

On the absolute Nörlund summability factors of a Fourier series and its conjugate series at a point

Kōsi Kanno

The object of this paper is to give generalizations of Okuyama's Theorem [*Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 12 (1975), 9-21, *Tōhoku Math. J.* (2) 28 (1976), 563-581] on the absolute Nörlund summability factors of a Fourier series and its conjugate series.

Our theorems imply many results proved by other authors: especially Theorem 1 includes the results of Bhatt and Kishor [*Indian J. Math.* 9 (1967), 259-267 (1968)], Dikshit [*Pacific J. Math.* 63 (1976), 371-379], and Lal [*Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)* 20 (34) (1976), 169-178], and we can easily deduce Lal's result [*Indian J. Math.* 16 (1974), 1-22] from our Corollary 2.

1. Notations and theorems

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$. Let $\{p_n\}$ be a given sequence of constants, real or complex,

such that $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \neq 0$ for $n \geq 0$ and $p_n = P_n = 0$ for $n < 0$.

The sequence $\{t_n\}$ given by

Received 10 July 1978. Communicated by Drs Shin-ichi and Masako Izumi.

$$(1.1) \quad t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} s_k = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=0}^n P_k a_{n-k}$$

defines the Nörlund means of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ generated by the sequence $\{p_n\}$. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be absolutely summable (N, p_n) , or summable $|N, p_n|$, if the sequence $\{t_n\}$ is of bounded variation, that is, if

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |t_n - t_{n-1}|$$

is convergent.

Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period 2π and integrable over $(-\pi, \pi)$. Without any loss of generality we may assume that the constant term in the Fourier series of $f(t)$ is zero, so that

$$(1.3) \quad f(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t)$$

and

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 0 .$$

The conjugate series to series (1.3) is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n \cos nt - a_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(t) .$$

In what follows, we use the following notations:

$$\varphi_x(t) = \varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(x+t)+f(x-t)\} ;$$

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\alpha-1} \varphi(u) du \quad (\alpha > 0) ;$$

$$\Phi_0(t) = \varphi(t) ;$$

$$h(u) = \int_u^{\pi} (t-u)^{-\alpha} \cos kt dt ;$$

$$P(n, k) = \frac{P}{n} \binom{n-k}{P} - \frac{P}{n-1} \binom{n-k-1}{P};$$

$$G_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, t) = \sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-\alpha} \cos kt;$$

$$H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, u) = \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{-\alpha} G_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, t) dt;$$

$$I(n; j, l, u) = \int_0^u v^\beta \frac{d}{dv} H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, v) dv.$$

Moreover we write

$$\psi_x(t) = \psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\};$$

$$\tilde{G}_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, t) = \sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-\alpha} \sin kt.$$

We employ $\Psi_\alpha(t)$, $\tilde{H}_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, u)$ with meanings similar to the above notations. Throughout the present paper we denote by $\mu(t)$ a positive bounded function, $\lambda(t)$ a positive non-decreasing function, and $\{p_n\}$ a non-negative, non-increasing sequence.

Given a function $\omega(t)$, we write for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\omega(n) = \omega_n, \quad \Delta\omega_n = \omega_n - \omega_{n+1}.$$

Let $[x]$ denote the greatest integer not greater than x ; in particular we write $m = [n/2]$ and $\tau = [\frac{1}{2}((2\pi/u)-1)]$; and A denotes a positive constant which is not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

The purpose of this paper is to establish some generalizations of Okuyama's results [10], [11].

THEOREM 1. *Let the sequence $\{\lambda_n \mu_n / (n+1)^{1-\beta}\}$ ($0 \leq \beta \leq 1$) be non-increasing.*

If the conditions

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k^{1-\beta} p_k} = O\left(\frac{\lambda_n n^\beta}{P_n}\right) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k} \left| \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (\beta - \alpha + 1) \right| < \infty,$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^{\pi} \lambda(2\pi/t) \left| d \left\{ t^{-\beta} \Phi_{\alpha}(t) \right\} \right| < \infty$$

hold for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \mu_n n^{\beta - \alpha} A_n(t)$$

is summable $|N, p_n|$ at $t = x$.

If $p_n \leq A \lambda_n n^{\beta}$, the right-hand side of condition (1.4) is replaced by $O(1)$.

This theorem has wider applications than the results of Bhatt and Kishore [1], Dikshit [2], and Lal [5], [6]. As special cases of Theorem 1 we obtain the results of Matsumoto [7].

THEOREM 2. Let $\{\Delta p_n\}$ be non-negative non-increasing. Assume that $n \lambda_n \mu_n$, $n^{1-\beta} / \lambda_n \mu_n$, and $\lambda_n \mu_n / p_n$ are all non-decreasing, where $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If the conditions (1.4),

$$(1.7) \quad \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\lambda(2\pi/t) \mu(2\pi/t)}{t^{1+\beta}} |\psi_{\alpha}(t)| dt < \infty,$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\lambda(2\pi/t)}{t^{\beta}} |d\psi_{\alpha}(t)| < \infty$$

hold for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \mu_n n^{\beta - \alpha} B_n(t)$$

is summable $|N, p_n|$ at $t = x$.

THEOREM 3. Let $\left\{ \lambda_n \mu_n / n^{1-\beta} \right\}$ be a non-increasing sequence. If the

conditions (1.4),

$$(1.9) \quad \int_0^\pi \frac{\lambda(2\pi/t)}{t^\beta} |d\Psi_\alpha(t)| < \infty, \text{ and } \Psi_\alpha(+0) = 0$$

hold for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n \mu_n n^{\beta-\alpha} B_n(t)$$

is summable $|N, p_n|$ at $t = x$.

In the two theorems above, if $P_n \leq A\lambda_n n^\beta$, then the right-hand side of (1.4) is replaced by $O(1)$.

If the property

$$\frac{d}{dt} \lambda(2\pi/t) = A \frac{\lambda(2\pi/t)\mu(2\pi/t)}{t}$$

holds for a suitable constant A , it is easy to see that (1.9) implies (1.7).

2. Proof and corollaries of Theorem 1

We need some lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. Let $\{a_n\}$ be a given sequence; then for any x , we have

$$(1-x) \sum_{k=r}^s a_k x^k = a_r x^r - a_s x^{s+1} - \sum_{k=r}^{s-1} \Delta a_k x^{k+1},$$

where r and s are integers such that $s \geq r \geq 0$.

LEMMA 2. For $0 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$ and any n ,

$$\left| \sum_{k=a}^b p_k \exp i(n-k)u \right| \leq AP_\tau$$

uniformly in $0 \leq u \leq \pi$.

LEMMA 3. For all $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq a \leq b \leq \infty$,

$$\sum_{n=a}^b P(n, k) = \sum_{n=a}^b \left(\frac{P_{n-k}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-k-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right) \leq 1.$$

The proofs of the above lemmas are quite easy and we omit them.

LEMMA 4. For $0 < t \leq \pi$, and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$,

$$\int_0^t u^{\beta-\alpha} \cos kudu = \frac{t^{\beta-\alpha} \operatorname{sinkt}}{k} + O(1/k^{\beta-\alpha+1})$$

and

$$\int_t^\pi u^{\beta-\alpha} \cos kudu = \frac{\pi \Gamma(\beta-\alpha+1)}{2k^{\beta-\alpha+1}} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (\beta-\alpha+1) - \frac{t^{\beta-\alpha} \operatorname{sinkt}}{k} + O(1/k^{\beta-\alpha+1}),$$

where if $\alpha = \beta$ we may obviously omit the last term in both cases.

Proof. By integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t u^{\beta-\alpha} \cos kudu \\ &= \left[\frac{\operatorname{sink}u}{k} u^{\beta-\alpha} \right]_0^t + \frac{\alpha+1-\beta}{k} \left(\int_0^{\pi/k} u^{\beta-\alpha} \frac{\operatorname{sink}u}{u} du + \int_{\pi/k}^t u^{\beta-\alpha-1} \sin kudu \right) \\ &= \frac{t^{\beta-\alpha} \operatorname{sinkt}}{k} + \frac{\alpha+1-\beta}{k} \left\{ \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right)^{\beta-\alpha} \int_\xi^{\pi/k} \frac{\operatorname{sink}u}{u} du + \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right)^{\beta-\alpha-1} \int_{\pi/k}^\eta \sin kudu \right\} \\ &= \frac{t^{\beta-\alpha} \operatorname{sinkt}}{k} + O(1/k^{\beta-\alpha+1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \xi \leq \pi/k \leq \eta \leq t$. The second formula is obvious by

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi u^{\beta-\alpha} \cos kudu = \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha+1)}{k^{\beta-\alpha+1}} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (\beta-\alpha+1).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. We suppose $0 < \alpha < 1$, because we can treat $\alpha = 0$ or 1 more easily (see [3], [10]). Since

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \cos ktdt \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\pi \cos kt \int_0^t (t-u)^{-\alpha} d\Phi_\alpha(u) dt \\ &= \int_0^\pi \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{-\alpha} \cos ktdtd\Phi_\alpha(u), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=j}^l \left(\frac{P_{n-k}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-k-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-\alpha} A_k(x) \quad (1 \leq j \leq l \leq n) \\
 &= \int_0^\pi d\Phi_\alpha(u) \frac{2}{\pi\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{-\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-\alpha} \cos kt \right\} dt \\
 &= \int_0^\pi d\Phi_\alpha(u) \frac{2}{\pi\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{-\alpha} G_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, t) dt \\
 &= \int_0^\pi H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, u) d\Phi_\alpha(u) \\
 &= [H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, u) \Phi_\alpha(u)]_0^\pi - \int_0^\pi u^\beta \frac{d}{du} H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, u) \{u^{-\beta} \Phi_\alpha(u)\} du \\
 &= - \left[u^{-\beta} \Phi_\alpha(u) \int_0^u v^\beta \frac{d}{dv} H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, v) dv \right]_0^\pi \\
 &\quad + \int_0^\pi \int_0^u v^\beta \frac{d}{dv} H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, v) dv d\{u^{-\beta} \Phi_\alpha(u)\} \\
 &= -\pi^{-\beta} \Phi_\alpha(\pi) I(n; j, l, \pi) + \int_0^\pi I(n; j, l, u) d\{u^{-\beta} \Phi_\alpha(u)\} .
 \end{aligned}$$

If, in particular, we suppose that $\varphi(t) = t^{\beta-\alpha}$, in which case

$$\Phi_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\alpha-1} u^{\beta-\alpha} du = \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+1)} t^\beta$$

and

$$A_k(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\alpha+1)}{k^{\beta-\alpha+1}} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (\beta-\alpha+1) ,$$

we get $d\{t^{-\beta} \Phi_\alpha(t)\} = 0$ and

$$I(n; j, l, \pi) = A \sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (\beta-\alpha+1) .$$

If t_n denotes the n th (N, p_n) mean of $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n \mu_n n^{\beta-\alpha} A_n(x)$, then by

(1.1) and the above calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_n - t_{n-1} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{P_{n-k}}{P_n} - \frac{P_{n-k-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-\alpha} A_k(x) \\
 &= A \sum_{k=1}^n P(n, k) \frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (\beta-\alpha+1) + \int_0^\pi I(n; \ell, n, u) d\left\{ u^{-\beta} \Phi_\alpha(u) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (1.5) and Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \sum_{k=1}^n P(n, k) \frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (\beta-\alpha+1) \right| \\
 \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k} \left| \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (\beta-\alpha+1) \right| \sum_{n=k}^\infty P(n, k) < A,
 \end{aligned}$$

to prove our theorem it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty |t_n - t_{n-1}| < A + \int_0^\pi \sum_{n=1}^\infty |I(n; \ell, n, u)| \left| d\left\{ u^{-\beta} \Phi_\alpha(u) \right\} \right| = o(1).$$

Thus it suffices for our purpose to prove that, uniformly in $0 < u \leq \pi$,

$$(2.1) \quad J = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |I(n; \ell, n, u)| = o(\lambda(2\pi/u)).$$

We divide J into the following three parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad J &= \sum_{n=1}^{2\tau+1} |I(n; \ell, n, u)| + \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^\infty |I(n; \ell, \tau, u)| \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^\infty |I(n, \tau+1, n, u)| \\
 &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

say.

Using the first and second mean value theorems, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad h(u) &= \left(\int_u^{u+\pi/k} + \int_{u+\pi/k}^\pi \cdot \right) (t-u)^{-\alpha} \cos kt dt \\
 &= \cos k\xi \int_u^{u+\pi/k} (t-u)^{-\alpha} dt + (k/\pi)^\alpha \int_{u+\pi/k}^\eta \cos kt dt \\
 &\hspace{20em} (u \leq \xi \leq u+\pi/k \leq \eta \leq \pi) \\
 &= \frac{k^{\alpha-1}}{(1-\alpha)\pi^{\alpha-1}} \cos k\xi + \frac{k^{\alpha-1}}{\pi^\alpha} [\sin kt]_{u+\pi/k}^\eta .
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, u) &= \frac{2}{\pi^{1+\alpha}\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-1} \left\{ \frac{\pi \cos k\xi}{1-\alpha} + \sin k\eta + \sin ku \right\} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for some ζ ($0 \leq \zeta \leq u$),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(n; j, l, u) &= \int_0^u v^\beta \frac{d}{dv} H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, v) dv \\
 &= u^\beta \int_\zeta^u \frac{d}{dv} H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, v) dv \\
 &= u^\beta [H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, v)]_\zeta^u .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$(2.5) \quad |I(n; j, l, u)| \leq Au^\beta \sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-1} .$$

Using (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad J_1 &\leq Au^\beta \sum_{n=1}^{2\tau+1} \sum_{k=1}^n P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-1} \\
 &= Au^\beta \sum_{k=1}^{2\tau+1} \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-1} \sum_{n=k}^{2\tau+1} P(n, k) \\
 &\leq Au^\beta \lambda_{2\tau+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2\tau+1} k^{\beta-1} = O(\lambda(2\pi/u)) ,
 \end{aligned}$$

by our assumptions and Lemma 3. For the same reasons,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad J_2 &\leq Au^\beta \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\tau P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-1} \\
 &= Au^\beta \sum_{k=1}^\tau \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-1} \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^\infty P(n, k) \\
 &\leq Au^\beta \lambda_\tau \tau^\beta = O(\lambda(2\pi/u)) .
 \end{aligned}$$

For the calculation of J_3 , we see

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(n; j, l, u) &= I(n; j, l, \pi) - \int_u^\pi v^\beta \frac{d}{dv} H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, v) dv \\
 &= I(n; j, l, \pi) - \left[v^\beta H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, v) \right]_u^\pi \\
 &\quad + \beta \int_u^\pi v^{\beta-1} dv \frac{2}{\pi\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_v^\pi (t-v)^{-\alpha} G_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, t) dt \\
 &= I(n; j, l, \pi) + u^\beta H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, u) \\
 &\quad + \frac{2\beta}{\pi\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^\pi G_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, t) dt \int_u^t v^{\beta-1} (t-v)^{-\alpha} dv \\
 &= I(n; j, l, \pi) + u^\beta H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, u) \\
 &\quad + \frac{2\beta}{\pi\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{u/\pi}^1 w^{\beta-1} (1-w)^{-\alpha} dw \int_\theta^\pi t^{\beta-\alpha} G_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, t) dt \\
 &\quad \text{(by the second mean value theorem, where } u \leq \theta \leq \pi) \\
 &= A \sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (\beta-\alpha+1) + u^\beta H_{\beta-\alpha}(n; j, l, u) \\
 &\quad + O\left(\sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\beta-\alpha-1} \theta^{\beta-\alpha} \sin k\theta \right) \text{ (by Lemma 4).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (1.5) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad J_3 &\leq A \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^\infty u^\beta \{ |G_{\beta-1}(n; \tau+1, n, \xi)| + |\tilde{G}_{\beta-1}(n; \tau+1, n, \eta)| \\
 &\quad + |\tilde{G}_{\beta-1}(n; \tau+1, n, u)| \} + A \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^\infty \theta^{\beta-\alpha} |\tilde{G}_{\beta-\alpha-1}(n; \tau+1, n, \theta)| + A .
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we put

$$G_Y^*(n; j, l, \omega) = \sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^\gamma e^{ik\omega},$$

where $\gamma = \beta - \epsilon - 1$ ($\epsilon = 0$ or α) and $u \leq \omega \leq \pi$. Then, by (2.8), in order to prove that $J_3 = O(\lambda(2\pi/u))$, it is enough to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad \omega^{\gamma+1} \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N |G_Y^*(n, \tau+1, n, \omega)| \\ \leq \omega^{\gamma+1} \left\{ \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N |G_Y^*(n, \tau+1, m, \omega)| + \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N |G_Y^*(n; m+1, n, \omega)| \right\} \\ = K_1 + K_2 = O(\lambda(2\pi/u)), \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now using Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G^*(n; j, l, \omega)| \leq A\omega^{-1} \left[P(n, j) \lambda_j \mu_j j^{\gamma+P(n, l)} \lambda_l \mu_l l^\gamma \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=j}^{l-1} \left[\frac{P_{n-k-1}}{P_{n-1}} - \frac{P_{n-k}}{P_n} \right] \lambda_{k+1} \mu_{k+1} (k+1)^\gamma + \sum_{k=j}^{l-1} P(n, k) \Delta \left[\frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k^{-\gamma}} \right] \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 \\ \leq A\omega^\gamma \left[\sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N P(n, \tau+1) \lambda_{\tau+1} \mu_{\tau+1} (\tau+1)^\gamma + \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N \frac{P_n^p P_{n-m}^{-p} P_n^p P_{n-m}^{-p}}{P_n^p P_{n-1}^p} \lambda_m \mu_m m^\gamma \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N \sum_{k=\tau+1}^m \left[\frac{P_{n-k-1}}{P_{n-1}} - \frac{P_{n-k}}{P_n} \right] \lambda_{k+1} \mu_{k+1} (k+1)^\gamma \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N \sum_{k=\tau+1}^m P(n, k) \Delta \left[\frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k^{-\gamma}} \right] \right] \\ \leq A\omega^\gamma \left[\lambda_{\tau+1} \tau^\gamma \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N P(n, \tau+1) + \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N \frac{P_{n-m}}{P_{n-1}} \lambda_m \mu_m m^\gamma \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=\tau+1}^{[N/2]} \lambda_{k+1} \mu_{k+1} (k+1)^\gamma \sum_{n=2k}^N \left[\frac{P_{n-k-1}}{P_{n-1}} - \frac{P_{n-k}}{P_n} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=\tau+1}^{[N/2]} \Delta \left[\frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k^{-\gamma}} \right] \sum_{n=2k}^N P(n, k) \right] \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq A\lambda_{2\tau} + A\omega^Y \left[\sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N \frac{p_m}{P_m} \lambda_m \mu_m m^Y + \sum_{k=\tau+1}^N \lambda_{k+1} \mu_{k+1} (k+1)^Y \frac{p_{k-1}}{P_{2k-1}} + \sum_{k=\tau+1}^N \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k^{-Y}} \right) \right] \\
 &\leq 4\lambda_{2\tau} + A\omega^Y \left[p_\tau \tau^{-\varepsilon} \sum_{n=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{n^{Y+\varepsilon} \lambda_n \mu_n}{P_n} + p_\tau \tau^{-\varepsilon} \sum_{k=\tau}^{\infty} \frac{k^{Y+\varepsilon} \lambda_k \mu_k}{P_k} + \lambda_{\tau+1} (\lambda+1)^Y \right] \\
 &\hspace{15em} \left\{ \text{because } \{p_n\} \text{ and } \left\{ \frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k^{-Y}} \right\} \text{ are non-increasing} \right\} \\
 &\leq A\lambda_{2\tau} + A\omega^Y \left[\tau^{Y+1} \lambda_\tau p_\tau / P_\tau + \tau^Y \lambda_{2\tau} \right] \\
 &= O(\lambda(2\pi/u)) \quad (\text{by (1.4) and } \tau p_\tau \leq P_\tau).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by $P(n, k) = (P_n p_{n-k} - P_n^p p_{n-k}) / P_n^p p_{n-1}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_2 &\leq A\omega^{Y+1} \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N |G_Y^*(n, m+1, n, \omega)| \\
 &= A\omega^{Y+1} \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n \left(\frac{p_{n-k}}{P_{n-1}} - \frac{p_n^p p_{n-k}}{P_n^p p_{n-1}} \right) \lambda_k \mu_k k^Y e^{ik\omega} \right| \\
 &\leq A\omega^{Y+1} \left[\sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n p_{n-k} \lambda_k \mu_k k^Y e^{ik\omega} \right| \right. \\
 &\hspace{15em} \left. + \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^N \frac{p_n}{P_n^p p_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^n p_{n-k} \lambda_k \mu_k k^Y e^{ik\omega} \right| \right] \\
 &= A\omega^{Y+1} [K_{21} + K_{22}],
 \end{aligned}$$

say. Since $\left\{ \lambda_k \mu_k k^Y \right\}$ is non-increasing,

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{21} &\leq \sum_{n=2\nu}^N \frac{\lambda_m \mu_m m^Y}{P_{n-1}} \max_{m+1 \leq l \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^l p_{n-k} e^{ik\omega} \right| \\
 &\leq AP_\nu \nu^{-\varepsilon} \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n \mu_n n^{Y+\varepsilon}}{P_n} \leq AP_\nu \frac{\lambda_\nu \nu^{Y+1}}{P_\nu} = O(\nu^{Y+1} \lambda_\tau),
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2 and Condition (1.4), where $\nu = [1/\omega]$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{22} &\leq \sum_{n=2\nu+2}^N \frac{p_n}{P_n^p p_{n-1}} \frac{p_{n-m}}{P_{n-m}} \lambda_m \mu_m m^Y \max_{m+1 \leq l \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=m+1}^l p_{n-k} e^{ik\omega} \right| \\
 &\leq AP_\nu \sum_{n=2\nu+2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_m \mu_m m^Y}{P_m} \leq AP_\nu \cdot \lambda_\nu \nu^{Y+1} / P_\nu = O(\nu^{Y+1} \lambda_\tau).
 \end{aligned}$$

For the case $P_n \leq An^\beta \lambda_n$, the above estimations of K_1, K_2 also hold because, for example $\omega_{P_n}^{-\epsilon} p_n \leq \omega_{P_n}^{-1-\epsilon} P_n \leq A(\omega_{P_n})^\gamma \lambda_n \leq A\lambda_n$. Collecting these estimations, we obtain (2.9).

Summing (2.2), (2.6), (2.7), and (2.9), we obtain (2.1).

This terminates the proof of Theorem 1.

Now we consider some applications of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. *If $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < 1$, $\gamma \geq 0$, and*

$$\int_0^\pi \left| \log \frac{2\pi}{t} \right|^\gamma \left| d\left\{ t^{-\beta} \Phi_\alpha(t) \right\} \right| < \infty,$$

then the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{\beta-\alpha} (\log(n+1))^{\gamma-\delta} A_n(t)$ is summable

$|N, (\log(n+1))^\lambda / (n+1)^{1-\beta}|$ at $t = x$, where $\lambda \geq 0$, $\delta - \gamma > 1$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\lambda + \delta - \gamma > 1$ for $\alpha = \beta$.

We can restate Theorem 1 in the following form.

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose that $\{w_n\}$ is a positive sequence such that $P_n w_n/n$ is non-increasing, $P_n w_n/n^\beta \lambda_n$ is bounded and*

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{k=n}^\infty \frac{w_k}{k} = O\left(\frac{n^\beta \lambda_n}{P_n}\right),$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{w_k P_k}{k^{1+\beta}} \left| \cos \frac{\pi}{2} (\beta - \alpha + 1) \right| < \infty \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1)$$

hold. Then under the condition (1.6) we conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{P_n w_n}{n^\beta} A_n(t)$ is summable $|N, p_n|$ at $t = x$. If $P_n \leq An^\beta \lambda_n$, the right-hand side of (2.10) is replaced $O(1)$.

The next theorem is a special case of Corollary 2.

COROLLARY 3. *Let $\{w_n\}$ be a positive sequence such that $w_n/n^{1-\alpha}$ is non-increasing and $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{w_k}{k}$ is convergent. If $t^{-\alpha} \Phi_\alpha(t)$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$)*

is a function of bounded variation in $(0, \pi)$, then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n A_n(t)$ is summable $|C, \alpha|$ at $t = x$.

It is worth while to compare the results of Corollaries 2 and 3 with those due to Dikshit [2], Kishore [4], Lal [5], Mehrotra [8], Mohapatra, Das, and Srivastava [9], Prasad and Bhatt [12], and Vershney [13].

3. Proofs and corollaries of Theorems 2 and 3

We need the following lemmas, which are generalizations of Lemmas 3, 4, and 6 of Okuyama [11].

LEMMA 5. $\left\{ \binom{P}{n} \binom{P}{n-k} / k^{1-\alpha} \right\}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is a non-decreasing sequence for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} k^{1-\alpha} \binom{P}{n} \binom{P}{n-k-1} - (k+1)^{1-\alpha} \binom{P}{n} \binom{P}{n-k} &= \{k^{1-\alpha} - (k+1)^{1-\alpha}\} \binom{P}{n} - k^{1-\alpha} \binom{P}{n-k-1} + (k+1)^{1-\alpha} \binom{P}{n-k} \binom{P}{n-k-1} \\ &\geq \{k^{1-\alpha} - (k+1)^{1-\alpha}\} \binom{P}{n} \binom{P}{n-k-1} + (k+1)^{-\alpha} \binom{P}{n-k} \binom{P}{n-k-1} \\ &= \binom{P}{n} \binom{P}{n-k-1} (k+1)^{-\alpha} k \{ (k+1) / k^\alpha - 1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{\binom{P}{n} \binom{P}{n-k-1}}{(k+1)^{1-\alpha}} - \frac{\binom{P}{n} \binom{P}{n-k}}{k^{1-\alpha}} \geq \binom{P}{n} \binom{P}{n-k-1} \{ (k+1)^\alpha - k^\alpha \} / (k+1) \geq 0.$$

LEMMA 6. Let $\{\Delta p_n\}$ be non-negative and non-increasing; then the sequence $\left\{ \binom{P}{n-k} \binom{P}{n} / k^{1-\alpha} \right\}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is non-decreasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

This follows similarly to Lemma 5.

LEMMA 7. Suppose that $t^{1-\alpha} / \lambda(t) \mu(t)$ and $t \lambda(t) \mu(t)$ are non-decreasing for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. If $g(t)$ is a function of bounded variation and

$$\int_0^\pi \frac{\lambda(C/t)\mu(C/t)}{t^{1+\alpha}} |g(t)| dt < \infty$$

for some constant $C > \pi$, then the series

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\lambda_n \mu_n |g(\theta_n)|}{n^{1-\alpha}}$$

converges, where θ_n is a continuity point of $g(t)$ such that $\pi/(n+1) \leq \theta_n < \pi/n$, $n \geq 2$.

The proof runs similarly to that of Lemma 6 of Okuyama [11].

Proof of Theorem 2. We may confine ourselves to the case $0 < \alpha = \beta < 1$. When $\alpha \neq \beta$, we only use $\Lambda(t) = t^{\beta-\alpha}\lambda(t)$ instead of $\lambda(t)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} B_k(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \psi(t) \sin kt dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} [\Psi_1(t) \sin kt]_0^\pi - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \Psi_1(t) k \cos kt dt \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos kt dt \frac{k}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^t (t-u)^{-\alpha} \Psi_\alpha(u) du \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\pi \Psi_\alpha(u) du \int_0^\pi (t-u)^{-\alpha} k \cos kt dt, \end{aligned}$$

we get for the n th (N, p_n) mean \tilde{t}_n of $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n \mu_n B_n(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{t}_n - \tilde{t}_{n-1} &= \sum_{k=1}^n P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k B_k(x) \\ &= -\int_0^\pi \Psi_\alpha(u) du \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_u^\pi (t-u)^{-\alpha} G_1(n; l, n, t) dt \\ &= -\int_0^\pi H_1(n; l, n, u) \Psi_\alpha(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Let θ_n be a continuity point of $\Psi_\alpha(u)$ such that $\pi/(n+1) \leq \theta_n < \pi/n$ for $n \geq 1$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\tilde{t}_n - \tilde{t}_{n-1}| < A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\left| \int_0^{\theta_n} \right| + \left| \int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} \right| \right) H_1(n; l, n, u) \Psi_{\alpha}(u) du$$

$$= L_1 + L_2 ,$$

say.

We define

$$Q_n(u) = \begin{cases} H_1(n; l, n, u) & \text{for } 0 \leq u < \theta_n , \\ 0 & \text{for } \theta_n \leq u \leq \pi . \end{cases}$$

Since $Q_n(u) = 0$ for $n \geq 2\tau+1 \geq \pi/u$, we have by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |Q_n(u)| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{2\tau+1} |Q_n(u)| \\ &\leq A \sum_{n=1}^{2\tau+1} \sum_{k=1}^n P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\alpha} \\ &\leq A \sum_{k=1}^{2\tau+1} k \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\alpha-1} \sum_{n=k}^{2\tau+1} P(n, k) \\ &\leq A \tau \lambda_{2\tau+1} \mu_{2\tau+1} \sum_{k=1}^{2\tau+1} k^{\alpha-1} \\ &= O(\tau^{\alpha+1} \lambda(2\pi/u) \mu(2\pi/u)) , \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3 and the non-decreasing property of $\{k \lambda_k \mu_k\}$. Thus, by (1.7), we have

$$(3.2) \quad L_1 \leq \int_0^{\pi} |\Psi_{\alpha}(u)| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |Q_n(u)| du$$

$$\leq A \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\lambda(2\pi/u) \mu(2\pi/u)}{u^{1+\alpha}} |\Psi_{\alpha}(u)| du < \infty .$$

Observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\theta_n}^u h(v)dv &= \int_{\theta_n}^u dv \int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} (t-v)^{-\alpha} \cos ktdt - \int_{\theta_n}^u dv \int_{\theta_n}^v (t-v)^{-\alpha} \cos ktdt \\ &= \int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} \cos ktdt \int_{\theta_n}^u (t-v)^{-\alpha} dv - \int_{\theta_n}^u \cos ktdt \int_t^u (t-v)^{-\alpha} dv \\ &= -\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} (t-u)^{1-\alpha} \cos ktdt + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} (t-\theta_n)^{1-\alpha} \cos ktdt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \int_{\theta_n}^u (t-u)^{1-\alpha} \cos ktdt, \end{aligned}$$

we get, by integration by parts and simple calculations

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2k}{\pi\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} h(u)\Psi_{\alpha}(u)du &= \frac{2k}{\pi(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left\{ -\int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} d\Psi_{\alpha}(u) \int_u^{\pi} (t-u)^{1-\alpha} \cos ktdt \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Psi_{\alpha}(\theta_n) \int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} (t-\theta_n)^{1-\alpha} \cos ktdt \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \left\{ \int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} d\Psi_{\alpha}(u) \int_u^{\pi} (t-u)^{-\alpha} \sin ktdt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Psi_{\alpha}(\theta_n) \int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} (t-\theta_n)^{-\alpha} \sin ktdt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad L_2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\theta_n}^{\pi} \tilde{H}_0(n; l, n, u) d\Psi_{\alpha}(u) \right| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Psi_{\alpha}(\theta_n)| |\tilde{H}_0(n; l, n, \theta_n)| \\ &= L_{21} + L_{22}, \end{aligned}$$

say. Considering

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad \tilde{H}_0(n; j, l, u) &= \frac{2}{\pi^{1+\alpha}\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\alpha-1} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{1-\alpha} \sin k\xi \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \cos k\eta - \cos ku \right\} \quad (\text{see (2.3)}), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\tilde{H}_0(n; j, l, u) = O \left(\sum_{k=j}^l P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\alpha-1} \right).$$

Thus, using Lemmas 5, 6, and 7, we get by the same calculations as those of I_{21} of Okuyama [11],

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad L_{22} &\leq A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Psi_{\alpha}(\theta_n)| \sum_{k=1}^n P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\alpha-1} \\ &\leq A \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n \mu_n |\Psi_{\alpha}(\theta_n)|}{n^{1-\alpha}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall estimate L_{21} . We define

$$R_n(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq u < \theta_n, \\ \tilde{H}_0(n; l, n, u) & \text{for } \theta_n \leq u \leq \pi. \end{cases}$$

Since $R_n(u) = 0$ for $n \leq \tau-1 < \pi/u - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{21} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\pi} |R_n(u)| |d\Psi_{\alpha}(u)| \\ &\leq \int_0^{\pi} \sum_{n=\tau}^{\infty} |R_n(u)| |d\Psi_{\alpha}(u)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (1.7), to prove the finiteness of L_{21} , it suffices to show that, uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad M &= \sum_{n=\tau}^{\infty} |R_n(u)| \\ &= \sum_{n=\tau}^{\infty} |\tilde{H}_0(n; l, n, u)| = O(u^{-\alpha} \lambda(2\pi/u)). \end{aligned}$$

We divide M in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 M &\leq \sum_{n=\tau}^{2\tau+1} |\tilde{H}_0(n; l, n, u)| + \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^{\infty} |\tilde{H}_0(n; l, \tau, u)| \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad + \sum_{n=2\tau+2}^{\infty} |\tilde{H}_0(n; \tau+1, n, u)| \\
 &= M_1 + M_2 + M_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

say. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1 &\leq A \sum_{n=\tau}^{2\tau+1} \sum_{k=1}^n P(n, k) \lambda_k \mu_k k^{\alpha-1} \\
 &= A \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} \frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{n=\tau}^{2\tau+1} P(n, k) + A \sum_{k=\tau}^{2\tau+1} \frac{\lambda_k \mu_k}{k^{1-\alpha}} \sum_{n=k}^{2\tau+1} P(n, k) \\
 &\leq A \lambda_{\tau} \sum_{k=1}^{\tau} k^{\alpha-1} + A \lambda_{2\tau+1} \sum_{k=\tau}^{2\tau+1} k^{\alpha-1} = O\left\{\tau^{\alpha} \lambda_{2\tau}\right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.4) instead of (2.4), we may treat M_2 and M_3 by easier methods than those used for J_2 and J_3 in §2. Thus we have (3.6). Combining (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.5), and (3.6), Theorem 2 is completely proved.

Since the calculations to prove Theorem 3 are similar to those for Theorem 1, we omit them.

Using Theorems 2 and 3, we obtain several corollaries which are parallel to those of §2 or Okuyama's paper [11].

We shall show one of them.

COROLLARY 4. *If $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < 1$,*

$$\int_0^{\pi} t^{-1-\beta} \left(\log \frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{\gamma} |\Psi_{\alpha}(t)| dt < \infty \quad (\text{or } \Psi_{\alpha}(+0) = 0)$$

and

$$\int_0^{\pi} t^{-\beta} \left(\log \frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{\gamma+1} |d\Psi_{\alpha}(t)| < \infty,$$

then the series $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\beta-\alpha} (\log n)^{\gamma} B_n(t)$ is summable $|\bar{N}, (\log n)^{\lambda}/n^{1-\beta}|$ at $t = x$, where $0 < \gamma+1 < \lambda$.

References

- [1] Shri Nivas Bhatt and Nand Kishore, "Absolute Nörlund summability of a Fourier series", *Indian J. Math.* 9 (1967), 259-267 (1968).
- [2] G.D. Dikshit, "Absolute Nörlund summability factors for Fourier series", *Pacific J. Math.* 63 (1976), 371-379.
- [3] Kôsi Kanno and Satoshi Watanabe, "On the absolute summability of factored Fourier series", *Bull. Yamagata Univ. Natur. Sci.* 9 (1977), 197-203.
- [4] Nand Kishore, "Absolute Nörlund summability of a factored Fourier series", *Indian J. Math.* 9 (1967), 123-136.
- [5] Shiva Narain Lal, "On the absolute Nörlund summability factors of a Fourier series", *Indian J. Math.* 16 (1974), 1-22.
- [6] Shiva Narain Lal, "On the absolute Nörlund summability of a series associated with a Fourier series", *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)* 20 (34) 1976, 169-178.
- [7] Kishi Matsumoto, "On absolute Cesàro summability of a series related to a Fourier series", *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* 8 (1956), 205-222.
- [8] Narain Das Mehrotra, "On the absolute Nörlund summability of a factored Fourier series", *Proc. Japan. Acad.* 41 (1965), 46-51.
- [9] R.N. Mohapatra, G. Das and V.P. Srivastava, "On absolute summability factors of infinite series and their application to Fourier series", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 63 (1967), 107-118.
- [10] Yasuo Okuyama, "On the absolute Nörlund summability factors of Fourier series", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 12 (1975), 9-21.
- [11] Yasuo Okuyama, "On the absolute Nörlund summability factors of the conjugate series of a Fourier series", *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)* 28 (1976), 563-581.
- [12] B.N. Prasad and S.N. Bhatt, "The summability factors of a Fourier series", *Duke Math. J.* 24 (1957), 103-117.

- [13] O.P. Varshney, "On the absolute harmonic summability of a series related to a Fourier series", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 10 (1959), 784-789.

Mathematical Institute,
Yamagata University,
Yamagata,
Japan.