SPHERICAL SUBMANIFOLDS WITH SPECIAL QUADRIC REPRESENTATIONS

JITAN LU

In this paper, we study submanifolds in the unit hypersphere satisfying $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$, where \tilde{x} is the quadric representation of the submanifold, and B and C are two constant matrices. We prove that the totally geodesic submanifolds are the only submanifolds in the unit hypersphere whose quadric representations satisfy $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $x: M^n \to E^m$ be an isometric immersion of an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold into the *m*-dimensional Euclidean space, and SM(m) be the space of the real symmetric matrices of order *m*. We define on SM(m) the metric g(P,Q) = (tr(PQ))/2, for arbitrary P,Q in SM(m). Then this space becomes the standard m(m+1)/2-dimensional Euclidean

transpose of x. Let $\tilde{x} = xx^t$. Then we obtain a smooth map $\tilde{x}: M^n \to SM(m)$. Since the coordinates of \tilde{x} depend on the coordinates of x in a quadric manner, we call \tilde{x} the quadric representation of M^n [3]. It is well known that for the hypersphere centred at the origin embedded in the Euclidean space in the standard way, the quadric representation is just the second standard immersion of the sphere. Then a question arises naturally: To what extent does the quadric representation of a submanifold in E^m determine the submanifold? This question has been answered partly by many authors [1, 3, 4, 5, 6]. In [3], I. Dimitric gave some results relative to the condition of \tilde{x} being of finite type. In [5], the author proved that the only hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature which satisfy $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$ are the hyperplane or the hypersphere centred at the origin. In this paper, we shall study submanifolds in the hypersphere of E^m which satisfy the condition $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$. We prove that the totally geodesic submanifolds are the only submanifolds in the unit hypersphere whose quadric representations satisfy $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$.

Received 7th August, 1997

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/98 \$A2.00+0.00.

296

2. Preliminaries

Let us fix the notation first. Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be an isometric immersion of an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold into the unit hypersphere $S^{m-1}(1)$ of the *m*-dimensional Euclidean space E^m . Then the position vector x is a unit normal vector. We denote by H the mean curvature vector of M^n in $S^{m-1}(1)$. Let e_1, \dots, e_n , $e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{m-1}, x$ be local orthonormal vector fields along M^n , such that e_1, \dots, e_n , are tangent to M^n , e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m are normal to M^n , and e_{n+1} is parallel to H. Then $H = \alpha e_{n+1}$, where α is the mean curvature of M^n in $S^{m-1}(1)$. Let \langle , \rangle and $\overline{\nabla}$ be the Euclidean metric and the connection of E^m , and denote by ∇ , h, D, A_r , $|A_r|$ respectively, the connection of M^n in $S^{m-1}(1)$, the Weingarten endomorphism relative to the normal direction e_r , and the length of A_r , $r = n + 1, \dots, m - 1$.

In this setting, the indices i, j, k always range from 1 to n, r, s, t from n+1 to m-1 and β, γ from n+2 to m-1.

We define a map * from $E^m \times E^m$ into SM(m) by $V * W = VW^t + WV^t$, for column vectors V and W in E^m . Then V * W = W * V. Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ denote the Euclidean connection of SM(m), then we have

(2.1)
$$\widetilde{\nabla}_V(W_1 * W_2) = \left(\overline{\nabla}_V W_1\right) * W_2 + W_1 * \left(\overline{\nabla}_V W_2\right),$$

(2.2)
$$g(V_1 * V_2, W_1 * W_2) = \langle V_1, W_1 \rangle \langle V_2, W_2 \rangle + \langle V_1, W_2 \rangle \langle V_2, W_1 \rangle,$$

and

(2.3)
$$\Delta(V * W) = (\Delta V) * W + V * (\Delta W) - 2\sum_{i} (\overline{\nabla}_{e_i} V) * (\overline{\nabla}_{e_i} W),$$

where V, W, W_1, W_2, V_1 and V_2 are all vectors in E^m , and Δ is the Laplacian operator of M^n [3].

In this paper, we always denote by X, Y and Z tangent vectors of M^n , and by V and W column vectors in E^m .

3. MINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS IN THE SPHERE

In this section, we consider the special case of a minimal submanifold.

Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a minimal submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. We denote the mean curvature vector of M^n in E^m by \overline{H} . Then

$$\overline{H} = H - x = -x$$

But using (2.3) and (2.1), by a direct computation, we have

(3.1)
$$\Delta \widetilde{x} = -n\overline{H} * x - \sum_{i} e_{i} * e_{i} = nx * x - \sum_{i} e_{i} * e_{i}$$

Now we compute $\triangle^2 \tilde{x}$.

297

LEMMA 3.1. Let $x : M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a minimal submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. Then

(3.2)
$$\Delta^2 \tilde{x} = 2n(n+1)x * x - 2(n-1)\sum_i e_i * e_i$$

 $-2\sum_{i,r} (A_r e_i) * (A_r e_i) + 2\sum_{r,s} (tr A_r A_s)e_r * e_s.$

PROOF: Let x be an arbitrary point in M^n ; we may assume that $\nabla_{e_i}e_j=0$ at x. Then

$$\begin{split} \triangle e_i &= -\sum_j \overline{\nabla}_{e_j} \left(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j} e_i \right) \\ &= -\sum_j \overline{\nabla}_{e_j} (h(e_i, e_j) - \langle e_i, e_j \rangle x) \\ &= \sum_j A_{h(e_i, e_j)} e_j - \sum_j D_{e_j} h(e_i, e_j) - e_i. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\sum_{j} A_{h(e_i,e_j)} e_j = \sum_{r} A_r^2 e_i,$$

and

$$\sum_{j} D_{e_j} h(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{j} D_{e_i} h(e_j, e_j) = 0,$$

we have

$$\sum_{i} (\Delta e_i) * e_i = \sum_{i,r} (A_r e_i) * (A_r e_i) - \sum_{i} e_i * e_i.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i,j} \left(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j} e_i \right) * \left(\overline{\nabla}_{e_j} e_i \right) = \sum_{i,j} h(e_i, e_j) * h(e_i, e_j) + nx * x.$$

Combining the last two relations with (2.3), we obtain

$$\sum_{i} \Delta(e_{i} * e_{i}) = 2 \sum_{i,r} (A_{r}e_{i}) * (A_{r}e_{i}) - 2 \sum_{i} e_{i} * e_{i}$$
$$-2 \sum_{i,j} h(e_{i}, e_{j}) * h(e_{i}, e_{j}) - 2nx * x.$$

Then from (3.1) and the last relation, we obtain (3.2).

THEOREM 3.2. Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a minimal submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. If its quadric representation satisfies $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$, M^n must be a totally geodesic submanifold of the unit hypersphere.

PROOF: Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a minimal submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. If the quadric representation of M^n satisfies the condition $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$, from (3.1) we have

$$\sum_{i} e_i * e_i - nx * x + B\tilde{x} + C = 0.$$

Differentiating the above relation along X, an arbitrary tangent vector of M^n , we have

(3.3)
$$(BX)x^{t} + (Bx)X^{t} - 2(n+1)X * x + 2\sum_{r} (A_{r}X) * e_{r} = 0$$

Finding the $e_r * x$ and $e_r * Y$ components of (3.2) respectively, we have

$$(3.4) \qquad \langle BX, e_r \rangle = 0$$

$$(3.5) \qquad \langle Bx, e_r \rangle = 0$$

From (3.1) we know that

(3.6)
$$B(\Delta \widetilde{x}) = 2n(Bx)x^t - 2\sum_i (Be_i)(e_i)^t.$$

Now using (3.4) and (3.5), we find the $e_t * e_t$ components of (3.6) and (3.2) respectively. These are

$$\langle B(\Delta \widetilde{x}), e_t * e_t \rangle = 0,$$

and

$$\left\langle \bigtriangleup^2 \widetilde{x}, e_t * e_t \right\rangle = 4 \left| A_t \right|^2.$$

Since $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$, we know that $\Delta^2 \tilde{x} = \tilde{x} = B(\Delta \tilde{x})$. Combining this relation with the above equations, we obtain $|A_t|^2 = 0$, for $t = n + 1, \ldots, m - 1$. This means that M^n is a totally geodesic submanifold of the unit hypersphere.

4. SUBMANIFOLDS IN THE UNIT HYPERSPHERE

In this section, we shall prove the following main result:

THEOREM 4.1. Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. If its quadric representation satisfies $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$, M^n must be a totally geodesic submanifold of the unit hypersphere.

The proof is lengthy; we divide it into a few lemmas.

LEMMA 4.2. Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. If its quadric representation satisfies $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$, there exists a function $\lambda(x)$ such that $\langle BX, Y \rangle = \lambda(x) \langle X, Y \rangle$ for any $X, Y \in T_x(M^n)$, the tangent space at an arbitrary point x of M^n .

PROOF: First, using (2.1) and (2.3), we compute the Laplace of \tilde{x} , that is

(4.1)
$$\Delta \tilde{x} = nx * x - n\alpha e_{n+1} * x - \sum_{i} e_{i} * e_{i}$$

Since $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$, we have

$$n\alpha e_{n+1} * x - nx * x + \sum_{i} e_{i} * e_{i} + B\widetilde{x} + C = 0.$$

Differentiating this relation along any tangent vector X of M^n , we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad 0 = (BX)x^{t} + 2(n+1)X * x + (Bx)X^{t} + 2\sum_{r} (A_{r}X) * e_{r} + nX(\alpha)e_{n+1} * x + n\alpha e_{n+1} * X - n\alpha (A_{n+1}X) * x + n\alpha (D_{X}e_{n+1}) * x$$

Finding the $e_{n+1} * Y$ component of (4.2), we have

(4.3)
$$\langle Bx, e_{n+1} \rangle \langle X, Y \rangle + 2n\alpha \langle X, Y \rangle + 4 \langle A_{n+1}X, Y \rangle = 0.$$

Then

(4.4)
$$\langle A_{n+1}X,Y\rangle = \alpha\langle X,Y\rangle,$$

and

(4.5)
$$\langle Bx, e_{n+1} \rangle + 2(n+2)\alpha = 0.$$

But finding the Y * x component of (4.2), we obtain

(4.6)
$$\langle BX,Y\rangle + \langle Bx,x\rangle\langle X,Y\rangle - 2n\alpha\langle A_{n+1}X,Y\rangle + 4(n+1)\langle X,Y\rangle = 0.$$

Combining (4.4) and (4.6), we have

$$\langle BX, Y \rangle = \lambda(x) \langle X, Y \rangle,$$

where $\lambda(x) = 2n\alpha^2 - \langle Bx, x \rangle - 4(n+1)$.

LEMMA 4.3. Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a full submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. Then there does not exist a vector $V_0 \in E^m$, which is normal to the tangent space $T_x(M^n)$ of M^n , for any $x \in M^n$.

We note that M^n is a full submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$ means that (any piece of) M^n can not be contained in a lower dimensional linear subspace of E^m .

PROOF: Suppose there exists a vector $V_0 \in E^m$, which is normal to the tangent space $T_x(M^n)$ of M^n , for any $x \in M^n$. Then for any $X \in T_x(M^n)$, and any $x \in M^n$, we have $X\langle V_0, x \rangle = 0$. This means $\langle V_0, x \rangle$ is a constant. Let x_0 be arbitrary point in M^n . Then $\langle V_0, x - x_0 \rangle = 0$. This means that M^n is contained in the linear subspace

$$\Lambda = \left\{ W \in E^m; \langle W, X_0 \rangle = 0 \right\}.$$

This is a contradiction to the fact that M^n is full in $S^{m-1}(1)$.

LEMMA 4.4. Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a full submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. Then there exist finite points x_1, \ldots, x_k , such that $T_{x_i}(M^n) \cap T_{x_{i+1}}(M^n) \neq \{0\}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$ and $E^m = \operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_{x_i}(M^n)\right)$, where $\operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_{x_i}(M^n)\right)$ is the

linear space obtained by spanning linearly from all the vectors in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} T_{x_i}(M^n)$.

PROOF: Let x_1 be any point in M^n . It is impossible that $T_x(M^n) = T_{x_1}(M^n)$ always holds for any other point $x \in M^2$. For if it did, M^n must be a n-dimensional Euclidean space. On the other hand, if $T_x(M^2) \cap T_{x_1}(M^2) = \{0\}$ always holds for any other point $x \neq x_1 \in M^2$, we can choose any vector $X_0 \in T_{x_1}(M^2)$. Then X_0 is normal to $T_x(M^2)$, for any other point x. It is obvious that $M^n - \{x_1\}$ is also a full submanifold in $S^{m-1}(1)$. From Lemma 4.3 we obtain a contradic-Thus there exists $x_2 \in M^2$, such that $T_{x_1}(M^2) \cap T_{x_2}(M^2) \neq \{0\}$ and tion. $T_{x_1}(M^n) \neq T_{x_2}(M^n)$. It is obvious that the dimension of span $(T_{x_1}(M^n))$ is less than the dimension of span $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} T_{x_{i}}(M^{n})\right)$. Now the first t points x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t} , which satisfy that $T_{x_i}(M^n) \cap T_{x_{i+1}}(M^n) \neq \{0\}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, t-1$, and the dimension of span $\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{i} T_{x_j}(M^n)\right)$ is less than the dimension of span $\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{i+1} T_{x_j}(M^n)\right)$, have been defined. If the dimension of span $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} T_{x_j}(M^n)\right) = m$, the proof is complete. If not, we can always choose a point $y \in M^n$, such that $T_y(M^n)$ is not contained in $\operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{t} T_{x_j}(M^n)\right)$. Since $T_x(M^n)$ changes continuously when x changes, we can choose a point $x_{t+1} \in M^n$, such that $T_{x_t}(M^n) \cap T_{x_{t+1}}(M^n) \neq \{0\}$, and the dimension of $\operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{t} T_{x_j}(M^n)\right)$ is less than the dimension of $\operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t+1} T_{x_j}(M^n)\right)$. By induction,

0

301

we can choose finite points x_1, \ldots, x_k , such that $\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^i T_{x_j}(M^n)\right) \cap T_{x_{i+1}}(M^n) \neq \{0\}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $E^m = \operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_{x_i}(M^n)\right)$.

LEMMA 4.5. Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a full submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. If there exists a function $\lambda(x)$, such that $\langle BX, Y \rangle = \lambda(x) \langle X, Y \rangle$ for any $X, Y \in T_x(M^n)$ and any $x \in M^n$, the function $\lambda(x)$ must be a constant.

PROOF: From Lemma 4.3, we can choose finite points x_1, \ldots, x_k , such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^i T_{x_j}(M^n) \cap T_{x_{i+1}}(M^n) \neq \{0\}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$ and $E^m = \operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_{x_i}(M^n)\right)$. Since $T_{x_1}(M^n) \cap T_{x_2}(M^n) \neq \{0\}$, we can choose an non-zero vector $X \in T_{x_1}(M^n) \cap T_{x_2}(M^n)$. It is obvious that $\lambda(x_1)\langle X, X \rangle = \lambda(x_2)\langle X, X \rangle$. Then $\lambda(x_1) = \lambda(x_2)$. Similarly, we obtain $\lambda(x_1) = \ldots = \lambda(x_k)$. Let x be an arbitrary point of M^n . Since $E^m = \operatorname{span}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_{x_i}(M^n)\right)$, we know that $T_x(M^n) \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_{x_i}(M^n)\right) \neq \{0\}$. Then we can obtain $\lambda(x) = \lambda(x_1) = \ldots = \lambda(x_k)$. That is to say, $\lambda(x)$ is a constant.

LEMMA 4.6. Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a full submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. If its quadric representation satisfies $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$, M^n must be minimal.

PROOF: From Lemma 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 we know that $\lambda(x) = 2n\alpha^2 - \langle Bx, x \rangle - 4(n+1)$ is a constant.

Moreover, finding the $e_j * e_k$ component of (4.2), we have

(4.7)
$$\langle Bx, e_j \rangle \langle X, e_k \rangle + \langle Bx, e_k \rangle \langle X, e_j \rangle = 0.$$

In (4.7), letting j = k and summing on k, we obtain

$$(4.8) \qquad \langle Bx, X \rangle = 0$$

On the other hand, we find the x * x component of (4.2), that is

$$(4.9) \qquad \langle BX, x \rangle = 0.$$

Combining (4.9) with (4.8), we know that

$$X\langle Bx,x\rangle=0.$$

This means that $\langle Bx, x \rangle$ is a constant. But we know that $\lambda(x) = 2n\alpha^2 - \langle Bx, x \rangle - 4(n+1)$ is also a constant. Thus α is a constant.

Then finding the $x * e_{n+1}$ component of (4.2), we have

$$(4.10) \qquad \langle BX, e_{n+1} \rangle = 0.$$

But from (4.5), we also know that $\langle Bx, e_{n+1} \rangle$ is a constant. Differentiating this relation and using (4.8) and (4.10), we obtain

(4.11)
$$\langle Bx, D_X e_{n+1} \rangle = 0.$$

Now we find the $e_r * Y$ component of (4.2), that is

(4.12)
$$\langle Bx, e_r \rangle \langle X, Y \rangle + \langle h(X, Y), e_r \rangle = 0.$$

Combining (4.11) with (4.12), we obtain

(4.13)
$$\langle h(X,Y), D_Z e_{n+1} \rangle = 0.$$

If there exists a tangent vector field Z_0 , such that $D_{Z_0}e_{n+1} \neq 0$, we can choose e_{n+2} parallel to $D_{Z_0}e_{n+1}$. Then $\langle h(X,Y), e_{n+2} \rangle = 0$ and $A_{n+2} = 0$. This means that M^n is contained in a totally geodesic submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$. This is a contradiction.

Thus for any $x \in M^n$ and $Z \in T_x(M^n)$, $D_Z e_{n+1} = 0$. Then finding the $e_r * x$ component of (4.2), we know that

$$(4.14) \qquad \langle BX, e_r \rangle = 0,$$

where r = n + 1, ..., m - 1.

Combining (4.14), (4.9) with $\langle BX,Y \rangle = \lambda \langle X,Y \rangle$, we know that $BX = \lambda X$, for any $X \in T_x(M^n)$ and any $x \in M^n$. By Lemma 4.4, we can find $X_1, \ldots, X_m \in \bigcup_{x \in M^n} T_x(M^n)$, which are linearly independent. Thus $BX_i = \lambda X_i$, $i = 1, \ldots m$, and then $BV = \lambda V$, for any $V \in E^m$. Applying this relation in (4.5), we obtain $\alpha = 0$. This means that M^n is minimal.

Conclusion. Let $x: M^n \to S^{m-1}(1) \subset E^m$ be a submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$ whose quadric representation satisfies $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$. If it is full in $S^{m-1}(1)$, from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.5, we know that n = m - 1 and M^n is a piece of $S^{m-1}(1)$. If it is not full in $S^{m-1}(1)$, there must exist a positive integer k < m, such that M^n is full in $S^{k-1}(1)$. Then we know that n = k - 1 and M^n is a piece of $S^n(1)$. That is to say M^n is a totally geodesic submanifold of $S^{m-1}(1)$.

References

- M. Barros and B.Y. Chen, 'Spherical submanifolds which are of 2-type via the second standard immersion of the sphere', Nagoya Math. J. 108 (1987), 77-91.
- [2] B.Y. Chen, Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type (World Scientific, 1984).
- [3] I. Dimitric, 'Quadric representation of a submanifold', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), 201-210.

- [4] J. Lu, 'Quadric representation of a submanifold with parallel mean curvature vector', Adv. in Math. (China) 25 (1996), 433-437.
- [5] J. Lu, 'Hypersurface with special quadric representation', Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 56 (1997), 227-234.
- [6] J. Lu, 'Submanifolds whose Quadric representations satisfy $\Delta \tilde{x} = B\tilde{x} + C$ ', Kodai Math. J. 20 (1997), 135-142.

Division of Mathematics National Institute of Education Nanyang Technological University Singapore 259756 e-mail: NF2363833U@ACAD21.NTU.EDU.SG