Targeting translation: eIF4E as an emerging anticancer drug target
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The translation initiation factor eIF4E mediates a rate-limiting process that drives selective translation of many oncoproteins such as cyclin D1, survivin and VEGF, thereby contributing to tumour growth, metastasis and therapy resistance. As an essential regulatory hub in cancer signalling network, many oncogenic signalling pathways appear to converge on eIF4E. Therefore, targeting eIF4E-mediated cap-dependent translation is considered a promising anticancer strategy. This paper reviews the strategies that can be used to target eIF4E, highlighting agents that target eIF4E activity at each distinct level.

Introduction
Translation control, a tightly regulated process, plays a critical role in cell growth, proliferation and differentiation. Among the four consecutive stages of translation (initiation, elongation, termination and ribosome recycling), more attention was paid to initiation (Ref. 1). At this stage, the 43S preinitiation ribosome complex is recruited to the 5′ terminus of mRNA through translation initiation complex eIF4F (Ref. 2). eIF4F is a heterotrimer complex binding the 5′-terminal cap structure 7-MeGpppN (N is any nucleotide). eIF4F consists of eIF4E, the 5′ cap mRNA-binding protein; eIF4A, an ATP-dependent helicase unwinding the secondary structure of mRNA; and eIF4G, a scaffolding molecule serving a docking function in the assembly of eIF4F complex (Ref. 3). eIF4E mediates the association of eIF4F with cap structure and promotes recruitment of ribosome to the 5′ end of mRNA, playing a vital role in regulating global translation rates. The role of eIF4E in translation regulation unrelated to initiation, such as export of some specific mRNAs (e.g. cyclin D) from nucleus to cytoplasm (Refs 4, 5), has also been emphasised.

Cellular mRNAs can be categorised into two groups according to their structure property and inherent ‘translatability’: strong mRNAs (e.g. housekeeping genes), which have relatively short, unstructured 5′ UTRs (less C + G content); and weak mRNAs, which have lengthy, highly structured 5′ UTRs (G + C rich) (Refs 6, 7). The significant difference between them is that weak mRNAs are much more dependent on eIF4E availability and poorly translated under normal conditions when eIF4F complex formation is limited. These mRNAs predominantly encode proteins including proto-oncogenes that regulate hallmark capabilities of cancer cells. When eIF4E is over-expressed or hyperactive, translation of weak mRNAs is selectively and disproportionately enhanced, while strong mRNAs are only minimally affected by alteration in eIF4F complex formation.

Several studies have demonstrated that elevated eIF4E levels preferentially increase mRNA translation involved in all aspects of malignancy, such as proto-oncoproteins (e.g. c-myc, cyclin D1, ODC, survivin), angiogenesis factors (e.g. FGF2 and VEGF) and degradative enzymes (e.g. MMP9) (Ref. 8). The list of mRNAs controlled by eIF4E is ever-increasing. Moreover, increased eIF4E up-regulates the nucleocytoplasmic transport of mRNAs encoding potent growth and survival proteins, such as cyclin D1 (Ref. 9). Therefore, eIF4E level affects transformation, tumourigenesis, metastasis, and drug resistance in both experimental cancer models and human cancer tissues. Indeed, its overexpression is common in multiple cancer types, including malignancies of prostate, breast, head and neck, stomach, colon, lung, skin, oesophagus, bladder, cervix and the hematopoietic system (Refs 10, 11). Also, elevated eIF4E levels may serve as a biomarker predicting disease progression, overall survival, or relapse after definitive therapy (Refs 12, 13). On the contrary, knockdown eIF4E by small interfering RNA (siRNA) can suppress oncogenic transformation (Refs 14, 15, 16).

The activation of eIF4E, which functions as a regulatory hub of many major oncogenic pathways, is a crucial event of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. Consequently, it has attracted considerable attention as a promising target for anticancer drug discovery in
elF4E is one of the direct substrates of mTOR
RNA-binding proteins (Ref. 20). In addition, eIF4E itself has been shown to be phosphorylated in cancer cells, which is a prerequisite for the activity of elF4E in cancer cells, whereas dispensable for normal development (Ref. 23). Thus, an increased level of phosphorylated elF4E was found in a broad spectrum of cancer cell lines (Ref. 24). Phosphorylation of elF4E (Ser209) is mediated by the MAP kinase-interacting protein kinases (Mnk1 and Mnk2), which are in turn activated by ERK and p38 MAPK pathways (Refs 25, 26).

Till now, elF4E-targeted strategies should include: targeting elF4E synthesis; targeting elF4F complex integrity (antagonising elF4E-to-cap and elF4E-elF4 G interaction); sequestration of elF4E and phosphorylations of elF4E. A summary of the strategies are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Therapeutic agents derived from these strategies that have been developed are summarised in Figure 3 and Table 1 and will be reviewed in detail.

Targeting elF4E synthesis: siRNA and antisense oligonucleotide (ASO)

elF4E overexpression leads to the development of lymphomas and other cancers in vitro and in vivo (Ref. 99), directly targeting elF4E mRNA by siRNA (Refs 14, 15, 100, 101) or specific oligonucleotide (ASO) (Refs 27, 28, 102, 103) has been extensively studied. elF4E knockdown by siRNA resulted in cell cycle arrest, suppression of colony formation, inhibition of cell mobility and enhanced chemosensitivity in MDA-MB-231 triple negative (TN) breast cancer cells (Ref. 14). Although elF4E knockdown inhibited growth in various breast cancer cell lines, it did not lead to activation of Akt (Ref. 15). In addition, elF4E knockdown can suppress cell growth in endometrial adenocarcinoma and squamous carcinoma (Refs 100, 101). Tumour-specific RNAi via using survivin promoter-driven RNA interference system was recently demonstrated to reduce elF4E gene expression effectively and specifically, resulting in apoptosis, growth inhibition and enhancement of chemosensitivity to cisplatin in breast cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo (Ref. 16). Moreover, elF4E silencing enhanced radiosensitivity of tumour cells, while has no effect on normal cells (Ref. 104).

Antisense RNA (asRNA), a single-stranded RNA, can be introduced into cells to inhibit the translation of a complementary mRNA by base pairing to it and physically obstructing translation machinery (Ref. 105). Down-regulation of elF4E via asRNA slowed down soft agar growth, increased tumour latency, and accelerated the rates of tumour-doubling times (Ref. 106). asRNA therapy for elF4E can also be used as adjuvant therapy for head and neck cancers, particularly in cases in which elevated elF4E is found in the surgical margins (Ref. 107).

ASOs are unmodified or chemically modified single-stranded DNA molecules. In general, they are relatively short (12–25 nucleotides) and hybridise to complementary target mRNAs by Watson–Crick base pairing (Ref. 108). ASOs have been used to selectively inhibit thousands of genes in mammalian cells and multiple genes in humans. There are over 20 antisense drugs currently in clinical trials, some of which are showing encouraging results (Ref. 109). First generation ASOs contained a phosphorothioate (a sulfur substitution of a non-bridging O) backbone, whereas second generation ASOs, contained the phosphorothioate backbone plus 2′-O-methoxyethyl modification of riboses at the 5′ and 3′ ends. These modifications enhance affinity for targeted RNA, thus increasing stability and potency, improving antitumour potential and decreasing toxicity (Ref. 110). Similarly, elF4E ASOs were designed specifically to recruit endogenous RNase H and decreased elF4E expression at the mRNA level (Refs 111, 112).

Graff and colleagues designed ASOs capable of targeting both murine and human elF4E and evaluated their effects on elF4E reduction in both human
xenografts and normal mouse tissues (Ref. 27). These ASOs decreased eIF4E proteins and had dramatic cytotoxic effects at nanomolar concentrations across a panel of human cancer cell lines, including prostate cancer, breast cancer, head and neck cancer, non-small cell lung cancer and mesothelioma (Refs 27, 102, 103). These ASOs also repressed expression of eIF4E-regulated proteins, inducing apoptosis as well as preventing angiogenesis (Refs 27, 102, 103). Most importantly, intravenous ASO administration selectively and remarkably reduced eIF4E expression in human tumour xenografts, significantly suppressing tumour growth without obvious changes of body and organ weight, or liver transaminase levels (Ref. 27). A phase I dose escalation design was used to determine the dose level of eIF4E ASO LY2275796 that could be safely administered to patients with advanced solid tumours. LY2275796 was well tolerated at the 1000 mg dose level with only mild toxicities (grades 1–2), which meant it has alluring prospect of clinical application (Ref. 28).

**Antagonising eIF4E/cap interaction**

**Ribavirin**

Targeting the interaction of eIF4E and 7-MeG-Capped mRNA becomes attractive because an effective compound based on this target should inhibit eIF4E binding to capped mRNA specifically and block translation initiation subsequently. One typical approach to this is ribavirin (1-β-D-ribofuranosyl-1, 2, 4-triazole-3-carboxamide), which shares similar structure to 7-MeGTP (Refs 29, 113). It was observed that ribavirin bound to eIF4E with micromolar affinity and competed with eIF4E:mRNA binding (Ref. 30). At the same time, it impaired eIF4E-dependent Akt survival pathways and potently inhibited the biological effect of eIF4E (Ref. 31). As a result, it suppressed eIF4E-mediated oncogenic transformation as well as tumour growth both in vitro and in vivo (Ref. 30).

The clinical efficacy of ribavirin in M4/M5 acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) patients was promising (Ref. 32) because it can cooperate with a wide variety of established agents to reduce the colony formation in primary AML specimens (Ref. 33). In a Phase II trial, ribavirin treatment benefits poor prognosis AML patients (Ref. 34). 10 mM ribavirin was not cytotoxic to primary chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) lymphocytes in vitro, and significantly sensitised 76% of the samples tested with fludarabine (Ref. 35). Ribavirin also has effects on solid tumour and inhibits growth of several breast cancer cell lines with the elevated eIF4E level (Ref. 36). More interestingly, ribavirin effectively restored oestrogen receptor alpha (ESR1) gene expression alone and even more in
combination with suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, leading to tamoxifen sensitivity restoration in ESR1 negative breast cancer cell lines (Ref. 37). Pharmacologic inhibition of eIF4E with ribavirin also improved tumour cell radiosensitivity (Ref. 104).

However, parallel studies have shown different outcomes. Yan and co-workers found that ribavirin was unable to function as a cap analogue in chemical cross-linking assays, cap-affinity chromatography and cap-dependent translation assays (Ref. 114). Independently, Westman et al. verified the findings of Yan et al. in a series of experiments (Ref. 115). In contrast, Kentsis et al. have rebutted their findings by suggesting specific binding of ribavirin to eIF4E through mass spectrometry detection (Ref. 116). These contrasting results may likely be because of different experimental methods and conditions.

**Other cap-binding antagonists**

Over the years, a large variety of nucleoside and nucleotide analogues derived from 7-MeGTP have been synthesised and evaluated for their ability of inhibiting eIF4E binding to capped mRNA specifically. Three independent groups (Refs 38, 117, 118) have developed libraries of 7-MeGMP analogues with favourable drug-like properties. Since aryl substitution at N7 has displayed a superior binding affinity (Ref. 38), studies have therefore been focused on the utility of the synthetic nucleotide derivative 7-benzyl guanosine monophosphate (7-BnGMP) to block the binding of eIF4E to mRNA cap (Ref. 39). A recent crystallographic study with co-crystals of 7-BnGMP and eIF4E revealed that cap-binding pocket undergoes a unique structural change in order to accommodate the benzyl group (Ref. 40).

While effective in mammalian cell-free systems and zebrafish embryos, the efficacy of 7-BnGMP in cells is poor because of its low cell-membrane permeability. One approach to improve its in vivo activity is to develop a stable, cell-permeable prodrug (pro-nucleotide) which can be bio-activated within cells (Ref. 119). Phosphoramidates are promising prodrugs for this purpose in consideration of their low toxicity, high solubility and stability. In fact, there have been several successful examples of their applications for antiviral and anticancer therapies (Refs 120, 121).

Carston R. Wagner’s group recently reported the synthesis of a novel class of Histidine Triad Nucleotide Binding Protein (HINT)-dependent pro-nucleotides that interdict epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Ref. 41). 4Ei-1, one of the novel prodrugs, powerfully inhibited cap-dependent translation in zebrafish embryos without causing developmental abnormalities, and prevented eIF4E from triggering...
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**Upstream signaling pathways regulating eIF4E availability and activity**

*Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine © 2015 Cambridge University Press*

---

**FIGURE 2.**
Upstream signalling pathways regulating eIF4E availability and activity.
EMT in zebrafish ectoderm explants without obvious toxicity (Ref. 41). Metabolism studies with whole cell lysates demonstrated that this prodrug was rapidly converted into active metabolite 7-BnGMP (Ref. 41). 4Ei-1 is the first nontoxic small molecule able to repress EMT by targeting the interaction of eIF4E with mRNA cap. More recently, Chen et al. pointed out that 4Ei-1 was a novel prodrug that reduced proliferation, suppressed colony formation, diminished association of eIF4E with the mRNA cap, and sensitised mesothelioma cells to pemetrexed (Ref. 42). Shui and co-workers showed that treatment of breast and lung cancer cells with 4Ei-1 resulted in chemosensitisation to gemcitabine and induced eIF4E proteasomal degradation, providing another mechanism of 4Ei-1 to induce translation inhibition except for down-regulation of eIF4E cap binding (Ref. 43).

Targeting eIF4E and eIF4G interaction
Studies have demonstrated that, eIF4E function is also regulated at the level of interactions with eIF4G and 4E-BPs (Ref. 20). This occurs on the dorsal surface of eIF4E, opposite to the cap-binding site. Binding of eIF4 G to eIF4E improves cap-dependent translation through recruitment of eIF4A and the eIF3-40S
ribosomal subunit (Refs 17, 20). Thus, targeting the eIF4E/eIF4 G protein-protein interaction is a rational mechanism to repress cap-dependent translation.

4EGI-1

The formation of eIF4E/eIF4 G complex is regulated by the 4E-BPs, which competes with eIF4G for binding to eIF4E (Ref. 122). Gerhard et al. developed a high-throughput fluorescence polarisation assay for identifying small-molecule inhibitors of eIF4E/eIF4 G interaction to pharmacologically mimic anti-eIF4F effect of 4E-BPs (Ref. 44). Among the 16 000 compounds screened, the most potent one identified is 4EGI-1, which bound eIF4E, disrupted eIF4E/eIF4 G association, and inhibited cap-dependent translation. Interestingly, while 4EGI-1 displaced eIF4 G from eIF4E, it effectively enhanced 4EBP1 association both in vitro and in cells (Ref. 44). 4EGI-1 exhibits pro-apoptotic activity and represses the growth of multiple cancer cell lines. Its treatment caused cell death in Jurkat cell lines and multiple myeloma cells, decreased proliferation of A549 lung cancer cell lines (Refs 44, 45). In primary AML cells, 4EGI-1 dramatically slowed down clonogenic growth of AML precursors and induced apoptosis in massive blast cells (Ref. 46), which thus represented an attractive option for the development of new therapies in AML. More recently, some researchers attempted to improve the physicochemical properties of 4EGI-1 to meet the urgent demand for clinical application (Refs 123, 124). Subsequently, a series of rigidified mimetic of 4EGI-1 were synthesised and characterised, which were more potent than the parent inhibitor (Ref. 125).

Although 4EGI-1 was discovered as a small molecule inhibitor that disturbed the interaction of eIF4E and eIF4G, Fan et al. revealed that 4EGI-1 sensitised human lung cancer cells by promoting TRAIL-mediated apoptosis (Refs 126, 127). In addition, cancer cells showed only 2-fold higher susceptibility to 4EGI-1 than their non-transformed counterparts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. AGENTS TARGETING EIF4F AT DIFFERENT LEVELS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary target</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIF4E synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIF4E–cap interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Ei-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIF4E–eIF4G interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIF4E binding mTOC1 activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTOC1 and mTOC2 activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3 K and mTOR activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mnk activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIF4A activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eIF2 activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASO, antisense oligonucleotide; 4EBP, eIF4E-binding protein.
This inefficient therapeutic index, together with the significant ‘off target’ mechanism, dampens the original enthusiasm for this agent.

4E1RCat

Another small molecule inhibitor 4E1RCat has been discovered (Ref. 47) after screening a library of 217341 compounds via a time-resolved (TR)-fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) method. 4E1RCat interfered with the interactions between elf4E and elf4F G or 4E-BP1. As a consequence, cap-dependent translation is suppressed. This compound can reverse tumour chemoresistance (doxorubicin) in Eμ–Myc lymphoma mouse model by sensitising cells to the proapoptotic action of DNA damage (Ref. 47).

Other agents targeting elf4E and elf4F G Interaction

Cao et al. recently reported that Ouabain, a kind of cardiac glycoside, directly bound elf4E, destroyed elf4E/elf4F G association, thus inhibiting cap-dependent translation and down-regulating its critical target HIF-1. The association between Ouabain and elf4E gave us a new clue of using cardiac glycosides for cancer therapeutics (Ref. 128). Perillyl alcohol, a kind of secondary product of plant mevalonate metabolism, also attenuated interactions between elf4E and elf4F G in prostate cancer cell lines (Ref. 129).

Sequestering elf4E

4EBP mimetic peptides directly binding elf4E

As mentioned above, 4EBP sequesters elf4E and consequently prevents cap-dependent translation initiation. Reasonably, 4EBP mimetic peptides which directly bind with elf4E can reduce free elf4E level, just as 4EBPs do.

Recently, a strategy developed by Naora and co-workers is to use 4EBP-based peptides to sequester elf4E (Ref. 48). They designed a peptide containing residues 49–68 of 4EBP1, and fused it to an analogue of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH). GnRH agonist-4EBP fusion peptide efficiently repressed the growth of GnRH receptor-expressing tumour cells, but not receptor negative cells, which is suitable for a targeted strategy. Therefore, GnRH–4EBP fusion peptide has the potential to treat ovarian cancer because this kind of cancer is hardly cured by conventional chemotherapies.

In addition, a eukaryotic expression vector pSecX–t4EBP1 was skillfully constructed, which contained phosphorylation defective 4E-BP1 domain and the protein transduction domain. The former domain down-regulated the expression of elf4E by direct binding, and the latter domain can help plasmid penetrate the cellular membrane and enhance the efficiency of vector’s spread. Interestingly enough, this plasmid significantly down-regulated tumour growth and improved the radiosensitivity of mouse breast carcinoma allografts in BALB/C mice model (Ref. 49). Moreover, Terence et al. designed a series of peptides based on the conserved elf4E-binding motifs linked to the penetratin peptide-carrier sequence. These peptides can effectively bind recombinant human elf4E in vitro and induce apoptosis after introduction into MRC5 cells (Ref. 50).

Rapamycin and Rapalogues

In general, normalising deregulated elf4E-mediated translation can be accomplished indirectly by interrupting upstream signals leading to elf4E dissociation from 4EBPs. Naturally, targeting mTOR signalling pathway is a therapeutically attractive option for the purpose of sequestering elf4E because 4EBPs are direct substrates of mTOR kinase (Ref. 130).

So far, rapamycin (sirolimus) and its analogues are the most well characterised mTOR inhibitors. As an immunosuppressive drug, rapamycin can also act as a cytostatic agent, preventing growth of various tumour cell lines including renal cancer, small cell lung cancer, and pancreatic cancer cells (Refs 51, 52, 53). One potential mechanism explaining its antiproliferative effects is the prevention of phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 by mTORC1, which in turn sequesters elf4E and restrains the initiation of cap-dependent translation (Ref. 54).

Although rapamycin has shown promising antitumour effects in several experimental tumour models, its clinical trials as an anticancer drug is unsuccessful at present. Therefore, several rapamycin analogues (rapalogues) with more favourable pharmacological characteristics have been developed, such as CCI-779 (Temsirolimus), RAD001 (Everolimus), AP23573 (Deforolimus,), SAR943 (32-deoxorapamycin) (Ref. 131) and ABT-578 (zotarolimus) (Ref. 132). CCI-779, RAD001 and AP23573, which are currently under clinical trials, have shown obvious antitumour effects against a diverse range of cancer types in preclinical studies. CCI-779 inhibited mTOR function in several breast cancer cell lines (Ref. 58). Antiangiogenic effects may substantially contribute to its antitumour activity in breast cancer (Ref. 59). Also, CCI-779 can potentially be used as an adjuvant therapy in head and neck squamous cell cancer (Ref. 60), which has showed remarkable efficacy in PTEN-deficient tumours (Ref. 61). As such, CCI-779 recently became the first FDA-approved mTOR-targeted agent based on a phase III trial in advanced renal cell carcinoma patients (Ref. 62). In haematologic malignancies, CCI-779 has substantial single-agent activity in relapsed mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) patients (Ref. 63). RAD001, the only orally active rapamycin derivative, possessed antitumour activity in MCL and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (Ref. 66). A phase II clinical trial demonstrated that AP23573 was well tolerated and had antitumour activity in patients with heavily relapsed or refractory hematologic malignancies (Ref. 67).

Rapamycin and rapalogues can also be used in combination with other chemotherapeutics. Simultaneous administration of rapamycin and EKI-785 (EGFR
inhibitor) lead to synergistic antitumour effects in glioblastoma (GBM) multiforme cells (Ref. 55). Additionally, rapamycin increased the ability of cisplatin to induce apoptosis in human promyelocytic leukaemia cell line HL-60 and human ovarian cancer cell line SKOV3 (Ref. 56). Also, it can effectively restore tamoxifen response in breast cancer cells with aberrant Akt activity (Ref. 57). CCI-779 was able to restore cisplatin sensitivity in small cell lung cancer cell lines selected for cisplatin resistance (Ref. 64). Combination use of CCI-779 and gemcitabine achieved a significantly better survival in xenograft models of human pancreatic cancer compared with CCI-779 or gemcitabine alone (Ref. 65).

Second-generation mTOR inhibitors

Extensive research on mTOR has uncovered a complex network of regulatory loops that impact on mTOR-targeting approaches (Ref. 133) and may explain the inherent limitations of rapamycin-based strategies. For example, when mTORC1 is inhibited and unable to activate S6K, S6K-mediated feedback loop can lead to an up-regulation of PI3K signalling, and provide pro-survival and proliferative signals through Akt (Ref. 134). These loops, at least in some degree, counteract the effects of rapamycin in experimental cancer models and in patients (Ref. 133). Moreover, because mTORC2 also plays a vital role in tumourigenesis (Ref. 135), the high selectivity of rapamycin for mTORC1 (Refs 136, 137) really triggers a major concern. Thirdly, rapamycin does not inhibit 4EBP phosphorylation by mTOR in some cells. One explanation of this is that it works through sterically blocking mTOR access to substrates, which is inefficient for a small substrate like 4EBP, while very efficient for large ones like S6K1 (Refs 138, 139, 140). All the drawbacks mentioned above indicate that there exists an urgent need to search for second-generation mTOR inhibitors, which can sequester eIF4E more efficiently.

The second-generation inhibitors, which bind to the catalytic sites of mTOR, inhibit kinase activities of both mTORC1 and mTORC2 (Refs 68, 69, 70, 73, 74, 75, 76). The active-site inhibitors of mTOR, PP242 and PP30, suppressed proliferation of primary cells more potently than rapamycin (Ref. 68). Torin1, another highly potent and selective ATP-competitive mTOR inhibitor, impaired cell growth and proliferation to a far greater extent than rapamycin (Ref. 69). AZD8055 (Refs 70, 71) and WYE-125132 (Ref. 73) are both ATP-competitive mTOR inhibitors and have antitumour activity in vitro and in vivo. Combination use of MEK1/2 inhibitor AZD6244 with AZD8055 enhanced the antitumour efficacy relative to the respective monotherapies in nude mouse xenograft models of human lung adenocarcinoma and colorectal carcinoma (Ref. 72). Ku-0063794 is a cell permeable and specific mTOR inhibitor, which inhibited mTORC1 and mTORC2 with an IC50 ~ 10 nM (Ref. 74). Palomid 529 reduced not only tumour growth, but also tumour angiogenesis and vascular permeability (Ref. 75). WYE-354, a novel pyrazolopyrimidine, displayed robust antitumour activity in PTEN null tumours (Ref. 76). All the above inhibitors can effectively minimise the feedback activation of Akt by mTORC2 to avoid offsetting their effects of sequestering eIF4E. More importantly, they more potently inhibit 4EBP phosphorylation compared with rapamycin and rapalogues.

Another class of small molecules related to mTOR kinase inhibitors is the mTOR and PI3 K dual specificity inhibitors. These molecules, such as SF1126 (Ref. 77), NVP-BEZ235 (Refs 78, 79), PI103 (Ref. 80), XL765 (Ref. 76) and GNE-477 (Ref. 81), simultaneously target ATP binding sites of mTORC3 and PI3 K with similar potency. NVP-BEZ235 and XL765 are undergoing clinical phase I trials. These inhibitors have the unique advantages to avoid PI3 K pathway reactivation caused by mTOR–p70S6 K negative feedback loop, so that they can exert their effects more thoroughly derived from sequestering eIF4E.

Targeting eIF4E phosphorylation

Role of Mnks in tumourigenesis and its regulation

Although some success has been achieved on the inhibition of PI3 K/mTOR axis, the multiple feedback loops make this pathway disappointing, to some degree. The ideal goal should be to down-regulate the function of specific pathway in cancer cells without affecting normal cells and eliciting feedback loops that could impair the therapeutic efficacy. Phosphorylation of eIF4E by Mnks on Ser209 is critical for oncogenic activity of eIF4E (Refs 141, 142). Phosphorylation of eIF4E by Mnks on Ser209 is required for the activity of eIF4E in normal cells but is required in cancer cells (Ref. 23). Takeshi and co-workers confirmed this viewpoint by demonstrating that Mnk1 and Mnk2 double knockout dramatically delayed the tumour development.

Mnk–eIF4 G interaction plays an essential role in the eIF4E phosphorylation regulation. Mnks interacts with the scaffolding protein eIF4G, which also binds eIF4E and brings Mnks and its substrate into physical proximity (Refs 144). From the aspect of negative regulation, Mnks can be dephosphorylated and deactivated by protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), preventing further eIF4E phosphorylation (Ref. 144).

Combination of targeting Mnk–eIF4E and mTOR pathways

Mnk-induced eIF4E phosphorylation is closely related to mTOR pathway inhibition (Refs 145, 146). Two research groups have proposed a new strategy of
enhancing mTOR-targeted cancer therapy through the combined treatment with Mk inhibitors. Michal Marzec and co-workers reported that simultaneous inhibition of mTORC1 and Mk markedly induced apoptosis of cutaneous T cell lymphoma cells (Ref. 147). The combined treatment also arrested the cell cycle progression and suppressed the growth of prostate cancer cells (Ref. 148). Furthermore, co-exposure to MNK1 and mTORC1 inhibitors profoundly decreased 4EBP1 phosphorylation, protein synthesis and proliferation in glioma cells, and reduced tumour growth in an orthotopic GBM mouse model (Ref. 149).

Mnk inhibitors

So far, three well-studied Mnk inhibitors have been reported: CGP052088, CGP57380 and Cercosporamide. CGP052088 is a derivative of staurosporine, a broad-spectrum kinase inhibitor. It inhibited Mnk1 with an IC50 value of 70 nM in biochemical assays and was cytotoxic with a GI50 value of 4.5 μM in a 24 h-MTT proliferation assay (Ref. 82). CGP57380 was also found to be a potent Mnk1 and Mnk2 inhibitor in vitro (Refs 83, 84). Its antiproliferative effects in five breast cancer cell lines were primarily cytostatic, rather than cytotoxic, and were potentially because of the inhibition of cyclin D1 synthesis (Ref. 85). In addition, CGP57380 is therapeutically useful in blast crisis CML (Ref. 86). It enhanced imatinib activity against CML and overcame imatinib resistance through impairing polysome assembly (Ref. 87). Similarly, treatment of pancreatic cancer cell lines MiaPaCa2 and PT45P1 with CGP57380 in combination with gemcitabine caused a greater apoptotic cell death when compared with the use of either CGP57380 or gemcitabine alone (Ref. 88). Another Mnk inhibitor is Cercosporamide, an effective antifungal agent and phytotoxin extracted from the fungus Cercosporidium henningsii (Ref. 89), which suppressed AML precursors and enhanced the antileukaemic properties of cytarabine or mTOC1 inhibitors (Ref. 90). Furthermore, it can significantly down-regulate outgrowth of experimental B16 melanoma pulmonary metastases and subcutaneous HCT116 colon carcinoma xenograft tumours, without side effect on body weight. These findings confirmed that Mnk inhibition may provide a tractable cancer therapeutic approach (Ref. 91).

More recently, a novel Mnk inhibitor retinamides (RR), one of retinoic acid metabolism blocking agents, blocked eIF4E phosphorylation and subsequently restrained cell growth, colonisation, invasion, and migration, as well as induced apoptosis in TN and Her-2 overexpressing breast cancer cells through degrading Mns rather than inhibiting its kinase activity just like the three Mk inhibitors mentioned above (Ref. 150). Further, a series of 5-((2-(phenylamino pyrimidin-4-yl) tiazole-2(3H)-one derivatives have been discovered as selective Mnk2 inhibitors. They facilitated apoptosis in MV4-11 AML cells by reducing the expression of an antiapoptotic protein Mcl-1 (Ref. 151).

Conclusions and outlook

Our understanding of human cancer as a multi-factor-network disease has led to the development of next-generation therapeutics. It is clear now that targeting regulatory hubs in the cancer signalling network instead of targeting individual genetic alterations will be more effective in treating a very heterogeneous tumour. Accumulating evidences indicate that one of such hubs is eIF4E, serving as a node on which multiple oncogenic signalling pathways converge. As a result, eIF4E and translation initiation provide a promising target for cancer therapeutics. Indeed, enthusiasm for developing small molecule inhibitors blocking eIF4E function has lasted over the years.

In addition to the therapeutic strategies targeting eIF4E aforementioned, some other components of eIF4F complex should be considered as appealing oncology drug targets. These include eIF4A, an RNA helicase, which is frequently activated in cancer cells, either by its overexpression or by repression of the tumour suppressor Pdcd4 (programmed cell death 4) (Ref. 152). Silvesterol and Pateamine A are both eIF4A inhibitors. Silvesterol can effectively modulate the activity of eIF4A and repress translation initiation, exhibiting powerful anticancer activity in human breast cancer and prostate cancer xenograft models by inducing apoptosis and inhibiting angiogenesis (Refs 92, 93). In addition, the suppressive effects of Pateamine A (PatA) on translation are mediated through increasing the RNA-binding affinity of free eIF4A, thus sequestering eIF4A from the 4F complex, which may lead to stalling of initiation complexes (Ref. 94).

The binding of initiator tRNA to the 40S ribosomal unit is mediated by translation initiation factor 2 (eIF2). Phosphorylation of α-subunit of eIF2 prevents formation of the eIF2α/GTP/Met-tRNA complex and stops global protein synthesis (Ref. 153). As a consequence, eIF2 is also a promising drug target at the level of translation initiation. eIF2αa can be phosphorylated by haeme-regulated inhibitor, PERK/PEK, and the double-stranded RNA-activated protein kinase (PKR) (Ref. 154). So far, reported eIF2 inhibitors include eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (Ref. 95), clotrimazole (Ref. 96), troglitazone (Ref. 97) and flavonoids (e.g. Genistein and Quercetin) (Ref. 98).

Despite the encouraging targeting potential of cap-dependent translation, our understanding of the role of eIF4E and other members regulating translation initiation in tumourigenesis remains rudimentary. For example, eIF4E is responsible for the regulation of multiple mRNAs involved in cancer progression; however, it is unclear if any of these eIF4E targets is indispensable. A comprehensive mapping of eIF4E target mRNAs will be imperative to elucidate the translational signature of eIF4E and its significance in
human cancer. On the other hand, in order to improve the anticancer efficacy, future studies are needed to address the combination usage of eIF4E inhibitors with inhibitors targeting other oncogetic signalling pathways.
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