Low levels of transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-beta) and reduced suppression of Th2-mediated inflammation in hyperreactive human onchocerciasis

S. KORTEN1,3*, A. HOERAUF1, J. T. KAIFI2 and D. W. BÜTTNER3

1 Institute for Medical Microbiology, Immunology and Parasitology, University of Bonn, Sigmund-Freud-Strasse 25, D-53105 Bonn, Germany
2 Department of General, Visceral and Thoracic Surgery, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, Martinistrasse 32, D-20246 Hamburg, Germany
3 Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, Bernhard-Nocht-Strasse 74, D-20359 Hamburg, Germany

(Received 12 November 2009; revised 28 February and 16 May 2010; accepted 17 May 2010; first published online 12 July 2010)

SUMMARY

Th2-biased inflammation with eosinophilia and IgE production is a hallmark of helminth infections. It is pronounced in hyperreactive onchocerciasis patients (‘sowda’ or ‘local form’), who efficiently kill microfilariae resulting in severe dermatitis and lymphadenitis. In contrast, hyporeactive patients (‘generalised form’) tolerate high microfilarial loads. This is thought to be mediated by regulatory CD4+ T cells and macrophages producing suppressive cytokines such as IL-10 and transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β). We investigated whether hyperreactivity was reflected by lower local TGF-β production, analysing stable latent TGF-β1 expression in onchocercomas, lymph nodes and skin from hyperreactive and hyporeactive patients by immunohistochemistry. TGF-β1 expression was compared with that of IgE, IgG1, IgG4, and the antigen-presenting, CD4+ T cell-inducing MHC class II molecule HLA-DR. TGF-β1 was weakly and less frequently expressed by various cell types in onchocercomas, skin and lymph nodes from hyperreactive compared to hyporeactive patients. This applied to reactions around living and dead adult worms as well as dead microfilariae. Antigen-presenting cells strongly expressed HLA-DR in both forms, but their numbers were reduced in hyperreactive nodules. Plasma cells produced more IgE and IgG1, but less of the anti-inflammatory antibody IgG4 in hyperreactive onchocercomas. In conclusion, hyperreactivity is linked with reduced local expression of TGF-β1, HLA-DR and IgG4, which might contribute to the insufficient down-regulation of inflammation via TGF-β1- and HLA-DR-induced regulatory lymphocytes.
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INTRODUCTION

In sub-Saharan Africa, Yemen and Latin America an estimated 37 million people suffer from a chronic infection with the filarial nematode Onchocerca volvulus that affects skin, the lymphatic system and eyes (river blindness). Non-productive adult worms barely elicit immune responses and disease. The pathology is caused by millions of microfilariae (mf) that are released from adult worms in subcutaneous onchocercomas and which migrate to the skin and in smaller numbers to other organs. In hyperendemic foci tens or hundreds of thousands of mf die every day in many patients. Murdoch et al. (1993) developed a classification scheme for onchocerciasis skin lesions and a WHO Expert Committee (1995) recommended its use. Skin and lymphatic lesions were differentiated into ‘generalised’ and ‘local’ forms of onchocerciasis (WHO, 1995) and these terms were also used for the polar forms of the immunological spectrum of onchocerciasis. To avoid misunderstanding, since hyporeactive onchodermatitis is also often ‘local’ and hyperreactive onchodermatitis may be extended, here we will only use the terms ‘hyporeactive’ (synonym for ‘generalised form’) and ‘hyperreactive’ (synonym for ‘local or localised form’ or ‘sowda’) for the polar forms of the immunological spectrum (WHO, 1995).

The objective of this study was to investigate whether the immunosuppressive cytokine TGF-β plays a role in the weak immunosuppression observed in hyperreactive patients, based on our observation that O. volvulus elicits strong local host cell TGF-β production in highly infected and immunosuppressed hyporeactive patients (Korten et al. 2010). The cellular and humoral immunological defence reactions to mf, induced by filarial antigens, are usually strong in primarily infected individuals, killing the mf and often causing dermatitis (WHO, 1987, 1995; Cooper et al. 2001; Brattig, 2004). In hypoendemic areas the worms die after 5–12 years.
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In meso- and hyperendemic areas new infections are acquired and the reactivity decreases with increasing worm burdens in most patients. They develop the hyporeactive, immunosuppressed form of the infection, tolerating high mf loads and reacting only against damaged or treated mf and adult worms, but they do not kill viable mf (Ottesen, 1995; Brattig, 2004). However, they kill most of the newly acquired infective larvae (WHO, 1995; Schulz-Key and Soboslay, 2000). In contrast, a minority of patients develop a chronic hyperreactive form of infection with a sustained strong immunological defence reaction that kills mf. This leads to low mf loads of less than 10 mf/mg skin (mostly less than 1 mf/mg) and severe dermal and lymphatic disease (see below in the Materials and Methods section; Büttner et al. 1982; Connor et al. 1983; WHO, 1987). Usually, also the chronic hyperreactivity ends when the adult filariae die. Or, as we observed between 1978 and 1989 in Liberia, the hyperreactive form may change to the hyporeactive form, when the worm load increases after a few years (Gallin, 1987; Büttner, unpublished data). Between the two polar forms there are many patients with intermediate forms, including most primary infections, who are able to kill mf, but present a low degree of hyporesponsiveness. The immunological spectrum of pathology hints at mechanisms based on genetic pre-disposition and immune modulatory factors that lead to variable host reactivity to the worm antigens (King and Nutman, 1991; Hoerauf et al. 2002, 2005; Brattig, 2004; Timmann et al. 2008). Hyperreactivity is characterized by a strong local and systemic Th2-type biased immune reaction, that correlates with skin and lymph node pathology and comprises elevated serum and tissue IgE, eosinophilia, mastocytosis, increased activated CD4+ T helper cells, dense infiltrates with T cells, B cells, plasma cells, and extensive fibrous tissue generation in onchocercomas (Brattig et al. 1987; Korten et al. 1998; Timmann et al. 2003; Brattig, 2004). In hyporeactive onchocerciasis, these Th2-driven inflammatory responses are weaker and mixed with a suppressive Tr1/Th3 response. Antigen-specific and non-specific immunosuppression is mediated by CD4+ regulatory T (Treg) cells and macrophages, which produce suppressive cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-β (Kilian and Nielsen, 1989; Doetze et al. 2000; Hoerauf et al. 2005; Li et al. 2006; Taylor et al. 2008; Korten et al. 2008).

To study whether local TGF-β expression reflects the spectrum of the polar immune states, we analysed TGF-β1 (denoted as TGF-β hereafter) expression in host cells of onchocercomas, lymph node and skin from hyperreactive compared to hyporeactive patients. We further analysed TGF-β expression in relation to the expression of the immunoglobulins IgE, IgG1, IgG4 and the antigen-presenting molecule HLA-DR, which induces and activates cognate Th2 and Th3 CD4+ T cells (Muixí et al. 2008).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Diagnosis of hyperreactive patients**

The diagnosis of hyperreactive onchocerciasis was based on the criteria used previously (Büttner et al. 1982; Albiez et al. 1985; Gallin, 1987; Darge and Büttner, 1995). The following criteria had to be fulfilled by all patients of this study. (1) The disease had to exist at least for a year. Usually it had existed for several years. (2) The patients had to be mf carriers proven by detection of mf in skin snips or by a positive Mazzotti test. The mf density had to be less than 10 mf/mg of skin. In Liberia, on all patients a topical Mazzotti test with diethylcarbamazine lotion had been applied on 2 areas of 20×20 cm, one on sick and one on rather healthy skin (Kilian, 1988; Fig. 1 in Darge and Büttner, 1995), because the skin snips were often negative. A Mazzotti test was considered as positive, when a reaction with at least 20 fresh papules and usually more than 100 fresh papules was observed after 1 or 2 days. All patients in our study had shown a positive test. (3) All patients had presented signs of an acute or chronic papular onchodermatitis (APOD or CPOD as described by Murdoch et al. 1993; Murdoch, 2010) and complained of strong pruritus, confirmed by scratch marks. (4) An onchocercoma with living or dead female filariae had been excised for histology. Further criteria were the presence of a dark-coloured skin area, typical asymmetry of skin lesions, lichenified onchodermatitis (LOD by Murdoch et al. 1993), and enlarged regional lymph nodes to a size of 2–6 cm or more (stage 2 of Murdoch et al. 1993). The patients had usually shown several of these further signs. Untreated hyperreactive patients had shown eosinophilia of the blood, usually of more than 10%. The average values of the study patients were 24±14% and 1600±1566 eosinophils/μl blood, respectively. Except for Yemen all examined hyperreactive patients had lived in highly endemic onchocerciasis foci. They were adult individuals with long-standing infections carrying high loads of nodules and more than 40 mf/mg skin.

**Onchocercomas, lymph nodes and skin from patients**

Sixty-four onchocercomas from 50 untreated Liberian and Yemenite patients with hyperreactive onchocerciasis were selected from previous studies (references in Table 1). Forty-nine of these nodules were stained for TGF-β and compared with 82 onchocercomas from 82 untreated patients with the hyporeactive form of the disease. The total number of hyporeactive nodules, stained for TGF-β and other markers, was 130 from 94 patients. Six hyporeactive and 3 hyperreactive patients had received 1–3 standard doses of ivermectin (IVM) during mass or individual treatment and were nodulectomized between 1 day and 2 months later. One onchocercoma
was derived from a German boy, who became infected in Cameroon, developed hyperreactive dermatitis and was treated with 2 standard doses of 0.15 mg/kg IVM 4 and 6 months prior to nodulectomy. Only a subgroup of these nodules was stained with different Abs depending on fixation and needed information. The patients in this study were HIV-negative. The HIV infection was excluded by testing or, in Liberia, Mali, Burkina Faso, and Yemen by epidemiology, since nodulectomy had taken place before the onset of the HIV1 epidemic in the investigated onchocerciasis foci.
Table 1. Untreated and ivermectin-treated hypo- and hyperreactive onchocerciasis patients and numbers of examined onchocercomas (nodules), lymph nodes and skin biopsies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form of onchocerciasis, no. of patients</th>
<th>Ivermectin treatment dose</th>
<th>No. of nodules (TGF-β²)</th>
<th>No. of lymph nodes/lymph nodes/patients</th>
<th>No. of skin biopsies/skin biopsies/patients</th>
<th>Endemic country</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperreactive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>61 (46)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>(Albiez et al. 1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>(Büttner et al. 1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 × 0.15 mg/kg</td>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>(Korten et al. 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 × 0.15 mg/kg</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>(Darge and Büttner, 1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyporeactive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>35 (13)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>(Hoerauf et al. 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>42 (32)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>(Albiez et al. 1988b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 (17)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Burkina F. b</td>
<td>(Büttner et al. 1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 (16)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>(Büttner et al. 1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>20 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>(Fischer et al. 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Yemen</td>
<td>(Büttner et al. 1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1–3 × 0.15 mg/kg</td>
<td>12 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>(Fischer et al. 1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 × 0.15 mg/kg</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>(Albiez et al. 1988b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 49 nodules from 50 untreated hyperreactive patients and 82 nodules from 82 untreated hyporeactive patients were examined for TGF-β, the other nodules for other markers as outlined in the Materials and methods section;  
  b Burkina Faso.

The Ethics Commission of the Medical Board, Hamburg, had approved nodulectomies for research purposes. Nodulectomies were also, generally, supported by the World Health Organization (WHO, 1987). The design of the different drug studies had been approved by the authorities and by ethic committees of the respective African countries (see references). The procedures used were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (1975, and its revisions in 1983, 2000 and 2002, where applicable). The nodulectomies were performed under aseptic conditions and local anaesthesia as described (Albiez et al. 1988a). Among the onchocercomas excised by us, and those that were sent to us by other groups were usually up to 5% lymph nodes, which had been misdiagnosed as onchocercomas (Albiez et al. 1988a). In nodule series from patients after long IVM mass treatment, vector control and those with hyperreactive infection the percentages were higher because these patients have fewer onchocercomas. In total, 16 lymph nodes from 7 hyperreactive and 9 hyporeactive patients were included in this study, 11 from untreated and 5 from IVM-treated patients (for references see Table 1). From 22 untreated hyperreactive and 17 untreated hyperreactive patients, skin samples were taken from the wound edge adjacent to the excised onchocercomas (Table 1).

**Immunohistochemistry**

Onchocercomas, lymph nodes and skin were fixed in 4% buffered formaldehyde or in 80% ethanol and embedded in paraffin using standard methods. The number, sex, productivity, and vitality of the worms were determined morphologically (Korten et al. 2008). As a rich cellular infiltrate is dependent on the presence of at least one mf-producing female worm or on dead worms (Wildenburg et al. 1998; Korten et al. 2008), and host TGF-β expression is induced by nulliparous, previously or presently productive or dead female worms in hypereactive onchocercomas (Korten et al. 2010), nodules with at least one such female worm were used for this immunological comparison between the two forms of onchocerciasis. Young nulliparous female worms were present in larger nodules from hyporeactive patients. Male worms were present in many nodules with females; in this nodule series males did not occur alone.

For staining of latent TGF-β1, only formaldehyde-fixed nodules could be used because the antisera did not stain ethanol-fixed host tissues (albeit ethanol-fixed worm tissue, Korten et al. 2009). Therefore not all nodules examined could be stained with anti-TGF-β serum. The sections were pre-treated with an endogenous peroxidase-blocking agent as part of the anti-goat HRP-AEC Cell & Tissue Staining Kit (horseradish peroxidase-3-amino-9-ethyl-carbazole; R&D Systems, Wiesbaden, Germany). As described previously (Korten et al. 2009, 2010) latent TGF-β1 was labelled with a specific polyclonal IgG antibody produced with recombinant goat anti-human latency peptide/protein (LAP; R&D Systems), diluted 1:200 and for non-filarial samples 1:10 in 1% FCS/TBS. Titration experiments for optimal dilutions of the primary antibody and positive control staining were performed on known LAP⁺ prostate carcinoma samples (Steiner et al. 1994). Non-specific goat serum with the same IgG concentration was applied for negative
controls. Pre-diluted biotinylated anti-goat mAb served as secondary antibody. For visualization, slides were incubated with streptavidin-HRP and developed with the brown chromogenic substrate AEC or with the streptavidin-biotin Super Sensitive Detection Kit (BioGenex, San Ramon, CA, USA) and Vector Red Substrate Kit (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA, USA). When an affinity-purified goat anti-human LAP antibody and a mouse monoclonal antibody (mAb) against TGF-β became available, a number of sections were stained to confirm the specificity of the labelling using the first antibodies (not shown).

TGF-β-positive or -negative cell types were determined morphologically and on the basis of a similar location of cells stained with the following differentiation markers on serial sections. Mainly mAbs were applied (DakoCytomation, Hamburg, Germany): anti-CD68 (clone PG-M1) for mature macrophages, anti-L1 protein (synonymous with clone MAC387) for immature macrophages, anti-MHC class II molecule HLA-DR (clone TAL.1B5), and anti-mast cell tryptase (clone AA1); polyclonal antibodies against CD3 to detect all T lymphocytes, and against IgE, IgG1 and IgG4. For these antibodies the APAAP technique was applied according to the protocol from DakoCytomation, using secondary rabbit anti-mouse and mouse anti-rabbit Abs and Fast Red TR salt (Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany). Haematoxylin (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) served as counter stain.

Not all nodules could be stained with all antibodies. Some nodules had only been stained with the differentiation markers mentioned above and with others specific for eosinophils, neutrophils, alpha 1-antichymotrypsin, and prostaglandin E₂, which are not mentioned here. Forty-nine nodules from hyperreactive and 82 nodules from hyporeactive patients were stained for TGF-β and a subgroup of these also for the above-mentioned differentiation markers using consecutive sections.

Statistical analysis

For the analysis of difference between nodules from hyperreactive patients with low intensity of TGF-β staining and nodules from hyporeactive patients with strong TGF-β staining the chi square test was applied (http://math.hws.edu/javamath/ryan/ChiSquare.html), assuming \( P < 0.05 \) to be a significant difference.

RESULTS

Hyperreactive patients show reduced TGF-β expression

Onchocercomas with productive or previously productive older worms revealed a much stronger expression of TGF-β1 (denoted as TGF-β hereafter) and more frequently positive cells around and between sections of the adult worms, when patients had the hyperreactive form of onchocerciasis (Fig. 1A, C and E) in contrast to the hyperreactive form (Fig. 1B, D and F). This was observed in numerous mature CD68⁺ and L1 protein⁺ (data not shown, see Discussion section) macrophages forming a wall adjacent to the cuticle of the adult worms in both polar forms (Fig. 1A–F). Few TGF-β⁺ macrophages and numerous TGF-β⁺ lymphocytes occurred more distant from the adult worms in the nodule centre, but again with reduced expression levels in hyperreactive nodules (Fig. 1F). Similarly, macrophages attacking dead mf expressed more TGF-β in nodules from hyporeactive (Fig. 1J) than in those from hyperreactive patients (Fig. 1K). CD3⁺ T cells (Fig. 1P and Q) in perivascular infiltrates strongly expressed TGF-β in hyporeactive (Fig. 1N), but very weakly and less frequently in hyperreactive onchocercomas (Fig. 1O). Mast cells and fibrocytes were also weaker TGF-β⁺ in hyperreactive onchocercomas (data not shown).

The difference in TGF-β expression was always obvious. In hyporeactive nodules, the stained immune cells were mainly strongly or moderately TGF-β positive. In hyperreactive nodules the staining of the macrophages varied mainly from negative to weakly positive, seldom to moderately positive, but the cells were never as strongly stained as the positive cells in hyporeactive nodules. Figures 1–3 clearly demonstrate the different intensities in the two groups of patients. These figures are representative; they were not selected for the intensity of TGF-β staining. The difference between the 49 hyperreactive and the 82 hyporeactive TGF-β stained nodules is significant, when comparing the weak and strong expression for the total nodule numbers between the two groups (\( P < 0.001, \chi \) square test).

A quantification and statistical analysis of the frequency of TGF-β stained cells appeared not to be adequate since most nodules from hyperreactive patients contained many more immune cells than those from hyporeactive patients (Büttner and Racz, 1983) and weighed between 1.7 and 8.3 g in contrast to most hyporeactive nodules weighing less than 1.3 g (Albiez et al. 1988a). Despite this high number of immune cells elicited by only one or two female worms in hyperreactive nodules, most of these immune cells were only weakly or not TGF-β stained. This difference in cellular infiltration was also evident between the large lymph nodes of hyperreactive patients and the fibrotic nodes from hyporeactive patients (Connor et al. 1983; Racz et al. 1983) and between the onchodermatitis skin from hyperreactive patients and the often clinically only scarcely altered skin in the hyporeactive group (Connor et al. 1983).
Furthermore, the proportions of TGF-β negative or positive young L1 protein positive macrophages and TGF-β negative prostaglandin E2 expressing macrophages varied between the two groups, and in hyporeactive nodules strongly TGF-β+ cells were so abundant and often densely packed, that it would have been difficult to quantify them in contrast to clear-cut populations of FOXP3+ lymphocytes (Korten et al. 2008).

**Stronger HLA-DR expression in hyporeactive than in hyperreactive patients**

Since all antigen-specific CD4+ T cells, including T helper and Treg cells, are induced and activated via MHC class II molecules such as HLA-DR on antigen-presenting cells, we compared HLA-DR expression in relation to TGF-β between the two forms of onchocerciasis. HLA-DR expression was equally strong in both forms, but HLA-DR+ cells occurred more abundantly in hyporeactive nodules (Fig. 1G and H). This was particularly striking for the completely HLA-DR-negative macrophages in the cell walls adjacent to the cuticle of productive females in hyperreactive nodules (Fig. 1H) in contrast to the homogenously HLA-DR+ macrophages adjacent to females in hyporeactive onchocercomas (Fig. 1G). HLA-DR+ macrophages and lymphocytes infiltrated the fibrous tissue at some distance from the adult worms in hypo- and hyperreactive nodules (Fig. 1H). But the expression was stronger in hyporeactive nodules. Central and subcapsular infiltrates were densely packed with strongly HLA-DR+ cells. In contrast to the cells adjacent to adult worms, strongly HLA-DR expressing macrophages closely
encircled degenerated mf in both forms, but accumulated in higher numbers in the hyporeactive infection (Fig. 1L and M).

In summary, these results show that TGF-β expression positively correlates with HLA-DR expression, particularly in the cellular reaction around adult worms and less around mf.

Plasma cells expressed more IgE and IgG1, but less IgG4 in hyperreactive patients

CD20+ B cells, including plasma cells, were abundantly found in all onchocercomas with mf-producing and/or degenerating adult worms. Plasma cells often accumulated in lymphocyte infiltrates and produced more TGF-β in hyporeactive (Fig. 2A) than in hyperreactive onchocercomas (Fig. 2B). Many plasma cells produced IgE or IgG1 in both polar forms, but strongly IgG1 or IgE expressing plasma cells occurred more frequently in hyperreactive (Fig. 2F, H, K) than in hyporeactive nodules (Fig. 2G and J). Inversely, IgG4 was abundantly expressed in hyporeactive (Fig. 2L) and scarcely in hyperreactive nodules (Fig. 2M).

In summary, weak TGF-β and IgG4 expression by plasma cells correlated with hyperreactivity, inversely to IgE and IgG1.

TGF-β in nodules from IVM-treated patients

After IVM treatment the macrophages attached to the female worms did not or only weakly expressed TGF-β in hyperreactive patients (Fig. 2C). In hyperreactive patients, this weak TGF-β expression was also seen around dead or moribund adult worms such as those with neoplasms (Fig. 2D). Degenerated mf were encircled by macrophages, eosinophils, some CD3+ T lymphocytes and mast cells forming microgranulomas in nodules from IVM-treated hyperreactive patients, and these cells were also only weakly TGF-β positive (Fig. 2E).

Hyperreactivity imparts reduced TGF-β expression in lymph nodes and skin

In contrast to stronger expression in hyporeactive patients (Fig. 3A and C) many lymphocytes (Fig. 3B) and macrophages (Fig. 3D) showed less TGF-β in lymph nodes of hyperreactive patients. Likewise, numerous endothelia of small blood vessels in lymph nodes were TGF-β+ in both polar forms, but they were less strongly stained in hyperreactive patients (Fig. 3E and F). Furthermore, TGF-β expression was observed in numerous cells in small inflammatory infiltrates in the dermis as well as in Langerhans cells in the basal layer of the epidermis (Fig. 3G and H). The expression was higher in the skin from hyporeactive than in skin from hyperreactive patients (Fig. 3G and H). But the difference appeared to be less than observed in onchocercomas and lymph nodes from hyporeactive patients.

Fig. 3. Immunohistological comparison of TGF-β expression in lymph nodes (A-F) and skin (G-H) from hypo- and hyperreactive patients in Liberia and Uganda. (A-B) Strong (hyporeactive) and faint TGF-β expression (hyperreactive) in lymphocytes (arrows) adjacent to remnants of nearly resorbed mf (arrowhead). Untreated patients. (C-D) Strong (hyporeactive) and faint TGF-β expression (hyperreactive) in macrophages (arrows); 1 day or 5 weeks after IVM treatment. (E-F) Strongly (hyporeactive) TGF-β+ and faintly (hyperreactive) TGF-β expressing endothelia of blood vessels; 1 day or 5 weeks after IVM treatment. (G-H) Skin from untreated patients. (G) Macrophages and lymphocytes with varying degrees of TGF-β expression (arrow) accumulating in a dermal infiltrate and strongly TGF-β+ Langerhans cells in the epidermis (arrowhead; hyporeactive). (H) A similar accumulation of less strongly TGF-β expressing cells in a dermal infiltrate and of Langerhans cells in the epidermis (arrowhead) of a hyperreactive patient. Scale bars = 50 µm.
In summary, a similar weak TGF-β expression occurred in lymph nodes and skin as in onchocercomas from hyperreactive patients.

**DISCUSSION**

Comparing the *in situ* expression of latent TGF-β in onchocercomas, lymph nodes and skin between hyperreactive and hyporeactive patients, we show that all three organs from hyperreactive patients expressed lower levels of TGF-β and reduced numbers of TGF-β+ cells despite a strong inflammatory infiltrate. As TGF-β was less intensely and less frequently expressed but present in hyperreactive nodules, this indicates the ability of these patients to express TGF-β. It might reflect that TGF-β expression is up-regulated and latent TGF-β (LAP) released also in hyperreactive patients, but more quickly cleaved into active TGF-β, e.g., by proteases such as metalloproteinases (Taylor, 2009; Korten et al. 2010). Alternatively, LAP could bind to cell-surface proteins such as integrins undergoing a conformational change and releasing active TGF-β, which was not detected by the TGF-β antibody used. An argument against this is that active TGF-β binding to receptors of effector cells should lead to suppression of hyperreactivity, which is not the case. Another explanation might be that cellular production could reach a point of exhaustion in hyperreactivity in response to a strong and constant inflammation overwhelming any regulatory effect.

The hyperreactive response in primary infections of all non-endemic and endemic infected individuals indicates that any initial TGF-β response to inflammation is too weak to suppress and needs further signals to develop. We presume that filarial and non-endobacterial antigens provide such signals, since depletion of *Wolbachia* did not alter TGF-β expression (Korten et al. 2010). Furthermore, only in hyporeactive patients did filarial antigens from adult, predominantly female, worms elicit a substantial TGF-β expression, which rises with the first mf production and is sustained in non-productive phases and during worm degeneration, death and resorption (Korten et al. 2010). This local TGF-β production increases with worm burden, suppressing defensive Th2 responses and counterbalancing immunopathology. Since TGF-β induces CD4+ Treg cells, a growing worm load promotes the generation of *O. volvulus*-specific as well as non-specific Treg cells found in onchocercomas (Hoerauf et al. 2005; Korten et al. 2008).

One explanation for the low TGF-β expression in hyperreactive tissues could be that chronically infected hyperreactive patients cannot mount a sufficient TGF-β response during the hyperreactive phase due to a pre-disposing genetic factor. The *Ov 1* locus on chromosome 2p is linked to mf loads in the skin and resistance in onchocerciasis, close to a region for asthma, also a Th2-driven condition (Timmann et al. 2008). Moreover, since protein kinase C epsilon is a functional candidate gene of *Ov 1* (Timmann et al. 2008) and protein kinase C epsilon mediates increased TGF-β production in mice (Koyanagi et al. 2007) this locus might be associated with the observed differences in TGF-β expression. Therefore, hyperreactive patients may have developed and sustained a strong Th2 response from the beginning of infection that quickly killed and removed mf. We assume that this prohibited the development of TGF-β-mediated tolerance in hyperendemic regions. However, in meso- and hyperendemic areas, tolerance eventually overcomes hyperreactivity due to higher transmission pressure and the increasing worm load. In onchocercomas from untreated and IVM-treated hyperreactive patients, degenerated mf were encircled by macrophages, eosinophils, CD3+ T cells and some mast cells (Korten et al. 1998), but these cells were TGF-β-negative or faintly TGF-β+ in contrast to hyporeactive nodules (for the hyporeactive form only, see Korten et al. 2010). This and the similarly weak TGF-β reaction around dead adult worms showed the independence of the low TGF-β expression from the worm status, reinforcing the concept of predisposition. This is also supported by a study showing that TGF-β release into the circulation is genetically controlled and correlates with the occurrence and severity of certain diseases (Grainger et al. 1999). In addition, hyperreactive patients from both Ghana and Guinea were shown to have a predisposition for increased IL-13 signalling and thus, stronger Th2 responses (Hoerauf et al. 2005). Since TGF-β suppresses Th1/2 cell proliferation and differentiation (Li et al. 2006), the lack of TGF-β could promote local and systemic cell proliferation, which is increased in patients with severe and chronic skin pathology (Timmann et al. 2003).

TGF-β not only suppresses immune cells, but can also promote fibrous tissue generation and fibrosis. The much stronger fibrous tissue reaction around one or two female worms in the presence of scarce TGF-β in hyperreactive nodules may be an argument against such a role of TGF-β in hyperreactive patients. However, the increased fibrosis seen in lymph nodes and skin of older hyperreactive patients with long-standing infections could partially result from their high TGF-β production apart from immune complexes of *O. volvulus* mf antigens, which cause inflammation and formation of additional laminae of vascular walls and perivascular fibrosis (Connor et al. 1983). Since we observed TGF-β+ macrophages and lymphocytes in the hyporeactive lymph nodes, such TGF-β expression could reflect suppressive macrophage populations in the regional lymph nodes. In line with this, a spreading of alternatively activated macrophages from the site of infection to the regional lymph nodes with the onset of mf release.
is observed in murine filarial infection (Taylor et al., 2006). Brattig et al. (2009) reported a lower production of prostaglandin E2 and TGF-β by macrophages in onchocercomas from hyperreactive than in those from hyporeactive patients, and the production of these two compounds in different suppressive macrophage subtypes.

Plasma cells were a major source of TGF-β apart from macrophages, T cells, mast cells, endothelial cells, and fibrocytes in hyporeactive (Korten et al., 2010) and hyperreactive onchocercomas, but the expression was less in the hyperreactive form. The enhanced IgE and IgG1, but scarce IgG4 expression by plasma cells in hyperreactive onchocercomas is in line with reports on the inverse role of these immunoglobulins (Brattig et al., 1994; Ottesen, 1995; Brattig, 2004). The production of IgG4, a predominantly blocking, anti-inflammatory and tolerance-inducing antibody (van der Neut Kolfschoten et al., 2007; Aalberse et al. 2009) by B cells is induced by IL-10 and TGF-β producing Tr1 and natural Treg cells in onchocerciasis (Satoguina et al., 2008). Therefore, our finding of simultaneously high TGF-β and IgG4 expression by plasma cells in hyporeactive infections is concordant with this notion. This supports the assumption of parallel expression by several plasma cells or possibly the same plasma cells being regulatory B cells (Lund, 2008).

Brattig et al. (1987) showed increased HLA-DR expression by peripheral blood leukocytes in hyperreactive patients. In contrast, we found substantial numbers of positive cells, but decreased local expression intensities in hyperreactive onchocercomas compared to strong and more abundant expression in hyporeactive ones. This might indicate a local exhaustion of expression in chronic hyperreactivity. However, since HLA-DR molecules induce and activate antigen-specific CD4+ T cells in general, and can be expressed by activated Treg cells (Muixí et al., 2008; Battaglia and Roncarolo, 2009), the strong expression in hyporeactive patients could also lead to the generation of cognate CD4+ Treg cells and not only of CD4+ helper cells. An association of HLA-DR molecules with the clinical spectrum of onchocerciasis has been reported by Meyer et al. (1994). A clear association exists between HLA-DR expression and autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis and thyroid autoimmune disease (Muixí et al., 2008). In both, the complex of the presented peptide with the MHC class II molecule HLA-DR can be recognized by cognate effector and regulatory T cells promoting either autoreactivity or tolerance.

In conclusion, this study showed an association between chronic Th2-mediated hyperreactivity in onchocerciasis and reduced local expression of the suppressive cytokine TGF-β by various cell types, primarily macrophages, T cells and plasma cells. This low TGF-β expression was found in all infection sites irrespective of the worm status or the presence of mf. It was further linked with reduced HLA-DR expression. Therefore, we suggest a new tolerance-promoting role for MHC class II-mediated immune responses in conjunction with local TGF-β expression, which develops in hyporeactive, but not in hyperreactive patients. This might be important not only for the understanding of hyperreactivity to filarial nematodes, but also for other Th2-mediated diseases such as allergy and asthma.
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