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Abstract
For a fast scan-phase satellite radio antenna diversity system a noise correction method is presented for a significant improvement of audio availability at low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) conditions. An error analysis of the level and phase detection within the diversity system in the presence of noise leads to a correction method based on a priori knowledge of the system’s noise floor. This method is described and applied in a hardware example of a satellite digital audio radio services antenna diversity circuit for fast fading conditions. Test drives, which have been performed in real fading scenarios, are described and results are analyzed statistically. Simulations of the scan-phase antenna diversity system show higher signal amplitudes and availabilities. Measurement results of dislocated antennas as well as of a diversity antenna set on a single mounting position are presented. A comparison of a diversity system with noise correction, the same system without noise correction, and a single antenna system with each other is performed. Using this new method in fast multipath fading driving scenarios underneath dense foliage with a low SNR of the antenna signals, a reduction in audio mute time by one order of magnitude compared with single antenna systems is achieved with the diversity system.

Introduction
Satellite digital audio radio services (SDARS) provide high-quality audio to large areas like e.g. SiriusXM does to the continental USA in the frequency range between 2.320 GHz and 2.345 GHz [1,2]. The radio frequency (RF) signals are transmitted from satellites in geostationary or highly elliptical orbits and are modulated with quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK). Usually, a single antenna mounted on the rooftop of a vehicle is used for mobile SDARS reception [3]. In severe multipath fading scenarios, e.g. underneath dense foliage, reception with a single antenna can be strongly impaired [4,5], as their signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) history (red and orange line) drafted in Fig. 1 shows along the driving path. Depending on the system design a low SNR could even be experienced in standard reception scenarios.

Antenna diversity systems in combination with antennas which provide statistically independent output signals allow for significant improvements of the received signal level in comparison with a single antenna [6–8]. As it is drafted in Fig. 1 the SNR of the diversity signal (blue line) is significantly improved compared with the single antenna inputs by selecting and/or combining these signals. A simple scanning diversity system scans all available antenna signals for the one with the best SNR if the currently selected antenna’s SNR falls below a threshold value [9]. Maximum ratio combining (MRC) diversity on the other hand weighs the antenna signals according to their SNR and aligns the signals in phase before combination thus achieving the optimum possible SNR with the given input signals [7,9]. All RF signals must be available to an MRC receiver individually for analysis, therefore, a separate RF cable, variable gain amplifier, tuner and filter(s), analog-to-digital converter (ADC), and phase shifter for each antenna as well as a complex SNR estimation are necessary in such a system. This high hardware effort renders such a system prohibitively expensive for most automotive applications. Our scan-phase antenna diversity system extends the capabilities of a comparatively simple scanning diversity system by also enabling the phase detection and phase-aligned superposition of antenna signals [10,11], while still working with a standard single antenna receiver with only one RF cable.

In [11], the three mentioned diversity schemes were compared with respect to SDARS reception in a Rayleigh fading scenario. The best improvement of SNR is given by MRC diversity, but scan-phase antenna diversity nearly achieves the same result. Therefore, with scan-phase antenna diversity an almost optimal improvement is achieved with low technical effort. The interface between antenna assembly and receiver consists of only one RF cable, thus being the same as for a single antenna. This is important for car manufacturers, because often cables...
In multipath fading environments the use of a priori knowledge of the system's noise floor is significant compared with single antennas [12–14]. Since the RF signals from the satellites are QPSK-modulated the ideal signal phase varies in steps of 90°. Bit errors, thus, result only after the signal phase is distorted enough to be shifted into a different quadrant of the complex signal plane (equivalent to a phase shift of more than 45°). Although phase shifters with controllable steps of 90° would be sufficient, in order to correct these phase distortions, 45° phase shifters allow for selecting, phase-aligning, and combining the antenna signals. A small share of the output signal is down-converted in frequency, bandpass filtered, and a logarithmic detector generates a voltage which is proportional to the signal level (the channel selective logarithmic detector is the block with the diode symbol in Fig. 2). This voltage is then converted to a digital value by means of an ADC. A simple MCU reads these level measurement results and executes the diversity algorithm in periods of 200 μs [14]. Since the RF signals from the satellites are QPSK-modulated the ideal signal phase varies in steps of 90°. Bit errors, thus, result only after the signal phase is distorted enough to be shifted into a different quadrant of the complex signal plane (equivalent to a phase shift of more than 45°). Although phase shifters with controllable steps of 90° would be sufficient, in order to correct these phase distortions, our diversity demonstrator achieves excellent improvements of audio availability compared with single antenna systems.

In this paper, we describe the effect of noise on the accuracy of the level detection and phase calculation of a diversity algorithm. We show how a priori knowledge of the system’s noise floor is applied in order to improve the satellite signal availability even in scenarios with very low SNR. The corrections are directly applied to the level measurement results which are used for the determination of the phase differences of the antenna signals. The noise correction method is applied in real test drive scenarios underneath dense foliage. Significantly, expanding on the theoretical considerations in [17] a statistical analysis of the fading characteristics of the measurement scenario is given. Measurements of audio availability are performed using dislocated antennas as well as a micro-diversity antenna set on a single mounting position in this publication. While even a scan-phase antenna diversity system without noise correction already yields a strong reduction of audio mutes in comparison with a single antenna, the additional noise correction method leads to a significantly higher reduction of mute times by more than one order in magnitude.

**Scan-phase antenna diversity**

An improvement of the reception quality in terms of a reduction of the mute duration in fading scenarios is achieved by a scan-phase antenna diversity system [10]. A block diagram as well as a photograph of the compact printed circuit board with a size of 60 mm × 45 mm are depicted in Fig. 2. RF switches and phase shifters allow for selecting, phase-aligning, and combining the antenna signals. A small share of the output signal is down-converted in frequency, bandpass filtered, and a logarithmic detector generates a voltage which is proportional to the signal level (the channel selective logarithmic detector is the block with the diode symbol in Fig. 2). This voltage is then converted to a digital value by means of an ADC. A simple MCU reads these level measurement results and executes the diversity algorithm in periods of 200 μs [14]. Since the RF signals from the satellites are QPSK-modulated the ideal signal phase varies in steps of 90°. Bit errors, thus, result only after the signal phase is distorted enough to be shifted into a different quadrant of the complex signal plane (equivalent to a phase shift of more than 45°). Although phase shifters with controllable steps of 90° would be sufficient, in order to correct these phase distortions, our diversity demonstrator enables a finer step width of 45°.

In the following, we describe the fundamental calculations of our scan-phase antenna diversity algorithm for the first time. In every period four test constellations with different combinations of antenna signals are set in order to measure the power levels from which the phase differences are determined. Fig. 3 shows a representation of an arbitrary signal constellation where $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ are the wave amplitudes of the signals of antenna 1 and 2, respectively, $S$ is the sum of these amplitudes and $T$ is the sum after rotating antenna signal $\mu_2$ by 90° in phase. The angle $\alpha$ describes the phase difference between $\mu_1$ and $S$ and $\beta$ between $\mu_2$ and $S$.

![SDARS receiver](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms)
The antenna selection as well as the calculation of mutual phase differences are only based on power level measurements of the four test cases with the power levels

\[ P_3 \sim |A_1 + A_4|^2 = S^2, \]  
\[ P_1 \sim |A_1|^2 = A_1^2, \]  
\[ P_2 \sim |A_2|^2 = A_2^2, \]  
\[ P_T \sim |A_1 + A_2|^2 = T^2. \]  

From trigonometric considerations the absolute values of the mutual phase differences \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are calculated from the levels \( P_1, P_2, \) and \( P_3 \). The fourth test case allows for the calculation of the sign of the phase differences which are important for a correct phase alignment.

The law of cosines is applied if we consider for example the determination of \( \alpha \) according to

\[ 2A_1S \cos (\alpha) = S^2 + A_1^2 - A_2^2. \]  

Additionally, we derive

\[ T^2 = A_1^2 + A_2^2 - 2A_1A_2 \cos \left( 180^\circ - (90^\circ - \varphi) \right) = A_1^2 + A_2^2 + 2A_1A_2 \sin (\varphi). \]  

In Fig. 3 (green dotted line) it is visible that

\[ S \sin (\alpha) = A_2 \sin (\varphi). \]  

In combination with equation (6) we obtain

\[ 2A_1S \sin (\alpha) = T^2 - A_1^2 - A_2^2. \]  

Dividing equation (8) by equation (5) yields \( \tan(\alpha) \) and thus \( \alpha \)

\[ \alpha = \arctan \left( \frac{P_T - P_1 - P_2}{P_3 + P_1 - P_2} \right) = \arctan \left( \frac{N}{D} \right), \]  

where the four-quadrant arctan-function must be evaluated by considering the signs of both the numerator \( N = P_T - P_1 - P_2 \) and the denominator \( D = P_3 + P_1 - P_2 \). The angle \( \beta \) is determined, respectively.

Due to the stepped phase shifter topology, there is no need to actually evaluate the arctan-function in the MCU which takes between 80 \( \mu \)s and 130 \( \mu \)s depending on the input values. In order to calculate the optimum settings for our phase shifters with a step width of 45° the following analysis is used instead. The analysis is based on the fact that \( \tan \left( 22.5^\circ \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \) and \( \tan \left( 67.5^\circ \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \) and makes use of the variables \( N_a = |N|, D_a = |D|, D_a = D_a/\sqrt{2} \). The phase evaluation is then done according to Table 1. This phase detection algorithm avoids the time consuming arctan function in the small MCU and replaces it with only a small number of very simple instructions, each of which is executed within one or a few MCU clock cycles. The maximum total time it takes to calculate one phase value according to this algorithm in our hardware demonstrator is measured to be 35 \( \mu \)s compared with approximately 130 \( \mu \)s for the arctan function.

### Influence of noise on phase calculation

Due to the high free-space path loss of approximately 190 dB from the geostationary satellite to the receiving antenna low-power signals must be processed by the diversity system. A spectrum of such a noisy received antenna signal relative to the line-of-sight (LOS) level is depicted in Fig. 4. Other reasons for low signal power or high noise power – both of which result in lower SNR values – can be caused by multiple aspects of the overall reception system. For cars in which rooftop mounting of the SDARS antenna(s) is not possible, unconventional mounting positions can result in disturbed antenna patterns and reduced antenna gain and/or efficiency. These individual antenna impairments are overcome by the combination of multiple antennas in our diversity system. Nonetheless, these low signal powers necessitate in a high-level detection accuracy even in cases of very low SNR close to 0 dB.

An advantage of our approach to the correction of noise influences on the level detection accuracy is that with the same method finally, can be expressed via the measured power values by

\[ \alpha = \arctan \left( \frac{P_T - P_1 - P_2}{P_3 + P_1 - P_2} \right) = \arctan \left( \frac{N}{D} \right). \]
we can correct for non-linearities in the detection circuitry. Those non-linearities are especially noticeable close to the lower end of the dynamic range of the logarithmic amplifier, as it will be shown later. By correcting for non-linear and noisy input levels we can even simplify the diversity hardware itself by reducing the gain of the level detection circuit or alleviating noise figure specifications, both of which can result in a reduced hardware effort.

In the following we derive a critical noise power $PN_{\text{crit}}$ above which phase distortions due to an erroneous calculation of the phase changes for signal optimization exceed 45°. This is considered as a critical value for the QPSK-modulated signals above which the probability of bit errors increases significantly. In Fig. 5 the complex signal vectors of $A_1$, $A_2$, and their superposition $S$ are depicted. The black vectors show the wave amplitudes of which we assume that a phase aligned superposition will optimize the reception quality by perfectly aligning them at the phase of the current superposition $S$. Thereby the ideal signal amplitude (the sum of both individual signals) would be available to the receiver while avoiding phase distortions $\Delta \gamma > 45°$ which would cause bit errors due to the phase modulation of the SDARS signals. With the influence of noise on the level measurements deviating phase results are calculated and result in an inferior alignment with lower amplitude and even phase deviations from the previous signal phase. The angle $\gamma$ of $S$ equals $\alpha$ in the case depicted in Fig. 5.

An erroneous calculation resulting in phase distortions of the diversity signal arises if all signal levels are superimposed with the noise power $PN$. The phase calculation of $\alpha$ changes from equation (9) to

$$\alpha_F = \arctan\left( \frac{P_T - P_1 - P_2 - PN}{P_S + P_1 - P_2 + PN} \right). \quad (10)$$

Fig. 5 shows such a case in red where the antennas 1 and 2 are phased with angles $\alpha_F$ and $\beta_F$ instead of the optimal values, leading to a new superposition of antenna signals with a phase deviation $\Delta \gamma$ to the previous case. The phase distortion of the superposition of the antenna signals can be calculated according to

$$\Delta \gamma = \gamma_F - \gamma = \gamma_F - \alpha = \alpha_F + \delta_F - \alpha, \quad (11)$$

using the results of equations (9) and (10). With the power $P_{S,n}$ of $F = A_{1,F} + A_{2,F}$ and $P_{Y,n}$ accordingly, the angle $\delta_F$ is

$$\delta_F = \arctan\left( \frac{P_{T,n} - P_1 - P_2}{P_{S,n} + P_1 - P_2} \right). \quad (12)$$

The power $P_{S,n}$ of the erroneous new signal sum is

$$P_{S,n} = P_1 + P_2 + 2 \cdot \sqrt{P_1 \cdot P_2} \cdot \cos(\varphi - (\alpha_F + \beta_F)). \quad (13)$$

The power $P_{I,n}$ can be derived accordingly. Bit errors can be avoided if phase distortions do not exceed a critical value of $|\Delta \gamma| < 45°$ so that a critical noise power $PN_{\text{crit}}$ can be calculated at which the condition

$$|\Delta \gamma|_{PN=PN_{\text{crit}}} = 45°, \quad (14)$$

is met. This critical noise power $PN_{\text{crit}}$ depends on the signal constellation in terms of the ratio of the two antenna signals’ powers as well as their phase difference $\varphi$ at the beginning of the measurements. The resulting phase distortion is

$$\Delta \gamma = \arctan\left( \frac{P_T - P_1 - P_2 - PN}{P_S + P_1 - P_2 + PN} \right) + \arctan\left( \frac{\sqrt{P_1 \cdot P_2} \cdot \sin(\varphi - (\alpha_F + \beta_F))}{P_1 + \sqrt{P_1 \cdot P_2} \cdot \cos(\varphi - (\alpha_F + \beta_F))} \right) \quad (15)$$

where $\alpha_F$ and $\beta_F$ are also functions of the noise power $PN$. The power ratio of the individual antennas only needs to be considered in a certain range around 0 dB (equally strong antenna signals). This can be shown by a calculation of the SNR of the output signal from input signals with differing SNR values. A numerical evaluation of equation (15) for the critical noise power $PN_{\text{crit}}$ which meets equation (14) is sufficient for a range of $-7.7 \, \text{dB} \leq P_1/P_2 \leq +7.7 \, \text{dB}$. This corresponds to an amplitude ratio in the range of $(\sqrt{2} - 1) < |A_1|/|A_2| < 1/(\sqrt{2} - 1)$. At more extreme power ratios, the antenna with the lower power will be switched off due to the noise contribution of the antenna signal with the lower SNR to the combined signal. The whole range of phase differences $0° \leq \varphi < 360°$ is considered here for completeness even if values close to 180° are highly unlikely due to the continuous operation of the fast scan-phase antenna diversity system.
The result of this analysis is depicted in Fig. 6 and shows that the highest influence of the noise power $PN$ on the error of the calculated phase values arises around a phase difference of $\varphi = 180^\circ$ for similar signal levels of the two antenna signals. This is to be expected because of the cancelation of the signal sum in such a case, whereby, even small distortions of the measured level because of noise will result in large phase calculation errors.

Fig. 7 shows this in more detail for several phase differences $\varphi$ between the two antenna signals around $180^\circ$. While a measure for the necessary detection accuracy in the presence of noisy signals is derived from these results, also the importance of fast diversity reaction times must be pointed out because phase differences are kept closer to $0^\circ$ if optimizations in short periods are ensured.

### Improving the phase calculation accuracy

Based on the presented theory the noise level information is acquired from laboratory measurements of the system’s noise floor. In frequency ranges where external noise received by the antenna cannot be neglected, out-of-band noise measurements could be applied in order to supplement the measured noise influences of the circuit itself as it is depicted in Fig. 4, but this is not implemented yet. For the pre-calculation of the values for noise correction we logged the level detection results for known input levels of a sinusoidal signal within the satellite signal’s frequency band. Fig. 8 shows measured signal levels for a level sweep as well as corrected measurement results. In systems comprising a power measurement in linear values (equal or proportional to Watts) a constant noise power can simply be subtracted in order to gain the wanted signal power without noise. Because the level detection in the discussed diversity demonstrator yields logarithmic measurement results a more complex calculation must be used.

The measured levels are matched to an extrapolated linear characteristic which is determined from measurements at high-input levels where distortions from noise are negligible. This is equivalent to reading the value of the ‘ideal value’ from Fig. 8 for the measured value of ‘signal + noise (uncal.)’$. In order to keep processing time low and because memory usage is no significant concern, a pre-calculated lookup-table is used to convert the measured levels to corrected values. As it can be seen in Fig. 8 non-linearities in the level detection characteristic (e.g. the small bump in the blue line at $-74$ dBm) are corrected as well in the calibrated measurement result (dashed magenta line).

Another lookup-table converts the measured and corrected logarithmic values to their corresponding linear powers which are used in the phase calculations. Currently, this correction is applied assuming constant noise contributions to the received signal. In the future, we plan to extend this by performing additional measurements of out-of-band noise levels within the frequency bands indicated in Fig. 4. With this information changes in external noise sources as well as temperature-induced deviations from the pre-determined values will be accounted for.

### Diversity system test drive results

**Test track, signal recording, and statistical analysis**

Test drives on a circular test track in a real fading scenario as well as laboratory measurements with recorded antenna signals from the same scenario have been conducted. Our equipment allows for the simultaneous recording of up to four antenna signals and also the playback of those recorded signals in order to perform repeated measurements of different hardware prototypes as well as diversity algorithms. It is based on a National Instruments PXIe platform with four Vector Signal Transceivers (VST) PXIe-5644 which can record up to 80 MHz of bandwidth in a frequency range up to 6 GHz [18]. Pre-amplifiers with a gain of 20 dB and a noise figure of 0.6 dB, which are specifically designed for SDARS applications, are used in order to reduce the noise figure of the recording and playback equipment. A bandwidth of 30 MHz (all available SiriusXM bands have a total bandwidth of 25 MHz) has actually been recorded with a hybrid analog down-conversion to an intermediate frequency of...
20 MHz followed by a digital down-conversion to the baseband, all in the VSTs. A statistical analysis of the reception scenario is presented which is based on the recorded test drives. The signal quality is measured for the diversity system without as well as the system with noise correction and compared with the ones of the respective single antennas using off-the-shelf SDARS receivers.

The antenna signals have been recorded in a real fading scenario in the USA in May 2016. The test track is a quasi-circular set of roads in hilly terrain underneath dense foliage of broad-leaf trees interspersed with a minor number of coniferous trees in the north-eastern USA. A map of the track as well as a photograph of a typical scene along the test track is depicted in Fig. 9. The total length of the test track is approximately 2 km, and two drives around the track are considered for a single measurement result. Taking the driving speed of approximately 40 km/h into account, this results in a total time of about 400 s per test drive, of which 380 s are actually analyzed in order to allow for the initial synchronization of the SDARS receiver in a newly started playback.

For several different antennas and mounting positions RF antenna signals have been recorded and measured. Two off-the-shelf SDARS patch antennas mounted on both front fenders as well as a two-signal diversity antenna set consisting of two loop antennas in a single mounting volume are analyzed. Fig. 10 shows a picture of the antenna positioning. It can be noticed that both antennas are tilted away from the car’s center line. This placement causes impairments on antenna pattern roundness, gain and/or received SNR as it is shown for example in [19] which are unavoidable when unconventional antenna mounting positions are to be used because of the available antenna mounting volume, the size and shape of the available ground plane as well as shadowing caused by the car body itself.

An analysis of the recorded antenna signals of the patch antennas shows the statistical properties of the reception scenario. In Fig. 11 a plot of the signal levels of the patch antennas over time of the received signal transmitted by a geostationary satellite is depicted. It can be noticed that two laps of driving along the same test track have been recorded. Slow fading effects are visible in a similar way in both halves of the recording, which are caused by obstructions along the track by the hilly terrain and high-foliage trees. Further differences can be noticed upon closer inspection of the depicted level plots. The fast fading due to multipath propagation in the dense foliage leads to high relative frequencies of low-signal levels as the probability density plot of the recorded antenna signal amplitudes in Fig. 12 shows.

In the following, we investigate the statistical behavior of a simulated scan-phase antenna diversity system (which implements the diversity algorithm presented in the previous sections) in the presence of a range of additional noise influences on measured levels which are used in the phase calculation. The diversity system’s output signal amplitude has been analyzed and is depicted in Fig. 12. Simulations of an ideal system where the noise power $PN = 0$ have been conducted and are compared with results from simulations with noise influences in the range of $-20 \text{ dB} \leq PN/P_{LOS} \leq +3 \text{ dB}$ in order to account for different levels of external and internal (relative to the diversity circuit) noise contributions. All simulations consider the 45° step size of the diversity system’s phase shifters. It can clearly be seen that...
the simulated scan-phase antenna diversity system with low noise powers $PN$ – which corresponds to a system which applies our noise power correction method – shows improvements of the signal amplitude statistics in two distinct aspects. Firstly, the average signal amplitude is improved compared with those of the two single antenna input signals. Secondly, very low signal amplitudes are much less common because the diversity system avoids antenna signals with very low-signal amplitudes by switching them off completely. This improves the SNR of the output signal because the noise influence by this antenna cannot enter the signal path to the receiver. With higher noise powers $PN$ the phase calculation results differ from the true noiseless value which results in suboptimal phase alignment of the antenna signals. For $PN/P_{LOS} = -20\, \text{dB}$ the histogram shows strong similarities to that of the ideal case $PN = 0$, whereas significant deviations from ideal results can already be noticed for $PN/P_{LOS} = -12\, \text{dB}$.

Based on the recorded signals the probabilities of the single antennas’ signal levels to fall below a threshold value have been analyzed. An example of such a threshold analysis is depicted in the detailed level plot on the right hand-side of Fig. 11. The probabilities have been calculated for a single frequency (of the geostationary satellite). In order to estimate the respective probabilities for both frequencies of the SDARS system, we utilize the fact that the signal levels of both frequencies are fading independently but with the same probability. Therefore, the squared probability values of the single-frequency results denote the estimated two-frequency fading probability and are depicted in Fig. 13. A simulated scan-phase antenna diversity system has been analyzed as well and the results are also depicted in Fig. 13. If for example a threshold of $-13.2\, \text{dB}$ below the average LOS level would denote the distinction between an available signal and an audio mute, then the two single antennas would show probabilities to fall below this threshold of approximately 1.56% and 3.85%, respectively. Applying the scan-phase antenna diversity system to these two antenna signals lower probabilities depending on the noise power $PN$ are achieved. An approximate probability of 0.86% is calculated for $PN/P_{LOS} = -12\, \text{dB}$ which is only 2% above the better single antenna in this scenario. This result can be improved to 0.23% for $PN/P_{LOS} = -20\, \text{dB}$. The ideal diversity system with $PN = 0$ yields a mute ratio of 0.11% based on the signal levels thus clearly showing the advantage of using our noise power correction method.

The true audio availability of the antenna signals is not commonly available from simulations because the detailed description of the complex RF and audio signal processing in the receiver is confidential and thus not-disclosed. For the same reason the simulation of the improvement of the audio availability realizable by the diversity system compared with the single antennas is not commonly possible. Measurements of the improvement of audio availability realizable by the diversity system can be performed using our RF signal recording and playback equipment in the laboratory described in [18]. By using recorded antenna signals, we can repeatedly measure the audio availability of different diversity algorithms using true RF satellite signals from fading scenarios without the ambiguity of repeated test drives even on the same track (ambiguity because of the exact driving track on the road, weather conditions etc.). Also by supplying different systems with exactly the same signals the comparability of the corresponding results is ensured, thus, avoiding usual drawbacks of live test drives.

**Measured signal availability**

In order to assess the performance of single antenna reception as well as of our diversity system, we recorded the various antenna signals along the test drives as shown in Fig. 9. The audio availability of the two single antennas as well as of the scan-phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ant. 1</th>
<th>Ant. 2</th>
<th>Diversity (uncal.)</th>
<th>Diversity (cal.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audio mutes</td>
<td>15.0 s</td>
<td>9.32 s</td>
<td>3.34 s</td>
<td>0.29 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test duration</td>
<td>380 s</td>
<td>380 s</td>
<td>380 s</td>
<td>380 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability</td>
<td>96.05%</td>
<td>97.55%</td>
<td>99.12%</td>
<td>99.92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
antenna diversity system has been measured using these recorded RF signals. The diversity measurements compare the audio availability without and with the correction of noise influences on the level detection accuracy and are conducted using all available satellite signals and unmodified SDARS receivers. The average results of five individual measurements (each with a duration of 380 s) are given in Table 2 for the test case where dislocated patch antennas have been used. Fig. 14 shows the occurrence of audio mutes for the two single antennas and both diversity configurations as black dots. The displayed recordings are individual measurements which have been chosen to match the average mute durations in Table 2 closely. Our results show that the diversity system can significantly reduce audio mutes in fading scenarios from an average of 12.2 s of the single antennas to 3.34 s by a factor of approximately 4. Based on measurements from more complex system demonstrators higher improvement factors of around one order of magnitude could be achieved with more gain and lower noise figures of the level detection circuit due to the nonlinearities at low signal levels. The correction of noise influences on the level detection further improves this results by reducing the mute time to 0.29 s which is by a factor of around 40 lower than the best single antenna, thus rendering a more complex hardware unnecessary.

In addition to the dislocated antenna mounting positions previously described we also investigated a diversity antenna set with two SDARS antennas in a single mounting volume on the left front fender (see image inset in Fig. 10). The realized gain over the elevation angle $\Theta$ averaged over all azimuth angles $\Phi$ is depicted in Fig. 15. The antennas have been mounted on a circular ground plane with bent edges with a total diameter of 1.2 m and measured in an anechoic chamber. In such a mounting position especially Antenna 1 achieves a realized gain which meets the typical service requirements. Antenna 2 perfectly complements Antenna 1 in a diversity set since its highest gain is realized at an elevation angle $\Theta$ of 50° from zenith where geostationary satellites are located relative to the receiving antennas.

Mounting the antenna set at the exact hidden mounting position of an antenna in series production has been impossible, because the test car had to be handed back in a condition similar to the one in which it was given to us. The antenna was instead mounted on the outside of the car’s chassis on a small, flat rectangular ground plane with a side length of 16 cm and a slant angle of approximately 20° (Fig. 10, right side). In critical mounting situations where even higher tilt angles, smaller ground planes and hidden mounting positions are chosen, antenna characteristics change dramatically and the average gain at the elevation angle of the satellite position may decrease by several dB. Even a curved rooftop, where a large metallic ground plane is available, can significantly modify the pattern of SDARS antennas at that position as shown in [19]. In order to take this into consideration, we reduce the SNR at the input of the diversity circuit in a way which is equivalent to a slight decrease in antenna gain by 0.6 dB. This is done by inserting an attenuator, an amplifier and a further attenuator at each of the two input ports of the diversity circuit. These components lead to no additional gain with deviations of less than ±0.3 dB, but a decrease in SNR which corresponds to a decrease of 0.6 dB at the air interface. In Fig. 16 the measured audio mutes are shown for the single antennas as well as for diversity with and without noise correction.

The single antennas of this diversity set show an average mute duration of 12.0 s using all available satellite signals. The diversity system without noise correction achieves a total average mute duration of 1.71 s which is an improvement by factor 7. Applying our noise correction method the average mute time is reduced to only 0.76 s which is by a factor of 15.8 better than the average of both single antennas.
Conclusion

For antenna diversity of satellite radio systems at 2.33 GHz an analysis of noise influence on the diversity performance and a method for correction of this influence in a diversity algorithm are given. Thereby the diversity performance can be increased by one order of magnitude at low SNR conditions without the need for additional hardware or even with reduced hardware complexity compared to a system without noise correction.

Real scenario test drives underneath dense foliage have been conducted with standard antennas mounted on both front fenders of a test vehicle as well as with a diversity antenna set mounted only on one mounting position on the fender. A statistical analysis shows severe multipath fading in the test track underneath dense foliage for single antenna signals and significant improvements by the diversity system.

All transmitted satellite signals have been evaluated in audio availability measurements by standard single-input off-the-shelf SDARS receivers. In cases of low SNR the diversity system without noise correction reduces audio mutes by a factor of 3.5–7 depending on the antennas used. The presented noise correction leads to a reduction by a factor of approximately 16–40 compared with the average of the single antennas. Using diversity this way, even for a critical mounting position of the antennas, results are equal to those of standard antennas on ideal position on a car’s rooftop.
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