}, B_{\lambda_{i}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad d_{\lambda_{i}} \in D \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{1} < \lambda_{2} < \cdots < \lambda_{n} \quad \text{This product can be} \\ \text{rearranged to show that it lies in} \quad \left(\prod\limits_{\lambda \in I} \begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \right) D \quad \text{That is,} \quad \left[C, B \right] \quad \text{is} \\ \text{a subgroup of} \quad \left(\prod\limits_{\lambda \in I} \begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} \right) D \cdot \begin{bmatrix} K, B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{The reverse inclusion is trivial.} \end{array}$

To shorten the proof of the main theorem, a technical lemma is established.

LEMMA 2.4.
$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, \begin{bmatrix} B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix}$$
 is a subgroup of D for all $\lambda \in I$

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}^{B}, \begin{bmatrix} B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$ is a subgroup of Dsince $\begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, \begin{bmatrix} B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$ is a subgroup of $\begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}^{B}, \begin{bmatrix} B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$. The cartesian $\begin{bmatrix} B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix}$ is generated by the elements $\begin{bmatrix} b_{\mu}, b_{\rho} \end{bmatrix}$ where $b_{\mu} \in B_{\mu}^{B}$; $b_{\rho} \in B_{\rho}^{B}$; $\mu, \rho \in I$; $\mu \neq \rho$. Thus, because C_{λ}^{B} is normal in B, $\begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}^{B}, \begin{bmatrix} B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$ is generated by the elements $\begin{bmatrix} b_{\mu}, b_{\rho}, c_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$, $b_{\mu} \in B_{\mu}^{B}$; $b_{\rho} \in B_{\rho}^{B}$; $c_{\lambda} \in C_{\lambda}^{B}$; $\mu \neq \rho$. Moreover,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}^{B}, B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}^{D}, B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{from Lemma 2.2}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{C}_{\lambda}, B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D, B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\leq D \qquad \text{provided } \lambda \neq \mu$$

The lemma is therefore proved if $[b_{\mu}, b_{\rho}, c_{\lambda}]$, $\mu \neq \rho$, can be shown to lie in $[C_{\lambda}^{B}, B_{\sigma}^{B}]$ for some $\sigma \neq \lambda$.

The following identity comes from Hall [3], (10.2.1.3), page 150,

$$(**) \qquad \begin{bmatrix} b_{\mu}, b_{\rho}, c_{\lambda} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b_{\mu}^{-1}, b_{\rho}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-b_{\mu}b_{\rho}^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} b_{\rho}^{-1}, c_{\lambda}^{-1}, b_{\mu}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-c_{\lambda}^{-1}b_{\mu}^{-1}}$$

Consider the first commutator. There are two cases. If $\lambda = \mu$ then $\begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b_{\mu}^{-1}, b_{\rho}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ lies in $\begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}^{B}, B_{\rho}^{B} \end{bmatrix}$ since C_{λ} is normal in B_{λ} ($\lambda \neq \rho$ since $\mu \neq \rho$ by hypothesis). If $\lambda \neq \mu$ then

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b_{\mu}^{-1}, b_{\rho}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b_{\mu}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b_{\mu}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^{b_{\rho}^{-1}} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b_{\mu}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} b_{\rho}^{-1}, b_{\mu}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} b_{\rho}^{-1}, b_{\mu}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

which is in $\begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}^{B}, B_{\mu}^{B} \end{bmatrix}$. That is $\begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}, b_{\mu}^{-1}, b_{\rho}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-b_{\mu}b_{\rho}^{-1}}$ is in the normal subgroup $\begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}^{B}, B_{\rho}^{B} \end{bmatrix}$. The second commutator $\begin{bmatrix} b_{\rho}^{-1}, c_{\lambda}^{-1}, b_{\mu} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{\lambda}^{-1}, b_{\rho}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}, b_{\mu} \end{bmatrix}$ can be treated in the same fashion.

The result follows from (**).

THEOREM 2.5. $C \cap B'/[C, B]$ is isomorphic to the direct product $\left(\prod_{\lambda \in I}^{\times} C_{\lambda} \cap B'/[C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}]\right) \times H/[H, A]$.

Proof. The argument is split into three parts.

(i) Let ϕ be the canonical homomorphism from B onto B/D. Then ϕ is a regular homomorphism since ker $\phi = D$ and D is clearly a subgroup of $\begin{bmatrix} B_{\lambda}^B \end{bmatrix}$. It follows from (1.7) that ϕ restricted to B_{λ} is an isomorphism for each $\lambda \in I$ and that $B\phi$ is a regular product of the $B_{\lambda}\phi$.

Consider $N = \operatorname{sgp}(C_{\lambda}\phi \mid \lambda \in I).K\phi$ in $B\phi$. Now $[C_{\lambda}, C_{\mu}]$, $\lambda \neq \mu$, is a subgroup of $D = \ker\phi$, thus

(a)
$$[C_{\lambda}\phi, C_{\mu}\phi] = E \text{ in } B\phi$$

Also

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, K \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} C_{\lambda}, \begin{bmatrix} B_{\lambda}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \text{ since } K \leq \begin{bmatrix} B_{\lambda}^{B} \end{bmatrix} \text{ by construction}$$
$$\leq D \qquad \qquad \text{by Lemma 2.4.}$$

Thus

(b)
$$\left[C_{\lambda}\phi, K\phi\right] = E$$
.

In addition,

(c)
$$C_{\lambda}\phi \cap \left(\prod_{\substack{\mu \in I \\ \mu \neq \lambda}} C_{\mu}\phi\right) \cdot K\phi \leq B_{\lambda}\phi \cap (B_{\lambda}\phi) = E$$

and

(d)
$$K\phi \cap \prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}\phi \leq \left[B_{\mu}\phi^{B\phi}\right] \cap \prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}\phi$$

= E by (1.3).

Conditions (a) to (d) are sufficient to make N a direct product of its subgroups $K\varphi$ and $C_{\lambda}\varphi$.

(ii)

$$C \cap B' / [C, B] \simeq \frac{C \cap B' / D}{[C, B] / D}$$
 since $D \leq [C, B]$ from (2.3) (ii)
= $(C \cap B') \phi / [C, B] \phi$.

From (i) and (2.3), we have that $(C \cap B')\phi$ is the direct product of its subgroups $K\phi$ and $(C_{\lambda} \cap B'_{\lambda})\phi$, $\lambda \in I$, (since $D\phi = E$) and that $[C, B]\phi$ is the direct product of its subgroups $[K, B]\phi$ and $[C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}]\phi$, $\lambda \in I$. This, together with the facts that $[K, B]\phi \leq K\phi$ and $[C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}]\phi \leq (C_{\lambda} \cap B'_{\lambda})\phi$, implies that

$$\begin{split} (C \cap B')\phi/[C, B]\phi &\simeq \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I}^{\times} (C_{\lambda} \cap B'_{\lambda})\phi/[C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}]\phi\right) \times K\phi/[K, B]\phi\\ &\simeq \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I}^{\times} (C_{\lambda} \cap B'_{\lambda})/[C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}]\right) \times K\phi/[K, B]\phi \,, \end{split}$$

since ϕ is an isomorphism on B_{λ} .

(iii) In conclusion, we prove that $K\phi/[K, B]\phi$ is isomorphic to

288

H/[H, A]. Now,

(1)
$$K\phi/[K, B]\phi \simeq \frac{KD/D}{[K, B]D/D}$$
 since $D = \ker\phi$
 $\simeq KD/[K, B]D$
 $= K[K, B]D/[K, B]D$ since $K \leq B$
 $\simeq K/K \cap [K, B].D$.

Similarly

(2)
$$K\nu/[K, B]\nu \approx K/K \cap \ker\nu.[K, B]$$
.

But $K \cap \ker[K, B] = K \cap \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B} \right) [K, B]$ since $\ker v = \prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda}^{B}$ from Lemma 2.1 (i). Thus

$$K \cap \text{kerv.}[K, B] = K \cap \left(\prod_{\lambda \in I} C_{\lambda} \right) D.[K, B]$$
$$= K \cap D[K, B] \quad \text{since } K, D[K, B] \leq \begin{bmatrix} B_{\lambda}^{B} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$K\phi/[K, B]\phi \simeq K\nu/[K, B]\nu$$
 from (1) and (2)
= $H/[H, A]$ since $K\nu = H$ by construction

The main result is a corollary to Theorem 2.5.

THEOREM 2.6. M(G) is isomorphic to the direct product $\left(\prod_{\lambda \in I}^{\times} M(A_{\lambda})\right) \times H/[H, A]$ when G is a regular product of the A_{λ} and $A/H \simeq G$ where $A = \prod_{\lambda \in I}^{*} A_{\lambda}$.

Proof. If B_{λ} is a free group then B_{λ}/C_{λ} is a presentation for A_{λ} and $C_{\lambda} \cap B' / [C_{\lambda}, B_{\lambda}]$ equals $M(A_{\lambda})$. The free product, B, will be a free group and, since B/C is isomorphic to G, M(G) equals $C \cap B' / [C, B]$. The result follows immediately from Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.6 reduces the problem of finding M(G) to that of finding H/[H, A]. When $G = A_1 \times A_2$, $A = A_1 \star A_2$ and $H/[H, A] = [A_1, A_2] / [[A_1, A_2], A]$. Wiegold ([9], Lemma 3.9) shows that

the latter group is isomorphic to $A_1 \otimes A_2$. So we have the well known result, first proved by Schur, that $M(A_1 \times A_2)$ is isomorphic to $M(A_1) \times M(A_2) \times (A_1 \otimes A_2)$.

3. Construction of a representing group

There is a useful characterisation of M(G), when G is finite, due to Schur [7]. Of all the pairs of groups (L, M) such that

- (i) L is finite,
- (ii) $M \leq L' \cap Z(L)$,
- (iii) $L/M \simeq G$,

there exists an L of maximal order called a representing group for G. The M corresponding to such an L is isomorphic to M(G). We will call the pair (L, M) a representing pair when L is a representing group.

If G is finite then it must be generated by a finite set of subgroups A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n . Let $(L_i, M(H_i))$ be a representing pair for A_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then these can be used to form a representing pair for G when G is a regular product.

We use the same constructions as before. There is an epimorphism from L_i to A_i with kernel $M(A_i)$ by hypothesis. Let $L = \prod_{i=1}^{n} L_i$ and σ be the natural epimorphism from L onto A induced by these epimorphisms. Put $J = H_1 \cap \left[L_i^L\right]$, where H_1 is the group in L which maps onto H under σ , and let $N = \prod_{\substack{i,j=1 \ i \neq j}}^{n} [M(A_i), L_j]^L$. Then $J\sigma = H$ is normal in $i \neq j$

L.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose G is finite and a regular product of its subgroups A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n . Let $\begin{pmatrix} L_i, M(A_i) \end{pmatrix}$ be a fixed representing pair for A_i . Then $(L\tau, M\tau)$ is a representing pair for G where

 $\tau: L \neq L/N[J, L]$ and $M = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} M(A_i)\right) J$ in L. The groups L, N and J are as defined above.

Proof. (i) Apply Lemma 2.1 by putting $I = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $B_i = L_i$ and $C_i = M(A_i)$. Then L = B, C = M, N = D and J = K. We have

$$G \simeq B/C \qquad \text{from Lemma 2.1}$$

$$\simeq \frac{B/D[K,B]}{C/D[K,B]} \quad \text{since} \quad D[K,B] \leq C \quad \text{from (2.3) (i)}.$$

Thus $G = \frac{L/N[J,L]}{M/N[J,L]} = L\tau/M\tau$ by definition of τ .

Now J is a subgroup of L' immediately from its definition, and $M(A_i)$ is a subgroup of L'_i by hypothesis, so that M is a subgroup of L'. That is $(M\tau)'$ is a subgroup of $(L\tau)'$.

The kernel of τ contains [J, L] as a subgroup which means that $J\tau$ is central in $L\tau$. Also $[M(A_i), L_j]$, $i \neq j$, is a subgroup of N which is in ker τ . Thus $M(A_i)\tau$ commutes with $L_j\tau$ for $i \neq j$. If i = j then $M(A_i)$ commutes with L_i by hypothesis. Hence $M\tau$, as a product of $J\tau$ and the $M(A_i)\tau$, is central in $L\tau$.

The pair $(L\tau, M\tau)$ will be a representing pair if $M\tau$ is isomorphic to M(G) .

(ii) Theorem 2.5 gives that

$$M \cap L'/[M, L] \simeq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \times \left(M(A_i) \cap L'_i \right) / [M(A_i), L_i] \right) \times H/[H, A]$$

But $M(A_i) \leq L'_i \cap Z(L_i)$ so that $M(A_i) \cap L'_i = M(A_i)$ and $[M(A_i), L_i] = E$. Hence

$$M \cap L'/[M, L] \simeq \left(\frac{n}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}} M(A_i) \right) \times H/[H, A]$$
$$\simeq M(G) \qquad \text{by Theorem 2.6.}$$

Looking at the quotient $M \cap L'/[M, L]$, we have from (2.3) (ii), that

$$[M, L] = \left(\prod_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{n} [M(A_i), L_j] \right) [J, L]$$

= $N[J, L]$ by definition
= kert.

Thus $M\tau = M \cap L'/[M, L] \simeq M(G)$.

Theorem 3.1 has already been established by Wiegold in [10] for the case where $G = A_1 \times A_2$.

4. An application of Theorem 2.6

Blackburn has calculated the multiplicator of a wreath product $A ext{ wr } B$ for arbitrary groups A and B in [1]. I can obtain a more general result for a verbal wreath product, $A ext{ wr }_V B$, using techniques similar to those of Section 2. However, when A is abelian, $A ext{ wr }_V B$ is isomorphic to a regular product of A and B and $M(A ext{ wr }_V B)$ can be found directly using Theorem 2.6.

Let A_b be an isomorphic copy of A for each $b \in B$ and denote by a_b the element of A_b mapped to $a \in A$. The V-verbal product $D = \prod_{b \in B} V A_b$ corresponding to a set of words V is defined to be the quotient C/C_V where $C = \prod_{b \in B} A_b$ and $C_V = V(C) \cap \left[A_b^C\right]$ (see Moran [5]). The mapping $a_b \mapsto a_{bb}$, for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and fixed $b' \in B$, induces automorphisms of both C and D.

DEFINITION 4.1. The free wreath product of A by B, $A wr_*B$, is the splitting extension of C by B under the above action of B on C. Similarly the V-verbal wreath product $A wr_V B$, is the splitting extension of D by B under the action of B on D.

Actually $A \operatorname{wr}_{V} B = A \operatorname{wr}_{*} B$ when V is the empty word.

The verbal wreath product is generated by A_1 and B since

292

 $a_b = b^{-1}a_1b$ for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$. It is therefore a quotient of $A \star B$ by some group H. Now both $\begin{bmatrix} A_b^C \\ B_b^C \end{bmatrix}$ and V(C) are invariant under the action of B on C by definition of the cartesian and since verbal subgroups are characteristic. That is $C_V = V(C) \cap \begin{bmatrix} A_b^C \end{bmatrix}$ is normal in $A \operatorname{wr}_{\star} B$. It follows from Definition 4.1 that $A \operatorname{wr}_V B$ is isomorphic to $A \operatorname{wr}_{\star} B/C_V$. But it is well known that the natural epimorphism from $A \star B$ onto $A \operatorname{wr}_{\star} B$ induced by the identity on B and the isomorphism $A \overset{\sim}{\to} A_1$, is also an isomorphism (Hall and Hartley [4]). Hence $H = C_V \phi^{-1}$ and,

(4.2). A wr_V B is isomorphic to $A*B/C_V \phi^{-1}$.

By (1.8) $A ext{ wr}_V B$ will be a regular product of A and B if $C_V \phi^{-1}$ is contained in the cartesian [A, B], or equivalently, if C_V is contained in $[A, B]\phi$. Firstly

$$[A, B]\phi^{-1} = \operatorname{sgp}\left([a, b]\phi^{-1} \mid a \in A, b \in B\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{sgp}\left(a_{1}^{-1}a_{b} \mid a \in A, b \in B\right)$$
$$= \operatorname{sgp}\left(a_{b}^{-1}a_{b}, \mid a \in A, b, b' \in B\right)$$

Also, by (1.2), $\begin{bmatrix} A_b^C \\ B \end{bmatrix}$ is the normal closure in C of the commutators $\begin{bmatrix} a_b, a_{b'}' \end{bmatrix}$, $a, a' \in A$; $b, b' \in B$. But

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} a_{b}, a_{b}', \end{bmatrix} &= a_{b}^{-1}a_{b}'^{-1}a_{b}a_{b}', \\ &= \left(a_{b}^{-1}a_{b}, \right)a_{b}^{-1}a_{b}'^{-1}a_{b}a_{b}'\left(a_{b}'^{-1}a_{b}', \right) \\ &= \left(a_{b}^{-1}a_{b}, \right)\left[a_{b}, a_{b}', a_{b}', \right]a_{b}'^{-1}a_{b}^{-1}a_{b}a_{b}'\left(a_{b}'^{-1}a_{b}', \right) \\ &= \left(a_{b}^{-1}a_{b}, \right)\left[a, a'\right]_{b}, \left((aa')_{b}, \right)^{-1}(aa')_{b}\left(a_{b}'^{-1}a_{b}', \right) \\ &= \left(a_{b}^{-1}a_{b}, \right)\left((aa')_{b}, \right)^{-1}(aa')_{b}\left(a_{b}'^{-1}a_{b}', \right) \end{split}$$

ſ

for all $a, a' \in A$ if and only if A is abelian. Thus $\begin{bmatrix} A^C_b \\ B \end{bmatrix}$ is contained in $[A, B]\phi$ and $A \operatorname{wr}_V B$ is indeed a regular product of A and B.

THEOREM 4.3. If A is abelian, the multiplicator of $A wr_V B$ is isomorphic to $M(A) \times M(B) \times C_V / [C_V, C] [C_V, B]$ where $C_V = V(C) \cap [A_b^C]$, $C = \prod_{b \in B}^* A_b$ and the quotient is evaluated in $A wr_* B$.

Proof. From Theorem 2.6 the multiplicator of
$$A*B/C_V \phi^{-1}$$
 is
 $M(A) \times M(B) \times C_V \phi^{-1} / [C_V \phi^{-1}, A*B]$. Also
 $C_V \phi^{-1} / [C_V \phi^{-1}, A*B] \simeq C_V / [C_V, A wr_* B]$
 $= C_V / [C_V, C.B]$ since $A wr_* B$
is the splitting extension of C by B
 $= C_V / [C_V, C] [C_V, B]$.

The ordinary wreath product $A \le B$ is obtained from $A \le V(C) = C'$ and D becomes the direct product $\prod_{b \in B}^{\times} A_b$.

COROLLARY 4.4. If A is abelian, M(A wr B) is isomorphic to $M(A) \times M(B) \times \left(\prod_{b < b'}^{\times} A_b \otimes A_{b'} \right) / N$ where "<" is any fixed ordering of the elements of B and N is the subgroup generated by the elements

$$(a_b \otimes a'_{b'})^{-1} (a_{bb''} \otimes a'_{b'b''})$$
 when $bb'' < b'b''$
 $(a_b \otimes a'_{b'})^{-1} (a'_{b'b''} \otimes a_{bb''})^{-1}$ when $b'b'' < bb''$

for all $a, a' \in A$; $b, b', b'' \in B$.

Proof. When V(C) = C', denote C_V by C_{\times} and let α be the canonical homomorphism from $A \le B$ onto $A \le B/[C_{\times}, C]$; $([C_{\times}, C]$ is

Now $C_{\times} = C' \cap \begin{bmatrix} A_b^C \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_b^C \end{bmatrix}$, so that C^{α} is the second nilpotent product, $\prod^{(2)}A$, of the A_b (see [6]). We have the following elementary facts:

(i) $\begin{bmatrix} A_b^C \\ B \end{bmatrix} \alpha$ is central in $C\alpha$, by construction of α ; (ii) $\begin{bmatrix} A_b^C \\ B \end{bmatrix} \alpha = \prod_{b,b' \in B} \begin{bmatrix} A_b, A_{b'} \end{bmatrix} \alpha$ by definition of the cartesian and (i);

(iii)
$$[A_{b}, A_{b'}] \alpha = [A_{b'}, A_{b}] \alpha$$
;
(iv) $[A_{b_{1}}, A_{b'_{1}}] \alpha \cap sgp ([A_{b_{2}}, A_{b'_{2}}] \alpha | b_{2}, b'_{2} \in B;$
 $(b_{2}, b'_{2}) \neq (b_{1}, b'_{1}), (b'_{1}, b_{1}))$

is trivial by Definition 1.1 and the associativity of nilpotent products [2];

(v) $[A_b, A_b] \simeq A_b \otimes A_b$, by the remark following Theorem 2.6.

Therefore $\begin{bmatrix} A_b^C \end{bmatrix} \alpha$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{b < b'}^{\times} A_b \otimes A_b$, .

Finally,

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{x}, B \end{bmatrix} \alpha = \operatorname{sgp} \left(c^{-1} c^{b''} \mid c \in \begin{bmatrix} A_{b}^{C} \end{bmatrix}, b'' \in B \right) \alpha$$

$$= \operatorname{sgp} \left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{b}, a_{b'}, \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a_{b}, a_{b'}, \end{bmatrix}^{b''} \right) \alpha \mid a \in A; b, b', b'' \in B \right)$$
by definition of $\begin{bmatrix} A_{b}^{C} \end{bmatrix}$ and (i)
$$= \operatorname{sgp} \left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_{b}, a_{b'}, \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} a_{bb''}, a_{b'b''} \end{bmatrix} \right) \alpha \mid a \in A; b, b', b'' \in B \right).$$

The quotient $C_{\chi}\alpha/[C_{\chi}, B]\alpha$ is clearly isomorphic to $\left(\prod_{b<b}^{\chi} A_{b}\otimes A_{b}\right)/N$.

References

- [1] Norman Blackburn, "Some homology groups of wreathe products", *Illinois J. Math.* 16 (1972), 116-129.
- [2] O.N. Golovin, "Nilpotent products of groups", Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 2 (1956), 89-115.
- [3] Marshall Hall, Jr, The theory of groups (The Macmillan Company, New York, 1959).
- [4] P. Hall and B. Hartley, "The stability group of a series of subgroups", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 16 (1966), 1-39.
- [5] S. Moran, "Associative operations on groups. I", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 6 (1956), 381-596.
- [6] S. Moran, "Associative operations on groups. II", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 8 (1958), 548-568.
- [7] J. Schur, "Über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen", J. reine angew. Math. 127 (1904), 20-50.
- [8] J. Schur, "Untersuchungen über die Darstellungen der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen", J. reine angew. Math. 132 (1907), 85-137.
- [9] James Wiegold, "Nilpotent products of groups with amalgamations", Publ. Math. Debrecen 6 (1959), 131-168.
- [10] James Wiegold, "The multiplicator of a direct product", Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 22 (1971), 103-105.

Department of Pure Mathematics, School of General Studies, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT.